# Northern high-latitude permafrost and terrestrial carbon response to two solar geoengineering scenarios

Yangxin Chen<sup>1</sup>, Duoying Ji<sup>1</sup>, Qian Zhang<sup>1</sup>, John C. Moore<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Olivier Boucher<sup>4</sup>, Andy Jones<sup>5</sup>, Thibaut Lurton<sup>4</sup>, Michael J. Mills<sup>7</sup>, Ulrike Niemeier<sup>8</sup>, Roland Séférian<sup>6</sup>, and Simone Tilmes<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Global Change and Earth System Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China
 <sup>2</sup>CAS Center for Excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth Sciences, Beijing, China
 <sup>3</sup>Arctic Centre, University of Lapland, Rovaniemi, Finland
 <sup>4</sup>Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, Sorbonne Université/CNRS, Paris, France
 <sup>5</sup>Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, EX1 3PB, UK
 <sup>6</sup>CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France

<sup>7</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry, Observations, and Modeling Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA
 <sup>8</sup>Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

Correspondence to: Duoying Ji (duoyingji@bnu.edu.cn)

# 15 Abstract.

The northern high-latitude permafrost contains almost twice the carbon content of the atmosphere, and it is widely considered as a non-linear and tipping element in the Earth's climate system under global warming. Solar geoengineering is a means of mitigating temperature rise and reduces some of the associated climate impacts by increasing the planetary albedo, the permafrost thaw is expected to be moderated under slower temperature rise. We analyze the permafrost response as simulated

- 20 by five full-coupled earth system models (ESMs) and one offline land surface model under four future scenarios; two solar geoengineering scenarios (G6solar and G6sulfur) based on the high emission scenario (ssp585) restore the global temperature from the ssp585 levels to the moderate mitigation scenario (ssp245) levels via solar dimming and stratospheric aerosol injection. G6solar and G6sulfur can slow the northern high-latitude permafrost degradation but can not restore the permafrost states from ssp585 to those under ssp245. G6solar and G6sulfur tend to produce deeper active layer than ssp245 and expose
- 25 more thawed soil organic carbon (SOC) due to robust residual high-latitude warming, especially over Northern Eurasia. G6solar and G6sulfur preserve 4.6±4.6 and 3.4±4.8 Pg C (coupled ESMs simulations) or 16.4±4.7 and 12.3±7.9 Pg C (offline land surface model simulations) more SOC respectively than ssp585 in the northern near-surface permafrost region. The turnover times of SOC decline slower under G6solar and G6sulfur than ssp585 but faster than ssp245. The permafrost carbonclimate feedback is expected to be weaker under solar geoengineering.

# 30 1 Introduction

The extent of northern high-latitude permafrost is estimated to be 12.9-17.8 million km<sup>2</sup> and accounts for 9-14 percent of the exposed land surface area (Gruber, 2012). The carbon content of permafrost is nearly twice that of the atmosphere (Tarnocai et al., 2009), with approximately 1035 Pg organic carbon stored in the northern near-surface permafrost (upper 3 m of soil) region (Hugelius et al., 2014), accounting for roughly half of the global soil carbon (Strauss et al., 2017). In the past

- 35 several decades, the northern high-latitude experienced greater warming than the lower latitudes, recognized as Arctic amplification, and this rapid warming trend is expected to continue in the future (Serreze et al., 2011; Biskaborn et al., 2019). Continued climate warming over the northern high latitudes, accelerated microbial decomposition and higher climatological temperature sensitivity of soil carbon in cold regions (Schuur et al., 2008; Koven et al., 2017) render the permafrost soil carbon increasingly vulnerable to loss (Crowther and Bradford, 2013; MacDougall et al., 2016; Burke et al., 2017; Varney et al., 2020).
- 40 Significant amounts of soil organic carbon (SOC) would be decomposed and released into the atmosphere from the northern high-latitude thawing permafrost (Field and Raupach, 2004), increasing the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and activating

positive permafrost carbon-climate feedback to accelerate climate warming (Koven et al., 2011; MacDougall et al., 2012).

The degradation of northern high-latitude permafrost has been widely studied using climate models. According to an earlier study, under a high greenhouse gas emission scenario, only 1 million km<sup>2</sup> of near-surface permafrost will remain by

- 45 2100 (Lawrence and Slater, 2005). The CMIP5 (the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5, Taylor et al., 2012) climate models show a linear relationship between the permafrost extent and near-surface air temperature over the observed continuous and discontinuous permafrost region, with an average loss of 1.67 million km<sup>2</sup> in permafrost area under one degree of warming (Slater and Lawrence, 2013). With increased climate sensitivity (Zelinka et al., 2020; Meehl et al., 2020) and warmer climate projections (Wyser et al., 2020), the CMIP6 (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6, Eyring et al.,
- 50 2016) models project a loss of permafrost area between 3.1 and 3.8 million km<sup>2</sup> (25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles) and a decrease of annual mean frozen volume in the top 2 m soil between 10 % and 40 % per degree of global mean annual surface air temperature increase (Burke et al., 2020). Simulations using the land surface model JSBACH show that, compared to 2005, the frozen carbon in northern near-surface permafrost region at 2100 is reduced by 193 Pg C and 387 Pg C, respectively, under representative concentration pathway 4.5 (rcp45) and 8.5 (rcp85) scenarios (Kleinen and Brovkin, 2018). While an analysis of
- 55 ensemble simulations from five land surface models shows no significant net losses of near-surface permafrost soil carbon before 2100 under both rcp45 and rcp85 scenarios, permafrost in northern high latitudes would likely act as a net carbon source to the atmosphere after the 21th century under rcp85, when soil carbon release could not be compensated by vegetation production (McGuire et al., 2018). The substantial degradation of near-surface permafrost under the rcp85 scenario would lead to an additional warming of around 0.1 °C by 2100 and 0.38 °C by 2200, according to large ensemble simulations performed 60 by a reduced complexity carbon-cycle climate model (Deimling et al., 2012).

Geoengineering is considered as a theoretical option to offset the radiative forcing by anthropogenic factors in addition to mitigation measures. Solar geoengineering as an efficient geoengineering option is designed to mitigate global temperature and reduce some of the associated climate change by deflecting incoming solar radiation back to space and therefore altering the Earth's radiative energy budget (Crutzen 2006; Kravitz et al., 2013a; Zhang et al., 2015; Fawzy et al., 2020). Solar

- 65 geoengineering has often been simulated by reducing the solar constant (known as solar dimming) or by stratospheric aerosol injection (SAI), and experiments designed for implementation in many climate models have been designed (Kravitz et al., 2011). The SAI geoengineering changes the physical climate system and atmospheric chemistry, and presents an impact on the terrestrial ecosystem and the carbon cycle (Muri et al., 2015; Cao, 2018; Plazzotta et al. 2019; Lee et al., 2021), such as enhancing terrestrial photosynthesis by changing the ratio of direct to diffuse sunlight (Xia et al., 2016). In an SAI
- 70 geoengineering simulation with an rcp85 greenhouse gas emissions scenario based on linearly increasing the stratospheric mass ratio of SO<sub>4</sub> with the NorESM1-ME model, soil carbon storage slightly increases due to stabilized surface temperature and reduction in heterotrophic respiration rate (Tjiputra et al., 2016). Enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects under geoengineered climate also exert considerable impacts on the carbon cycle compared to a climate of the same warming level without geoengineering (Plazzotta et al. 2019; Lee et al., 2021). In a solar dimming geoengineering plus aggressive mitigation
- 75 simulated with an earth system model of intermediate complexity, the terrestrial biosphere sequestered more atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> by 2100 via enhancement of tropical net primary production with greater accumulation in global total vegetation and soil carbon storages, the carbon-climate feedback affects the solar dimming needed (Cao and Jiang, 2017).

The high-latitude permafrost region and its potential carbon-climate feedback under solar geoengineering have been rarely studied. Lee et al. (2019) studied the responses of the northern high-latitude permafrost and ecosystem under SAI geoengineering scenarios with the NorESM1-ME model, and found that SAI geoengineering can slow down the permafrost degradation and the ecosystem is affected by both the inhibited warming and enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects. Lee et al. (2019) also note that the permafrost extent and soil temperature would rebound back to ungeoengineered states in two decades following the termination of SAI geoengineering. Chen et al. (2020) used the soil temperature and net primary production simulated by several earth system models (ESMs) to drive the Permafrost Carbon Network Incubation–Panarctic Thermal

- 85 scaling model (PInc-PanTher, Koven et al., 2015) under the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) G4 scenario which uses rcp45 emissions with SAI geoengineering. They found the soil carbon in the permafrost region released as CO<sub>2</sub> is halved and as CH<sub>4</sub> is reduced by 40% compared to the rcp45 experiment. The PInc-PanTher approach assumes that soil carbon stocks do not decompose when frozen, but once thawed the soil carbon stocks follow predefined decomposition trajectories as a function of soil temperature, this simplified approach neglects many important permafrost processes (Koven
- 90 et al., 2015). Besides, an indirect estimate of soil carbon loss in near-surface permafrost region ranges from 27 to 122 Pg C for rcp85 emissions with solar geoengineering maintaining constant 21st century radiative forcing (Keith et al., 2017).

Different solar geoengineering strategies would exert different impacts on regional climate states (Kravitz et al., 2016) and might drive the northern high-latitude permafrost ecosystem response differently (Lee et al., 2019, 2021). Solar dimming geoengineering produces uneven patterns of significant cooling, with the polar regions being warmer and the tropics being

- 95 cooler compared to scenarios of same level radiative forcing without geoengineering (Kravitz et al., 2013a; Yu et al., 2015; Russotto and Ackerman, 2018; Visioni et al., 2021). Similarly, equatorial SAI schemes in which sulphate aerosols or their precursors were injected in the equatorial stratosphere, also tend to exhibit higher polar mean annual temperature (Muri et al., 2018; Visioni et al., 2021), the so-called residual polar warming (Henry and Merlis, 2020). The residual polar warming under solar geoengineering has been mainly attributed to seasonal differences in radiative forcing, shortwave reductions can only
- 100 occur when the sun is above the horizon, whereas as longwave greenhouse gas forcing occurs year-round. Whether the residual polar warming affects the efficacy of solar geoengineering on slowing down permafrost degradation has not been studied. Given very limited existing studies and associated large uncertainty, the response of the northern high-latitude permafrost under solar geoengineering deserves further investigation. Additionally, given the climate model differences in dealing with stratospheric aerosols and their chemistry, using a multi-model approach helps quantify the uncertainties associated with the

105 responses.

In this study, we investigate the responses of the northern high-latitude permafrost ecosystem under two solar geoengineering scenarios using multiple models. Our study is organized as follows: In section 2, the observation based datasets, model simulations and methods are presented. In section 3, we present the responses of northern high-latitude permafrost and terrestrial carbon to solar geoengineering. Section 4 is discussion and conclusions.

### 110 2 Data and methods

### 2.1 Observation based datasets

The Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database version 2 (NCSCDv2) (Hugelius et al., 2013, 2014) provides estimated SOC storage in the upper 3 m soil over the northern circumpolar permafrost region identified by the International Permafrost Association (IPA) permafrost map (Brown et al., 1997). The dataset aggregates pedons from regional soil maps homogenized to the U.S. Soil Taxonomy, allowing SOC storage to be calculated. In pedons where the dataset is incomplete, gap-filling was used to complete calculations over the whole IPA permafrost map. The soil organic carbon content (SOCC) (units of kg C m<sup>-2</sup>) over the northern circumpolar permafrost region from NCSCDv2 is available at four soil layers: 0-30 cm, 0-100 cm, 100-200 cm and 200-300 cm depth, and at a horizontal resolution of  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$  is used in this study.

#### 2.2 Model simulations

120

We examine the permafrost extent and terrestrial carbon fluxes and stocks simulated by five fully-coupled CMIP6 ESMs participating in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) that have carried out the G6solar and G6sulfur simulations, and compare with results from the ssp245 and ssp585 simulations (Table 1). G6solar reduces radiative forcing from a high-tier emission scenario (Shared Socioeconomic Pathway; ssp585; Meinshausen et al., 2020) to a medium-tier emission scenario (ssp245) levels with uniform reduction in solar constant. G6sulfur is based on ssp585 as well, whereas

125 reduces radiative forcing from ssp585 to ssp245 levels through stratospheric aerosol injection from 10°S to 10°N along a single longitude band (Kravitz et al., 2015).

Given the complexity of permafrost processes varies considerably in the five ESMs (Table 1), we also use the latest version of Community Land Model version 5 (CLM5, Lawrence et al., 2019) to carry out the anomaly forcing simulations to examine the responses of northern high-latitude permafrost and terrestrial carbon under the climate warming signals derived

- 130 from each ESM's future scenario simulations. The anomaly forcing method can effectively capture the relative changes between scenarios in terms of near-surface climate fields required to drive an offline land surface model. This method has been used by the Permafrost Carbon Network model intercomparison project (McGuire et al., 2018). Comparing the results of the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations and the ESMs simulations is helpful to understand the main sources of uncertainties in the projected responses of northern high-latitude permafrost and terrestrial carbon under solar geoengineering scenarios.
- 135 The CLM5 is a state-of-the-art land surface model that includes substantial processes associated with permafrost simulation, such as canopy snow processes, cryoturbation, decomposition limitation for frozen soils, vertically resolved soil carbon content (Lawrence et al., 2018). CLM5 can reasonably reproduce historical permafrost extent and soil carbon storage in the northern high-latitude near-surface permafrost region (Lawrence et al., 2019). CLM5 offers a built-in function supporting the anomaly forcing method by applying pre-calculated future monthly anomaly signals to user-defined historical sub-daily
- 140 reference forcing data (Lawrence et al., 2015). In this study, monthly anomaly forcing datasets are created for each ESM's four future climate scenarios (G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585) against their corresponding historical simulation during the period 2005-2014, including temperature, radiation, precipitation, pressure, wind, and specific humidity. CLM5 reconstructs new sub-daily forcing data by applying the pre-calculated monthly anomaly forcing on top of the 3-hourly Global Soil Wetness Project forcing dataset (GSWP3, http://hydro.iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp/GSWP3/), which is also used to drive CLM5 for its spin-up and historical simulation force 1850 to 2014.
- 145 historical simulation from 1850 to 2014.

All ensemble members of each experiment are averaged for each model and bilinearly regridded to a common resolution of  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ . The models used for analysis have varying representations of terrestrial carbon pools, and we combine litter and soil carbon in the analysis and refer to the sum as soil carbon. The simulated permafrost extent and SOC in the northern near-surface permafrost region (upper 3 m of soil) during the baseline period (1995-2014) are compared with the IPA

150 permafrost map (Brown et al., 1997) and NCSCDv2 (Hugelius et al., 2013, 2014) datasets. Changes in permafrost extent, active layer thickness (ALT), carbon fluxes and carbon stocks over the permafrost region under the four scenarios at the end period of 21th century (2080-2099) relative to the baseline period are analyzed.

Table 1. Summary of land scheme, the constitution of soil carbon and simulations for each CMIP6 earth system model.

Model Name	Land Scheme	Snow Layers	Soil Layers	Soil Depth (m)	Latent Heat from Water Freeze/Thaw	Organic Soil Insulation	Nitrogen Limitation	Soil Carbon Dynamics <sup>1</sup>	Soil Carbon Constitution <sup>2</sup>	Ensemble Member (Historical/ SSP245/ SSP585/ G6solar/ G6solar/
CESM2-WACCM (Lauritzen et al., 2018)	CLM5 (Lawrence et al., 2019)	Dynamic (max.10)	25	48.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	cSoil+cLitter +cCwd	2/2/2/2/2
UKESM1-0-LL (Sellar et al., 2019)	JULES-ES-1.0 (Sellar et al., 2019)	Dynamic (max.10)	4	3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	cSoil	3/3/3/3/3
CNRM-ESM2-1 (Séférian et al., 2019)	ISBA-CTRIP (Decharme et al. 2019 ; Delire et al., 2020)	Static (12)	14	12.0	Yes	Yes	No	No	cSoil+cLitter	3/3/3/1/3
IPSL-CM6A-LR (Boucher et al., 2020)	ORCHIDEE (v2.0, Water/ Carbon/Energy Mode) (Krinner et al., 2005)	Static (3)	18	90.0	No	Yes	No	No	cSoil+cLitter	1/1/1/1

	MPI-ESM1-2-LR (Mauritsen et al., 2019)	JSBACH 3.20 (Reick et al., 2021)	Static (5)	5	9.8	No	Yes	Yes	No	cSoil+cLitter	3/3/3/3/3
155											

<sup>1</sup>Model vertically resolving soil organic carbon and considering cryoturbation effects on soil organic carbon.

<sup>2</sup>cLand denotes terrestrial carbon pool, cSoil denotes soil organic carbon pool, cVeg denotes vegetation carbon pool, cLitter denotes litter carbon pool, cCwd denotes coarse wood debris pool.

### 160 2.3 Deriving permafrost extent and ALT

Two different methods are used to derive permafrost extent. First, we follow the procedures detailed in Chadburn et al. (2017) to derive the probability of permafrost in northern high-latitude by ensemble-mean near-surface air temperature for each experiment of each model based on an observation-based mean annual air temperature (MAAT)-permafrost probability relationship, which updated an earlier work by Gruber (2012). In this approach, the probability of permafrost is a cumulative

- 165 normal distribution function of MAAT at each grid cell:  $F_{MAAT} = \frac{1}{2} erfc \left(\frac{MAAT+\mu}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2}}\right)$ , with mean  $\mu$ =-4.38°C and standard derivation  $\sigma$ =2.59°C (Chadburn et al., 2017). All grid cells with the probability of finding permafrost  $\geq$  0.01 are considered as permafrost regions, those with the probability  $\geq$  0.5 are considered as continuous and discontinuous permafrost, while those with the probability < 0.5 are considered as sporadic and isolated permafrost patches. This approach is also used by Burke et
- al. (2020) in evaluating permafrost physics in the CMIP6 models and their sensitivity to climate change. Following Burke et al. (2020), the permafrost area is defined as the area of grid cells with the permafrost probability  $\geq$  0.01, the permafrost extent is defined as the area of grid cells weighted by the permafrost probability in each grid cell. The PF<sub>50%</sub> area, on the other hand, is the area of the grid cells where the probability of finding permafrost is  $\geq$  0.5 and it is not weighted by the proportion of permafrost. To facilitate analysis, we choose the five ESMs' ensemble mean PF<sub>50%</sub> region during the baseline period (1995-2014) as a common region to compare the surface climate, terrestrial carbon fluxes and carbon stocks among different
- 175 scenarios. This baseline  $PF_{50\%}$  region is determined by firstly calculating permafrost probability for each grid cell for each model during the baseline period, then calculating the multi-model ensemble mean permafrost probability and deriving the area of all grid cells with multi-model ensemble mean permafrost probability  $\ge 0.5$ .

The second method identifies the existence of permafrost as those grid cells in which the annual maximum ALT is within the upper 3 m soil. In this case, the permafrost area is defined as the area of grid cells with the annual maximum ALT ≤ 3 m.
180 Given the coarse vertical discretization of land surface models, the monthly soil temperatures at model layers' centers (or nodes) were linearly interpolated along the soil depth, the maximum depth throughout the year where the soil temperature crosses 0 °C is defined as ALT (Lawrence et al., 2012). This method and its variations have been widely used in permafrost studies (e.g. Dankers et al., 2011; Lawrence et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2016; Andresen et al., 2020).

### **3 Results**

### 185 **3.1 Changes in surface climate**

Solar geoengineering is designed to reduce shortwave radiation at the land surface, which alters the surface absorbed solar radiation (R<sub>N</sub>). Most notable changes in R<sub>N</sub> under G6solar and G6sulfur occur in boreal summer (Figure 1c-d), while in winter only minor changes occur due to the lack of solar insolation (Figure 1a, b). In summer, G6solar shows a small decrease in R<sub>N</sub> over Northern America and a small increase in R<sub>N</sub> over Northern Eurasia relative to ssp245 (Figure 1c), but G6sulfur

190 shows a considerable decrease in  $R_N$  over both Northern America and Northern Eurasia (Figure 1d) for the period 2080-2099. The averaged  $R_N$  change over the baseline  $PF_{50\%}$  region in summer is -0.7±2.7 (mean ±1 standard deviation, same thereafter) and -7.4 $\pm$ 3.1 W m<sup>-2</sup> for G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245, respectively. In contrast, ssp585 shows large increase in R<sub>N</sub> (5.3 $\pm$ 5.8 W m<sup>-2</sup>) with respect to ssp245 in summer due to its largest snow extent retreat among the four scenarios at the period 2080-2099 (not shown). R<sub>N</sub> is a primary component of the surface energy budget and a fundamental force driving the exchanges

195

of energy, water and carbon between land and atmosphere (Sellers et al., 1997). The different change in seasonality of  $R_N$  indicates the surface climate would be different under G6solar and G6sulfur.

The mean annual near-surface air warming over the baseline  $PF_{50\%}$  region is about twice the global mean annual warming by the period 2080-2099, but the mean annual near-surface air temperature is still well below 0 °C under G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245, and rises above the freezing point under ssp585 (0.5±0.8 °C), when the permafrost thaws nearly completely. In all

- 200 models G6solar and G6sulfur reduce global mean annual near-surface air temperature to within 0.2 °C of ssp245 levels (Visioni et al., 2021). However, G6solar and G6sulfur show a large residual warming pattern over the high-latitude permafrost region (Figure 1e, f, g, h), with 0.4±0.1 and 0.6±0.6 °C more than ssp245 on annual average respectively. The residual warming is more profound in winter over Northern Eurasia where G6solar shows year-round residual warming (0.6±0.2 °C for winter, 0.5±0.1 °C for summer), while under G6sulfur there is stronger seasonality in the year-round residual warming (2.1±0.8 °C)
- 205 for winter, 0.2±0.5 °C for summer). The relatively warmer summer across the baseline PF<sub>50%</sub> region under G6solar relative to ssp245 is a robust feature among the five ESMs.

The impact of residual near-surface air warming on soil temperature depends on the thermal insulation of snow, litter layers and soil organic matter. The five ESMs used in this study all adopt multi-layered snow schemes and consider the thermal effects of soil organic matter, but no explicit litter layer (Table 1), the thermal insulation mainly comes from snowpack and

- 210 soil organic matter. The largest differences between near-surface air temperature and soil surface temperature occur in winter, when the snowpack creates strong thermal insulation. In the PF<sub>50%</sub> region, the differences in snow coverage and snow depth are statistically insignificant between G6solar and ssp245, while the snow depth under G6sulfur is slightly thicker than ssp245 due to more snowfall in winter (Figure 1r). However, the averaged thermal offset (measured as soil temperature at 0.2 m depth minus near-surface air temperature) over the PF<sub>50%</sub> region in winter during the period 2080-2099 are 4.0 $\pm$ 2.4, 3.9 $\pm$ 2.3 and
- 215 4.1±2.4 °C for G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245 respectively, their differences are considerably smaller than the magnitude of winter residual warming in near-surface air. As a result, the spatial patterns of residual warming in near-surface air and 0.2 m depth soil are similar (Figure 1, second row vs third row).

The profound residual winter warming in near-surface air affects summer soil temperatures at deep layers. In winter, the magnitude of residual warming in soil at 0.2 m depth (Figure 1i, j) is relatively smaller than near-surface air (Figure 1e, f) mostly due to thermal insulation of snow layers, and the residual warming attenuates further at 2 m depth soil (Figure 1m, n). In summer, the residual warming in near-surface air (Figure 1g, h) is less pronounced in both G6solar and G6sulfur than winter. However, G6sulfur shows a greater 2 m soil warming in Northern Eurasia with respect to ssp245 (Figure 1p), and the residual warming in soil at 2 m depth is even more pronounced and more robust among the models than the residual warming in near-

°C residual warming in winter, 0.3±0.2 and 0.6±0.7 °C residual warming in summer, respectively under G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245. The anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations show similar residual warming at 2 m depth soil, with 0.2±0.3 and 0.7±0.7 °C in winter, 0.2±0.2 and 0.6±0.5 °C in summer under G6solar and G6sulfur respectively with respect to ssp245. The increase in summer soil temperature due to the profound residual winter warming in near-surface air would affect summer permafrost thawing (Burn and Zhang, 2010).

surface air (Figure 1h). In the baseline PF50% region over Northern Eurasia, the soil at 2 m depth shows 0.2±0.3 and 0.8±0.8

230

Precipitation under solar geoengineering is generally less than climate scenarios of a same warming level without geoengineering due to atmospheric heating imbalance (Niemeier et al., 2013; Kravitz et al., 2013b), enhanced atmospheric stability (Ferraro et al., 2014) and weaker hydrological cycle (Bala et al., 2010; Schmidt et al., 2012; Tilmes et al., 2013;

Simpson et al., 2019), and this holds over the northern high-latitude permafrost region as well. G6solar shows similar precipitation changes as ssp245 during the non-summer seasons, G6sulfur shows a relatively small increase in precipitation

relative to ssp245 during the non-summer seasons, but the precipitation clearly decreases in both G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245 during summer (Figure 1q, r, s, t), when the incoming solar radiation has maximum reductions. In winter, the total precipitation increases by 0.1±1.4 and 4.5±3.0 mm for G6solar and G6sulfur respectively relative to ssp245 over the baseline PF<sub>50%</sub> region for the period 2080-2099. In summer, the total precipitation decreases by 14.6±8.3 and 18.7±11.3 mm for G6solar and G6sulfur respectively relative to ssp245, and the precipitation reduction is robust among the five ESMs. The larger precipitation reduction in G6sulfur than G6solar can be ascribed to larger R<sub>N</sub> reduction in G6sulfur (Figure 1c, d), as the absorption of longwave radiation by the sulfate aerosols requires a stronger reduction of net downward shortwave surface

fluxes than in the case of G6solar, and enhances atmospheric heating imbalance (Niemeier et al., 2013).



Winter

# Summer

Figure 1. The multi-model mean changes of surface absorbed shortwave radiation (ΔR<sub>N</sub>; a, b, c, d), near-surface air temperature (ΔTas; e, f,
g, h), 0.2 m soil temperature (ΔTsoil\_0.2m; i, j, k, l), 2 m soil temperature (ΔTsoil\_2m; m, n, o, p) and precipitation (ΔP; q, r, s, t) under G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245 for the period 2080-2099 over the baseline PF<sub>50%</sub> region. The left two columns show changes in

winter (December, January, and February), the right two columns show changes in summer (June, July, and August). The hatched area in each panel indicates where less than 80% of the ESMs (four out of five) agree on the sign of changes.

### 250 3.2 Changes in permafrost extent and ALT

255

The permafrost extent derived from the five ESMs simulated MAAT is in the range of 12.1-17.5 million km<sup>2</sup> (multimodel ensemble mean: 13.9 million km<sup>2</sup>) for the period 1960-1990, which is comparable to 12.0-18.2 million km<sup>2</sup> (mean: 15.5 million km<sup>2</sup>) from the reconstructed permafrost map for the same period (Chadburn et al., 2017) and the actual area underlain by permafrost (12.21-16.98 million km<sup>2</sup>, Zhang et al., 2000). The baseline permafrost extent for the period 1995-2014 is in the range of 11.0-15.5 million km<sup>2</sup> (multi-model ensemble mean: 12.3 million km<sup>2</sup>), covering all permafrost zones in the IPA

- permafrost map and extending further south (Figure 2b). Our results agree well with existing studies, such as the permafrost extent of  $15.1\pm2.6$  million km<sup>2</sup> for the period 2000-2014 (Aalto et al., 2018) and 13.9 million km<sup>2</sup> for the period 2000-2016 (Obu et al., 2019). The baseline permafrost of more than 50% probability, the baseline PF<sub>50%</sub> region (corresponding to MAAT < -4.38 °C), all locates within the IPA permafrost map with a total area of 12.3 million km<sup>2</sup>.
- 260 The soil temperatures derived permafrost area ranges from 2.4 million km<sup>2</sup> (IPSL-CM6A-LR) to 19.0 million km<sup>2</sup> (CNRM-ESM2-1) for the period 1960-1990, it is considerably different from the range (12.1-17.5 million km<sup>2</sup>) derived from the MAAT, and it is also different from the observational estimate (12.21-16.98 million km<sup>2</sup>, Zhang et al., 2000). The permafrost area derived from the soil temperature of CLM5 simulation for the period 1960-1990 is 12.3 million km<sup>2</sup>, which is in the range of observational estimate and close to the multi-model ensemble mean permafrost extent (13.9 million km<sup>2</sup>)
- 265 derived from the MAAT for the same period. The CLM5 simulated permafrost area is 11.1 million km<sup>2</sup> for the baseline period 1995-2014, and it is about 1.2 million km<sup>2</sup> smaller than the baseline PF<sub>50%</sub> region derived from the MAAT. Burke et al. (2020) has shown CLM5 can simulate the relationship between MAAT and mean annual ground temperature (MAGT) at the top of the permafrost very closely to the observations than other land schemes used in CMIP6 models, and this relationship is important for realistic permafrost simulation. Furthermore, the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations reproduce the residual
- 270 warming in soil as the five ESMs simulations under G6solar and G6sulfur. Therefore, the permafrost extent and ALT derived from soil temperatures of the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations can be used to study how the northern high-latitude permafrost responses under G6solar and G6sulfur.

The residual warming over high-latitude permafrost region under G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245 generates some disparities in the permafrost distribution. For the high warming scenario ssp585, the majority of permafrost will thaw by the period 2080-2099 (Figure 2a), with a remnant area of only 1.4 million km<sup>2</sup> that is mostly as sporadic and isolated patches (1.2 million km<sup>2</sup>, Figure 2d) according to the permafrost probability derived from the MAAT. For G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245, however, about 41%, 39% and 45% of the baseline permafrost is preserved respectively (5.0, 4.8 and 5.5 million km<sup>2</sup> for G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245 respectively), and the majority of preserved permafrost is maintained as continuous and discontinuous permafrost, with areas of 3.1, 2.9 and 3.5 million km<sup>2</sup> respectively. The modest losses of continuous and discontinuous permafrost zones under G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245 mainly appear at the southern edge, central Canada and Central Siberian Highlands (Figure 2c, e, f). The area of sporadic and isolated patches under G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245 shows little differences (1.9, 1.9 and 2.0 million km<sup>2</sup> for G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245 respectively), as they could be transformed from continuous and discontinuous permafrost under a warmer climate.

The permafrost area derived from the soil temperatures of anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations retreats a litter slower than the area of PF<sub>50%</sub> derived from the ESMs simulated MAAT (Figure 2a, 3a). The remnant permafrost area given by the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations is 6.4±1.5, 6.2±1.6, 6.5±1.6 and 2.8±1.4 million km<sup>2</sup> for the period 2080-2099 under G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively, and they are about 1.4 million km<sup>2</sup> larger than the area of PF<sub>50%</sub> derived from the ESMs simulated MAAT for all scenarios (5.0, 4.8, 5.5 and 1.4 million km<sup>2</sup> for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively). The systematical differences in the permafrost retreating speed and remnant permafrost area given by two

290 methods are mainly due to their methodological differences in detecting existence of permafrost. The observation-based MAAT-permafrost probability relationship tends to show equilibrium response of permafrost (Chadburn et al., 2017), which is usually larger than the transient response of permafrost simulated by the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations.

The ALT is generally deeper under G6solar and G6sulfur than ssp245 across much of Northern Eurasia despite their permafrost region being similar according to the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations (Figure 3c, d), while ALT over Northern
America tends to be deeper under G6solar and shallower under G6sulfur than ssp245. The average ALT over Northern Eurasia is 0.03±0.07 and 0.11±0.14 m deeper under G6solar and G6sulfur respectively than ssp245, while the average ALT over Northern America is only 0.02±0.07 m deeper under G6solar and -0.09±0.10 m shallower under G6sulfur than ssp245. Comparing with G6sulfur, the average ALT over Northern Eurasia is 0.08±0.10 m shallower under G6solar, but the average ALT over Northern America is 0.10±0.10 m deeper under G6solar (Figure 3b). The differences in ALT reflect the regional differences in residual soil warming under G6solar and G6sulfur with respect to ssp245 (Figure 10, p). Despite that G6solar and G6sulfur have a slightly smaller permafrost extent than ssp245 due to the residual soil warming, they still preserve much



- 305 Figure 2. Permafrost area and probability derived according to the observation-based MAAT-permafrost probability relationship (Chadburn et al., 2017). Panel (a) shows change of multi-model mean area of the  $PF_{50\%}$  region under ssp245, ssp585, G6solar and G6sulfur during the period 2015-2099. Panels (b-f) show multi-model mean permafrost probability (shading) and region (curves) for the last 20 years of each experiment. The black curve in (b) denotes the permafrost region defined by IPA permafrost map. The brown and purple curves denote the multi-model mean permafrost probability  $\geq 0.01$ ) for historical and ssp245 simulations, respectively. The red and orange
- 310 curves denote the multi-model mean permafrost regions where the permafrost probability  $\geq 0.5$  for historical and ssp245 simulations, respectively.



Figure 3. Changes in permafrost area as defined by the annual maximum active layer thickness (ALT) within 3 m under ssp245, ssp585,
G6solar and G6sulfur during the period 2015-2099 (a), and spatial distribution of annual maximum ALT changes (color filled contour) in G6solar relative to G6sulfur (b), G6solar relative to ssp245 (c) and G6sulfur relative to ssp245 (d) averaged for the period 2080-2099 in the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations. In panels (b), (c) and (d), the black line denotes the permafrost region for the baseline period 1995-2014 of the CLM5 historical simulation, and the green line denotes the averaged permafrost region for the period 2080-2099 of the CLM5 ssp245 simulations. For G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245, the results are averaged for all five anomaly CLM5 simulations of each scenario.

# 320 **3.3 Changes in terrestrial carbon fluxes and stocks**

#### 3.3.1 Terrestrial carbon fluxes

The net primary production (NPP) in the baseline permafrost region follows an upward trend under the four scenarios

during the 21th century as climate warms (Figure 4a). Compared with the baseline period 1995-2014 over the PF<sub>50%</sub> region, the five ESMs projected multi-model ensemble mean NPP increases by  $2.0\pm1.0$ ,  $1.9\pm1.0$ ,  $1.5\pm0.4$  and  $2.5\pm0.8$  Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for

- 325 G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively at the period 2080-2099. The anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations projected NPP increases by 1.9±0.3, 1.7±0.4, 1.5±0.2 and 2.5±0.3 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively (Table 2). Warmer climate over the permafrost region under ssp585 scenario alleviates the temperature limitation on high-latitude ecosystem, and results in larger increases in NPP. Surface cooling by implementing G6solar and G6sulfur geoengineering suppresses plant growth at high latitudes relative to ssp585, and the increasing rates in NPP are slower under G6solar and
- 330 G6sulfur than that for ssp585, although the three scenarios share the same atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. On the other hand, relative warmer summer temperature and stronger CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects under G6solar and G6sulfur facilitate vegetation growth and enhance NPP increasing more than ssp245. The relative differences in NPP seasonal cycle among the four scenarios mainly occur from the start of growing season (April) to late autumn (October), and the amplitude of relative seasonal differences in number is nearly same for the ESMs simulations and CLM5 simulations under each scenario (Figure 5a). Differences in
- 335 NPP under G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245 peak in June for the ESMs simulations and July for the CLM5 simulations, the relatively larger NPP in G6solar than that of G6sulfur is consistent with warmer temperature and more absorbed surface shortwave radiation under G6solar during summer (Figure 1c, g). G6solar and G6sulfur growth start later in spring than with ssp585 and ends earlier in autumn, which is in line with an earlier study concluding that the reductions in plant primary production at high latitudes under solar geoengineering scenarios are mainly attributable to the shorter growth season (Duan
- 340 et al., 2020). Therefore, the higher level of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and the warmer climate under G6solar and G6sulfur than for ssp245 enhance plant photosynthesis and net primary production, even though soil tends to be slightly drier as precipitation is reduced in summer under G6solar and G6sulfur (Figure 1s, t), indicating that temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects at high latitudes play more important roles than soil moisture in plant carbon uptake.
- Soil carbon heterotrophic respiration (Rh) in the baseline permafrost region rises in all four scenarios because of warmer soil temperatures (Figure 4b). Compared with the baseline period, the five ESMs projected multi-model ensemble mean Rh increases by 1.6±0.6, 1.6±0.7, 1.4±0.4 and 2.3±0.6 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> at the period 2080-2099 for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively. The anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations projected Rh increases by 2.2±0.5, 2.3±0.6, 1.8±0.4 and 3.6±0.5 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively (Table 2). Warmer winter soil temperature over the high-latitude permafrost region under G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245 does not significantly accelerate soil carbon decomposition
- 350 in winter because the soil is well frozen which effectively inhibits microbial activities (Figure 5b). In other seasons, soil carbon decomposition increases under G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245, especially in summer. The main difference between the ESMs simulations and the CLM5 simulations occurs in summer as well, in which the CLM5 simulations show larger increases in Rh than the ESMs simulations under G6solar and G6sulfur with respect to ssp245. The relative increases in Rh in summer is not only due to warmer summer temperature accelerating microbial activity, but also due to deeper ALT turning
- 355 more previously frozen SOC into thawed state under a warmer decomposition environment. Compared with G6solar and G6sulfur, there is a significant Rh increase in winter under ssp585 at the period 2080-2099 as most of the soil over the baseline permafrost region does not refreeze due to heating from deep layers. The relative increases in Rh during spring, summer and autumn are more profound under ssp585 than G6solar and G6sulfur, showing the significant alleviating effects of G6solar and G6sulfur on soil carbon decomposition in the northern high-latitude permafrost region.
- The net ecosystem production (NEP) changes oppositely between the ESMs simulations and the CLM5 simulations over the baseline permafrost region. During the baseline period in the permafrost region, the ESMs and CLM5 simulate nearly same NEP: 0.2±0.4 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for ESMs and 0.2 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for CLM5. The five ESMs projected multi-model ensemble mean NEP increases by 0.3±0.4, 0.2±0.4, 0.1±0.1 and 0.2±0.4 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> under G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively for the period 2080-2099 (Table 2), suggesting that the northern permafrost region would be a carbon sink, but the ability of carbon

- 365 uptake for ssp245 and ssp585 declines around the middle of 21th century, while for G6solar and G6sulfur the decline of carbon uptake is delayed until the 2080s. The delayed decline of carbon uptake tends to indicate the northern permafrost region would switch to a carbon source in the future even under G6solar and G6sulfur geoengineering implementations. In contrast, the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations projected NEP decreases by 0.5±0.2, 0.6±0.2, 0.5±0.2, 1.2±0.3 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> under G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively for the period 2080-2099 (Table 2), suggesting that the northern permafrost region
- 370 would be a carbon source under all four scenarios (Figure 4c). During the baseline period in the permafrost region, both CLM5 and ESMs simulate a slightly larger NPP (2.4 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for CLM5, 2.7±0.7 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for ESMs) than Rh (2.2 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for CLM5, 2.5±0.6 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for ESMs). During the 21th century, CLM5 and ESMs simulate similar NPP increases (Figure 4a), but CLM5 simulates a much faster increase in Rh than does the ESMs (Figure 4b), therefore leading to opposite changes in NEP. In terms of spatial changes, Rh increases considerably in the northernmost permafrost region where becomes carbon
- 375 source (negative NEP) for the period 2080-2099 under all four scenarios in the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations (Figure 6, left column and middle column), whereas this region maintains as carbon sink (positive NEP) in the ESM simulations. The relative differences in the seasonal cycle of NEP under G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp585 relative to ssp245 follow the seasonal differences in NPP and Rh (Figure 5c). The enhanced carbon uptake in plants under G6solar and G6sulfur during growing season is less counteracted by soil heterotrophic respiration during summer and autumn in the ESMs simulations, but it is
- 380 largely counteracted by soil heterotrophic respiration in the CLM5 simulations. The impact of residual warming in G6sulfur and G6solar on terrestrial carbon fluxes mostly occurs in summer while not in winter.



Figure 4. The multi-model mean changes of terrestrial carbon fluxes and carbon storages over the baseline permafrost region during the period 2015-2099 relative to the baseline period 1995-2014 under ssp245, ssp585, G6solar and G6sulfur. The left column shows changes in NPP (a), Rh (b) and NEP (c). The right column shows changes in vegetation (d), soil (e) and terrestrial (f) carbon storages. In each panel,

bar charts denote one standard derivation from the multi-model mean averaged over the period 2080-2099, and the number above each bar denotes its magnitude. Solid lines and solid filled bars represent the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations. Dashed lines and hatched bars represent the ESMs simulations. In panel (c), an 11-year running average is applied on NEP time series to filter its large inter-annual variation.

# 390 3.3.2 Terrestrial carbon stocks

The enhanced plant photosynthesis under higher atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and warmer climate results in gains in vegetation carbon over the permafrost region (Figure 4d). In the baseline permafrost region, the ESMs simulations projected vegetation carbon increases by 15.7±5.8, 15.2±5.4, 13.5±4.6 and 18.7±6.2 Pg C under G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively for the period 2080-2099. The anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations projected vegetation carbon increases by

9.3±0.9, 9.1±1.3, 7.7±0.7 and 11.5±0.8 Pg C respectively (Table 2). The NPP in the baseline period and its increases in the 21th century are only slightly larger in the ESMs simulations than the CLM5 simulations, however due to the cumulative effect, the increases in vegetation carbon is considerably larger in the ESMs simulations than the CLM5 simulations. Except for IPSL-CM6A-LR under G6sulfur, all models show that vegetation carbon gains under G6solar and G6sulfur are greater than that under ssp245. IPSL-CM6A-LR shows a smaller NPP for G6sulfur than ssp245 from the 2070s and this slows down the gains in vegetation carbon under G6sulfur, with 0.6 Pg C less than that for ssp245 for the period 2080-2099. The litter carbon pool is expected to increase as the vegetation carbon increases. Four of the five ESMs (CESM2-WACCM, CNRM-ESM2-1, IPSL-CM6A-LR and MPI-ESM1-2-LR) have litter carbon pools available, and show increases of 8.2±3.9, 7.4±3.6, 5.9±2.2 and 6.5±3.0 Pg C for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively. However, the CLM5 simulations projected

litter carbon pool only increases by 0.6±0.4, 0.1±0.6, 0.6±0.4 and -0.0±0.5 Pg C respectively. Gains in litter carbon pool have direct impacts on soil carbon inputs, along with enhanced soil decomposition rates under warmer soil temperatures.

The total SOC increases in the ESMs simulations and decreases in the CLM5 simulations for all four scenarios (Figure 4e), the opposite changes in SOC mainly result from the corresponding Rh changes over the baseline permafrost region (Figure 4b). In the baseline permafrost region, the ESMs projected total SOC increases by 17.7±18.0, 16.4±16.7, 13.6±12.8 and 13.0±18.0 Pg C under G6solar, G6sulfer, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively for the period 2080-2099. The CLM5 projected total

- 410 SOC decreases by 14.9±7.7, 19.1±7.4, 14.6±7.1, 31.4±9.3 Pg C respectively (Table 2). In terms of spatial changes of SOC, the CLM5 simulations project SOC loss mainly occurs over the northernmost permafrost region (Figure 6, right column), where soil respiration increases considerably and NEP becomes negative. Whereas the ESMs simulations project SOC gain in the northernmost permafrost region, as the soil carbon decomposition cannot offset increased soil carbon inputs due to enhanced vegetation carbon uptake. For ssp585, both the slower SOC accumulation in the ESMs simulations and larger SOC
- 415 loss in the CLM5 simulations are mainly due to enhanced soil carbon decomposition under much warmer temperatures compared with G6solar and G6sulfur. Thus, G6solar and G6sulfur preserve more soil carbon compared with ssp585 in both groups of simulations.

There is a large spread in SOC storage over the baseline permafrost region among the five ESMs, which affects the SOC available to participate in microbial decomposition under warmer conditions. In the five ESMs, only CESM2-WACCM simulates a baseline SOC of 847.3 Pg C over the northern permafrost region that is comparable to the observational estimate of 1091.3 Pg C according to the NCSCDv2 dataset. However, CESM2-WACCM projects net loss in SOC by 1.3, 0.8, 2.8 and 11.7 Pg C during the 21th century for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585, respectively, as a result of its large baseline SOC stock and rapid soil carbon decomposition under warming. The other four ESMs all project gains in SOC over the permafrost region under all four scenarios. The SOC losses in the CLM5 simulations driven by the anomaly climate signals derived from

425 the four CESM2-WACCM scenarios are 7.1, 8.5, 7.8 and 25.8 Pg C for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively. CESM2-WACCM adopts the same CLM5 as its land component but simulates much smaller SOC losses under the four scenarios compared with the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations, this is mostly due to differences in baseline SOC in the permafrost region (847.3 Pg C for CESM2-WACCM, 1089.8 Pg C for stand-alone CLM5), baseline permafrost region used for calculation (12.3 million km<sup>2</sup> for CESM2-WACCM, 11.1 million km<sup>2</sup> for stand-alone CLM5) and near-surface climatology

430 in two kinds of simulations.

The total terrestrial carbon stock changes in the same way as the SOC and reflects the corresponding changes in NEP (Figure 4c, 4f). In the baseline permafrost region, the ESMs simulations projected terrestrial carbon increases by 32.2±22.3, 30.6±21.2, 26.1±15.2 and 30.8±21.5 Pg C under G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively for the period 2080-2099. The CLM5 simulations projected terrestrial carbon decreases by 5.5±6.8, 9.9±6.5, 6.5±6.6 and 20.1±8.7 Pg C respectively.

- 435 For CESM2-WACCM, although it projects net loss in SOC during the 21th century for all four scenarios, gains in vegetation carbon offset soil carbon loss, and terrestrial carbon increases by 10.7, 10.4, 8.1 and 5.0 Pg C for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively. Whereas in the CLM5 simulations driven by the anomaly climate signals derived from the four CESM2-WACCM scenarios, the terrestrial carbon stock changes by 1.8, -0.0, 0.1 and -14.0 Pg C for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively. However, the terrestrial carbon stock decreases in all CLM5 simulations driven by the anomaly
- 440 climate signals derived from the other four ESMs, suggesting the northern high-latitude permafrost region tend to be a weak carbon source even under the mitigation scenario ssp245 and geoengineering scenarios G6solar and G6sulfur.



Figure 5. The multi-model mean changes in monthly climatology of NPP (a), Rh (b) and NEP (c) over the baseline permafrost region for the period 2080-2099 under ssp585, G6solar and G6sulfur relative to ssp245. Solid lines represent the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations.
5 Dashed lines represent the ESMs simulations.

# 445

# 3.3.3 Uncertainties of projected changes in carbon fluxes and stocks

The uncertainties of projected responses in high-latitude permafrost region in the ESMs simulations mainly contains two parts: uncertainties due to different near-surface climate changes in individual climate scenarios, and uncertainties due to inter-

model differences in representing land surface processes. Whereas the uncertainties of projected responses in the anomaly 450 forcing CLM5 simulations are mainly due to uncertainties in near-surface climate change.

In addition to the impacts of different near-surface climate, the different changes in NPP mirror more directly structural and parametric differences in land surface models, in particular the carbon assimilation scheme that depends on nutrient limitation. The ESMs which represent land nitrogen cycle (CESM2-WACCM, MPI-ESM1-2-LR and UKESM1-0-LL) simulate similar NPP magnitudes (about 3 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup>) for the baseline period 1995-2014. Except for CNRM-ESM2-1, NPP

- 455 increases similarly under G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245 in the other four ESMs (not shown), consistent with their comparable land carbon-concentration feedback parameters  $\beta_L$  (Table A1 in Arora et al., 2020). Whereas for CNRM-ESM2-1, NPP increases similarly under G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp585 (not shown), probably due to its largest land carbon-concentration feedback parameter in the five ESMs (Table A1 in Arora et al., 2020). The land carbon-concentration feedback parameter of CESM2-WACCM is close to the average of that of the five ESMs, and CESM2-WACCM adopts CLM5 as its land component.
- 460 This explains very similar ensemble mean NPP increases for the ESMs simulations and the CLM5 simulations (Figure 4a). Furthermore, the across-model spreads of changes in NPP of the CLM5 simulations are about half of that in the ESMs simulations (Figure 4a), indicating the differences in near-surface climate change and the differences in land surface processes represented by the ESMs exert similar impacts on the NPP uncertainties.

The spread of changes in Rh is of similar magnitude for the CLM5 simulations and the ESMs simulations (Figure 4b), it seems to imply that differences in the near-surface climate dominate the spread of changes in Rh, while differences in land surface processes produce much smaller impacts. However, the structural and parametric differences in land surface models can affect the magnitude of soil carbon stock in the permafrost region and its total decomposition (Shu et al., 2020). The baseline soil carbon stock in the permafrost region is 1089.8 Pg C in the CLM5 simulation, and it ranges from 48.5 (IPSL-CM6A-LR) to 847.3 Pg C (CESM2-WACCM) in the ESMs simulations. Under same warming levels, larger soil carbon stock

- 470 implies more of it would be exposed under thawed conditions and participate in decomposition, then amplifies the uncertainties of changes in Rh under a same spread of warming. Therefore, the spread of changes in Rh for the ESMs simulations contains the effects due to different baseline soil carbon stocks, which can be attributed to the structural and parametric differences in land surface schemes. The impacts of near-surface climate differences on the spread of changes in Rh tend to be smaller in the ESMs simulations than the CLM5 simulations.
- 475 The opposite changes in soil carbon stocks and terrestrial carbon stocks between the ESMs simulations and the CLM5 simulations can be ascribed to the considerably different baseline soil carbon stocks in the northern permafrost region as well. In the ESMs simulations, enhanced soil carbon decomposition cannot offset increased vegetation carbon uptake. Whereas in the CLM5 simulations, the vegetation carbon uptake is smaller than the ESMs simulations, but the soil carbon decomposition is much larger than the ESMs simulations, the combined effects lead to soil carbon loss in the permafrost region. As the ESMs simulated baseline soil carbon stocks are considerably smaller than the observational estimate, the simulated soil carbon decomposition tends to be biased lower. The baseline soil carbon storage is the key factor to determine whether the northern

permafrost region is a carbon source or a carbon sink under the solar geoengineering scenarios.

Table 2. Changes in NPP, Rh NEP, vegetation, soil and terrestrial carbon storages over the baseline permafrost region for the period 2080-4852099 relative to the baseline period 1995-2014 in the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations and the ESMs simulations.

		G6solar	G6sulfur	ssp245	ssp585
NPP (Pg C yr <sup>-1</sup> )	CLM5	1.9±0.3	1.7±0.4	1.5±0.2	2.5±0.3
	ESMs	2.0±1.0	1.9±1.0	1.5±0.4	2.5±0.8
Rh (Pg C yr <sup>-1</sup> )	CLM5	2.2±0.5	2.3±0.6	1.8±0.4	3.6±0.5
	ESMs	1.6±0.6	1.6±0.7	1.4±0.4	2.3±0.6

NEP (Pg C yr <sup>-1</sup> )	CLM5	-0.5±0.2	-0.6±0.2	-0.5±0.2	-1.2±0.3
	ESMs	0.3±0.4	0.2±0.4	0.1±0.1	0.2±0.4
Vegetation C (Pg C)	CLM5	9.3±0.9	9.1±1.3	7.7±0.7	11.5±0.8
	ESMs	15.7±5.8	15.2±5.4	13.5±4.6	18.7±6.2
Soil C (Pg C)	CLM5	-14.9±7.7	-19.1±7.4	-14.6±7.1	-31.4±9.3
	ESMs	17.7±18.0	16.4±16.7	13.6±12.8	13.0±18.0
Terrestrial C (Pg C)	CLM5	-5.5±6.8	-9.9±6.5	-6.5±6.6	-20.1±8.7
	ESMs	32.2±22.3	30.6±21.2	26.1±15.2	30.8±21.5
Terrestrial C (Pg C)	CLM5 ESMs	-5.5±6.8 32.2±22.3	-9.9±6.5 30.6±21.2	-6.5±6.6 26.1±15.2	-20.1±8.7 30.8±21.5



0

0.5

1



-2.5

-5

0

2.5

5

0

0.05

0.1

-0.1 -0.05

Figure 6. The multi-model mean changes in Rh (left column) and soil carbon storage (right column) averaged for the period 2080-2099

490 under ssp245, ssp585, G6solar and G6sulfur relative to the baseline period 1995-2014 over the baseline permafrost region in the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations. The middle column shows NEP for the period 2080-2099. Hatched area indicates where the sign of the plotted field is same for the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations and corresponding ESMs simulations in terms of multi-model mean.

# 3.4 Drivers of SOC change

### 495 3.4.1 Soil carbon inputs

Soil carbon inputs are derived from changes in SOC and the organic carbon that is decomposed. The collective increases in NPP lead to soil carbon inputs growth under all four scenarios (Figure 7a). In the baseline permafrost region during the 21th century, the five ESMs projected annual soil carbon inputs increase by  $1.8\pm0.8$ ,  $1.7\pm0.8$ ,  $1.4\pm0.4$  and  $2.2\pm0.7$  Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> under G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively, the CLM5 simulated annual soil carbon inputs increase by  $1.8\pm0.2$ ,

- 1.7±0.3, 1.4±0.2 and 2.4±0.2 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> respectively. For the ESMs simulations at the period 2080-2099, the annual soil carbon inputs in the baseline PF<sub>50%</sub> region vary considerably among the models with the smallest amounts in IPSL-CM6A-LR (3.2, 2.8, 3.1 and 3.4 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively) and the largest amounts in CNRM-ESM2-1 (6.6, 6.6, 5.4 and 6.8 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively). However, the ratio of soil carbon inputs to NPP is similar for all scenarios: 93.1±2.3%, 93.7±2.0%, 94.1±2.0% and 91.8±2.8% of NPP for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245
- and ssp585, respectively. The majority of annual NPP becomes soil carbon inputs in permafrost region just as it does for global terrestrial NPP in CMIP5 models (Todd-Brown et al., 2014), therefore, the changes in soil carbon inputs is almost the same as changes in NPP. The less efficient conversion of NPP to soil carbon under ssp585 might be due to more frequent wild fires in the warmer climate with more dry ground fuel in degraded permafrost (Krause et al., 2014; Turetsky et al., 2015; Veraverbeke et al., 2017) and four of the five models (except for UKESM1-0-LL) analyzed in this study simulate wild fires.

### 510 3.4.2 Turnover times

Changes in turnover times arise from both soil carbon inputs change from litter-fall related to carbon-concentration feedback, and heterotrophic respiration change associated with carbon-climate feedback. The SOC turnover times are calculated as the ratio of total SOC stock and heterotrophic respiration for each model (Todd-Brown et al., 2014). Over the baseline permafrost region, the five  $ESM_S$  projected turnover times decrease by  $39.7\pm47.6$ ,  $38.1\pm43.7$ ,  $35.2\pm42.5$  and

- 515 53.9±67.8 years under G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively, whereas the CLM5 simulations projected turnover times decrease by 244.9±24.8, 246.2±29.9, 221.5±27.6 and 303.4±17.1 years respectively (Figure 7b). The five ESMs show a wide spread in near-surface permafrost SOC turnover times during the baseline period and their changes during the 21th century, mainly due to their large differences in the SOC stocks (Varney et al., 2022), and poor representation of near-surface permafrost SOC dynamics may also lead to inaccurate turnover time (Shu et al., 2020). Of the five ESMs, only CESM2-
- 520 WACCM explicitly considers vertically heterogeneities in SOC resulting from the cryoturbation mixing which would slow down SOC decomposition, and simulates a much longer turnover time (336 years) than the other four models (32-108 years) for the baseline period, but it is still shorter than the turnover time of 488 years given by the CLM5 simulation for the same period. The decline in near-surface permafrost SOC turnover time over the 21th century of the CLM5 is about 14 times of the ESMs due to the large SOC simulated in CLM5. However, the magnitude of SOC turnover times and its decline in CLM5 is
- 525 in line with a land surface model including a detailed description of vertical heterogeneity in permafrost soils (Shu et al., 2020). ssp585 shows the largest increases in soil carbon inputs and largest decreases in turnover time, while ssp245 shows the smallest increase in soil carbon inputs and smallest decrease in turnover time (Figure 7), illustrating that changes in turnover times arise mostly from the heterotrophic respiration change associated with carbon-climate feedback rather than carbon-concentration

feedback over the northern permafrost region. This is further evidenced by the results that the turnover time changes under 530 G6solar and G6sulfur are more like ssp245 in two groups of simulations (Figure 7b).

Increases in soil carbon inputs and decomposition oppose each other in changing SOC (Todd-Brown et al., 2014), and the soil carbon decomposition being partially influenced by soil carbon inputs. Given their dependence, we calculate the semipartial correlation between SOC and one of soil carbon inputs and heterotrophic respiration to better understand their influences on changing SOC. Plazzotta et al. (2019) used the same method to analyze the influences of climate drivers on

- 535 carbon fluxes. In the ESMs simulations, the semipartial correlations between SOC and soil carbon inputs are 0.06±0.07, 0.06±0.09, 0.03±0.09 and 0.12±0.02 for G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively, the semipartial correlations between SOC and soil carbon decomposition are 0.01±0.11, 0.01±0.19, 0.04±0.10 and -0.06±0.11 respectively. Very few semipartial correlations are significant at the 0.05 level for individual ESM simulations. In the CLM5 simulations, the semipartial correlations between SOC and soil carbon inputs are 0.03±0.05, 0.09±0.07, 0.06±0.10 and 0.14±0.03 respectively.
- 540 the semipartial correlations between SOC and soil carbon decomposition are -0.22±0.04, -0.29±0.06, -0.27±0.10 and -0.30±0.03 respectively. Nearly all semipartial correlations between SOC and soil carbon decomposition are significant at the 0.05 level for individual CLM5 simulations, but not the semipartial correlations between SOC and soil carbon inputs. The significant semipartial correlation between SOC and soil carbon decomposition in the CLM5 simulations further confirms that the opposite responses of SOC in the ESMs simulations and CLM5 simulations are due to soil carbon decomposition, which
- 545 can be ascribed to the magnitudes of baseline SOC stocks.



Figure 7. The multi-model mean changes of soil carbon inputs (a) and soil carbon turnover time (b) over the baseline permafrost region during the period 2015-2099 under ssp245, ssp585, G6solar and G6sulfur. Solid lines represent the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations.
550 Dashed lines represent the ESMs simulations.

# 3.5 Changes in thawed SOC

As ALT deepens under warmer climates, more previously frozen SOC becomes vulnerable to decomposition within thawed soil volume, especially during summer when both annual ALT and SOC decomposition rates reach their maximum. The permafrost extent and near-surface permafrost SOC in the CLM5 historical simulation are both close to the observational estimates, and CLM5 has a sensitivity of thawed permafrost volume to global MAAT closing to the median of CMIP6 models

(Burke et al., 2020). Hence, CLM5 simulated near-surface permafrost SOC thaw and exposure to accelerated decomposition conditions during summer would be representative under the future climate scenarios.

CLM5 simulates a baseline permafrost area of 11.1 million km<sup>2</sup> where the top 3 m soil is not completely thawed (Figure 3), the annual maximum thawed volume and SOC of upper 3 m soil are 13.6×10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>3</sup> and 332.7 Pg C respectively. For the period 2080-2099 under G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585, the annual maximum thawed volume increases by 10.4(±2.9)×10<sup>3</sup>, 10.3(±3.1)×10<sup>3</sup>, 10.1(±3.0)×10<sup>3</sup> and 15.9(±2.2)×10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>3</sup> respectively, the annual maximum thawed amount of SOC increases by 147.2±49.1, 153.7±51.9, 142.9±51.5 and 239.9±26.8 Pg C respectively. Consequently, the proportion of exposed near-surface permafrost SOC increases from 30.5% at the baseline period to 44.0(±4.5)%, 44.6(±4.8)%, 43.6(±4.7)% and 52.5(±2.5)% at the period 2080-2099 under the combined effects of ALT deepening and soil carbon accumulation. ssp585

- 565 has nearly all permafrost in the upper 3m soil thawed by the period 2080-2099, with almost all the near-surface permafrost SOC exposed to accelerated decomposition conditions, potentially releasing more greenhouse gases to the atmosphere and amplifying climate warming through the permafrost carbon-climate feedback. Conversely, the frozen soil volume and SOC under G6solar and G6sulfur are close to that under ssp245 (Figure 8a, b). G6solar and G6sulfur preserve more frozen SOC from exposing to decomposition and alleviate the permafrost carbon-climate feedback.
- 570 Changes in the exposed SOC depend not only on the varying ALT but also on varying SOC in the permafrost region, their relative importance in modulating annual maximum thawed SOC can be illustrated by fixing ALT at the baseline period and evaluating how the exposed SOC changes. Figure 8c shows the amount of exposed SOC in the baseline thawed volume decreases considerably slower than the overall trend of SOC loss simulated by CLM5 under the four scenarios through the 21th century (Figure 4e). Hence, the time-varying SOC exerts relatively smaller impacts on the changes in the thawed SOC
- 575 and subsequent SOC loss. It is ALT deepening that dominates the changes in the amount of thawed SOC under different warming scenarios. For models with smaller residual high-latitude warming, such as CESM2-WACCM, the spatial distributions of ALT among G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245 are close, hence the annual maximum thawed SOC is also similar. For models with larger residual high-latitude warming, such as CNRM-ESM2-1, the annual maximum thawed SOC is considerably larger under G6solar and G6sulfur than that under ssp245, although still much less than that under ssp585.
- 580 Therefore, G6solar and G6sulfur alleviate the permafrost carbon-climate feedback mainly by reducing the ALT deepening. If the residual warming over high-latitude permafrost regions is well controlled, solar geoengineering would be more effective in alleviating permafrost carbon-climate feedback.



585 Figure 8. Changes in annual maximum thawed permafrost volume (a) and soil organic carbon (b, c) projected by CLM5 for ssp245, ssp585, G6solar and G6sulfur. Panel (c) shows annual maximum thawed permafrost SOC with the ALT fixed at the baseline period 1995-2014.

### 4 Discussion and conclusions

The soil stores the majority of organic carbon in the terrestrial biosphere with the largest stocks in the northern highlatitude permafrost regions (Hengl et al., 2014), which is widely considered as a non-linear tipping point element in the Earth's climate system (Lenton et al., 2008). How the northern high-latitude permafrost soil carbon responds in the future has drawn significant attention (Schuur et al., 2015), but its response to solar geoengineering has been rarely studied. This study compares changes in the northern permafrost and terrestrial carbon under G6solar and G6sulfur solar geoengineering scenarios with that under ssp245 and ssp585 scenarios.

The five ESMs participated in GeoMIP can reasonably reproduce the historical permafrost extent derived from the 595 MAAT, but the models could not reconstruct the historical permafrost extent well using the ESMs simulated soil temperatures, which reflects their divergence in land-atmosphere coupling, defects in hydrothermal parameterizations and even compensation errors over cold regions (Wang et al., 2016; Burke et al., 2020). For example, IPSL-CM6A-LR and MPI-ESM1-2-LR do not consider the latent heat of water-phase change (Burke et al., 2020) and have weak thermal insulation of top surface layer in summer (not shown), these tend to have the ground thawed quickly in summer. However, due to the compensation

600 effects of snow thermal insulation, MPI-ESM1-2-LR simulates colder soil temperatures than IPSL-CM6A-LR and produces a much large permafrost extent (2.4 and 13.6 million km<sup>2</sup> for IPSL-CM6A-LR and MPI-ESM1-2-LR respectively for the period

1960-1990), because MPI-ESM1-2-LR has much weaker snow thermal insulation than IPSL-CM6A-LR. For UKESM1-0-LL, its recently added multi-layered snow scheme produces a much large snow thermal insulation in winter than the observations, and the model can not properly simulate soil temperatures in northern high-latitude and has ALT around 2 m irrespective of

- MAAT (Burke et al., 2020). On the other hand, all ESMs largely underestimate the carbon stocks except for CESM2-WACCM, it is also a common problem of many CMIP5 and CMIP6 models (Todd-Brown et al., 2013; Ito et al., 2020; Varney et al., 2022). Most of ESMs lack of adequate representation of permafrost carbon cycle (Melnikova et al., 2020; Varney et al., 2022), such as vertically resolving SOC and storing SOC via cryoturbation mixing and yedoma deposits, which are important processes to preserve organic material in frozen soil (Koven et al., 2009, 2010; Beer, 2016; Zhu et al., 2016). As the baseline
- 610 permafrost extent and soil carbon stocks can affect the modeling of heterotrophic respiration and the fate of soil carbon in newly thawed permafrost (McGuire et al., 2016), the biases of permafrost extent and soil carbon stocks in the ESMs might introduce significant biases in their projections. Given this situation, we analyze the response of northern high-latitude permafrost in two groups of simulations, one group from GeoMIP's ESMs simulations and the other group from the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations.
- 615 G6solar and G6sulfur show significant residual warming over the northern high-latitude relative to ssp245, even though global mean temperatures were changed from ssp585 to ssp245 levels. The residual warming in near-surface air is more profound over Northern Eurasia in winter under G6sulfur, and this affects the permafrost degradation in summer. The winter surface residual warming at high latitudes under SAI geoengineering has been previously reported by Jiang et al. (2019), Simpson et al. (2019), Banerjee et al. (2021) and Visioni et al. (2021), and it is attributed to seasonal differences in radiative
- 620 forcing and dynamical effects of injected stratospheric aerosol (Jones et al., 2021). The broad-scale patterns of temperature perturbation over Northern Eurasia during boreal winter under SAI geoengineering resemble those associated with a positive phase of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) observed subsequent to large tropical volcanic eruptions (Shindell et al., 2004; Jones et al., 2021), similar results were found in another SAI geoengineering experiment manifested as altered seasonal cycles of temperature and snow at northern high latitudes (Jiang et al., 2019). In addition, even without the dynamical effects of SAI,
- 625 the difference in the vertical structure of temperature change between increasing CO<sub>2</sub>, decreasing insolation, and decreasing atmospheric energy transport also produce residual surface warming at northern high latitudes under solar geoengineering (Henry and Merlis, 2020).

G6solar and G6sulfur show mild reductions in summer precipitation relative to ssp245 over the northern high-latitude permafrost region, and similar precipitation as ssp245 in other seasons. The mild reduction in summer precipitation would be

- 630 expected to only slightly modify surface soil moisture and it is not likely to significantly affect the vegetation growth and environment for SOC decomposition according to the sensitivity analysis for CMIP5 models (Todd-Brown et al., 2013, 2014). The slightly increase in winter snowfall under G6sulfur relative to ssp245 produces very small impacts on changing the thermal insulation of snowpack with regards to ssp245. Therefore, the main factors affecting the northern high-latitude permafrost ecosystem are the residual warming and enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects (Govindasamy et al., 2002; Glienke et al., 2015)
- 635 under G6solar and G6sulfur compared with ssp245.

G6solar and G6sulfur can slow permafrost degradation compared to ssp585. Based on the observationally constructed relationship between MAAT and permafrost probability, only 11% of the baseline permafrost (12.3 million km<sup>2</sup>) will be preserved to the period 2080-2099 under ssp585 and most of them are sporadic and isolated patches being confined to the northernmost part of the Arctic. G6solar, G6sulfur and ssp245 would preserve 41%, 39% and 45% of the baseline permafrost

640 extent respectively for the period 2080-2099. The surviving continuous and discontinuous permafrost under the mitigation and geoengineering scenarios are mostly in central and eastern parts of both northern Canada and northern Siberia. Based on the anomaly forcing CLM5 simulations, the permafrost area defined as ALT within upper 3 m soil declines a little slower under the four scenarios than that derived from the MAAT, 58%, 56%, 59% and 26% of the baseline permafrost area would be preserved to the period 2080-2099 under G6solar, G6sulfur, ssp245 and ssp585 respectively. Different permafrost degradation

# 645 given by the two methods can be ascribed to the methodological differences in detecting permafrost existence.

Due to enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects relative to ssp245 and more temperature limitation relative to ssp585 in the northern high-latitude region, G6solar and G6sulfur have vegetation carbon increasing faster than ssp245 and slower than ssp585. Soil carbon inputs change in the same way as the NPP. The turnover times of soil carbon decline slower under G6solar and G6sulfur compared with ssp585 and its changes are more like that under ssp245, suggesting the soil heterotrophic

650

respiration dominates the changes of turnover time, and more important role of carbon-climate feedback than the carbonconcentration feedback over the northern high-latitude permafrost region. G6solar and G6sulfur preserve more soil carbon in the northern high-latitude permafrost region with regards to ssp585 due to weakened heterotrophic respiration. 4.6±4.6 and 3.4±4.8 Pg C more soil carbon would be protected under G6solar and G6sulfur respectively than ssp585 according to the ESMs simulations, and 16.4±4.7 and 12.3±7.9 Pg C more soil carbon would be protected respectively according to the CLM5

655 simulations. As G6solar and G6sulfur preserve more soil carbon than ssp585, if G6solar and G6sulfur geoengineering were terminated in an uncontrolled way the soil temperature would rebound rather quickly to ssp585 levels (Lee et al., 2019) and trigger rapid permafrost carbon-climate feedback.

The projected SOC change in the northern permafrost region and whether this region acts as a carbon source or a carbon sink under G6solar and G6sulfur depends on the simulated baseline SOC storage. The ESMs simulations have baseline SOC

- 660 storages considerably smaller than the observational estimate and project SOC increasing under the four scenarios. The CLM5 simulations have a baseline SOC storage closing to the observational estimate and project SOC decreasing under the four scenarios. The analysis based on semipartial correlations shows the opposite responses of SOC in the ESMs and CLM5 simulations are due to accelerated soil carbon decomposition in the CLM5 simulations. At the same time, the ESMs simulations show the northern high-latitude permafrost region remains as a carbon sink throughout the 21th century under the four
- 665 scenarios, because the increases in plant productivity offset accelerated decomposition rates (McGuire et al., 2018). Whereas the CLM5 simulations show the northern high-latitude permafrost region would switch to a carbon source during the 21th century, because the accelerated decomposition rates exceed increases in plant productivity. However, in either case, G6solar and G6sulfur tend to store more terrestrial carbon in the northern high-latitude ecosystems than ssp585.
- Our results suggest that G6solar and G6sulfur cannot restore the northern high-latitude permafrost system under ssp585 to that under ssp245 due to the residual high-latitude warming (Kravitz et al., 2013a; Henry and Merlis, 2020), and the decoupling of temperature and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations under solar geoengineering, which means asynchronous changes in heterotrophic respiration and CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effects. Comparing with ssp245, G6solar and G6sulfur have deeper active layer across much of Northern Eurasia due to the robust residual winter warming over Northern Eurasia, especially under G6sulfur. As a result of deeper active layer, G6solar and G6sulfur also have more thawed SOC vulnerable to

675 decomposition than ssp245. In addition, G6solar and G6sulfur accumulate more vegetation carbon than ssp245, whereas the soil carbon storages under G6solar and G6sulfur tend to be smaller in the CLM5 simulations and larger in the ESMs simulations compared with ssp245.

This study analyzes the response of high-latitude permafrost under solar geoengineering, without assessing its feedback on regional or global climate systems. Cao and Jiang (2017) find the carbon cycle-climate feedback raises the amount of

- 680 required solar geoengineering to reach targeted warming levels without considering the permafrost carbon-climate feedback. How much the permafrost carbon-climate feedback would change the efficiency of solar geoengineering depends on specific warming targets and pathways to reach them (Gasser et al., 2018; Kleinen and Brovkin, 2018), these require specifically designed geoengineering experiments to access and are beyond the current scope of GeoMIP. Earth system models are an indispensable tool to examine the effects of different solar geoengineering methods, but only a few models have conducted the
- 685 G6solar and G6sulfur experiments, and few studies have focused on the regional carbon cycle responses to solar geoengineering. We encourage more modeling groups to focus on high-latitude and perform the GeoMIP-type experiments.

# Data availability

All CMIP6 and GeoMIP simulation data used in this work are available from the Earth System Grid (https://esgfnode.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/, WCRP, 2022). NCSCD v2 SOC dataset is available from https://bolin.su.se/data/ncscd/ 690 (NCSCDv2, 2022).

Author contributions

DJ designed the research. YC performed the analysis and wrote the initial manuscript. DJ, QZ and JCM revised the manuscript. OB, AJ, TL, MJM, UN, RS and ST performed the simulations and offered valuable comments on the manuscript.

# **Competing interests**

695 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme for coordinating and promoting CMIP and all participants of CMIP6 and GeoMIP. We thank the Super Computing Center of Beijing Normal University for providing computing resources. We thank Dr. Burke for providing the computer code calculating permafrost probability with the observation-based relationship. This study was supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 41875126). Andy Jones was supported by the Met Office Hadley Centre Climate Programme funded by BEIS. Ulrike Niemeier has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Research Unit VollImpact (FOR2820 (grant no. 398006378)) and used resources of the Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) granted by its Scientific Steering Committee (WLA) under project ID bm0550. Roland Séférian acknowledges the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement

No. 101003536 (ESM2025 – Earth System Models for the Future) and H2020 CONSTRAIN under the grant agreement No 820829. The IPSL-CM6 experiments were performed using the HPC resources of TGCC under the allocations 2020-A0080107732 and 2021-A0100107732 (project gencmip6) provided by GENCI (Grand Equipement National de Calcul Intensif).

#### References

- 710 Aalto, J., Karjalainen, O., Hjort, J., and Luoto, M.: Statistical Forecasting of Current and Future Circum-Arctic Ground Temperatures and Active Layer Thickness, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 4889-4898, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078007, 2018.
  - Andresen, C. G., Lawrence, D. M., Wilson, C. J., McGuire, A. D., Koven, C., Schaefer, K., Jafarov, E., Peng, S., Chen, X., Gouttevin, I., Burke, E., Chadburn, S., Ji, D., Chen, G., Hayes, D., and Zhang, W.: Soil moisture and hydrology
- 715 projections of the permafrost region a model intercomparison, The Cryosphere, 14, 445-459, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-445-2020, 2020.
  - Anthony, K. W., Schneider Von Deimling, T., Nitze, I., Frolking, S., Emond, A., Daanen, R., Anthony, P., Lindgren, P., Jones, B., and Grosse, G.: 21st-century modeled permafrost carbon emissions accelerated by abrupt thaw beneath lakes, Nat. Commun., 9, 1-11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05738-9, 2018.
- 720 Bala, G., Caldeira, K., and Nemani, R.: Fast versus slow response in climate change: implications for the global hydrological cycle, Clim. Dynam., 35, 423-434, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-009-0583-y, 2010.

Banerjee, A., Butler, A. H., Polvani, L. M., Robock, A., Simpson, I. R., and Sun, L.: Robust winter warming over Eurasia

under stratospheric sulfate geoengineering - the role of stratospheric dynamics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 6985-6997, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-6985-2021, 2021.

- 725 Beer, C.: Permafrost sub-grid heterogeneity of soil properties key for 3-D soil processes and future climate projections, Front. Earth Sci., 4, 81, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2016.00081, 2016.
  - Biskaborn, B. K., Smith, S. L., Noetzli, J., Matthes, H., Vieira, G., Streletskiy, D. A., Schoeneich, P., Romanovsky, V. E., Lewkowicz, A. G., Abramov, A., Allard, M., Boike, J., Cable, W. L., Christiansen, H. H., Delaloye, R., Diekmann, B., Drozdov, D., Etzelmüller, B., Grosse, G., Guglielmin, M., Ingeman-Nielsen, T., Isaksen, K., Ishikawa, M., Johansson,
- M., Johannsson, H., Joo, A., Kaverin, D., Kholodov, A., Konstantinov, P., Kröger, T., Lambiel, C., Lanckman, J., Luo, D., Malkova, G., Meiklejohn, I., Moskalenko, N., Oliva, M., Phillips, M., Ramos, M., Sannel, A. B. K., Sergeev, D., Seybold, C., Skryabin, P., Vasiliev, A., Wu, Q., Yoshikawa, K., Zheleznyak, M., and Lantuit, H.: Permafrost is warming at a global scale, Nat. Commun., 10, 1-11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08240-4, 2019.
- Bockheim, J. G.: Importance of cryoturbation in redistributing organic carbon in permafrost-affected soils, Soil Sci. Soc. 735 Am. J., 71, 1335-1342, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2006.0414N, 2007.
- Boucher, O., Servonnat, J., Albright, A. L., Aumont, O., Balkanski, Y., Bastrikov, V., Bekki, S., Bonnet, R., Bony, S., and Bopp, L.: Presentation and evaluation of the IPSL-CM6A-LR climate model, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 12, 2019MS002010, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS002010, 2020.
  - Box, J. E., Colgan, W. T., Christensen, T. R., Schmidt, N. M., Lund, M., Parmentier, F. W., Brown, R., Bhatt, U. S.,
- Euskirchen, E. S., and Romanovsky, V. E.: Key indicators of Arctic climate change: 1971-2017, Environ. Res. Lett., 14, 045010, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aafc1b, 2019.
  - Brown, J., Ferrians Jr, O. J., Heginbottom, J. A., and Melnikov, E. S.: Circum-arctic map of permafrost and ground ice conditions Washington, DC: U.S. Geological Survey in Cooperation with the Circum-Pacific Council for Energy and Mineral Resources, Circum-Pacific Map Series CP-45, scale 1:10,000,000, 1 sheet, 1997.
- 745 Burke, E. J., Ekici, A., Huang, Y., Chadburn, S. E., Huntingford, C., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Peng, S., and Krinner, G.: Quantifying uncertainties of permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks, Biogeosciences, 14, 3051-3066, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-3051-2017, 2017.
  - Burke, E. J., Zhang, Y., and Krinner, G.: Evaluating permafrost physics in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 6 (CMIP6) models and their sensitivity to climate change, The Cryosphere, 14, 3155-3174, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-3155-2020, 2020.
- Burn, C. R., and Zhang, Y.: Sensitivity of active-layer development to winter conditions north of treeline, Mackenzie delta area, western Arctic coast, in: Proceedings of the 6th Canadian Permafrost Conference, The 63rd Canadian Geotechnical Conference, Calgary, Alberta, 12-16 September 2010, http://pubs.aina.ucalgary.ca/cpc/CPC6-1458.pdf, 1458-1465, 2010.
  - Cao, L., and Jiang, J.: Simulated Effect of Carbon Cycle Feedback on Climate Response to Solar Geoengineering, Geophys.

755 Res. Lett., 44, 12-484, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076546, 2017.

- Cao, L.: The Effects of Solar Radiation Management on the Carbon Cycle, Current Climate Change Reports, 4, 41-50, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-018-0088-z, 2018.
  - Chadburn, S. E., Burke, E. J., Cox, P. M., Friedlingstein, P., Hugelius, G., and Westermann, S.: An observation-based constraint on permafrost loss as a function of global warming, Nat. Clim. Change, 7, 340-344,
- 760 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3262, 2017.

750

- Chen, Y., Liu, A., and Moore, J. C.: Mitigation of Arctic permafrost carbon loss through stratospheric aerosol geoengineering, Nat. Commun., 11, 1-10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16357-8, 2020.
- Crowther, T. W., and Bradford, M. A.: Thermal acclimation in widespread heterotrophic soil microbes, Ecol. Lett., 16, 469-477, https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12069, 2013.
- 765 Crutzen, P. J.: Albedo Enhancement by Stratospheric Sulfur Injections: A Contribution to Resolve a Policy Dilemma?

Climatic Change, 77, 211, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9101-y, 2006.

780

- Dankers, R., Burke, E. J., and Price, J.: Simulation of permafrost and seasonal thaw depth in the JULES land surface scheme, The Cryosphere, 5, 773-790, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-773-2011, 2011.
- Decharme, B., Delire, C., Minvielle, M., Colin, J., Vergnes, J. P., Alias, A., Saint Martin, D., Séférian, R., Sénési, S., and
- 770 Voldoire, A.: Recent Changes in the ISBA-CTRIP Land Surface System for Use in the CNRM-CM6 Climate Model and in Global Off-Line Hydrological Applications, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 11, 1207-1252, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001545, 2019.
  - Delire, C., Séférian, R., Decharme, B., Alkama, R., Calvet, J. C., Carrer, D., Gibelin, A. L., Joetzjer, E., Morel, X., Rocher,M., and Tzanos, D.: The Global Land Carbon Cycle Simulated With ISBA-CTRIP: Improvements Over the Last Decade,
- J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 12, e2019MS001886, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001886, 2020.
   Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., and Taylor, K. E.: Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 1937-1958, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016, 2016.

Fawzy, S., Osman, A. I., Doran, J., and Rooney, D. W.: Strategies for mitigation of climate change: a review, Environ. Chem. Lett., 18, 2069-2094, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01059-w, 2020.

Ferraro, A. J., Highwood, E. J., and Charlton-Perez, A. J.: Weakened tropical circulation and reduced precipitation in response to geoengineering, Environ. Res. Lett., 9, 014001, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/1/014001, 2014.

Field, C. B., and Raupach, M. R. (Eds.): The global carbon cycle: integrating humans, climate, and the natural world, Island Press, 2004.

785 Gasser, T., Kechiar, M., Ciais, P., Burke, E. J., Kleinen, T., Zhu, D., Huang, Y., Ekici, A., and Obersteiner, M.: Pathdependent reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> emission budgets caused by permafrost carbon release, Nat. Geosci., 11, 830-835, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0227-0, 2018.

Gruber, S.: Derivation and analysis of a high-resolution estimate of global permafrost zonation, The Cryosphere, 6, 221-233, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-221-2012, 2012.

- 790 Hausfather, Z., Marvel, K., Schmidt, G. A., Nielsen-Gammon, J. W., and Zelinka, M.: Climate simulations: recognize the 'hot model' problem, Nature, 605, 26-29, https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-01192-2, 2022.
  - Hengl, T., de Jesus, J. M., MacMillan, R. A., Batjes, N. H., Heuvelink, G. B., Ribeiro, E., Samuel-Rosa, A., Kempen, B., Leenaars, J. G., Walsh, M. G., and Gonzalez, M. R.: SoilGrids1km--global soil information based on automated mapping, PLoS One, 9, e105992, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105992, 2014.
- 795 Henry, M., and Merlis, T. M.: Forcing Dependence of Atmospheric Lapse Rate Changes Dominates Residual Polar Warming in Solar Radiation Management Climate Scenarios, Geophys. Res. Lett., 47, e2020GL087929, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087929, 2020.
  - Henry, M., Merlis, T. M., Lutsko, N. J., and Rose, B. E. J.: Decomposing the Drivers of Polar Amplification with a Single-Column Model, J. Climate, 34, 2355-2365, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0178.1, 2021.
- 800 Huang, Y., Guenet, B., Wang, Y. L., and Ciais, P.: Global Simulation and Evaluation of Soil Organic Matter and Microbial Carbon and Nitrogen Stocks Using the Microbial Decomposition Model ORCHIMIC v2.0, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 35, e2020GB006836, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006836, 2021.
  - Hugelius, G., Bockheim, J. G., Camill, P., Elberling, B., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Johnson, K., Jorgenson, T., Koven, C.D., Kuhry, P., Michaelson, G., Mishra, U., Palmtag, J., Ping, C. L., O'Donnell, J., Schirrmeister, L., Schuur, E. A. G.,
- 805 Sheng, Y., Smith, L. C., Strauss, J., and Yu, Z.: A new data set for estimating organic carbon storage to 3 m depth in soils of the northern circumpolar permafrost region, Earth System Science Data, 5, 393-402, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-5-393-2013, 2013.

Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C. L., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G.,

Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J., and Kuhry,

- P.: Estimated stocks of circumpolar permafrost carbon with quantified uncertainty ranges and identified data gaps,
   Biogeosciences, 11, 6573-6593, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-6573-2014, 2014.
  - Ito, A., Hajima, T., Lawrence, D. M., Brovkin, V., Delire, C., Guenet, B., Jones, C. D., Malyshev, S., Materia, S., McDermid, S. P., Peano, D., Pongratz, J., Robertson, E., Shevliakova, E., Vuichard, N., Warlind, D., Wiltshire, A., and Ziehn, T.: Soil carbon sequestration simulated in CMIP6-LUMIP models: implications for climatic mitigation, Environ. Res. Lett., 15, 124061, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abc912, 2020.
- Jiang, J., Cao, L., MacMartin, D. G., Simpson, I. R., Kravitz, B., Cheng, W., Visioni, D., Tilmes, S., Richter, J. H., and Mills, M. J.: Stratospheric Sulfate Aerosol Geoengineering Could Alter the High-Latitude Seasonal Cycle, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 14153-14163, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085758, 2019.
- Jones, A., Haywood, J. M., Jones, A. C., Tilmes, S., Kravitz, B., and Robock, A.: North Atlantic Oscillation response in
   GeoMIP experiments G6solar and G6sulfur: why detailed modelling is needed for understanding regional implications of solar radiation management, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 1287-1304, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-1287-2021, 2021.
  - Keith, D. W., Wagner, G., and Zabel, C. L.: Solar geoengineering reduces atmospheric carbon burden, Nat. Clim. Change, 7, 617-619, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3376, 2017.

Kleinen, T., and Brovkin, V.: Pathway-dependent fate of permafrost region carbon, Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 094001,

825 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aad824, 2018.

815

- Koven, C. D., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G., and Tarnocai, C.: On the formation of highlatitude soil carbon stocks: Effects of cryoturbation and insulation by organic matter in a land surface model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L21501, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040150, 2009.
  - Koven, C. D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G., and Tarnocai, C.:
- 830 Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. Usa., 108, 14769-14774, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1103910108, 2011.
  - Koven, C. D., Lawrence, D. M., and Riley, W. J.: Permafrost carbon-climate feedback is sensitive to deep soil carbon decomposability but not deep soil nitrogen dynamics, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112, 3752-3757, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1415123112, 2015.
- 835 Koven, C. D., Hugelius, G., Lawrence, D. M., and Wieder, W. R.: Higher climatological temperature sensitivity of soil carbon in cold than warm climates, Nat. Clim. Change, 7, 817-822, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3421, 2017.
  - Krause, A., Kloster, S., Wilkenskjeld, S., and Paeth, H.: The sensitivity of global wildfires to simulated past, present, and future lightning frequency, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 119, 312-322, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002502, 2014.
- 840 Kravitz, B., Robock, A., Boucher, O., Schmidt, H., Taylor, K. E., Stenchikov, G., and Schulz, M.: The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP), Atmos. Sci. Lett., 12, 162-167, https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.316, 2011.
  - Kravitz, B., Robock, A., Forster, P. M., Haywood, J. M., Lawrence, M. G., and Schmidt, H.: An overview of the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP), Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 13,103-13,107, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020569, 2013a.
- 845 Kravitz, B., Rasch, P. J., Forster, P. M., Andrews, T., Cole, J. N. S., Irvine, P. J., Ji, D., Kristjánsson, J. E., Moore, J. C., Muri, H., Niemeier, U., Robock, A., Singh, B., Tilmes, S., Watanabe, S., and Yoon, J.: An energetic perspective on hydrological cycle changes in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 13,087-13,102, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020502, 2013b.
  - Kravitz, B., Robock, A., Tilmes, S., Boucher, O., English, J. M., Irvine, P. J., Jones, A., Lawrence, M. G., MacCracken, M.,
- 850 Muri, H., Moore, J. C., Niemeier, U., Phipps, S. J., Sillmann, J., Storelvmo, T., Wang, H., and Watanabe, S.: The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (GeoMIP6): simulation design and preliminary results, Geosci.

Model Dev., 8, 3379-3392, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-3379-2015, 2015.

- Kravitz, B., MacMartin, D. G., Wang, H., and Rasch, P. J.: Geoengineering as a design problem, Earth Syst. Dynam., 7, 469-497, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-7-469-2016, 2016.
- Kravitz, B., MacMartin, D. G., Tilmes, S., Richter, J. H., Mills, M. J., Cheng, W., Dagon, K., Glanville, A. S., Lamarque, J. F., Simpson, I. R., Tribbia, J., and Vitt, F.: Comparing Surface and Stratospheric Impacts of Geoengineering with Different SO<sub>2</sub> Injection Strategies, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 7900-7918, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030329, 2019.
- Krinner, G., Viovy, N., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Ogée, J., Polcher, J., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Sitch, S., and Prentice, I.
- C.: A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the coupled atmosphere-biosphere system, Global Biogeochem.
   Cy., 19, GB1015, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002199, 2005.
  - Lauritzen, P. H., Nair, R. D., Herrington, A. R., Callaghan, P., Goldhaber, S., Dennis, J. M., Bacmeister, J. T., Eaton, B. E.,
    Zarzycki, C. M., Taylor, M. A., Ullrich, P. A., Dubos, T., Gettelman, A., Neale, R. B., Dobbins, B., Reed, K. A., Hannay,
    C., Medeiros, B., Benedict, J. J., and Tribbia, J. J.: NCAR Release of CAM-SE in CESM2.0: A Reformulation of the
- 865 Spectral Element Dynamical Core in Dry-Mass Vertical Coordinates With Comprehensive Treatment of Condensates and Energy, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 10, 1537-1570, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017MS001257, 2018.
  - Lawrence, D. M., and Slater, A. G.: A projection of severe near-surface permafrost degradation during the 21st century, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025080, 2005.

Lawrence, D. M., Slater, A. G., and Swenson, S. C.: Simulation of Present-Day and Future Permafrost and Seasonally

- Frozen Ground Conditions in CCSM4, J. Climate, 25, 2207-2225, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00334.1, 2012.
  Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R. A., Koven, C. D., Oleson, K. W., Swenson, S. C., Bonan, G., Collier, N., Ghimire, B., Kampenhout, L., Kennedy, D., Kluzek, E., Lawrence, P. J., Li, F., Li, H., Lombardozzi, D., Riley, W. J., Sacks, W. J., Shi, M., Vertenstein, M., Wieder, W. R., Xu, C., Ali, A. A., Badger, A. M., Bisht, G., Broeke, M., Brunke, M. A., Burns, S. P., Buzan, J., Clark, M., Craig, A., Dahlin, K., Drewniak, B., Fisher, J. B., Flanner, M., Fox, A. M., Gentine, P.,
- Hoffman, F., Keppel Aleks, G., Knox, R., Kumar, S., Lenaerts, J., Leung, L. R., Lipscomb, W. H., Lu, Y., Pandey, A.,
  Pelletier, J. D., Perket, J., Randerson, J. T., Ricciuto, D. M., Sanderson, B. M., Slater, A., Subin, Z. M., Tang, J., Thomas,
  R. Q., Val Martin, M., and Zeng, X.: The Community Land Model Version 5: Description of New Features,
  Benchmarking, and Impact of Forcing Uncertainty, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 11, 4245-4287,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001583, 2019.
- 880 Lee, H., Ekici, A., Tjiputra, J., Muri, H., Chadburn, S. E., Lawrence, D. M., and Schwinger, J.: The Response of Permafrost and High-Latitude Ecosystems Under Large-Scale Stratospheric Aerosol Injection and Its Termination, Earth's Future, 7, 605-614, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EF001146, 2019.
  - Lee, H., Muri, H., Ekici, A., Tjiputra, J., and Schwinger, J.: The response of terrestrial ecosystem carbon cycling under different aerosol-based radiation management geoengineering, Earth Syst. Dynam., 12, 313-326,

885 https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-12-313-2021, 2021.

- Lenton, T. M., Held, H., Kriegler, E., Hall, J. W., Lucht, W., Rahmstorf, S., and Schellnhuber, H. J.: Tipping elements in the Earth's climate system, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 105, 1786-1793, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0705414105, 2008.
- MacDougall, A. H., Avis, C. A., and Weaver, A. J.: Significant contribution to climate warming from the permafrost carbon feedback, Nat. Geosci., 5, 719-721, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1573, 2012.
- 890 MacDougall, A. H., and Knutti, R.: Projecting the release of carbon from permafrost soils using a perturbed parameter ensemble modelling approach, Biogeosciences, 13, 2123-2136, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2123-2016, 2016.
  - Mauritsen, T., Bader, J., Becker, T., Behrens, J., Bittner, M., Brokopf, R., Brovkin, V., Claussen, M., Crueger, T., Esch, M.,
    Fast, I., Fiedler, S., Fläschner, D., Gayler, V., Giorgetta, M., Goll, D. S., Haak, H., Hagemann, S., Hedemann, C.,
    Hohenegger, C., Ilyina, T., Jahns, T., Jimenéz De La Cuesta, D., Jungclaus, J., Kleinen, T., Kloster, S., Kracher, D.,

- Kinne, S., Kleberg, D., Lasslop, G., Kornblueh, L., Marotzke, J., Matei, D., Meraner, K., Mikolajewicz, U., Modali, K.,
  Möbis, B., Müller, W. A., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nam, C. C. W., Notz, D., Nyawira, S. S., Paulsen, H., Peters, K., Pincus, R.,
  Pohlmann, H., Pongratz, J., Popp, M., Raddatz, T. J., Rast, S., Redler, R., Reick, C. H., Rohrschneider, T., Schemann, V.,
  Schmidt, H., Schnur, R., Schulzweida, U., Six, K. D., Stein, L., Stemmler, I., Stevens, B., Storch, J. S., Tian, F., Voigt,
  A., Vrese, P., Wieners, K. H., Wilkenskjeld, S., Winkler, A., and Roeckner, E.: Developments in the MPI-M Earth
- 900 System Model version 1.2 (MPI-ESM1.2) and Its Response to Increasing CO<sub>2</sub>, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 11, 998-1038, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001400, 2019.
  - McGuire, A. D., Koven, C., Lawrence, D. M., Clein, J. S., Xia, J., Beer, C., Burke, E., Chen, G., Chen, X., Delire, C.,
    Jafarov, E., MacDougall, A. H., Marchenko, S., Nicolsky, D., Peng, S., Rinke, A., Saito, K., Zhang, W., Alkama, R.,
    Bohn, T. J., Ciais, P., Decharme, B., Ekici, A., Gouttevin, I., Hajima, T., Hayes, D. J., Ji, D., Krinner, G., Lettenmaier, D.
- P., Luo, Y., Miller, P. A., Moore, J. C., Romanovsky, V., Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Schuur, E. A. G., Smith, B.,
   Sueyoshi, T., and Zhuang, Q.: Variability in the sensitivity among model simulations of permafrost and carbon dynamics in the permafrost region between 1960 and 2009, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 30, 1015-1037, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GB005405, 2016.
  - McGuire, A. D., Lawrence, D. M., Koven, C., Clein, J. S., Burke, E., Chen, G., Jafarov, E., MacDougall, A. H., Marchenko,
- S., Nicolsky, D., Peng, S., Rinke, A., Ciais, P., Gouttevin, I., Hayes, D. J., Ji, D., Krinner, G., Moore, J. C., Romanovsky, V., Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Schuur, E. A. G., and Zhuang, Q.: Dependence of the evolution of carbon dynamics in the northern permafrost region on the trajectory of climate change, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115, 3882-3887, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1719903115, 2018.
- Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Eyring, V., Flato, G., Lamarque, J. F., Stouffer, R. J., Taylor, K. E., and Schlund, M.: Context
   for interpreting equilibrium climate sensitivity and transient climate response from the CMIP6 Earth system models, Sci
   Adv, 6, eaba1981, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba1981, 2020.
  - Meinshausen, M., Nicholls, Z. R. J., Lewis, J., Gidden, M. J., Vogel, E., Freund, M., Beyerle, U., Gessner, C., Nauels, A., Bauer, N., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., John, A., Krummel, P. B., Luderer, G., Meinshausen, N., Montzka, S. A., Rayner, P. J., Reimann, S., Smith, S. J., van den Berg, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K., and Wang, R. H. J.: The shared
- socio-economic pathway (SSP) greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions to 2500, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 3571–3605, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-3571-2020, 2020.
  - Melnikova, I., Boucher, O., Cadule, P., Ciais, P., Gasser, T., Quilcaille, Y., Shiogama, H., Tachiiri, K., Yokohata, T., and Tanaka, K.: Carbon Cycle Response to Temperature Overshoot Beyond 2°C: An Analysis of CMIP6 Models, Earth's Future, 9, e2020EF001967, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EF001967, 2021.
- 925 Muri, H., Niemeier, U., and Kristjánsson, J. E.: Tropical rainforest response to marine sky brightening climate engineering, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 2951-2960, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063363, 2015.
  - Muri, H., Tjiputra, J., Otterå, O. H., Adakudlu, M., Lauvset, S. K., Grini, A., Schulz, M., Niemeier, U., and Kristjánsson, J.
    E.: Climate Response to Aerosol Geoengineering: A Multimethod Comparison, J. Climate, 31, 6319-6340, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0620.1, 2018.
- 930 NCSCDv2: Northern Circumpolar Soil Carbon Database version 2, available at: https://bolin.su.se/data/ncscd/, last access, 12 January, 2022.
  - Niemeier, U., Schmidt, H., Alterskjaer, K., and Kristjánsson, J. E.: Solar irradiance reduction via climate engineering: Impact of different techniques on the energy balance and the hydrological cycle, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 11,905-11,917, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020445, 2013.
- 935 Obu, J., Westermann, S., Bartsch, A., Berdnikov, N., Christiansen, H. H., Dashtseren, A., Delaloye, R., Elberling, B., Etzelmüller, B., Kholodov, A., Khomutov, A., Kääb, A., Leibman, M. O., Lewkowicz, A. G., Panda, S. K., Romanovsky, V., Way, R. G., Westergaard-Nielsen, A., Wu, T., Yamkhin, J., and Zou, D.: Northern Hemisphere permafrost map based

on TTOP modelling for 2000-2016 at 1 km2 scale, Earth-Sci. Rev., 193, 299-316, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.04.023, 2019.

Hamburg: MPI für Meteorologie, 287 pp., https://doi:10.17617/2.3279802, 2021.

- 940 O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D. P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., Knutti, R., Kriegler, E., Lamarque, J., Lowe, J., Meehl, G. A., Moss, R., Riahi, K., and Sanderson, B. M.: The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 3461-3482, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016, 2016.
  - Opfergelt, S.: The next generation of climate model should account for the evolution of mineral-organic interactions with permafrost thaw, Environ. Res. Lett., 15, 091003, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab9a6d, 2020.
- Peng, S., Ciais, P., Krinner, G., Wang, T., Gouttevin, I., McGuire, A. D., Lawrence, D., Burke, E., Chen, X., Decharme, B., Koven, C., MacDougall, A., Rinke, A., Saito, K., Zhang, W., Alkama, R., Bohn, T. J., Delire, C., Hajima, T., Ji, D., Lettenmaier, D. P., Miller, P. A., Moore, J. C., Smith, B., and Sueyoshi, T.: Simulated high-latitude soil thermal dynamics during the past 4 decades, The Cryosphere, 10, 179-192, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-179-2016, 2016.
  Plazzotta, M., Séférian, R., and Douville, H.: Impact of Solar Radiation Modification on Allowable CO2 Emissions: What
- Can We Learn From Multimodel Simulations? Earth's Future, 7, 664-676, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EF001165, 2019.
   Reick, C. H., Gayler, V., Goll, D., Hagemann, S., Heidkamp, M., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Raddatz, T., Roeckner, E., Schnur, R., and Wilkenskjeld, S.: JSBACH 3 The land component of the MPI Earth System Model: documentation of version 3.2,

Russotto, R. D., and Ackerman, T. P.: Energy transport, polar amplification, and ITCZ shifts in the GeoMIP G1 ensemble, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 2287-2305, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2287-2018, 2018.

- Schmidt, H., Alterskjær, K., Bou Karam, D., Boucher, O., Jones, A., Kristjánsson, J. E., Niemeier, U., Schulz, M., Aaheim, A., Benduhn, F., Lawrence, M., and Timmreck, C.: Solar irradiance reduction to counteract radiative forcing from a quadrupling of CO<sub>2</sub>: climate responses simulated by four earth system models, Earth Syst. Dynam., 3, 63-78, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-3-63-2012, 2012.
- 960 Schneider Von Deimling, T., Meinshausen, M., Levermann, A., Huber, V., Frieler, K., Lawrence, D. M., and Brovkin, V.: Estimating the near-surface permafrost-carbon feedback on global warming, Biogeosciences, 9, 649-665, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-649-2012, 2012.
  - Schuur, E. A. G., Bockheim, J., Canadell, J. G., Euskirchen, E., Field, C. B., Goryachkin, S. V., Hagemann, S., Kuhry, P., Lafleur, P. M., Lee, H., Mazhitova, G., Nelson, F. E., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Shiklomanov, N., Tarnocai, C.,
- 965 Venevsky, S., Vogel, J. G., and Zimov, S. A.: Vulnerability of Permafrost Carbon to Climate Change: Implications for the Global Carbon Cycle, BioScience, 58, 701-714, https://doi.org/10.1641/B580807, 2008.
  - Schuur, E. A. G., McGuire, A. D., Schädel, C., Grosse, G., Harden, J. W., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Koven, C. D., Kuhry,
    P., Lawrence, D. M., Natali, S. M., Olefeldt, D., Romanovsky, V. E., Schaefer, K., Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., and
    Vonk, J. E.: Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback, Nature, 520, 171-179,
- 970 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14338, 2015.

955

- Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., Decharme, B., Delire, C., Berthet, S.,
  Chevallier, M., Senesi, S., Franchisteguy, L., Vial, J., Mallet, M., Joetzjer, E., Geoffroy, O., Gueremy, J. F., Moine, M. P.,
  Msadek, R., Ribes, A., Rocher, M., Roehrig, R., Salas-y-Melia, D., Sanchez, E., Terray, L., Valcke, S., Waldman, R.,
  Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Deshayes, J., Ethe, C., and Madec, G.: Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2-
- P75 1: Role of Earth System Processes in Present-Day and Future Climate, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 11, 4182-4227, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001791, 2019.
  - Sellar, A. A., Jones, C. G., Mulcahy, J. P., Tang, Y., Yool, A., Wiltshire, A., O'Connor, F. M., Stringer, M., Hill, R., Palmieri, J., Woodward, S., Mora, L., Kuhlbrodt, T., Rumbold, S. T., Kelley, D. I., Ellis, R., Johnson, C. E., Walton, J., Abraham, N. L., Andrews, M. B., Andrews, T., Archibald, A. T., Berthou, S., Burke, E., Blockley, E., Carslaw, K., Dalvi,
- 980 M., Edwards, J., Folberth, G. A., Gedney, N., Griffiths, P. T., Harper, A. B., Hendry, M. A., Hewitt, A. J., Johnson, B.,

Jones, A., Jones, C. D., Keeble, J., Liddicoat, S., Morgenstern, O., Parker, R. J., Predoi, V., Robertson, E., Siahaan, A., Smith, R. S., Swaminathan, R., Woodhouse, M. T., Zeng, G., and Zerroukat, M.: UKESM1: Description and Evaluation of the U.K. Earth System Model, J. Adv. Model. Earth Sy., 11, 4513-4558, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001739, 2019.

- Sellers, P. J., Hall, F. G., Kelly, R. D., Black, A., Baldocchi, D., Berry, J., Ryan, M., Ranson, K. J., Crill, P. M., Lettenmaier,
  D. P., Margolis, H., Cihlar, J., Newcomer, J., Fitzjarrald, D., Jarvis, P. G., Gower, S. T., Halliwell, D., Williams, D.,
  - Goodison, B., Wickland, D. E., and Guertin, F. E.: BOREAS in 1997: Experiment overview, scientific results, and future directions, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102, 28731-28769, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD03300, 1997.
     Serreze, M. C., and Barry, R. G.: Processes and impacts of Arctic amplification: A research synthesis, Global Planet.
    - Change, 77, 85-96, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.03.004, 2011.
- 990 Shindell, D. T.: Dynamic winter climate response to large tropical volcanic eruptions since 1600, Journal of Geophysical Research, 109, D05104, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004151, 2004.
  - Shu, S., Jain, A. K., Koven, C. D., and Mishra, U.: Estimation of Permafrost SOC Stock and Turnover Time Using a Land Surface Model with Vertical Heterogeneity of Permafrost Soils, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 34, e2020GB006585, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006585, 2020.
- 995 Simpson, I. R., Tilmes, S., Richter, J. H., Kravitz, B., MacMartin, D. G., Mills, M. J., Fasullo, J. T., and Pendergrass, A. G.: The Regional Hydroclimate Response to Stratospheric Sulfate Geoengineering and the Role of Stratospheric Heating, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 12587-12616, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031093, 2019.
  - Slater, A. G., and Lawrence, D. M.: Diagnosing Present and Future Permafrost from Climate Models, J. Climate, 26, 5608-5623, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00341.1, 2013.
- 1000 Strauss, J., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Fortier, D., Hugelius, G., Knoblauch, C., Romanovsky, V., Schädel, C., Schneider Von Deimling, T., Schuur, E. A. G., Shmelev, D., Ulrich, M., and Veremeeva, A.: Deep Yedoma permafrost: A synthesis of depositional characteristics and carbon vulnerability, Earth-Sci. Rev., 172, 75-86, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.07.007, 2017.
- Tarnocai, C., Canadell, J. G., Schuur, E. A. G., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G., and Zimov, S.: Soil organic carbon pools in the
   northern circumpolar permafrost region, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 23, GB2023, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003327,
   2009.
  - Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 93, 485-498, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1, 2012.
  - Tilmes, S., Fasullo, J., Lamarque, J., Marsh, D. R., Mills, M., Alterskjaer, K., Muri, H., Kristjánsson, J. E., Boucher, O.,
- Schulz, M., Cole, J. N. S., Curry, C. L., Jones, A., Haywood, J., Irvine, P. J., Ji, D., Moore, J. C., Karam, D. B., Kravitz, B., Rasch, P. J., Singh, B., Yoon, J., Niemeier, U., Schmidt, H., Robock, A., Yang, S., and Watanabe, S.: The hydrological impact of geoengineering in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP), Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 11,036-11,058, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50868, 2013.
- Tjiputra, J. F., Grini, A., and Lee, H.: Impact of idealized future stratospheric aerosol injection on the large-scale ocean and
   land carbon cycles, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 121, 2-27, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JG003045,
   2016.
  - Todd-Brown, K. E. O., Randerson, J. T., Post, W. M., Hoffman, F. M., Tarnocai, C., Schuur, E. A. G., and Allison, S. D.: Causes of variation in soil carbon simulations from CMIP5 Earth system models and comparison with observations, Biogeosciences, 10, 1717-1736, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-1717-2013, 2013.
- 1020 Todd-Brown, K. E. O., Randerson, J. T., Hopkins, F., Arora, V., Hajima, T., Jones, C., Shevliakova, E., Tjiputra, J., Volodin, E., Wu, T., Zhang, Q., and Allison, S. D.: Changes in soil organic carbon storage predicted by Earth system models during the 21st century, Biogeosciences, 11, 2341-2356, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-2341-2014, 2014.
  - Turetsky, M. R., Benscoter, B., Page, S., Rein, G., van der Werf, G. R., and Watts, A.: Global vulnerability of peatlands to

fire and carbon loss, Nat. Geosci., 8, 11-14, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2325, 2015.

1035

- 1025 Varney, R. M., Chadburn, S. E., Friedlingstein, P., Burke, E. J., Koven, C. D., Hugelius, G., and Cox, P. M.: A spatial emergent constraint on the sensitivity of soil carbon turnover to global warming, Nat. Commun., 11, 1-8, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19208-8, 2020.
  - Varney, R. M., Chadburn, S. E., Burke, E. J., and Cox, P. M.: Evaluation of soil carbon simulation in CMIP6 Earth System Models, [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2022-13, 24 Jan 2022
- 1030 Veraverbeke, S., Rogers, B. M., Goulden, M. L., Jandt, R. R., Miller, C. E., Wiggins, E. B., and Randerson, J. T.: Lightning as a major driver of recent large fire years in North American boreal forests, Nat. Clim. Change, 7, 529-534, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3329, 2017.

Visioni, D., MacMartin, D. G., Kravitz, B., Lee, W., Simpson, I. R., and Richter, J. H.: Reduced Poleward Transport Due to Stratospheric Heating Under Stratospheric Aerosols Geoengineering, Geophys. Res. Lett., 47, e2020GL089470, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL089470, 2020.

- Visioni, D., MacMartin, D. G., Kravitz, B., Boucher, O., Jones, A., Lurton, T., Martine, M., Mills, M. J., Nabat, P., Niemeier, U., S Ef Erian, R., and Tilmes, S.: Identifying the sources of uncertainty in climate model simulations of solar radiation modification with the G6sulfur and G6solar Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 10039-10063, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-10039-2021, 2021.
- WCRP: CMIP6 project data, available at: https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/ search/cmip6/, last access: 12 January 2022.
  Wang, W., Rinke, A., Moore, J. C., Ji, D., Cui, X., Peng, S., Lawrence, D. M., McGuire, A. D., Burke, E. J., Chen, X., Decharme, B., Koven, C., MacDougall, A., Saito, K., Zhang, W., Alkama, R., Bohn, T. J., Ciais, P., Delire, C., Gouttevin, I., Hajima, T., Krinner, G., Lettenmaier, D. P., Miller, P. A., Smith, B., Sueyoshi, T., and Sherstiukov, A. B.: Evaluation of air–soil temperature relationships simulated by land surfacemodels during winter across the permafrost region, The Cryosphere, 10, 1721-1737, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1721-2016, 2016.
- Wyser, K., van Noije, T., Yang, S., von Hardenberg, J., O'Donnell, D., and Döscher, R.: On the increased climate sensitivity in the EC-Earth model from CMIP5 to CMIP6, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 3465-3474, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-3465-2020, 2020.

Xia, L., Robock, A., Tilmes, S., and Neely III, R. R.: Stratospheric sulfate geoengineering could enhance the terrestrial

- photosynthesis rate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 1479-1489, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1479-2016, 2016.
   Yu, X., Moore, J. C., Cui, X., Rinke, A., Ji, D., Kravitz, B., and Yoon, J.: Impacts, effectiveness and regional inequalities of the GeoMIP G1 to G4 solar radiation management scenarios, Global Planet. Change, 129, 10-22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.02.010, 2015.
  - Zelinka, M. D., Klein, S. A., and Hartmann, D. L.: Computing and Partitioning Cloud Feedbacks Using Cloud Property
- 1055 Histograms. Part II: Attribution to Changes in Cloud Amount, Altitude, and Optical Depth, J. Climate, 25, 3736-3754, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00249.1, 2012.
  - Zelinka, M. D., Myers, T. A., McCoy, D. T., Po Chedley, S., Caldwell, P. M., Ceppi, P., Klein, S. A., and Taylor, K. E.: Causes of Higher Climate Sensitivity in CMIP6 Models, Geophys. Res. Lett., 47, e2019GL085782, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085782, 2020.
- 1060 Zhang, T., Heginbottom, J. A., Barry, R. G., and Brown, J.: Further statistics on the distribution of permafrost and ground ice in the Northern Hemisphere, Polar geography (1995), 24, 126-131, https://doi.org/10.1080/10889370009377692, 2000.
  - Zhang, Z., Moore, J. C., Huisingh, D., and Zhao, Y.: Review of geoengineering approaches to mitigating climate change, J. Clean. Prod., 103, 898-907, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.09.076, 2015.

Zhu, D., Peng, S., Ciais, P., Zech, R., Krinner, G., Zimov, S., and Grosse, G.: Simulating soil organic carbon in yedoma deposits during the Last Glacial Maximum in a land surface model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 5133–5142, 2016.

31