

Review comments:

General comments

This work presents a great depiction of the current state of understanding of the impact of OA on organisms and community structure. The text is generally direct and clearly written. The text generally suggests that identifying tipping points caused by OA is difficult and there are other factors to be considered. My major concern is that the paper reads more as a summary of the impact of OA rather than an assessment of how tipping point can be caused by OA.

The first parts (section 2) have some paragraphs that are a bit clearer about the difficulty of identifying tipping points from a physiological perspective only and translating this to any broader ecological processes.

From my point of view, the other parts however seem mainly about changes/shifts and do not touch enough on how these changes are likely to occur; gradual (linear) vs abrupt (tipping point/bi-phasic).

Another consideration is whether tipping points are likely to be caused by CO<sub>2</sub> alone, or influenced primarily by interactions with other parameters/stressors?

Are there shifts in community structure or simplification of food web occurring through a tipping point or are they more gradual shifts along the spatial/temporal gradient of pCO<sub>2</sub>? No doubt that a shift in community structure/habitat forming species and so on are likely, and in fact shown in some areas (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> seep having different communities). However, can a proper sudden change in stable state be identified at a given range of pCO<sub>2</sub> or are these occurring mostly gradually or randomly at different sites based on the site's own characteristics? I think it is important here to clearly differentiate between gradual shift vs a sudden tipping point, or maybe the lack of appropriate data/studies to properly infer tipping points.

There is also a lack of more concrete direction on how to look properly into identifying actual sudden change in stable state in natural systems, for example, or thresholds in pCO<sub>2</sub>, especially in the concluding section.

Nonetheless, in my point of view, this can be a nice addition to the literature and provide some nice insights given it revised carefully and a little restructuring. In its current state, it feels a little as a summary to the impact of OA, to which some inferences about tipping points have been made. I hope that these comments help improve the paper.

Specific questions:

The title gives the impression that OA definitely causes tipping points, which from the text seems the opposite. In addition, I believe 'ecological tipping point' is a bit vague/too broad. Perhaps the title can be modified to put forward the main message of the paper a bit more clearly.

Line 25-30: Where does the 500 come from? I mean if it is table 1 then it seems only based on some physiological processes (which is stated), not 'ecological' tipping points.

Broadly across the text: It is not clear to me what is meant by ecological tipping point, for two reasons. Firstly, it sounds very broad, and the scope of this paper is not so broad. Secondly, because it is not clearly stated, for example in the intro.

Lines 34-44: I think the introduction needs a bit more information on how OA can cause tipping points in terms of physiology, population, and community. A general introduction of the concept, so to speak. Also, there should be a clear definition of 'tipping point' against which all the studies within this paper are being judged or inferences being made. In its current state, if I were to read only the intro, I would think that this is a paper on a summary of the impact of OA on organisms. There is no information on tipping points.

Line 60-78: This section consists of detailed information on calcification. I wonder whether such detail is needed to sway the reader towards understanding how OA links with physiological tipping points? Would some of that information be better off being used within the intro and links to thresholds/tipping points be made there? Or perhaps made more concise and links to tipping points made more explicit?

Lines 79-119: The studies from different taxa seem to suggest that a tipping point only caused by OA is rather unlikely and that there is a more linear decrease. Would that mean that a sudden shift in the measured responses would most likely be driven by cumulative effects of stressors rather than singular effect of pH? I understand that the context here is primarily on OA, but cumulative impacts also mean involvement of OA, albeit with other parameters/stressors (e.g., Russell, B. D., THOMPSON, J. A. I., Falkenberg, L. J., & Connell, S. D. (2009). Synergistic effects of climate change and local stressors: CO<sub>2</sub> and nutrient-driven change in subtidal rocky habitats. *Global Change Biology*, 15(9), 2153-2162. *And many other references around that topic*). Therefore, would it be worth adding some information on the cumulative effects of OA and other stressors on calcification?

Lines 149-179: Same comment as the comments above about calcification (lines 60-78).

Lines 250-255: No doubt that these sites provide a great opportunity to study differences in physiology, population, and communities. One of the issues is that in many instances there is a large gap between the different pCO<sub>2</sub> levels at which parameters are being compared (that is no proper gradient), meaning that even if a very large shift in community is observed, the way that this shift has occurred is not clear. Meaning that one cannot clearly infer whether there has been a gradual shift, a sudden tipping point, or a combination of multiple factors that have changed in a stepwise manner. Similar to what the authors pinpoint in the introduction (line 45, and also line 83, for example). Perhaps this is also a gap in many natural and lab-based studies that needs to be stressed on?

Lines 263-275: This is interesting and shows clearly that communities change in different ways, sometimes not as one would expect. Can the authors expand a bit more on the pCO<sub>2</sub> thresholds and show the link with tipping of the communities? Or are these thresholds and links not clear enough to be called tipping points?

Line 268-275, and lines 329-331: I am wondering whether it would help to produce a diagram that shows the trends of changing community along measured pH/pCO<sub>2</sub> values from these sites? I am thinking that this will more clearly show where the communities tip to a different/simplified state along the increasing

pCO<sub>2</sub> (if that is the case) and whether there are clear differences between where that happens for different sites.

Line 311: remove “this” in “this ocean acidification”?

Lines 286-321: This section is a nice discussion about the changes/consequences in ecological interactions driven by OA. I wonder how this links with tipping points and thresholds in pCO<sub>2</sub>/pH? I think this is the only part missing here.

Lines 323-334: Are there a couple key gaps that can be pinpointed here regarding identifying tipping points caused by CO<sub>2</sub> and key pathways to fill these gaps? I mean apart from better quantitative analysis/projections.

Figure 1: It is not clear whether this figure shows the actual thresholds of pCO<sub>2</sub> that cause a tipping point or represents the general ranges of where different communities are documented in these sites. Perhaps this can be clarified in the figure and legend.

Table 2: I feel like table 2 can be supplementary. It does not seem to add too much more info to the text except as a support to table 1, which already contains references.