

Dear Editor

Please find our point-by-point reply to the comments below.

Markus Meier on behalf of the co-authors

Dr. Jouni Räisänen

1. General comments

This well-written and informative editorial summarises the main findings from the recently published Baltic Earth Assessment Reports (BEARs) and the most important knowledge gaps identified in them. It also nicely puts the BEARs in context, explaining their position in the history of Earth system research and climate change assessments for the Baltic Sea basin, as well as their connection to the stakeholder-oriented fact sheet that the Baltic Earth Expert Network on Climate Change has recently produced.

Overall, this is work well done. I only have a few minor comments on its substance and a few more suggestions for improving the wording and presentation.

Thank you very much for your positive and helpful comments. We have implemented all requested changes. Please find our detailed response in red below.

2. Comments on substance and presentation

1. What does "today successfully completed" refer to? Do you mean that all the work of the working groups has been completed or that scenario simulations have been completed?

The working group on scenario simulations has been completed. However, this is an unimportant detail. Hence, we have deleted the phrase in the brackets.

2. has been warming. "is warming" implicitly predicts that the observed faster warming can be extrapolated to the future, which may or may not be true.

Corrected.

3. L103-104. This sentence could be interpreted to mean that this is the third BEAR assessment. Suggested reformulation, beginning from L102: The two BACC books adopted a format inspired by the IPCC assessment reports. The present special issue in Earth System Dynamics is the third assessment. It takes a new format of Baltic Earth Assessment Reports (BEARs), encompassing 10 peer-reviewed scientific journal articles.

Thank you. We have adopted your suggestion.

4. I only found 30 years (but not 25) from Lehmann et al. (2022).

Corrected.

5. L147-148. As an advance over the previous assessments?

Very good suggestion, which we have implemented.

6. would still result (doubling of pCO₂ is something that international climate policy very much strives to avoid).

Corrected.

7. L181-183. I think this sentence simplifies a bit too much. Even without GIA, the mean sea level rise in the Baltic Sea would differ from the global mean, due to (e.g.) the gravitational effect of the melting of Greenland ice. Furthermore, the changes in extremes might differ from those in the mean sea level, although (as noted on L185-186) there is no consensus that there would be a large difference.

We revised the sentence "Changes in relative sea level extremes will depend on the competition between the rising global mean sea level, the gravitational effect of the melting of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, changes in wind fields, etc. and the regionally differing Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) resulting in land uplift or subsidence." The relative importance of the various drivers of changes in sea level extremes is not discussed here.

8. L186, If the ensemble size (rather than model weaknesses) is indeed the limiting factor, then there is no reason to expect that statistically significant changes would occur in the real world, where only one realization of climate change will be observed. Therefore, I would replace "probably because available climate model ensembles are too small" by something like "suggesting that these changes will likely be small compared with natural variability".

We agree and modified the sentence according to the reviewer's suggestion.

9. "Antarctic" should be "Greenland". Due to the gravitational effects, melting of Greenland will increase sea level much less in the Baltic Sea than in the global mean.

In the Baltic Sea, the fingerprint from the melting Antarctic ice sheet in the Baltic Sea is larger than from the Greenland ice sheet (Grinstedt, 2015, BACC II; his Fig. 14.2) because the zero line of the Greenland fingerprint pattern is located in the Baltic Sea. To avoid confusion, we deleted the sub-clause.

10. I guess this increase mainly affects the southern parts, and less if at all the north, where the absolute sea level rise and the GIA largely compensate each other. "increase in these coastal areas" would implicitly convey this.

Correct. We added "these"

11. Perhaps "recent brightening"? While brightening (i.e., increased solar radiation) has been observed in the last few decades, it was preceded by a general dimming between the Second World War and the 1980s, presumably due to increased aerosols.

We agree and added "recent"

12. L244-245. Adding surface waves to coupled atmosphere-ocean system models?

Corrected.

13. has not been considered?

Corrected.

14. L266-269. The lack of increasing solar radiation in summer is also most likely affected by the lack of time-varying aerosols in many of the RCMs. By contrast, the projected decrease in anthropogenic aerosol emissions in the GCM simulations leads to a future increase in solar irradiation (Boé et al. 2020, Climate Dynamics, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00382-020-05153-1>). If the projected decreases in

aerosol emissions are realized, the GCM simulations should probably be judged more plausible regarding the changes in solar irradiation and perhaps also summer temperature.

We agree and deleted the misleading sentence. In Meier et al. (2022, Climate change in the Baltic Sea region: a summary) an explanation for the discrepancy is provided, following your argumentation.

15. cannot exhaustively take into account?

Corrected.

3. Technical comments

1. Delete "more than". 19 is the exact number according to Table 1.

Corrected.

2. Biogeochemical

Corrected.

3. mean surface salinity?

Yes. Corrected.

4. Figure 2. The y axis label should be "Temperature anomaly" rather than "Temperature".

Corrected.

5. 2022a. Furthermore, the order of this and the previous reference (Meier et al., 2022b) should be switched.

Corrected.

Please find the revised manuscript below.

Thank you very much!

Markus on behalf of the co-authors

Dr. Donald Boesch

This paper is an editorial overview of 10 papers published representing the The Baltic Earth Assessment Reports. Consequently, its content and quality rests on those ten detailed assessments focusing on the Baltic Earth Grand Challenges and working group topics that summarize current knowledge about past, present and future climate changes of the Baltic Sea region. This reviewer also conducted peer reviews of two of those 10 papers and found them very comprehensive and insightfully synthetic. This editorial overview accurately and effectively summarizes the main point of each of the ten papers and presents a discussion of the key knowledge gaps that cut across them. I think it is a very effective capstone for the collection of papers. This Baltic Earth Assessment Report builds on previous regional assessments for the Baltic Sea region in a way that fills an important gap by integrating regional knowledge and scale with the global assessments conducted by the IPCC. In that regard it is unique among large coastal and ocean regions, which would do well to emulate this example.

I found only a few minor points in the text that the authors may wish to consider in finalizing the paper, indicated below by line numbers:

Thank you very much for your positive and helpful comments. We have implemented all requested changes. Please find our detailed response in red below.

32 I am surprised that Germany is not included in this list. Although only a small part of Germany lies in the catchment, this is about the same area as Denmark and Germany is the only littoral state not included.

Correct. We have added Germany.

36 “Anthroposphere” is not a term yet in very widespread usage, but is mentioned several times in this summary. It would be helpful to provide a more expansive definition here when it is first mentioned, something like “that part of the environment that is made or modified by humans for use in human activities.”

We agree and added your definition.

82 “Rural” generally refers to countryside, typically including agriculture, would it be better to state this as “subarctic wilderness in the north”?

We agree and replaced “rural”.

157–158 Awkwardly stated: “the increase of anoxic bottoms have still increased . . .” Do you mean the area of anoxic bottoms?

Corrected.

186 It is not exactly clear what is meant by “available climate model ensembles are too small”? Too few, sparse, limited?

You are right. Following the suggestion by Jouni Räisänen, we rephrased the sentence “suggesting that these changes will likely be small compared with natural variability”.

197–198 Should not the acceleration of sea level rise in the Baltic be somewhat more than the global mean because of its greater sensitivity to gravitational contributions from ice loss in Antarctica?

Surprisingly, the Baltic sea level rise is projected to be somewhat smaller than the global mean sea level rise although uncertainties are large. From Meier et al. (2022b). “For the period 2090–2099, relative to 1980–1999, and based on the SRES A1B scenario, the projected absolute sea level rise in the Baltic Sea was estimated to be about 80% of the global increase (Grinsted et al., 2015). These results were confirmed by other studies for other scenarios and slightly different reference periods (e.g. Kopp et al., 2014; Grinsted, 2015) and summarised by Pellikka et al. (2020) who, for the period 2000–2100, documented an ensemble mean absolute sea level rise in the Baltic Sea of about 87% of the global mean sea level rise.

Please find the revised manuscript below.

Thank you very much!

Markus on behalf of the co-authors

Dr. Andris Andrusaitis

General comments:

By wrapping up and summarising a series of Baltic Earth Assessment Reports (BEARs) already published in ESD, this article definitely corresponds to the scope of journal. While the article is in a way “a review of reviews”, its novelty is mostly expressed in the Discussion part proposing and justifying further research directions to be addressed by Baltic Earth. Although the presented conclusions are fully supported by the material presented, it would be advisable to supplement the concluding part with a brief outlook on expected future continuation/update of the series.

Throughout the paper authors emphasize the review character of the reports it summarises, emphasizing impressive amount of reviewed scientific literature. Content of this paper is clearly reflected in its title. The list of references is fully adequate both in terms of number and quality.

In several occasions it is felt that there is a space for improving language (e.g. word order in sentences), therefore prior final publishing it is advisable to carry out a repeated language check.

Thank you very much for your positive and helpful comments. We have implemented most of the requested changes. A final language check was performed. Please find our detailed response in red below.

Specific comments:

L27: consider completing the Abstract with mentioning contribution of BEARs to producing HELCOM’s CCFS – this is very important input to practice.

We modified the abstract as suggested.

L31-32: consider relocating this sentence to section 1.2.

We moved the sentence to section 1.2 as suggested.

L44: consider replacing “carbon and biogeochemical cycles” by “biogeochemical cycles including carbon”. Kulinski et al. , 2022 encompasses both nutrients and carbon.

Done as suggested

L181-183: sentence is unclear

For clarity, we revised the sentence: “Changes in relative sea level extremes will depend on the competing impacts of the rising global mean sea level, the gravitational effect of the melting of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, changes in wind fields, etc. and the regionally differing Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) resulting in land uplift or subsidence.”

L208-212: consider rephrasing. Listing all phenomena, human activities, pressures etc. “factors” might be seen as confusing. Note that “land cover” is mostly natural while “land use” completely human-induced.

In Reckermann et al. (2022), “land use and land cover” are considered as one “factor” modified by human activities. Hence, we prefer the original classification. No changes were performed.

L215-217: Clarify the sentence starting with “After climate change...”

For clarity, we slightly modified the sentence: “After climate change, shipping and land use/agriculture have the strongest impacts on the other factors, while fisheries, marine ecosystems and agriculture are the most affected.”

L301-302: seem repetition of L205-219

The sentence refers to the review article by Meier et al. (2022b). For clarity, we added this reference to the sentence: “The anthroposphere is not part of this assessment by Meier et al. (2022b) but instead is discussed in detail by Reckermann et al. (2022).”

L333-343: consider shortening. Excessive list of all new data sources draws attention away from the main point: necessity to model small scale processes

We prefer to keep the list of novel observing systems to illustrate which observations are being discussed. The list is specific for the Baltic Sea.

L370-371: consider clarifying the point. E.g. Development of integrated Earth system models accounting for anthropogenic changes...

We modified the sentence as suggested.

L381-383: Clarify sentence

The sentence was rephrased and should now be better understandable: “Of course, the factors discussed by Reckermann et al. (2022) cannot exhaustively take into account the whole Earth system and all interactions, and there is a bias in the selection of factors towards marine-related parameters and activities.”

L403: competing the Concluding remarks by some future outlook on BEARs would be strongly advisable

We agree and added the following outlook: “Since the information summarized by the BEARs are used extensively in science and management, it is recommended that a new update of the reports will be conducted in about seven years.”

Figure 3: Picture is difficult to comprehend. Some links seem missing. Consider revisiting.

The causal relationships between the Earth system parameters under consideration are subjective. However, please note that only the links of prevailing attribution to climate change with sufficiently high confidence (according to the IPCC definition) are shown by arrows. These relationships are the result of an assessment and thoroughly explained in the review study by Meier et al. (2022b). Hence, we will not change the original figure adopted from Meier et al. (2022b). For more detailed explanations, the reader is referred to Meier et al. (2022b).

Table 3. Categorising economic sectors into “natural”, “industrial” and “services” and attributing specific “factors” to these “sectors” (e.g. ecosystem services) seems confusing. Any chance use more traditional approach of categorising pressures? e.g. <https://www.eionet.europa.eu/etcs/etc-icm/products/etc-icm-reports/etc-icm-report-4-2019-multiple-pressures-and-their-combined-effects-in-europes-seas>

The “factors” discussed by Reckermann et al. (2022) are not only pressures but a mixture of drivers, pressures, states, impacts and responses. Table 3 is a proposal by the authors how to separate drivers/pressures and state variables to simulate with an integrated Earth system model the impacts with the aim to propose responses. As there are other approaches, we added a sentence with the proposed reference by Korpinen et al. (2019).

Technical corrections:

L19: end: consider replacing “between” by “among”

Done.

L43: delete “Phase II” in brackets

Done.

L59: consider placing BACC before BEARs i.e. in chronological order.

Done.

L65: consider deleting repeated “anthroposphere”

We have not deleted “anthroposphere” because there is no repetition.

L67-69: consider reformulating this sentence to clarify that BOTH BACC books and Bears are based on scientific literature.

For clarification, we added “the latest scientific literature”.

L71-72: consider leaving out “in their synthesis effort”

Done.

L103: replace “assessment of a new format” by “assessment in a new format”

Based upon a comment by another reviewer, the sentence was rephrased.

L117-118: consider replacing “from the Bear material” by “based on the BEAR material”

Done.

L119: move http address of CCFS to footnote

Done.

L129-130: consider improving language: ...we highlight and ... propose...

Done.

L132-133: Seems a repetition of L69-71

Correct. We deleted the sentence and added the reference to the Baltic Earth Science Plan (2017) to the introduction.

L147: consider relacing “In contrast” by “In supplement”

We replaced “In contrast” with “As an advance over”

L226: consider replacing “brightening” by “reducing cloud cover”

We prefer to keep the scientific well-defined term “recent brightening” because also the cloud composition might have changed.

L231: “river input of dissolved...”

Done.

L232: consider replacing “proceeds” by “succeeds” or continues

Done.

L328-329: consider modifying word order

We rephrased the first sentence of the discussion: "One of the main objectives of the BEAR project was to identify knowledge gaps in the Earth system science of the Baltic Sea region so that these can be further addressed in future research."

L453: consider finding better phrase for "corresponding arguments"

We rephrased the sentence "Similar arguments ..."

L377: consider streamlining phrase "...in many cases several causes are responsible..."

We rephrased the sentence: "Such a holistic view is urgently needed, as in many cases several reasons are responsible for the observed changes in the Earth system and attributing them to only one factor, e.g. climate change, would be an inadmissible simplification."

L395-396: consider simplifying the last sentence of this para

We splitted the sentence into two: "This is an important reason for investing in the above key issues. They have the potential to reduce uncertainties that currently hamper decision-making in the region."

Thank you very much!

Markus Meier on behalf of the co-authors

The Baltic Earth Assessment Reports

H. E. Markus Meier¹, Marcus Reckermann², Joakim Langner³, Ben Smith^{4,5} and Ira Didenkulova⁶

¹Department of Physical Oceanography and Instrumentation, Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Rostock, Germany

²International Baltic Earth Secretariat, Helmholtz-Zentrum Hereon, Geesthacht, Germany

³Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Norrköping, Sweden

⁴Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Australia

⁵Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Sweden

⁶Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Norway

Correspondence to: H. E. Markus Meier (markus.meier@io-warnemuende.de)

Abstract. Baltic Earth is an independent research network of scientists from all Baltic Sea countries that promotes regional Earth system research. Within the framework of this network, the Baltic Earth Assessment Reports (BEARs) were produced in the period 2019-2022. These are a collection of 10 review articles summarising current knowledge on the environmental and climatic state of the Earth system in the Baltic Sea region and its changes in the past (palaeoclimate), present (historical period with instrumental observations) and prospective future (until 2100) caused by natural variability, climate change and other human activities. The division of topics among articles follows the grand challenges and selected themes of the Baltic Earth Science Plan, such as the regional water, biogeochemical and carbon cycles, extremes and natural hazards, sea level dynamics and coastal erosion, marine ecosystems, coupled Earth system models, scenario simulations for the regional atmosphere and the Baltic Sea, and climate change and impacts of human use. Each review article contains an introduction, the current state of knowledge, knowledge gaps, conclusions and key messages, based on which recommendations for future research are made. Based on the BEARs, Baltic Earth has published an information leaflet on climate change in the Baltic Sea as part of its outreach work, which has been published in two languages so far, and organised conferences and workshops for stakeholders, in collaboration with the Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission (HELCOM).

1 Introduction

1.1 BALTEX/Baltic Earth history

Baltic Earth¹ is an international research network dealing with Earth system sciences in the Baltic Sea region (Fig. 1). It is politically independent and focuses on research on the water and energy cycles, climate variability and climate change, water management and extreme events, and associated impacts on marine and terrestrial biogeochemical cycles. Research on human impact on the Earth system in more general terms, i.e. the anthroposphere, defined as the part of the environment created or modified by humans for use by human activities, was also included in the Baltic Earth Science Plan (2017)².

Baltic Earth is the successor of the Baltic Sea Experiment (BALTEX) programme, which was founded in 1993 as a GEWEX continental-scale experiment (Global Energy and Water Exchanges, a core project of the World Climate Research Programme) (Reckermann et al., 2011). In the first phase (1993–2002), BALTEX was primarily devoted to hydrological, meteorological and oceanographic processes in the Baltic Sea drainage basin and thus focused on physical aspects of the Earth system. In the second phase (2003–2012), the programme was expanded to include regional climate research, biogeochemical cycles including carbon, engagement with stakeholders and decision-makers via assessment reports, as well as communication and education, i.e. the organisation of summer and winter schools and international master courses.

In 2013, Baltic Earth was launched with a new science plan to strengthen efforts to address Grand Challenges on (1) salinity dynamics in the Baltic Sea, (2) biogeochemical linkages between land and sea, (3) natural hazards and extreme events, (4) sea level and coastal dynamics, (5) regional variability in water and energy exchanges, and (6)

¹ <https://baltic.earth>, last access: 4 February 2023

² <https://baltic.earth/grandchallenges>, last access: 4 February 2023

multiple drivers of regional Earth system changes (Meier et al., 2014). Working groups on coupled Earth system models, the Baltic Sea Model Intercomparison Project (BMIP), uncertainty of scenario simulations for the Baltic Sea, and education, outreach and communication have been established.

Baltic Earth and its predecessor BALTEX have produced three comprehensive regional assessment reports since 2008. The first two (The BACC Author Team, 2008, and The BACC II Author Team, 2015) focused on climate change and its impacts in the Baltic Sea region and were published as text books, while the third, the Baltic Earth Assessment Reports (BEARs), was published in the format of a special issue of the journal *Earth System Dynamics* in 2022. The Assessment of Climate Change in the Baltic Sea Basin (BACC) reports³ and BEARs fill a gap compared to the assessment reports of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), as the latter focus on global scales, and do not provide detailed local to regional information on the current state of knowledge on climate change and its impacts in the Baltic Sea region. The BEARs provide a comprehensive and up-to-date overview of the state-of-the-art research on the compartments of the Earth system in the Baltic Sea region encompassing processes in the atmosphere, on land and in the sea, including the marine and terrestrial ecosystems as well as processes and impacts related to the anthroposphere.

The BEARs summarise the published scientific knowledge currently available and update the second BACC report (The BACC II Author Team, 2015) based on the latest scientific literature. This BEAR special issue includes 10 articles on the Baltic Earth Grand Challenges and Baltic Earth Special Topics (Baltic Earth Science Plan, 2017), including a summary of current knowledge on past, present, and future climate change in the Baltic Sea region. The articles encompass contributions from 109 authors from 14 countries and reference 2822 scientific articles and institutional reports.

1.2 Baltic Sea region characteristics

The Baltic Sea is a semi-enclosed, shallow sea with limited water exchange with the World Ocean and small tidal amplitudes. Located in Northern Europe, the climate of the region is highly variable as it is in the transition zone between maritime and continental climates and is influenced by the North Atlantic and Arctic. River discharges from the large catchment area cause a pronounced gradient in sea surface salinity from about 20 g kg⁻¹ in the Danish straits' region to about 2 g kg⁻¹ or even less in the northern and eastern reaches of the Baltic Sea. Hence, the Baltic Sea is brackish, with habitats of marine species in the south-west and freshwater species in the north-east. The Baltic Sea catchment area is about four times the surface area of the Baltic Sea and covers an area of almost 20% of the European continent (Fig. 1). It stretches from the temperate, densely populated south to the subarctic wilderness in the north and is home to 85 million people in 14 countries, namely Belarus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway, Poland, Russia, Slovakia, Sweden and Ukraine.

Episodically, large amounts of saline water flow from the North Sea over the sills in the Danish straits into the Baltic Sea and ventilate the deep waters of the Baltic Sea. These events require a period of about 20 days with

³ Assessment of Climate Change in the Baltic Sea Basin (BACC); <https://baltic.earth/bacc>, last access: 4 February 2023

easterly winds that lower the Baltic sea level, followed by a period of about the same length with strong westerly winds that push saline water into the Baltic Sea. These events are called Major Baltic Inflows (MBIs) and are important for the water exchange between the North Sea and the Baltic Sea. Mixing is low compared to other seas, with an origin at the lateral boundaries, because tidal amplitudes are very small and energetically insignificant.

In recent decades, environmental conditions in the Baltic Sea have changed considerably. For instance, the Baltic Sea has been warming more than any other coastal sea since 1980 (Fig. 2), which has led to a reduction in sea ice and snow cover over the land in winter. Furthermore, increasing nutrient input from the land in the 1950s/60s, caused by population growth and the discharge of sewage into the Baltic Sea, as well as the increased use of fertilisers in agriculture, led to eutrophication and the spread of hypoxic and anoxic areas. Since the 1980s, nutrient inputs into the Baltic Sea have been steadily decreasing, but this has not yet led to a significant improvement in oxygen conditions. Recent trends in acidification are lower than in the World Ocean, especially in the northern Baltic Sea, as positive trends in alkalinity input counteract acidification.

2 Methods

Following The BACC Author Team (2008) and The BACC II Author Team (2015), the BEAR project is an attempt to summarise the scientific knowledge on climate change and other drivers of Earth system changes and their impacts on the Baltic Sea region. The two BACC books have a format inspired by the IPCC assessment reports. This special issue in *Earth System Dynamics* is the third assessment. It has a new format of BEARs, encompassing 10 peer-reviewed scientific journal articles. The knowledge assessed was extracted from the scientific literature such as peer-reviewed articles, reports from research institutions, and published datasets. Importantly, literature from non-governmental organisations with political or economic interests, political parties and other stakeholder organisations was excluded from the assessment to ensure that only scientific knowledge was included in the assessment. The BEARs focus on publications after 2012/2013, the year of the editorial deadline of the second assessment report. Whenever possible, the uncertainty levels of the BEAR results are ranked based on a matrix of consensus within the scientific literature and documented evidence of detected changes and their attributed drivers such as climate change and human use. A high level of scientific consensus and evidence is required for high confidence in a particular statement. Disagreements and gaps in knowledge are documented and discussed to prioritise future research.

Together with the intergovernmental Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission (HELCOM), Baltic Earth has established an Expert Network on Climate Change (EN CLIME). The aim of the expert network is to regularly produce a climate change fact sheet (CCFS, 2021⁴) based on the BEAR material. In 2021, it was published for the first time⁵. The CCFS contains some background information, a map showing regional future climate changes for selected parameters under the greenhouse gas concentration scenario RCP4.5 and information on 34 variables, directly and indirectly affected by climate change. For each parameter, a general description, past and prospective future changes, other drivers than climate change (only for the indirect parameters), knowledge gaps, policy

⁴ <https://helcom.fi/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Baltic-Sea-Climate-Change-Fact-Sheet-2021.pdf>, last access: 4 February 2023

⁵ <http://helcom.fi/ccfs>, last access: 4 February 2023

relevance and references are presented. More than 100 scientists contributed to the compilation of the first fact sheet, which was coordinated by the HELCOM secretariat. Updated versions are planned at seven-year intervals. Like the BEARs, the fact sheet was peer-reviewed and quality assured. It has so far only been translated to German (Ostsee Klimawandel Faktenblatt, 2022⁶), but translations into other languages are planned to improve accessibility to stakeholders.

In this editorial, we highlight the key findings and knowledge gaps as described by the BEARs and propose future work.

3 Results

Some of the key findings of the 10 BEARs are selected and highlighted below.

1. **Salinity dynamics of the Baltic Sea**, Grand Challenge 1 (Lehmann et al., 2022): Salinity is an important parameter for the circulation and the marine ecosystem in the Baltic Sea. Any changes in salinity are caused by changes either in the freshwater inflow from rivers and net precipitation over sea or in the water exchange between the Baltic Sea and the adjacent North Sea. Although long-term records of salinity and its drivers suffer from data gaps, these records starting in the 19th century are globally unique. Major research efforts focused on the MBI event in 2014 and its consequences for water masses, oxygen concentration and biogeochemical cycling. During the event, an unexpectedly large contribution of oxic intrusions at intermediate depth and essentially nonturbulent conditions in the deep interior were found, emphasising the importance of boundary mixing. A revised reconstruction of the long-term record of MBIs showed no trend but a pronounced multi-decadal variability with a period of about 30 years. Despite intense research activities, observed variations in the intensity and frequency of MBIs and related Large Volume Changes (LVCs) could not be attributed to atmospheric circulation variability. Hence, on time scales larger than the synoptical time scale, MBIs are not predictable. As an advance over the previous assessments, salinity dynamics of the various sub-basins and lagoons mainly based on observations have been discussed, documenting large regional differences.
2. **Biogeochemical functioning of the Baltic Sea**, Grand Challenge 2 (Kuliński et al., 2022): The review addresses the following topics: (1) terrestrial biogeochemical processes and nutrient inputs to the Baltic Sea, (2) the transformation of C, N and P in the coastal zone, (3) the production and remineralisation of organic matter, (4) oxygen availability, (5) the burial and turnover of C, N and P in sediments, (6) the Baltic Sea CO₂ system and seawater acidification, (7) the role of certain microorganisms in the biogeochemistry of the Baltic Sea, and (8) the interactions between biogeochemical processes and chemical pollutants. It was found that oxygen depletion and the area of anoxic bottoms have still increased despite the reductions in nutrient inputs from land since the 1980s. Hence, the nitrogen pool has declined due to denitrification whereas the phosphorus inventory has increased. Estimates suggest that about 1% and 4% of the annual nitrogen and phosphorus loads, respectively, have accumulated in the Baltic Sea, while the remainder are either exported to the North Sea or lost via biogeochemical processes such as

⁶ <https://baltic.earth/ccfs>, last access: 4 February 2023

denitrification and burial. Furthermore, it was discovered that in the central and northern sub-basins the uptake of C, N and P during primary production does not correspond to the Redfield ratio, which strongly affects the relationship between primary production, export of organic matter and oxygen demand of the deep sea. While it is clear that the Baltic Sea is a CO₂ sink in summer and a CO₂ source in winter, the annual net balance remains unknown. The past increase in total alkalinity of unknown origin has entirely mitigated ocean acidification in the northern Baltic Sea and significantly reduced it in the central Baltic Sea. In the future, a doubling of atmospheric pCO₂ would still result in lower pH in the entire Baltic Sea, even if alkalinity should further increase.

3. **Natural hazards and extreme events in the Baltic Sea region**, Grand Challenge 3 (Rutgersson et al., 2022): Existing knowledge is summarised about extreme events in the Baltic Sea region with a focus on the past 200 years with instrumental data as well as on future projections. Considered events are wind-storms, extreme waves, high and low sea levels, hot and cold spells in the atmosphere, marine heat waves, droughts, sea-effect snowfall, sea-ice ridging, extremely mild and extremely severe sea ice winters, heavy precipitation events, river floods, and extreme phytoplankton blooms. Furthermore, the knowledge about implications of these extreme events for society such as forest fires, coastal flooding, offshore infrastructure and shipping was assessed. With respect to the impacts of climate change, terrestrial and marine heat waves, extremely mild sea ice winters, heavy precipitation and high-flow events are expected to increase, while cold spells, severe sea ice winters and sea-ice ridging are expected to decrease due to the increase in mean atmospheric temperature. Changes in relative sea level extremes will depend on the competing impacts of the rising global mean sea level, the gravitational effect of the melting of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets, changes in wind fields, and the regionally differing Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) resulting in land uplift or subsidence. Furthermore, projections suggest an increase of droughts in the southern and central parts of the Baltic Sea region mainly in summer. Significant future changes in wind-storms, extreme waves and sea level extremes relative to the mean sea level have not been found, suggesting that these changes will likely be small compared with natural variability.
4. **Sea level dynamics and coastal erosion in the Baltic Sea region**, Grand Challenge 4 (Weisse et al., 2021): In this study, the current knowledge about the diverse processes affecting mean and extreme sea level changes, coastal erosion and sedimentation with impact on coastline changes and coastal management is assessed. Such processes are GIA, contributions from global sea level changes, wind-storms, wind-waves, seiches or meteotsunamis. During 1886-2020, the mean absolute sea level in the Baltic Sea corrected for GIA increased by about 25 cm or ~2 mm year⁻¹ on average. Land uplift in the north is still faster than the absolute sea level rise while in the south the opposite is true with potential impacts on changes in coastal erosion and inundation. The current acceleration of sea level rise is small and could only be determined by spatially averaging observations at different tide gauge locations. Future sea level rise in the Baltic Sea is expected to further accelerate, probably somewhat less than the global mean sea level rise. The Baltic sea level is substantially more sensitive to melting from the Antarctic than from the Greenland ice sheet. Concerning sediment transports, the dominance of mobile sediments makes the southern and eastern Baltic Sea coasts susceptible to wind-wave induced transports, in particular during storms. Due to the global sea level rise, future sediment transports can be expected to increase in

these coastal areas, with a large spatial variability depending on the angles of incidence of incoming wind-waves.

5. **Human impacts and their interactions in the Baltic Sea region**, Grand Challenge 6 (Reckermann et al., 2022): An inventory and discussion of the various man-made factors and processes affecting the environment of the Baltic Sea region and their interrelationships are presented. In total, 19 factors are addressed (Table 1). Some of the factors are natural and are only modified by human activities (e.g. climate change, coastal processes, hypoxia, acidification, submarine groundwater discharges, marine ecosystems, non-indigenous species, land use and land cover), others are entirely man-made (e.g. agriculture, aquaculture, fisheries, river regulation, offshore wind farms, shipping, chemical contamination, dumped ammunition, marine litter and microplastics, tourism and coastal management). All factors are interconnected to varying degrees. The knowledge of these linkages was assessed and analysed in depth. The main finding was that climate change has an overarching, integrating effect on all other factors and can be interpreted as a background effect that affects the other factors differently. After climate change, shipping and land use/agriculture have the strongest impacts on the other factors, while fisheries, marine ecosystems and agriculture are the most affected. The results of the assessment depend on the region and may be different for other coastal seas and their catchments in the world, where different human activities prevail.
6. **Global climate change and the Baltic Sea ecosystem: direct and indirect effects on species, communities and ecosystem functioning**, Baltic Earth Special Topic (Viitasalo and Bonsdorff, 2022): Climate change has multiple impacts on species, communities and ecosystem functioning in the Baltic Sea through changes in physical and biogeochemical parameters such as temperature, salinity, oxygen, pH and nutrient levels. The associated secondary effects on species interactions, trophic dynamics and ecosystem function are also likely to be important. Climate change (warming, recent brightening, decrease in sea ice) has led to shifts in the seasonality of primary production, with a prolonged growing season of phytoplankton, an earlier onset of the spring bloom and a delayed autumn bloom. However, the development of cyanobacteria varies from species to species, and a clear causal relationship between temperature or salinity and the abundance of cyanobacteria has not been demonstrated. An increase in water temperature and river input of dissolved organic matter (DOM) could reduce primary production while favouring bacterial growth. If nutrient reduction continues, the improvement in oxygen conditions could initially increase zoobenthos biomass, but the subsequent decrease in sedimenting organic matter would likely disrupt the pelagic-benthic coupling and result in lower zoobenthos biomass. Sprat and some coastal fish species could be favoured by a rise in temperature. Regime shifts and cascading effects have already been observed in both pelagic and benthic systems as a result of climate change.
7. **Coupled regional Earth system modeling in the Baltic Sea region**, Baltic Earth Special Topic, with relevance to Baltic Earth Grand Challenge 5 (Gröger et al., 2021): Recent progress in the development of coupled climate models for the Baltic Sea region is assessed. Feedback mechanisms are important to simulate the response of the Earth system to external forcing such as greenhouse gas and aerosol emissions. In this review article, the couplings between (1) atmosphere, sea ice and ocean, (2) atmosphere

and land surface including dynamic vegetation, (3) atmosphere, ocean and waves and (4) atmosphere and hydrological components to close the water cycle are discussed. Adding surface waves to coupled atmosphere-ocean system models is becoming more important with increasing resolution, in particular when detailed information is required, for instance, for offshore wind energy applications in the coastal zone. Furthermore, the wave information is essential for the calculation of ocean mixing and resuspension. While long-term climate simulations using coupled atmosphere, sea ice and ocean models or coupled atmosphere and dynamic vegetation models have successfully been performed and their added value demonstrated, the impact of aerosols on the climate of the Baltic Sea region has not been considered. Coupling hydrology models to close the hydrological cycle is also still problematic, as the precipitation accuracy provided by the atmospheric models is, in most cases, insufficient to realistically simulate river discharge into the Baltic Sea without bias adjustments.

8. **Atmospheric regional climate projections for the Baltic Sea region until 2100**, Baltic Earth Special Topic (Christensen et al., 2022): Current climate projections based on regional climate atmosphere-only models of the EURO-CORDEX project with a horizontal resolution of 12.5 km under the scenarios RCP2.6, 4.5 and 8.5 are presented. As the number of simulations (124) is relatively large compared to previous assessments, the uncertainties can be better estimated than before. These projections indicate strong warming, especially in the north in winter, where warming approaches twice the average global warming. Precipitation is projected to increase throughout the Baltic Sea region, except in the southern half in summer, where the results are inconclusive. Extreme precipitation, here the 10-year return value, is projected to increase systematically throughout the study area, especially in summer. The large ensemble of simulations does not indicate a significant change in wind speed. Surface solar radiation is projected to remain unchanged in summer, but to decrease slightly in winter, due to increased cloud cover and possibly less snow in the future. Snow cover is projected to decrease dramatically, especially in the south of the Baltic Sea catchment. The comparison between the uncoupled model simulations of the EURO-CORDEX project and a small ensemble of scenario simulations performed with a coupled atmosphere-sea-ice-ocean model driven by a subset of global climate models indicates stronger warming in the coupled model during winter, mainly in areas that are seasonally affected by sea ice today. In summer, the coupled model shows weaker warming compared to the uncoupled models.
9. **Oceanographic regional climate projections for the Baltic Sea until 2100**, Baltic Earth Special Topic (Meier et al., 2022a): New projections of the future Baltic Sea climate with a coupled physical-biogeochemical ocean model were compared with previous projections. The differences are mainly due to different scenario assumptions and model setups. For example, the impact of future global sea level rise on salinity was previously neglected, but taken into account in the latest projections. Although the number of projections for the Baltic Sea is still small compared to regional atmospheric projections such as the EURO-CORDEX model ensemble, a relatively large ensemble of 48 scenario simulations allowed the assessment of uncertainties related to greenhouse gas emissions, global climate model differences, global sea level rise, nutrient inputs and natural variability. In the future climate, higher water temperatures, a shallower mixed layer with a sharper thermocline in summer, lower sea ice cover and stronger mixing in the northern Baltic Sea in winter compared to the current climate could be expected.

The assessment of marine heat wave changes is new. Both the frequency and duration of marine heat waves are projected to increase significantly, especially in the coastal zone of the southern Baltic Sea. Due to uncertainties in the projections regarding regional winds, precipitation and global sea level rise, no robust and statistically significant changes in salinity could be identified. The impacts of a changing climate on the biogeochemical cycle are projected to be significant, but still less than the plausible changes in nutrient inputs. Implementation of the proposed Baltic Sea Action Plan, a basin-wide nutrient input reduction plan, would lead to a significant improvement in the ecological status of the Baltic Sea, including a reduction in the size of the hypoxic area also in a future climate.

- 10. Climate change in the Baltic Sea region: A summary**, Baltic Earth Special Topic (Meier et al., 2022b): In this comprehensive study, the recent knowledge on past (paleo-), present (historical) and projected future (< 2100) climate change in the Baltic Sea region, based upon all BEARs and >800 scientific articles, is summarised. It focuses on the atmosphere, the land surface, the cryosphere, the ocean and its sediments, and the terrestrial and marine biospheres. 33 parameters characterising the state of these components of the Earth system were analysed (Fig. 3, Table 2). The anthroposphere is not part of this assessment by Meier et al. (2022b) but instead is discussed in detail by Reckermann et al. (2022). The main findings concerning changes of the 33 selected state parameters attributed to climate change are summarised in Figure 3. The prevailing causal relationships of climate change with sufficiently high confidence suggest a clear impact of global greenhouse gas emissions on regional heat cycles including all parameters of the cryosphere. However, changes caused by global warming of the water, momentum and carbon cycles are less clear because of either the large natural variability at regional scales or the impact of other drivers than global warming. For further details, the reader is referred to Meier et al. (2022b). Overall, it was concluded that the results from the previous BACC assessments mainly are still valid. However, new long-term, homogenous observational records, such as those for Scandinavian glacier inventories, sea-level-driven saltwater inflows (MBIs), or phytoplankton species distributions, and new scenario simulations with improved models, such as those for glaciers, lake ice, or marine food webs, have become available, resulting in a revised understanding of observed changes. Compared to previous assessments, observed changes in air temperature, sea ice, snow cover, and sea level were shown to have accelerated. However, natural variability is large, challenging our ability to detect observed and projected changes in climate of the Baltic Sea region. As the ensembles of scenario simulations both for the atmosphere and the ocean became larger, uncertainties can now be better estimated, although coordinated scenario simulations for the Baltic Sea based on ensembles of different regional ocean models are still missing. Furthermore, with the help of coupled models, feedbacks between several components of the Earth system have been studied, and multiple driver studies were performed, e.g., projections of the marine food web that include fisheries, eutrophication and climate change. Intensive research on the land–sea interface, focusing on the coastal filter, has been performed, and nutrient retention in the coastal zone was estimated for the first time. However, a model for the entire Baltic Sea coastal zone is still missing, and the effect of climate change on the coastal filter capacity is still unknown. More research on changing extremes was performed, acknowledging that the impact of changing extremes may be more important than that of changing means (see also Rutgersson et al., 2022). However, many observational records are either too short or too heterogeneous for statistical studies of extremes due to data gaps.

4 Discussion

One of the main objectives of the BEAR project was to identify knowledge gaps in the Earth system science of the Baltic Sea region so that these can be further addressed in future research. For specific knowledge gaps that have been identified during the project, the reader is referred to the individual assessment reports. However, as an overarching result, three new research topics are identified:

1) **Small-scale processes and their impact on large-scale climate dynamics and biogeochemical cycles.**

The number of observations in the sea is smaller than those on land. This is also true for the Baltic Sea, although the international long-term monitoring programme in the Baltic Sea started more than a century ago, with measurements of temperature, salinity and oxygen concentration in the central parts of the different sub-basins. Nowadays, monitoring data are available from all sub-basins with a resolution of up to one month. Recently, many new observational systems for temporally and spatially high-resolution data have been developed or are under development, including remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) and autonomous underwater vehicles (AOVs) as well as remote sensing data. Examples of such systems operating in the Baltic Sea are continuously profiling moorings, ARGO floats, Gliders, ScanFish, and echo sounders. In addition to traditional physical parameters, measurements of turbulence, biogeochemical and biodiversity (e.g. environmental DNA) parameters are now available. Another area of research that is developing rapidly is numerical modelling of the Earth system, also on a regional scale, e.g. eddy- and submesoscale resolving multi-year simulations for the Baltic Sea. Similar arguments apply to the atmosphere, e.g. cloud-resolving simulations to cope with heavy precipitation events. A novel research topic for Baltic Earth would therefore be a better understanding of the dynamics of small-scale atmospheric and oceanic processes that are not yet resolved in state-of-the-art numerical models or conventional observations, and their role in the large-scale circulation on short and long time scales. Such research activities would help to fill some of the gaps in knowledge that have been raised by Lehmann et al. (2022), Kuliński et al. (2022), Rutgersson et al. (2022), Weisse et al. (2021), Viitasalo and Bonsdorff (2022), and Gröger et al. (2021). Furthermore, a realistic consideration of small-scale processes would improve the projections for the atmosphere (Christensen et al., 2022) and the ocean (Meier et al., 2022a).

2) **Attribution of regional climate variability and change to anthropogenic radiative forcing and other drivers.**

In order to unambiguously disentangle the impacts of anthropogenic climate change and other human influences from the natural climate variability of the regional Earth system, more knowledge about internal variations and feedback mechanisms is needed. For example, climate models have recently shown that multi-decadal variability emanating from the North Atlantic and the Arctic significantly controls the climate of the Baltic Sea region by means of teleconnection patterns (Lehmann et al., 2022; Meier et al., 2022a; 2022b). For example, observations of precipitation and wind in the Baltic Sea region, total river discharge from the catchment, individual river flows, water temperature, sea level, MBIs and salinity in the Baltic Sea show a pronounced multidecadal variability with a quasi-periodicity of about 30 years (Meier et al., 2022b). It is assumed that the Atlantic Multidecadal Variability (AMV) and, as part of it, the variations of the North Atlantic overturning circulation are the source of these variations, although the exact mechanisms, cause and effect chains and feedback processes are still unknown. Knowledge

about the teleconnectivity of the Baltic Sea region with the North Atlantic and the Arctic is essential for the development of climate prediction models.

- 3) **Development of integrated Earth system models accounting for anthropogenic changes in the Baltic Sea region.** The BEAR study by Reckermann et al. (2022) on human influences and their interactions in the Baltic Sea region is part of the relatively new Baltic Earth Grand Challenge 6 on the multiple drivers of Earth system change in the Baltic Sea region and represents an important step towards an integrated understanding of the Earth system that encompasses all traditionally considered climate compartments such as atmosphere, cryosphere, hydrosphere, lithosphere (including the pedosphere), biosphere (marine and terrestrial) and the anthroposphere. Such a holistic view is urgently needed, as in many cases several reasons are responsible for the observed changes in the Earth system and attributing them to only one factor, e.g. climate change, would be an inadmissible simplification. One example is the oxygen depletion and the large hypoxic area in the Baltic Sea caused by anthropogenic nutrient inputs from land and exacerbated by rising water temperatures (Kuliński et al., 2022). Of course, the factors discussed by Reckermann et al. (2022) cannot exhaustively consider the entire Earth system and all interactions, and the selection of factors is biased towards ocean-related parameters and activities. Moreover, the analysis is based on an extensive literature review by experts who reflect their subjective interpretations of the results. Nevertheless, this is the first time such an assessment has been conducted, which is a major step forward. To continue and deepen this research, the factors discussed by Reckermann et al. (2022) could be subdivided either by human activities (e.g. food production, energy production, transport, tourism, healthcare) or by environmental and climate state variables of the Earth system (e.g. hypoxia, acidification) (Table 3). Such a breakdown of parameters would allow the development of an integrated Earth system model that includes the anthroposphere at the regional scale. However, there are other approaches to categorising multiple drivers and their effects on the Earth system proposed, for example, in the study by Korpinen et al. (2019), which focuses on European seas.

The fact sheet on climate change in the Baltic Sea (CCFS, 2021) was positively received by various stakeholders and decision-makers. Although uncertainties regarding observed and projected future climate change and the other drivers remain high, our experience engaging with stakeholders confirms that scientific uncertainties are taken into account in different ways in management and decision-making. This is an important reason for investing in the above key issues. They have the potential to reduce uncertainties that currently hamper decision-making in the region.

5 Concluding remarks

We conclude that 1) the BEARs have been useful to identify research progress and knowledge gaps and to initiate new research foci as, for examples, suggested in the discussions; 2) regional assessments, such as the BEARs, complement the IPCC climate change assessments by adding a greater depth and scope of regional information about the specific situation of the Baltic Sea region; and 3) the BEARs provided useful information for the Expert Network on Climate Change, that produced the Baltic Earth – HELCOM climate change fact sheet for

stakeholders. Since the information summarised by the BEARs are used extensively in science and management, it is recommended that a new update of the reports will be conducted in about seven years.

Author contributions

H.E.M.M. wrote the first draft of the editorial. All co-authors, which acted as guest editors of the special issue in *Earth System Dynamics*, contributed with important comments and editing of the manuscript, read and approved the submitted manuscript version.

Acknowledgements

During 2019-2022, the Baltic Earth Assessment Reports were produced under the umbrella of the Baltic Earth programme (Earth System Science for the Baltic Sea region, see <http://baltic.earth>, last access: 2 February 2023). 109 co-authors from 14 countries contributed to 10 articles in the international scientific journal *Earth System Dynamics* and 2822 different references have been assessed. We thank the reviewers of all 10 articles of the special issue for their constructive comments that helped to improve the review articles. In particular, we thank Dr. Jouni Räisänen, Dr. Donald Boesch and Dr. Andris Andrusaitis for their advice and many excellent comments on individual articles and this overview article.

Figures




Figure 1: The Baltic Sea and its catchment area with climatological mean sea surface salinity (in g kg⁻¹) and river discharge (in mm year⁻¹). (Source: Meier et al., 2014; their Fig. 1 distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 License, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, last access: 4 February 2023)




Figure 2: Annual mean sea surface temperature anomalies relative to the reference period 2002-2018 from de-seasonalised measurements at the Arkona Deep monitoring station and the MARNET stations Darss Sill and Arkona Basin in the period 1980-2018. (Source: Meier et al., 2022b; their Fig. 20 distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 License, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, last access: 4 February 2023)




Figure 3: Synthesis of knowledge on present and future climate change. Shown are anthropogenic climate changes in 33 Earth system variables (bubbles) of the atmosphere (yellow), land surface (brown), terrestrial biosphere (dark green), cryosphere (grey), ocean and sediments (blue) and marine biosphere (light green). The abbreviation NIS stands for non-indigenous species. The sign of a change (plus/minus) is shown together with the confidence level indicated by the number of signs, i.e. one to three signs correspond to a low, medium and high confidence level as a result of the literature assessment reflecting consensus and evidence according to IPCC definitions. The colours of the signs indicate the direction of past (black) and future (red) changes according to Meier et al. (2022b). Uncertain changes are not shown. The external anthropogenic drivers of the Earth system studied are shown as red squares, i.e. greenhouse gases, especially CO₂, and aerosol emissions. The predominant climate change linkages with sufficiently high confidence are shown by arrows (black: thermal cycle, blue: hydrological cycle, orange: momentum cycle including sea level change, pink: carbon cycle). Projections of carbon sequestration of Arctic terrestrial ecosystems for the 21st century show first an increased uptake and later a carbon source, marked by “1. + 2. -“. Future changes in mean sea level are dominated by the thermal expansion of the global ocean and the melting of ice sheets outside the Baltic Sea region. (Source: Meier et al., 2022b; their Fig. 35 distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 License, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, last access: 4 February 2023)

Tables

Table 1: The matrix of factors studied by Reckermann et al. (2022). + = evidence for a connection; - = no evidence for a connection; ? = no evidence, but connection plausible (according to the author's assessment). The table is read from left to right, i.e. if you go to the right in the first row "climate change", you see the factors on which climate change has an effect (or not), etc. (Source: Reckermann et al., 2022; their Table 2a distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 License, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, last access: 4 February 2023)

impact by ↓/on →	Climate change	Coastal processes	Hypoxia	Acidification	Subm. Groundw. Disch.	Marine ecosystems	Non-inig. species	Land cover and use	Agriculture Nutr. loads	Aquaculture	Fisheries	River regulations	Offshore wind farms	Shipping	Chem. Contamin.	Dumped military	Marine litter	Tourism	Coastal management
Climate change	-	+	+	+	?	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	+	+
Coastal processes	-	-	?	?	+	?	-	+	+	?	?	+	+	+	?	?	+	-	+
Hypoxia	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	?	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
Acidification	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	?	?	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-
Subm. Groundw. Disch.	-	-	?	?	-	?	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
Marine ecosystems	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
Non-inigenous species	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	?
Land cover and use	+	-	+	+	+	?	-	-	+	+	+	+	?	-	+	-	-	+	+
Agriculture/Nutrient loads	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	?
Aquaculture	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	?	-	+	-	?	-	?	?	+
Fisheries	-	-	?	-	-	+	?	-	?	?	-	-	+	?	-	-	+	?	+
River regulations	-	+	?	+	?	+	-	?	?	?	+	-	-	-	?	-	?	?	+
Offshore wind farms	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	?	?	?	+	+	-	+	?	?	?	?	+
Shipping	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	?	+	-	?	-	+	-	+	+	+
Chemical contaminants	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dumped military material	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	?
Marine litter	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	?	+	-	-	-	?	-	-	+	?
Tourism	+	-	-	-	-	?	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+
Coastal management	-	+	-	-	?	?	?	?	?	?	+	?	+	+	-	+	?	+	+

Table 2: Variables of the Meier et al. (2022b) assessment and further references to the BEARs (1: Lehmann et al., 2022; 2: Kuliński et al., 2022; 3: Rutgersson et al, 2022; 4: Weisse et al., 2021; 5: Reckermann et al, 2022; 6: Gröger et al, 2021; 7: Christensen et al, 2022; 8: Meier et al, 2022a; 9: Viitasalo and Bonsdorff, 2022). The third column lists the subsection in the study by Meier et al. (2022b) that contains further information. (Source: Meier et al., 2022b; their Table 2 distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 License, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, last access: 4 February 2023)

Number	Variable	Past and present climates		Future climate	
Atmosphere					
1	Large-scale atmospheric circulation	3.2.1.1	3	3.3.1.1	3, 7
2	Air temperature Warm spell Cold spell	3.1.2, 3.1.3, 3.1.4 3.2.1.2	3 3	3.3.1.2	7 3 3
3	Solar radiation and cloudiness	3.2.1.3		3.3.1.3	7
4	Precipitation Heavy precipitation Drought	3.1.2, 3.1.3, 3.1.4 3.2.1.4	3 3	3.3.1.4	7 3 3
5	Wind Storm	3.2.1.5	3	3.3.1.5	7 3
6	Air pollution, air quality and atmospheric deposition	3.2.1.6		3.3.1.6	
Land					
7	River discharge High flow	3.2.2.1	3	3.3.2.1	8 3
8	Land nutrient inputs	3.2.2.2		3.3.2.2	8
Terrestrial biosphere					
9	Land cover (forest, crops, grassland, peatland, mires)	3.2.3	6	3.3.3	
10	Carbon sequestration			3.3.3	
Cryosphere					
11	Snow Sea-effect snowfall	3.2.4.1	3	3.3.4.1	7 3

12	Glaciers	3.2.4.2		3.3.4.2	
13	Permafrost	3.2.4.3		3.3.4.3	
14	Sea ice	3.2.4.4		3.3.4.4	8
	Extreme mild winter		3		3
	Severe winter		3		3
	Ice ridging		3		3
15	Lake ice	3.2.4.5		3.3.4.5	
Ocean and marine sediments					
16	Water temperature	3.2.5.1		3.3.5.1	8
	Marine heat wave		3		3
17	Salinity and saltwater inflows	3.2.5.2	1	3.3.5.2	8
18	Stratification and overturning circulation	3.2.5.3	1	3.3.5.3	8
19	Sea level	3.2.5.4	4	3.3.5.4	8
	Sea level extreme		3		3
20	Waves	3.2.5.5	4	3.3.5.5	
	Extreme waves		3		3
21	Sedimentation and coastal erosion	3.2.5.6	4	3.3.5.6	
22	Oxygen and nutrients	3.1.4			
		3.2.5.7.1	2	3.3.5.7.1	8
23	Marine CO ₂ system	3.2.5.7.2	2	3.3.5.7.2	
Marine biosphere					
24	Pelagic habitats: Microbial communities	3.2.6.1.1	2, 9	3.3.6.1.1	9
25	Pelagic habitats: Phytoplankton and cyanobacteria	3.2.6.1.2	2, 3, 9	3.3.6.1.2	3, 9
26	Pelagic habitats: Zooplankton	3.2.6.1.3	9	3.3.6.1.3	9
27	Benthic habitats: Macroalgae and vascular plants	3.2.6.2.1	9	3.3.6.2.1	9
28	Benthic habitats: Zoobenthos	3.2.6.2.2	9	3.3.6.2.2	9
29	Non-indigenous species	3.2.6.3	9	3.3.6.3	9

30	Fish	3.2.6.4	9	3.3.6.4	9
31	Marine mammals	3.2.6.5	9	3.3.6.5	9
32	Waterbirds	3.2.6.6	9	3.3.6.6	9
33	Marine food web	3.2.6.7	9	3.3.6.7	9

Table 3: Factors discussed by Reckermann et al. (2022) sorted by related economic sectors or state variables of the Earth system.

Human activities		
Economic sectors	Factors	Comments
Primary (natural) sector (e.g. food production)	Fisheries	
	Agriculture	
	Marine and coastal ecosystem services	Factor belongs to several sectors
	Blue carbon storage capacity	Mitigation of greenhouse gases
Secondary (industrial) sector (e.g. energy production)	River regulation	
	Offshore wind farms	
	Greenhouse gas and aerosol emissions	Emission are largest from industries
	Dumped warfare agents	Factor is an industrial product
Tertiary (service) sector (e.g. transportation, tourism, healthcare)	Shipping	
	Chemical contamination	Contamination is a result of several sectors
	Marine noise	Marine noise is a result of several sectors
	Marine litter and microplastics	Emission mainly by offshore platforms, shipping, lost containers, fisheries, aquaculture, agriculture, municipal waste and tourism
	Tourism	
	Coastal protection and management	Also relevant for the other sectors
Quaternary (information) sector (e.g. information technology; media; research and development)	-	
Earth system		
Environmental state variables	Coastal processes	
	Hypoxia	
	Submarine groundwater discharge	
	Marine ecosystems	
	Land use and land cover	
	Non-indigenous species	
	Indirect parameters such as carbon and nutrient cycles, biota and ecosystems	
Climate state variables	Climate change, acidification, direct parameters of the climate system	Superordinated concept (large-scale)
	Direct parameters of the climate system	
	Acidification	

References

Baltic Earth Science Plan, 2017. <https://baltic.earth/grandchallenges>, last access: 4 February 2023

CCFS, 2021. Climate Change in the Baltic Sea. 2021 Fact Sheet. Baltic Sea Environment Proceedings n°180. HELCOM/Baltic Earth, <http://doi.io-warnemuende.de/10.12754/misc-2022-0001>, 2021.

Christensen, O. B., Kjellström, E., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M., and Meier, H. E. M.: Atmospheric regional climate projections for the Baltic Sea Region until 2100, *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 13, 133–157, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-133-2022>, 2022.

Gröger, M., Dieterich, C., Haapala, J., Ho-Hagemann, H. T. M., Hagemann, S., Jakacki, J., May, W. Meier, H. E. M., Miller, P. A. Rutgersson, A. and Wu, L.: Coupled regional Earth system modeling in the Baltic Sea region, *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 12, 939–973, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-12-939-2021>, 2021.

Korpinen, S., Klančnik, K., Peterlin, M., Nurmi, M., Laamanen, L., Zupančič, G., Popit, A., Murray, C., Harvey, T., Andersen, J.H., Zenetos, A., Stein, U., Tunesi, L., Abhold, K., Piet, G., Kallenbach, E., Agnesi, S., Bolman, B., Vaughan, D., Reker, J. & Royo Gelabert, E.: Multiple pressures and their combined effects in Europe's seas, ETC/ICM Technical Report 4/2019: European Topic Centre on Inland, Coastal and Marine waters, 164 pp., 2019.

Kuliński, K., Rehder, G., Asmala, E., Bartosova, A., Carstensen, J., Gustafsson, B., Hall, P. O. J., Humborg, C., Jilbert, T., Jürgens, K., Meier, H. E. M., Müller-Karulis, B., Naumann, M., Olesen, J. E., Savchuk, O., Schramm, A., Slomp, C. P., Sofiev, M., Sobek, A., Szymczycha, B., and Undeman, E.: Biogeochemical functioning of the Baltic Sea. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 13, 633–685, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-633-2022>, 2022.

Lehmann, A., Myrberg, K., Post, P., Chubarenko, I., Dailidienė, I., Hinrichsen, H.-H., Hüseyin, K., Liblik, T., Meier, H. E. M., Lips, U., and Bukanova, T.: Salinity dynamics of the Baltic Sea, *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 13, 373–392, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-373-2022>, 2022.

Meier, H.E.M., A. Rutgersson, and M. Reckermann: An Earth System Science Program for the Baltic Sea Region. *Eos*, 95, 109–110. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014EO130001>, 2014.

Meier, H. E. M., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M., Dutheil, C., Börgel, F., Safonova, K., Christensen, O. B., and Kjellström, E.: Oceanographic regional climate projections for the Baltic Sea until 2100, *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 13, 159–199, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-159-2022>, 2022a.

Meier, H. E., Kniebusch, M., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M., Zorita, E., Elmgren, R., ... and Zhang, W.: Climate change in the Baltic Sea region: a summary. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 13, 457–593, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-457-2022>, 2022b.

Ostsee Klimawandel Faktenblatt: Baltic Sea Climate Change Fact Sheet (in German). <http://doi.io-warnemuende.de/10.12754/misc-2022-0003>, 2022.

Reckermann, M., Omstedt, A., Soomere, T., Aigars, J., Akhtar, N., Beldowski, J., Brauwer, C. P.-S. d., Cronin, T., Czub, M., Eero, M., Hyttiäinen, K., Jalkanen, J.-P., Kiessling, A., Kjellström, E., Larsén, X. G., McCrackin, M., Meier, H. E. M., Oberbeckmann, S., Parnell, K., Poska, A., Saarinen, J., Szymczycha, B., Undeman, E., Viitasalo, M., Wörman, A., and Zorita, E.: Human impacts and their interactions in the Baltic Sea region. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 13, 1–80, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-1-2022>, 2022.

Rutgersson, A., Kjellström, E., Haapala, J., Stendel, M., Danilovich, I., Drews, M., Jylhä, K., Kujala, P., Larsén, X. G., Halsnæs, K., Lehtonen, I., Luomaranta, A., Nilsson, E., Olsson, T., Särkkä, J., Tuomi, L., and Wasmund, N.: Natural Hazards and Extreme Events in the Baltic Sea region, *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 13, 251–301, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-251-2022>, 2022.

The BACC Author Team, 2008. Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies series. Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag Berlin. ISBN 978-3-540-72785-9. 473 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-72786-6>.

The BACC II Author Team, 2015. Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies series. Cham, Springer Cham. ISBN 978-3-319-16005-4. 501 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1>.

Viitasalo, M., and Bonsdorff, B.: Global climate change and the Baltic Sea ecosystem: direct and indirect effects on species, communities and ecosystem functioning. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 13, 711–747, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-711-2022>, 2022.

Weisse, R., Dailidienė, I., Hünicke, B., Kahma, K., Madsen, K., Omstedt, A., Parnell, K., Schöne, T., Soomere, T., Zhang, W., and Zorita, E.: Sea Level Dynamics and Coastal Erosion in the Baltic Sea Region. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 12, 871–898, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-12-871-2021>, 2021.