Human impacts and their interactions in the Baltic Sea region

Marcus Reckermann¹, Anders Omstedt², Tarmo Soomere^{3,4}, Juris Aigars⁵, Naveed Akhtar⁶, Magdalena Bełdowska⁷, Jacek Bełdowski⁸, Tom Cronin⁹, Michał Czub¹⁰, Margit Eero¹¹, Kari Hyytiäinen¹², Jukka-Pekka Jalkanen¹³, Anders Kiessling¹⁴, Erik Kjellström¹⁵, Karol Kuliński⁸, Xiaoli Guo Larsén⁹, Michelle McCrackin¹⁶, H. E. Markus Meier^{17,18}, Sonja Oberbeckmann¹⁹, Kevin Parnell³, Cristian Pons-Seres de 5 Brauwer⁹, Anneli Poska^{20,21}, Jarkko Saarinen^{22,23}, Beata Szymczycha⁸, Emma Undeman¹⁶, Anders Wörman²⁴, Eduardo Zorita⁶ ¹International Baltic Earth Secretariat, Helmholtz-Zentrum Hereon, Max-Planck-Str. 1, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany. 10 ²Departement of Marine Sciences, <u>University of Gothenburg</u> Box 461, 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden. ⁴Estonian Academy of Sciences, Kohtu 6, 10130 Tallinn, Estonia. ⁵Latvian Institute of Aquatic Ecology, Voleru iela 4, 1007, Rīga, Latvia. ⁶Institute of Coastal Systems - Analysis and Modeling, Helmholtz-Zentrum Hereon, Max-Planck-Str. 1, 21502 Geesthacht, 15 Germany ⁷Institute of Oceanography, University of Gdansk, Pilsudskiego 46, 81-378 Gdynia, Poland. ⁸Institute of Oceanology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Marine Chemistry and Biochemistry Department, Powstańców Warszawy 55, 81-712 Sopot, Poland. DTU Wind Energy Department, Frederiksborgvej 399, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark. Gelöscht: Bygning 115, rum S40, ¹⁰Institute of Oceanology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Marine Ecology Department, Powstańców Warszawy 55, 81-712 Sopot, Poland. ¹¹Technical University of Denmark, National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Kemitorvet, Building 201, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark. ¹²Department of Economics and Management, P.O. Box 27, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland. ¹³Finnish Meteorological Institute, Erik Palménin aukio 1, 00560 Helsinki, Finland. 25 ¹⁴Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Animal Nutrition and Management; Aquaculture, Box 7024, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden. ¹⁵Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Rossby Centre, 601 76 Norrköping, Sweden. ¹⁶Baltic Sea Centre, Baltic Nest Institute, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden. 30 ¹⁷Department of Physical Oceanography and Instrumentation, Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Rostock, 18119, Germany. ¹⁸Research and Development Department, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Norrköping, 601 76, Sweden. ¹⁹Biological Oceanography, Environmental Microbiology, Leibniz-Institute for Baltic Sea Research, Seestrasse 15, 18119 Rostock, Germany. ²⁰Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Sölvegatan 12, 223 62 Lund, Sweden. 35 ²¹Department of Geology, Tallinn University of Technology, Ehitajate tee 5, 19086 Tallinn Estonia. ²²Geography Research Unit, P.O.Box 8000, 90014 University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ²³School of Tourism and Hospitality, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa.

1

²⁴KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden. 40

Correspondence to: Marcus Reckermann (marcus.reckermann@hereon.de)

Gelöscht:

50

Table of content

1. Introduction

2. The region

I

60 3. Terminology and the DPSIR matrix

4. The impact matrix of interrelations

5. Factors of regional change

		<u>Natural</u>		Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv
	65	5.1. Climate change		Formatiert: Einzug: Erste Zeile: 1,27 cm, Zeilenabstand:
	00	5.3. Hypoxia	\mathbb{N}	
		5.4. Acidification		Formatiert: Zeilenabstand: einfach
		5.5. Submarine Groundwater Discharge	\setminus	[9] verschoben (Einfügung)
	70	5.0. Marine ecosystems		Gelöscht: Natural
	/0	5.8. Land use and land cover		Gelöscht: 1
		Human induced		Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv
		5.9. Agriculture and nutrient loads		Formatiert: Zeilenabstand: einfach
		5.10. Aquaculture		Gelöscht: ¶
	/5	5.11. Fisheries 5.12. Biver regulations and restorations	/	
		5.12. Offshore wind farms		5.2. Coastal processes
		5.14. Shipping		5.3. Hypoxia
		5.15. Chemical contaminants		5.5. Submarine Groundwater Discharge
	80	5.16. Unexploded and dumped warfare agents		5.6. Marine ecosystems
		5.17. Marine litter and microplastics		5.8. Land use and land cover
ļ		5.19. Coastal management	`	Gelöscht: Human-induced
I		6. Discussion		Gelöscht: Summary and d
1	85			Gelöscht: ¶
		۲		/. Conclusions
		۲		Gelöscht: •
		Abstract. Coastal environments, in particular heavily populated semi-enclosed marginal seas and coasts like the Baltic Sea		Geloscht: 9
		region, are strongly affected by human activities. A multitude of human impacts, including climate change, affects the different		
	90	compartments of the environment, and these effects interact with each other. As part of the Baltic Earth Assessment Reports	<u>\</u>	
1		(BEAR), we present an inventory and discussion of different human-induced factors and processes affecting the environment	//	
		of the Baltic Sea region, and their interrelations. Some are naturally occurring and modified by human activities (i.e. climate		1
		change, coastal processes, hypoxia, acidification, submarine groundwater discharges, marine ecosystems, non-indigenous	//	
		species, land use and land cover), some are completely human-induced (i.e. agriculture, aquaculture, fisheries, river	\	Gelöscht: stongly
	95	regulations, offshore wind farms, shipping, chemical contamination, dumped warfare agents, marine litter and microplastics,		Geloscht: 1
I		tourism coastal management) and they are all interrelated to different degrees. We present a general description and analysis	_	Gelöscht: 1
ļ		of the state of knowledge on these interrelations. Our main insight is that climate change has an overarching integrating impact		(
		on all of the other factors and can be interpreted as a background effect which has different implications for the other factors		
		Impacts on the environment and the human sphere can be roughly allocated to anthronogenic drivers such as food production		
1	100	In the control of the second s		
ļ	100	energy production, transport, industry and economy. The findings from this inventory of available information and analysis of		Geloscht: ¶ We conclude that a sound management and regulation of human

the different factors and their interactions in the Baltic Sea region can largely be transferred to other comparable marginal and coastal seas in the world.

Gelöscht: ¶ We conclude that a sound management and regulation of human activities must be implemented in order to use and keep the environments and ecosystems of the Baltic Sea region sustainably in a good shape. This must balance the human needs, which exert tremendous pressures on the systems, as humans are the overwhelming driving force for almost all changes we see.¶

1 Introduction

- 140 Anthropogenic climate change has been regarded as a major driver for environmental changes since the industrial revolution (IPCC, 2014). The IPCC has been the leading worldwide expert body to assess and document the currently available knowledge (Agrawala, 1998). Regional climate change assessment reports have taken the IPCC example to the regional scale, e.g. for the Baltic and North Seas (BACC Author Team, 2008, BACC II Author Team, 2015, Quante and Coljn, 2016). However, a multitude of human-induced factors next to climate change affects the environments of coastal seas. For the Baltic Sea region,
- the following was concluded: "When addressing climate impacts on, for example, forestry, agriculture, urban complexes, and 145 the marine environment in the Baltic Sea basin, a broad perspective is needed which consider not only climate change but also other significant factors such as changes in emissions, demographic and economic changes, and change in land use." (von Storch et al., 2015). Furthermore, it was stated that climate change effects "are not straightforward and are difficult to distinguish from other human factors such as atmospheric deposition, forest and wetland management, eutrophication and
- hydrological alterations" (Humborg et al., 2015). In this paper, we examine a number of different human factors (see definition 150 of terms below) affecting the coastal environment of the Baltic Sea region. We assess what is currently known about the impact of climate change on these factors and how they influence each other.
- Feedbacks within the complex regional Earth system (e.g. the atmosphere, land surfaces, water bodies, biosphere, biogeochemistry, geology) may be complicated and difficult to disentangle, more so when human impacts are involved 155 (Gaillard, 2013, Gaillard et al., 2015). The different factors may affect each other, synchronously or cumulatively, creating negative or positive feedback effects. While a direct effect may be straightforward and easy to detect and explain, the indirect effects are mostly more difficult to uncover. Extreme precipitation events have meteorological causes, which may be connected to changing climate, but the impacts of such events on the human environment, like flooding, damage or drying crops may be caused or exacerbated by human design (impervious surfaces or other land use changes such as mono-cultural agriculture).
- 160 In some cases, the local climate itself can be affected by human-induced changes in the environment (e.g. albedo changes due to afforestation, desertification, land use in general or constructions; Gaillard et al., 2015). Therefore, we face a complex and non-linear system of effects and feedbacks between climatic and non-climatic factors. Moreover, politically motivated management decisions, which have no or little natural scientific groundings, may have more substantial impacts than natural ones and may be even more unpredictable than them. Some projects have attempted to include human behavior into scenarios
- 165 (e.g. BONUS BALTICAPP and others (Hasler et al., 2019), see also Arheimer et al., 2012; Zandersen et al., 2019; Bartosova et al., 2019; Pihlainen et al., 2020).

Complex analyses and modeling exercises have been performed to characterize the interactions between the different factors (e.g. Crain et al., 2008, Liess et al., 2016, Robinson et al., 2018, Stelzenmüller et al., 2018, Gissi et al., 2021). The present paper intends to make a novel and straightforward inventory of factors and connections, covering the above aspects as far as possible while information on individual factors must be limited and just of overview character.

Since the early 1990s, the knowledge on the physical and biogeochemical environments of the Baltic Sea and their relationship has been systematically assessed, initially by BALTEX and since 2013 by its successor Baltic Earth. This study is one of the thematic Baltic Earth Assessment Reports (BEAR), which comprises a series of review papers that summarize and assess the available published scientific knowledge on climatic, environmental and human-induced changes in the Baltic Sea region

175 (including its catchment). The BEAR reports in this Special Issue of Earth System Dynamics reflect the Baltic Earth Grand Challenges and scientific topics of Baltic Earth (Baltic Earth, 2017). While the other papers in this Special Issue deal with natural factors and their relation to climate change (salinity, biogeochemistry, natural hazards) and scenarios for future conditions in the Baltic Sea region, this paper addresses natural and anthropogenic factors in addition to climate change. We assess how they affect or are affected by climate change and how they interact. We believe that the findings elaborated in this assessment can largely be transferred to other marginal and coastal seas, which are also heavily used and affected by humans.

180

170

Gelöscht: ¶

Gelöscht: foresty

Gelöscht: In this respect, we define the coastal zone as the land areas, which have an impact on or are affected by the coastal sea, and the sea areas that are directly and substantially affected by the land in their immediate neighborhood, or have an impact on the land. The Baltic Sea is a marginal sea that is strongly influenced by its coasts so that coastal issues can be examined here like under a magnifying glass.

Gelöscht: The notion that the state and function of physical and living environments depend on different interacting factors is old. Humboldt stated in 1807: "In this great chain of causes and effects no single fact can be considered in isolation. The general equilibrium obtaining in the midst of these disturbances and apparent disorder is the result of an infinite number of mechanical forces and chemical attractions which balance each other; and while each series of facts must be examined separately in order to recognize a specific law, the study of nature, which is the main problem of general physics, demands the gathering together of all the knowledge dealing with modifications of matter." (Humboldt and Bonpland, 2009). This with notion has since evolved into now generally accepted and used concepts, e.g. "ecosystem" (Tansley, 1935), "biosphere" and biogeochemistry" (Lapo, 2001).¶

At least since the Neolithic Revolution, i.e. the transformation from a hunter-gatherer to a farmer subsistence, humans have shaped the earth's surface, including the Baltic Sea region (Lavento, 2019). With industrialization and its related technological developments, e.g Haber Bosch process to make nitrogen useable for the production of fertilizers (Smil, 1999, Erisman et al., 2008) and the general plication of standard hygienic procedures (Cavaillon and Chrétien, 2019), the human population has increased in such a way that it has become a dominant factor in shaping the environment (Lewis and Maslin, 2015). Consequently, a new geological epoch has been suggested and labeled as the "age of humans", the "anthropocene (Crutzen, 2002).¶

Climate and other human-induced factors are regionally very different. The warming with its direct and indirect consequences (Gelöscht: a direct

N	Gelöscht: . Still,
	Gelöscht: impacted
$\langle \rangle$	Gelöscht: contruction
//	Gelöscht: ;
, \	Gelöscht: This paper should serve as an easily accessible introduction to the topic with an emphasis on the Baltic Sea region, but almost all aspects can be transferred to similar marginal sea regions of the world. We elaborate on the relationships of the
''	Gelöscht: s
	Gelöscht: ¶
-	Gelöscht: ,
	Gelöscht: ,
~	Gelöscht: comparably

2 The region

The Baltic Sea region has been subject to dramatic environmental changes since the last glaciation (Borzenkova et al., 2015). Human activities have strongly affected the region since the withdrawal of the ice sheets. Fishers, gatherers and hunters inhabited the coasts of the early Baltic Sea already at 11,000 years BP, and neolithic cultures practiced crop cultivation and animal husbandry around 6,000 BP. Deforestation and changes in forest composition have been documented since around 4,000 BP (Gaillard et al., 2015). Over the centuries, the human impact on the environment extended to more detrimental effects such as pollution due to iron mining (Lavento, 2019). Currently, the Baltic Sea drainage basin covers about 20% of the

European continent, with roughly 85 million people living in the catchment (HELCOM, 2018a). It can be roughly subdivided

- 305 into a sparsely populated, mostly pristine north with natural coastal (rocky) landscapes, and a strongly transformed, agricultural landscape in the highly populated south, with mostly low sedimentary coasts and graded shorelines (Figure 1). Numerous rivers enter the Baltic Sea, some of them with catchments covering more than one country, draining nutrients, sediments and pollutants from the surrounding land areas into the Baltic Sea (HELCOM, 2018b).
- The Baltic Sea features some special conditions, which make it vulnerable to specific pressures. It is almost enclosed from the open ocean, the exchange through the narrow Danish Belts and Sounds is very restricted, and the tidal range is very small (Feistel et al., 2008, Leppäranta and Myrberg, 2009). In addition to that, the Baltic Sea has a complex bottom topography, with deep basins separated by shallow sills, which hamper water exchanges. These factors together with the dense population and the prevalent agricultural lands in the southern catchment give rise to special biogeochemical conditions. Eutrophication has for many years been identified as a major threat to the Baltic Sea, which has resulted in the implementation of the HELCOM
- 315 Baltic Sea Action Plan, "BSAP" (HELCOM, 2007). This strategic programme of measures and actions to achieve good environmental status of the Baltic Sea is being updated in 2021, with additional factors discussed, including climate change. Various organisations are working on environmental issues of the Baltic Sea. HELCOM, the intergovernmental organization to protect the marine environment of the Baltic Sea, has for decades worked on describing the different drivers and stressors (e.g. HELCOM, 2018a) and has functioned as an interface between the science and political decision making communities.
- HELCOM's importance on the firm and largely successful management of various environmental issues in the Baltic Sea cannot be underestimated. In close collaboration with Baltic Earth, the HELCOM expert network on climate change EN Clime has produced a <u>Climate Change Fact Sheet</u>, including impacts on many environmental factors (HELCOM, 2021). BONUS (Kononen et al., 2014), the EU funding scheme for environmental Baltic Sea research has funded many projects dealing with different anthropogenic factors and their interrelations (e.g. AMBER, BALTICAPP, BALTIC-C, ECOSUPPORT, INFLOW,

4

325 INTEGRAL, MIRACLE, SHEBA and others, see BONUS (2021), and has also had a significant impact on management.

Gelöscht: The
Gelöscht: plan

	() () () () () () () () () ()
	C - 12 1- 1-
-	Generation
	GCIOSCIICI

-	Gelöscht: climate
Ч	Gelöscht: change
Л	Gelöscht: fact
ľ	Gelöscht: sheet
Ϊ	Gelöscht: (succeeded by the North-Baltic Sea common scheme BANOS)



Figure 1. The Baltic Sea drainage basin with land cover (left) and population distribution (right). Dark green: forests, light green: open and agricultural spaces, different shades of orange stand for population density. Red lines designate drainage sub_basins. Maps from GRID-Arendal Baltic Environmental Atlas, http://maps.grida.no

350

Natural variability in the region is very strong and the anthropogenic climate signal attributable to greenhouse gases is just beginning to emerge from the background noise (Bhend, 2015; Barkhordarian et al., 2016). Parameters directly related to temperature, like ice extent or the seasonality of biota show the most robust signals in climate-related changes (BACC Author Team, 2008; BACC II Author Team, 2015). For many other climatic parameters, including precipitation, wind, runoff and salinity, the signal is still small compared to the variability, precluding any conclusions about emerging trends (Christensen et

al., 2021; Meier et al., 2021a).

3 Terminology and the DPSIR description

The terms *drivers*, *pressures*, *stressors*, *impacts*, *cumulative impacts* etc. are all commonly used in the literature, and they are generally not strictly defined. The term *cumulative* implies that effects add up to a final, stronger impact than each of the individual drivers, either immediately or (more frequently) over a longer time. This may not be the case for all combination of

- drivers, as they may act additively, synergistically or antagonistically (Boldt et al., 2014). The terms *drivers*, *pressures*, *states*, *impacts* and *responses* are part of the DPSIR concept to assign a structure to the different factors and their links to environmental changes (EEA, 1999; Gari et al., 2015).
- In Table 1, we use this concept to define the different factors we analyze in depth in Section 5. For each factor (except *Marine Ecosystems* and *Coastal Management*), we apply the DPSIR concept, which facilitates the understanding of the underlying driving forces, pressures and impacts. In the following analysis in Section 5 and thereafter, we focus on the impacts.

Table 1: Matrix of different factors using the DPSIR analysis (Drivers, Pressures, States, Impacts, Responses). For a thorough description of the DSIPR concept, see EEA, 1999 and Gari et al., 2015).

Gelöscht:

Gelöscht: ¶

Factor	Driver	Pressure	State	Impact	Response
				Warming modification of hydrological cycle	Climate change mitigation: reduction of GHG
Climate change	Industrialization	GHG emirrigan	Current climate	asidification, realevel rice	emissions: accessingering
Constal processor	Wind and waves durrents human activities	Grocian accretion coastal infrastructure	Current constal condition	Impacts on coastal accountants and human	Coastal management and infrastructure
Coastal processes	wind and waves, currents, numan activities	Erosion, accretion, coastal infrastructure	current coastal condition	"Dead" senes, medification of redex state and	Coastal management and infrastructure
	Read and the Read			Dead zones, modification of redox state and	
Нурохіа	Food production	Nutrient releases	Current state of hypoxia	biogeochmistry, impact on ecosystems	Reduction of nutrients, geoengineering
				Impacts on physiological functions of certain	
Acidification	Industrialization	GHG emissions, alkalinity	Current pH of sea water	species, hampered calcification of shells	Reduction of GHG emissions; geoengineering
				Impacts on nutrient and contaminant	
		Modified concentrations of nutrients and	Current groundwater release in some coastal	concentrations and related impacts on	
Subm. Groundw. Disch.	Hydrology, coastal aquifers	chemical substances in coastal waters	areas	organisms and coastal ecosystems	Coastal management and infrastructure
		Ballast water/hull transport and other new			
		parthways, e.g. though channels; new species		Modification of indigenous ecosystems,	
		invading indigenous ecosystems (migration	Current abundances of non-indigenous	expulsion of indigenic species and ecosystem	Antifouling and ballast water regulations,
Non-Indigenous species	Globalization and transport, climate change	northwards)	species in different regions	functions, species diversity potentially affected	climate change mitigation
		Monocultures, expulsion of indigenous			
		ecosystems, modification of runoff			
		substances, sealing of soils, fragmentation of		Eutrophication, inundations, adverse effects	
Land cover and use	Food production, transport, industrialization	ecosystems and landscapes	Current state of land use and cover	on species diversity	Land restorations
			Current state of agricultural release of		
Agriculture/Nutrient loads	Food production	Excess nutrients entering the ecosystems	nutrients to the sea	Eutrophication, hypoxia	Reduction of nutrient release
		Release of excess nutrients and			
		chemicals/pharmaceuticals to coastal waters,			
		indirect excess fisheries for fish meal in other			Land based circulation systems, sustainable
Aquaculture	Food production	parts of the world	Current state of aquaculture	Eutrophication, pollution	use of open systems
				Decimation of commercial fish species:	
		Removal of fish biomass and related		impacts on ecosystem functions and fisheries:	
Fisheries	Food production	erosystem functions	Current state of fisheries and fish storks	cascading effects on other parts of the	Fishery regulations
	1000 1000000			Modification of flow rates and nutrients resp.	interi regunanti
		Modification of river flows, construction of	Current state of river regulation and	putrient ratios downstream; impacts on	
Physic completions	Transport operational water management	shappeds and dame	restauration	migrating fich and related ficheries	Physics contaurations
inver regulations	mansport, energy and water management	channels and dams	restauration	Impacts on local acquisteme: fick and marine	Nivel restaurations
		Estancian wind forms in spectal and spec		mpacts on local ecosystems, rish and marine	
041.1	For any second section, alternate above as	extensive wind farms in coastar and open	Committee and a start of a first second start in the	mammals, bird migradon roddes, noise during	Bendetten of offer and industry
Offshore wind farms	Energy production, climate change	waters	current development of offshore wind farms	construction phase	Regulation of orishore industry
		taken duration of transition and the settle state		terreste an energie a secondaria alterrativ	
		introduction of invasive species, antroduing,		impacts on marine ecosystems through	
	-	ballast water, black/grey and waste water,		invasive species, underwater noise,	
	Transport; commercial shipping along	scrubber water, underwater noise, various	Current state of shipping; pollutant and GHG	acidification, various contaminants and	
	international shipping routes, cruise and	contaminants and nutrients; airborne exhaust	concentrations, under water noise levels,	nutrients; impacts on air quality, contribution	
Shipping	leisure shipping in coastal waters	and combustion products; GHG emissions	current number of invasive species	to GHG emissions	IMO regulations
		Diffuse release and point source emissions of		Impacts on physiological functions of various	
	Transport, industrialization, chemical	organic contaminants and heavy metals to air,		marine organisms; impacts on human health	Different regulations/technical guidance on
	production, food production, various	water and land, subsequent transport to the	Current concentrations of contaminants in	due to food chain bioaccumulation of some	chemical production, use, waste handling;
Chemical contaminants	economic activities	sea	waters, sediments and organisms	contaminants.	global treaties
				Potentially harmful impacts on marine	
		Dumping of unexploded warfare agents in	Current state of corrosion of dumped warfare	ecosystems; potential danger of poisoning and	Various national and international efforts to
Dumped milititary material	World War II	various locations	agents	accumulation up the food chain up to humans	retrieve the dumped objects as far as possible
		Diffuse release via rivers and other pathways			Regulations in plastic production, distribution
	Industrialization, chemical production, various	to the sea; concentrations on specific locations	Current concentrations and distribution	Potentailly harmful effects on the physiology	and use; efforts to retrieve larger fragments
Marine litter	economic activities	(eddies, coastal streches, beaches)	patterns of marine litter and microplastics	of different organisms	from sea water
				Impacts on transport, waste management,	
				coastal infrastructure in coastal regions;	
		Coastal regions flooded with humans; cruise		impacts on coastal ecosystems though fishing,	
- · ·		1	la l		long of the second s

Factor	briver	Pressure
Climate shanes	Industrialization	GHG emissions
Constal processor	Wind and waves, currents, human activities	Erorion accret
coastal processes	wind and waves, carrents, naman activities	crosion, accret
Hypoxia	Food production	Nutrient releas
Acidification	Industrialization	GHG emissions
	the dealer and a second at a second second	Modified conc
560	Hydrology, coastal aquiters	Chemical subst
		parthways, e.g
		invading indige
Non-indigenous species	Globalization and transport, climate change	northwards)
		Monocultures,
		ecosystems, m
	1	sealing of soils,
Land cover and use	Food production, transport, industrialization	and landscapes
Agriculture/Nutrient loads	Food production	Excess nutrient
Agriculture/Huthent Iouds	rood production	Release of exce
		chemicals/phar
		indirect excess
Aquaculture	Food production	parts of the wo
		Removal of fish
Fisheries	Food production	functions
		Modification of
River regulations	Transport, energy and water management	channels and d
		Extensive wind
Offshore wind farms	Energy production, climate change	waters
	1	Commercial sh
	1	shipping routes
Shipping	Transport	coastal waters
	L	Diffuse release
	Transport, industrialization, chemical	organic contan
Chaminal contaminants	production, food production, various economic	water and land
chemical contaminants	activities	200
	1	Dumping of un
Dumped milititary material	World War II	various location
		Diffuse release
	Industrialization, chemical production, various	the sea; concer
Marine litter	economic activities	(eddies, coasta
	1	Coastal region
Tourism	Human recreation	shipping, leisur

We classify our parameters into two groups, loosely following Boldt et al., 2014. (Table 4): Firstly, we consider natural environmental factors which would prevail on an Earth without humans, but which are strongly affected by human activities: Climate, land cover, sea level, coastal processes, nutrient loads, hypoxia, acidification, submarine groundwater discharge and non-indigenous species. Then we consider the human factors offshore wind farms, shipping, fisheries, chemical contaminants, dumped ammunitions, marine litter and microplastics, agriculture, aquaculture, river regulations, tourism and coastal management. A clear separation is sometimes difficult (e.g. for land cover and land use) but this is not relevant in this context.

We define the term *environment* here in an integrative manner as the non-living (abiotic), physical environment, like wind, temperature, precipitation etc.; the living or directly affected environment, i.e. ecosystems and biogeochemical conditions; and the socio-economic environment, which is everything related to human activities including infrastructure at the coast or sea, or agriculture. Here, we define the term *climate change* to describe the human-induced changes to the climate. Indeed, the term *global change* (or *regional change*), describes the amalgam of current changes because climate change is but one human-375 induced factor, and it may not be the dominant one in many cases.

The human-induced changes and related environmental problems root in the human needs and the underlying values determining the levels of production and consumption. Human needs and aspirations are realized in consumption patterns, life styles and education. At an aggregate level, they can be described in terms of social cohesion, speed of urbanization, and birth rate. These aspects of behaviour are driven by values, cultures, religion and habits. Socio-economic impacts can be included

380 in the climate models by prescribing specific emissions storylines based on socio-economic developments and allow studies of alternative future states. The large spread in the different scenarios reflects the uncertainties in future development (Hyytiänen et al. 2021).

4 Method of analysis and the impact matrix,

To allow a comprehensive and straightforward approach to the problem, we introduce a matrix in which we show at a glance the impacts of the various factors on each other. This matrix is the core of our analysis (Table 2a, b). This analysis represents Gelöscht:

Gelös

Ϊ	Gelöscht: would better depict
X	Gelöscht: It is worth noting that t
Ά	Gelöscht: re are other, deeper layers of drivers of environmental
-	Gelöscht: change.
Ч	Gelöscht: Human
Н	Gelöscht: determine
Ľ	Gelöscht: ,
	Gelöscht: and efforts consumers, industries and societies put into managing environmental problems
$\langle \rangle$	Gelöscht: ,
$\langle \rangle$	Gelöscht: and savings rate
Y	Gelöscht: ultimately
λ	Gelöscht: The
1	Gelöscht: of interrelations
	Gelöscht: We try to give a qualitative (is there evidence for a connection or impact, or not) overview of the connections between the different factors.
-	Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben

- 405 a critical review of the literature with the aim to identify linkages between the different factors: is there evidence in the scientific <u>literature for a connection or impact, or not?</u> The text in Section 5 provides brief characterizations of the current knowledge of the factors, <u>followed by</u> bullet lists of potential interrelations for each factor, <u>according to the matrix</u> (Table 2a, b) and describing the linkages in detail, as far as feasible in this context. <u>References from the scientific literature are provided</u> for the found <u>linkages</u> between factors (plus sign +). We also speculate on potential links (question marks ?), which have not (or sparsely)
- 410 been confirmed in the scientific literature but which may be plausible not to rule out a connection, which has not yet been described. These items represent potential connections, which may be worth considering further. Connections with no apparent or plausible linkages are marked with a minus sign (-) and not discussed further in the text. The bullet lists are followed by a brief consideration of knowledge gaps, based on this analysis and author's expert assessment. This assessment is based on literature review and expert judgment but does not claim to make judgments on the severity or urgency of the described

415 <u>connection or circumstance. This assessment must be incomplete and largely subjective, despite all efforts to support any claims by references, and reflects the large uncertainties and low evidence in many of the described relationships.</u>

 Table 2a: The matrix of factors. Natural (but affected by human activity) and entirely human factors are grouped

 together. Based on the current scientific literature, there is: + (green) = evidence for a connection; ? (blue) = no direct

 evidence for a connection but a connection is plausible (based on authors' judgment); - = no evidence for a

<u>connection (these combinations are not discussed in the text). The table is read (1) from left to right, e.g. going to the right within the first line "Climate change" shows factors that climate change has an impact on (or not), etc., or (2)
 <u>from top to bottom within a column, to show the factors that have an effect on e.g. climate change (using the first column).</u>
</u>

420

425

Disch loads Coastal management Offshore wind farms **Marine ecosystem** Coastal processes milititary Ion-inig. species Groundw. griculture Nutr. River regulations Chem. Contamin. Climate change **Marine litter** cidification guaculture Land cover and use Hypoxia -isheries mped ourism .mdu impact by↓/on→ Climate change + + ? Coastal processes + + + ? + + . Hypoxia ÷ ÷ -Acidification -? ? Subm. Groundw. Disch. + Marine ecosystems Non-inigenous species Land cover and use Agriculture/Nutrient loads quaculture Fisheries ? **River regulation** ? + ? Offshore wind farms Shipping Chemical contaminants Dumped milititary material + ? + ? Marine litter Tourism + 2 Coastal management 2 2

Table 2b: As in 2a but sorted according to the number of positive connections (+).

Formatier	t: Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	and
Gelöscht:	, following
Gelöscht:	As far as possible, we try to present evidence, i.e. r
Gelöscht:	,
Gelöscht:	individual
Gelöscht:	links
Gelöscht:	However, w
Gelöscht:	,
Gelöscht:	We regard t
Gelöscht: as they	emphasized by question marks as particularly interesting
Gelöscht:	a
Gelöscht: literature, bu	for which there is no or insufficient evidence in the twhich cannot be excluded plausibly, and which

Gelöscht: These linkages are also briefly discussed in Section 6 as potential knowledge gaps. The different factors and their connection with others, as well as to climate, are discussed in the text,¶

Formatiert: Hervorheben

impact by↓/on→	Fisheries	Marine ecosystems	Agriculture Nutr. loads	Coastal management	Chem. Contamin.	Acidification	Offshore wind farms	Tourism	Shipping	Climate change	Coastal processes	Hypoxia	Land cover and use	Aquaculture	River regulations	Marine litter	Subm. Groundw. Disch.	Non-inig. species	Dumped milititary
Climate change	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	?
Shipping	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+		+	+	1.0	-	?		+	-	+	-
Land cover and use	+	?	+	+	+	+	?	+	1.5	+	-	+		-	+	1.5	+	-	-
Agriculture/Nutrient loads	+	+		?	+	+	-	-		+	-	+	+	+	+		+	-	-
Coastal processes	?	?	+	+	?	?	+	1.1	+			?	+	?	+	+	+	-	?
Offshore wind farms	+	+	?	+	?	-		+	+	+	+	1.0	?	+	1.1	?		-	?
Aquaculture	?	+	+	+	?	-	+	?		-	-	+	+		-	?	-	+	-
Tourism	-	?	+	+	-	-	+		+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
Hypoxia	+	+	+	-	+	+	-			-	-		-	?	1.1		-	-	+
Coastal management	+	?	?		-	-	+	+	+	-	+	1.1	?	?	?	?	?	?	+
Marine ecosystems	+		-	-		+	-	+	1.1	-	-	+	-	-	1.1		-	+	-
River regulations	+	+	?	+	?	+	-			-	+	?	?	?		?	?	-	-
Non-inigenous species	+	+	-	?	+	-	-		+	-	-	1.0	-	-	1.1	1.1	-		-
Fisheries		+	?	+	-	-	+	?	?	-	-	?	-	?	1.0	+	-	?	-
Chemical contaminants	+	+	+	-		-	-		1.0	-	-	1.0	-	+	1.1	1.0	-	-	-
Dumped milititary material	+	?	-	?	+		+	1.0	1.0	-	-	1.0	-		1.0	1.0		-	
Subm. Groundw. Disch.	-	?	+	-	+	?	-		1.1	-		?	-	-				-	-
Marine litter	+	?	-	?	?	-	-	+		-	-	1.1	-	?			-	-	-
Acidification	?	?	-	-	?		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	-	-

5 Factors of regional change

In this section, we provide short overviews over the current state of knowledge of some factors, which affect the regional Earth system of the Baltic Sea region, followed by a bullet list describing how it may have an impact on any other factor discussed here. For all described effects and interrelations, we refer to the regional scale of the Baltic Sea region.

Natural factors

450

The first part of this section addresses "natural" factors that would still be part of the environment even if no humans existed, but which are today also heavily affected by human activities.

5.1 Climate change

455 In the context of this analysis, we describe here very briefly the immediate climate impacts on the environment: warming, precipitation and runoff changes, ice conditions and sea level change. For a detailed analysis on climate change and modeling for the Baltic Sea region, see Christensen et al. (2021), Gröger et al. (2021) and Meier et al. (2021a, 2021b).

Due to its proximity to the northern polar region, the Baltic Sea region is warming faster than the globe. Wintertime changes / in **air temperature** are among the strongest climate change signals in Europe, and the land surface has been warming faster

- 460 than the Baltic Sea. During the past century, an approximate increase of 1°C was observed over the Baltic Sea region (BACC Author Team, 2008; BACC II Author Team, 2015, Rutgersson et al. 2014), and projected changes until 2100 range between 1.5 4.3 °C over land and 1.4 3.9 °C over sea, according to coupled atmosphere-ocean projections (Meier et al. 2021a), and depending on the emission scenario (RCP, Representative Concentration Pathway, IPCC, 2014), with stronger warming in the northern part of the basin, especially in winter (Gröger et al. 2021).
- 465 For precipitation, the uncertainties are larger. While there is a large variability between seasons and regions, there is a trend projected for the future for precipitation, with an increase for the entire region in winter, but only for the northern part in summer. For the southern part of the basin, the projections vary and a clear trend cannot be given (Christensen and Kjellström, 2018). Also for wind, projections for the future vary considerably, so that no clear trend over the whole Baltic Sea region can be identified (Räisänen, 2017; Christensen and Kjellström, 2018; Christensen et al., 2021; Meier et al. 2021a).

Gelöscht: ¶

Table 2: The matrix of factors. + = evidence for a connection; - = no evidence for a connection;

? = no evidence but connection plausible (based on author's judgment). The table is read from left to right, i.e. going to the right within the first line "Climate change"shows factors that climate change has an impact on (or not), etc.¶

impact by↓/on→	Climate change	Coastal processes	Hypoxia	Acidification	SGD	Marine ecosystems	
Climate change		+	+	+	?	+	
Coastal processes	÷		?	?	+	?	
Hypoxia	1			+	1	+	
Acidification	÷	-	1		÷	?	
SGD	-	-	?	?		?	
Marine ecosystems	1	-	+	+	4		Γ
Non-inigenous species	-	-	-	-	-	+	
Land cover and use	+	-	+	+	+	?	Γ
Agriculture/Nutrient loads	+		+	+	+	+	
Aquaculture	4	-	+	-	4	+	Γ
Fisheries	-	-	?	-	-	+	Г
River regulations	-	+	?	+	?	?	Γ
Offshore wind farms	+	+	1	1.1	1	+	Γ
Shipping	+	+	-	+	-	+	Γ
Chemical contaminants	-	-	-	-	1.1	+	Г
Dumped milititary	-	-	-	-	-	?	Г
Marine litter	-	-	-	-	-	+	Γ
Tourism	+	-	-	-	-	?	Γ
Coastal management	1	+	1		?	?	Γ
		+ - ?	eviden no evic no evic	ice for a dence f dence k	a conne or a co out con	ection nnection nectior	on p p

Gelöscht: ¶

Gelöscht: Global climate change depends largely on the radiative forcings by changing greenhouse gases, aerosol particles and land id what their respective impacts on the Earth's energy budget use, a are (Cubasch et al., 2013). On regional scales, climate change depends both on regional and local climate forcing factors as well as on changes in the large-scale circulation of the atmosphere and oceans. The latter can be a result of long-term global change, but natural variability on decadal/centennial time scales is also of major importance in this context (Hawkins and Sutton, 2009). All of the above-mentioned factors are associated with uncertainties that make predictions of future climate conditions difficult. Uncertainties of future trends are smaller for thermal variables and larger for precipitation and atmospheric circulation (Kjellström et al., 2013).¶ The most widely used approach to deal with these difficulties is the use of large ensembles of climate model projections (Collins et al., 2013). A large number of simulations in such ensembles yield a spread that may be used to address the uncertainties: use of different climate models reflect uncertainties in the sensitivity of the climate system; use of different scenarios reflect uncertainties in the future forcing conditions: use of a large n umber of simulations reflects uncertainties in natural variability.

As the long-term socio-economic development is highly uncertain, scenarios for the future are designed to represent a wide range of forcing conditions. In the scenarios assessed by the AR5 (Stocker et al., 2013), four different Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) are considered: RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5. The numbers indicate the radiative forcing (in W m²) at the end of the 21^e century, relative to that of preindustrial conditions. The large spread between different simulations reflects the combined uncertainty between global climate sensitivity, regional response and natural variability.¶

- 510 Sea water temperatures have already begun to increase, both at the surface and in deep waters of the Baltic Sea (Mohrholz et al., 2006; Lehmann et al., 2011; Elken et al., 2015;), and are projected to increase further (on average 1.6 -3.2° by the end of this century, Gröger et al., 2019; Meier and Saraiva, 2020; Meier et al., 2021a). The increase in sea surface temperature is projected to be largest in the northern Baltic Sea during early summer, very likely due to the ice-albedo feedback causing earlier warming during the melting season.
- All available scenario simulations for sea ice suggest a drastic decrease in sea-ice cover in the future (Luomaranta et al., 2014; Meier, 2015). However, even the extreme scenarios do not suggest a complete disappearance of sea ice in the northernmost part of the Baltic Sea. The large interannual variability is expected to persist, with a decreasing probability of severe ice winters (Höglund et al., 2017).
- Salinity in the Baltic Sea depends on freshwater inputs (river runoff, precipitation), evaporation and outflows through the
 Kattegat, as well as saltwater inflows. There have been large decadal variations in mean salinity in the past, but no long-term trend (Lehmann et al., 2021). Salinity projections for the future show large variations as the factors wind, runoff and sea level rise act antagonistically and their effects are difficult to project (Meier et al., 2021b; Meier et al., 2021c).
 Sea level rise is treated here as a direct consequence of climate change. Its variations are caused by jce sheet melting, water

thermal expansion, and atmospheric circulation changes, Post-glacial land <u>movement</u> ranges from a sinking in the southwest (-1 mm yr⁻¹) to a rise of up to 9_mm/yr in the north (Harff et al., 2020). Water masses expand <u>with warming</u>, causing <u>the</u> sea

- level rise in the Baltic Sea. A second large factor is the melting of glaciers and of polar ice sheets. <u>This elevates the globally</u> averaged sea level, but its regional impact is more complicated. <u>Due to gravitational effects</u>, future trends in Baltic Sea sea levels will be mostly influenced by the melting of land-ice in Antarctica and other remote regions, and <u>much less</u> by the melting of neighboring land-ice in Greenland (Mitrovica et al., 2001). <u>The melting of Greenland ice will have a varying impact along</u>
- 530 Baltic Sea coasts (Hieronymus and Kalén, 2020). Changes in atmospheric circulation (Gräwe et al., 2019), sea ice and salinity (Ekman and Mäkinen, 1996) also affect Baltic Sea sea level. Global sea level rise is currently projected to range between 43 cm and 84 cm until 2100, depending on the scenario (Oppenheimer et al., 2019). In the Baltic Sea, sea level is estimated to rise (corrected for land uplift) between about 80% (Grinsted et al., 2015; Hieronymus and Kalén, 2020) and 100 % of the global rise. For a full review on seal level change in the Baltic Sea, see Weisse et al. (2021).

535 5.1.1 Impacts of climate change on other factors

545

- There is good evidence that climate change has a strong impact on coastal processes (+), though sea level rise on coastal erosion (Defeo et al., 2009) and the translocation of sediments through erosion, currents and accretion (Slott et al., 2006; Fitzgerald et al., 2008). In general, coasts are expected to be subject to stronger changes not only by inundation of low-lying coastal regions but also through increased wave-driven sediment translocations (Deng et al., 2019). As Baltic Sea waves often approach sedimentary shores under relatively large angles (Soomere and Viška, 2014), alongshore sediment transport may be particularly intense with rising sea level in the Baltic Sea. A considerable reduction of sea ice increases erosion rates at soft shores (Orviku et al., 2003; Overeem et al., 2011; Farquharson et al., 2018). Due to the large variability in observations and projections, there is so far no clear indication for changes in storm frequencies, severity and tracks in the Baltic Sea region, so their impact on coastal processes remains speculative.
- There is good evidence that hypoxia (+) is strongly affected by climate change indirectly through temperature, salinity and stratification, possibly also by altered precipitation and runoff patterns in the southern Baltic Sea region (Zillén et al., 2008). It is unclear whether the frequency of Baltic inflow events which temporarily provide new oxygen-rich deep water for the deep basins of the central Baltic Sea will change. A higher sea level and associated larger cross section in the Danish straits may have an impact on the volumes of future Baltic inflows of high saline waters. This in turn may have an impact on hypoxia in the large basins through stronger salt-water inflows and associated stronger

Gelöscht: Mohrholz et al., 2006	
Gelöscht: ,	
Gelöscht: ,	

Gelöscht: remain

Gelöscht: to

-	Gelöscht: It is well documented that sea level
+	Gelöscht: the prevailing climate, through warming (continental
+	Gelöscht:)
١	Gelöscht: uplift
	Gelöscht: is a considerable factor in this region and
	Gelöscht: slight
	Gelöscht: considerable
	Gelöscht: ¶ Warming of the global ocean has the most obvious impact on Baltic Sea sea level.
	Gelöscht: a
	Gelöscht: This contribution is still rather uncertain and models of ice sheet dynamics are still not sophisticated enough to provide an accurate picture under different warming scenarios. Counter-intuitively
	Gelöscht: very little
	Gelöscht: However, the response to melting ice sheets on Greenland differs between regions (Hieronymus and Kalén, 2020). Other factors affecting the local sea level in the Baltic Sea are
I	Gelöscht: ¶
V	Gelöscht: estimated
l	Gelöscht: There
	Gelöscht: are different estimations of how the global sea level rise
	Gelöscht: will propagate in the Baltic Sea, ranging between about
N	Gelöscht: Hieroniumus
I	Gelöscht: e

stratification in the deep basins (Meier et al., 2017). With more hypoxia, more phosphorus release from the deep anoxic basins may enhance cyanobacteria blooms and further deteriorate the oxygen situation in the deep basins. Still, the frequencies and consequences of possible stronger oxygen-rich saltwater inflows remain largely unknown and speculative.

585

590

625

- Marine acidification (+) is very much a product of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, in the course of fossil fuel combustion and changes in land use (e.g. Doney et al., 2009). The increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations leads to enhanced dissolution of CO₂ in seawater. As CO₂ dissolved in seawater forms weak diprotic carbonic acid, its dissociation causes seawater pH to decrease (Kuliński et al., 2017). Acidification in the Baltic Sea is different from other ocean provinces and marginal seas as it is very much affected by alkalinity, in particular in the northern Baltic Sea (Müller et al., 2016). Another source of anthropogenic acidification is atmospheric deposition of sulfur and nitrogen oxides, being also combustion products. They cause the so-called acid rain, which is especially relevant for soils and inland waters (e.g. Tranvik, 2021). Shipping may also contribute to acidification through scrubber water.
- 595 Submarine groundwater discharge (?) has been shown to affect coastal waters. There is no evidence that there is a direct impact by climate change on the quantity and quality of these submarine discharges, but looking at the driving forces of submarine groundwater discharges (SGD), this is plausible. Driving forces of SGD involve topographydriven flow, wave set-up, precipitation, sea level rise and convection caused by salinity and temperature between the seawater and groundwater (Burnett et al. 2006; Taniguchi et al., 2019). Changed groundwater levels (lowering in dry 600 seasons, or rising at times of strong precipitation) may be the effect of changing precipitation patterns and higher temperatures leading to stronger evaporation. The magnitude and relevance of these changes remain unclear, therefore impacts on SGD, by sea level rise and changes in precipitation and/or evapotranspiration need to be evaluated (Taniguchi et al., 2019). There may be an effect of rising sea level (and geostatic land rise). Even though it is hard to project the direction and significance of the change due to missing data and investigations (Taniguchi et al., 2019; 605 Kłostowska et al., 2020), modeling efforts signal that the total fluxes of submarine groundwater discharge may eventually decrease significantly with future sea level rise. This process is likely associated with a marked decline in the flux of nutrients and carbon to estuaries and the coastal ocean (Evans et al., 2020). Numerical studies imply that sea level changes may be responsible for an increase in recirculated SGD (RSGD), but this is not clear (Lee et al., 2013).
- Marine ecosystems (+) are affected strongly by climate change through warming and changing seasonality (Viitasalo and Bonsdorff, 2021; Viitasalo et al., 2015). For phyto- and zooplankton, longer and shifted growth periods have been shown, with selective impacts on specific species and functional groups (e.g. dinoflagellates, certain copepods) (Wasmund et al., 2019). Changes in benthic communities have been related to warming and eutrophication (Ehrnsten et al., 2020). A prominent potential victim of the anticipated reductions in sea ice in the northern Baltic Sea is the ringed seal which breeds on sea ice (Meier et al., 2004), while other marine mammals e.g. harbor porpoises, may profit from warming (Dippner et al., 2008). Still, there are many complex interactions between species and functional groups within the ecosystem, and climate change related impacts on certain species or groups may have indirect propagations to other compartments of the system. These effects are mostly non-linear, and may interact with one another, and they may be beneficial or detrimental for different ecosystem constituents or functions. A comprehensive description of climate change effects on the ecosystems of the Baltic Sea is provided by Viitasalo and Bonsdorff (2021) and references therein.
 - Climate change has generally shifted the species boundaries northwards, so it is a plausible driver for the migration
 and occurrence of non-indigenous species, although there is no direct evidence (?). Shipping through ballast water
 or attachment to hulls or the disappearance of physical barriers (e.g. though the construction of canals between
 separated water bodies) has been identified as a major vector for the introduction of new marine species into the Baltic

Gelöscht: Groundwater
Gelöscht: Discharge
Gelöscht: has only recently been considered as a potential factor to
Gelöscht: s
Gelöscht: s

Gelöscht: As climate change is expected to affect most of the above-mentioned factors, consequently it can also be expected to influence SGDs. **Gelöscht:** s

Gelöscht: Climate change has a multitude of impacts on the marine ecosystems (+) of the Baltic Sea, mostly through water temperature and oxygen concentrations, and indirectly through nutrient availability, facilitation of non-indigenous species migration, and complex food web interactions (Viitasalo et al., 2015).

Formatiert:	Schriftart:	Fett
Formatiert	Schriftart	Fett

Gelöscht: Anther

-{	Gelöscht:	(+)
Ч	Gelöscht:	little

645

650

Sea ecosystem (Ojaveer et al., 2017). Therefore, the physical transfer is not climate change related, but a changing climate can provide favourable growth conditions in the target region, e.g. though changes in temperature and salinity or prey composition (Möllmann et al., 2005). Another way to introduce new species is the direct migration to regions where climate change has established favourable conditions. This is, however, negligible compared to marine traffic and represents a rather gradual introduction. Northward migration of land (Smith et al., 2008) and marine species (for which temperature is critical) has been observed and are expected for the future (McKenzie and Schiedeck, 2007).

- Climate change affects air and water temperature as well as precipitation patterns, so there is a clear impact on land use and land cover (+). Sea level rise may also affect land cover and use by increased flooding or eroding coastal areas, which are thus lost to the sea or converted to wetlands (e.g. Gadan et al., 2020). Growth conditions on land and the vegetation zones are affected by changing temperatures and precipitation patterns (Smith et al., 2008), but also by political or management decisions, which in turn may or may not be influenced by climate change (Yli-Pelkonen, 2008). Higher temperatures and CO₂ concentrations in the atmosphere lead to thriving vegetation, but declining water availability, presumably in the southern parts of the region, sets limits to this (Smith et al., 2008). The decisions, which part of land is dedicated to which use (e.g. agriculture) is very much a management and political decision, which in turn may be affected by climatic conditions.
- 660 The most important type of land use, at least in the southern part of the Baltic Sea basin, is agriculture (+). Climate change has a strong impact on the different kinds of crops as different crops have different requirements concerning water availability and soil type. Any changes in temperature and precipitation may lead to the need for better adapted crops as a response (Fronzek and Carter, 2007). Socio-economic considerations may be still more important in defining the type of agricultural land cover than climatic ones (e.g. Rounsevell et al., 2005; Bartosova et al., 2019; 665 Pihlainen et al., 2020). Climate change alters precipitation and runoff patterns from agricultural fields and thus largely determines the amounts of nutrients (+) entering the sea. Still, the effects of climate change on nutrient loads are rather uncertain. Climate projections indicate that the northern part of the Baltic Sea basin could be wetter but for the south, changes are unknown (Christensen et al., 2021). That would imply that riverine and runoff fluxes of nutrients could decline as most nutrients enter the Baltic Sea in the southern part of the basin, but it is difficult to assess which 670 sources dominate in different sea sub-basins, and catchment-wide models of nutrient source apportionment are scarce (Bartosova et al., 2019, HELCOM, 2018b, c). Furthermore, is not known how fertilization practices, crops grown, and land use will change in response to climate change. Also unknown is the relative contribution of nitrogen from accumulated, legacy sources to current riverine loads to the sea, and how the accumulation and release of "legacy" nutrients will be impacted by climate change. Warmer winters without snow cover and non-freezing soil have resulted 675 in proportionally more soil erosion, larger runoff and consequently more nutrients transported to the sea (Huttunen et al., 2015). Climate-related changes in the Baltic Sea like warmer temperatures, changed stratification patterns, altered ecosystems and biogeochemical pathways may change the fate of nutrients in the sea (Arheimer et al. 2012; Meier et al., 2012). Sea level rise may have an impact on the internal loading of phosphate, through potentially increased saltwater inflows in the future with rising sea levels, affecting ecosystems in micro-tidal lagoons (Huang et al., 2020) 680 and increasing the hypoxic areas in the deep water and the associated phosphate release (Meier et al., 2017).
- Aquaculture (+) is directly affected by rising water temperatures. Surface water (0-10 m) temperatures often exceed the optimal range for rainbow trout, a major aquaculture fish in the Baltic Sea region (Kankainen et al., 2020). Farmers report longer periods of excessively warm waters, causing reduced physical fitness, impaired growth and even fish kills which may be related to warming and other associated impacts (Brander et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2019). Therefore, a trend towards more warm water species <u>such</u> as pikeperch, perch etc. is possible. Salinity changes are unlikely to affect currently farmed fish species in the Baltic Sea. Warmer growth seasons might increase the risk of successful establishment of farmed rainbow trout in the wild, demanding the use of sterile fish as mitigation. Blue mussels and

Gelöscht: ; Holopainen et al., 2016

Gelöscht: So, a Gelöscht: Still, s

Gelöscht: changes

macroalgae aquaculture may be negatively affected by both warmer temperatures and, potentially, declining salinity. Any increase in waves and extreme events as well as predation by fish and birds would lead to higher mussel losses. All these climatic effects are to a large extent eliminated in enclosed, controlled, land-based farming facilities.

- Fisheries (+) are strongly affected by climate change impacts on the commercially interesting fish populations in the Baltic Sea, that is mostly cod, sprat and herring (Möllmann, 2019). Climate affects salinity and temperature, which in turn affect the reproduction and growth of several fish species (MacKenzie and Köster, 2004; MacKenzie and Schiedeck, 2007; Köster et al., 2017), and thereby the availability of the resources that fisheries can exploit. Growth of planktivorous species or life stages is affected by climatic conditions that are regulating zooplankton dynamics (Casini et al., 2011; Köster et al., 2017). Climate effects are also connected to oxygen conditions in the Baltic Sea, affecting the organisms and fish production in several ways, e.g. for cod (Köster et al., 2005). Climate impacts on one species can also propagate through the food web via food web interactions. For example, a high abundance of sprat due to favourable temperatures increases competition between sprat and herring and reduces their growth and condition (Casini et al., 2011). Changes in ice conditions in the future may affect the duration of fishing season in northern areas in the Baltic Sea, with potential consequences for some fish stocks (Bauer et al., 2019).
 - <u>Climate change can be expected to affect river regulations (+)</u>. Inland shipping and water management have resulted in river regulations for centuries, and the hydrology of many catchment basins, including the Baltic Sea, is heavily modified (e.g. Wanders and Wada, 2015). Increasing droughts with lower river water volume at certain times of the season may affect water management and shipping in the southern catchment basin. On the other hand, extreme rain events may lead to inundation, where the river was regulated and natural inundation areas have been separated from the river by levees and transformed to agricultural surfaces or housing areas (Kundzewicz et al., 2005). <u>Arheimer et al. (2017) concluded that in snow-fed rivers globally, the future climate change impact on flow regime is minor compared to the regulation downstream of large reservoirs.
 </u>

710

- There is good evidence that offshore wind farms (+) and their energy production are impacted by climate change 715 because, firstly, wind is a climate related atmospheric feature and secondly because the wind farms are, at least partly, a political (management) response to mitigate climate change (Tobin et al., 2015; Tobin et al., 2016). With increasing mitigation activities worldwide, we can expect a considerable increase in offshore wind energy production (ECDGE, 2019). Although it is not clear whether the harvested wind energy per unit will be higher in the future due to the uncertainty of wind projections (Rusu, 2020; Christensen et al., 2021), the number of wind farms will increase in the 720 future due to a politically driven shift to renewable energies and the limited space and low acceptance for land-based wind energy devices. Offshore wind farms may in turn have a certain impact on the regional climate by absorbing atmospheric energy on the regional scale. There is, however, little information on the magnitude of this effect (e.g. Siedersleben et al., 2018; Lundquist et al., 2019). Rising sea levels may have an impact on offshore wind farms, but they are probably not affected severely as there is presumably a sufficient safety margin calculated for storm surges within the life span of a structure. The general perception is that interaction between the foundation and the 725 surrounding soil is a significant source of uncertainty in estimating the safety margins of support structures (Smilden et al., 2020).
- There is strong evidence that shipping (+) is affected by climate change. Perils at sea for ships are all climate sensitive, ranging from storms, waves, currents, ice conditions, visibility to sea level affecting navigational fairways. Winter navigation is less impeded as a drastically decreasing winter sea ice cover is projected, but as winters with ice cover can also occur in the future (albeit less frequent), precautions for a safe winter shipping (e.g. the provision of ice breaking vessels in the eastern and northern Baltic Sea) cannot be abandoned. Also, search and rescue missions in winter may increase because engine power may in the future be adapted to the lower expected ice cover and stringent energy efficiency requirements set by the International Maritime Organization (IMO). Inland and archipelago

Gelöscht:

- -	[10] verschoben (Einfügung)
	Gelöscht: may
Ν	Gelöscht:
ľ	Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett
ľ	Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett
Ň	Gelöscht: (?)
	Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett
	[10] nach oben verschoben: Climate change may affect river regulations.

Gelöscht: heavily

shipping is impacted by floods and depth changes of rivers or straits, which may prevent normal vessel operations during exceptional periods. Further aspects affecting shipping are a potential increase in leisure boating with increasingly warm and longer summers in the Baltic Sea, and different noise propagation through warmer water. 745 Regulations to reduce the SO_x concentrations in air emissions by large ships involve scrubbing, i.e. the stripping of the contaminated combustion air with seawater. The stripping efficiency depends on the alkalinity of the sea water, which eventually ends up contaminated in the Baltic Sea (Endres et al., 2018; Teuchies et al., 2020), and may increase acidification (Turner et al., 2018). Possible impacts by sea level change on shipping could be the modification of fairways/shipping routes. On the one hand, shallow passages may get deeper and wider in the future (Meyers and 750 Luther, 2020) and passages may be safer through shallow and dangerous fairways like the Kadet channel. Increasing water depths at bottlenecks would allow deeper drafts or higher loadings of large vessel, but ship size is constantly increasing, which may offset this hypothetical effect (Lu and Yeh, 2019). On the other hand, harbors and docking terminals will need to be adapted to higher water levels and possible changes in the sediment transport patterns. The associated threats of direct wave attack and overtopping may require substantial modifications of the existing 755 breakwaters (Contestabile et al., 2020), also resulting in an increase in operational shutdowns and subsequent economic losses (Izaguirre et al., 2021). There has already been major damage and disruption to ports across the world from climate-related hazards and such impacts are projected to increase in the years and decades to come (Becker et al., 2018).

- Climate change impacts on the degradation and distribution of chemical contaminants (+) include an array of 760 processes. Changing environmental temperatures affect diffusive partitioning between environmental phase-pairs such as air-water, air-aerosols, air-soil, air-vegetation, leading to a different distribution between environmental compartments, like increased volatilization from seawater and soil to air (Macdonald et al., 2003; Noves et al., 2009). Increasing temperatures can enhance photolysis, hydrolytic degradation and biodegradation of organic contaminants (Noyes et al., 2009). Atmospheric transport and air-water exchange can be influenced by changes in wind fields and, 765 to a lesser extent, wind speeds (Lamon et al., 2009; Kong et al., 2014). Changing precipitation patterns influence chemical transport via atmospheric deposition (rain dissolution and scavenging of particles, Langner et al., 2005; Armitage et al., 2011) and runoff, transporting terrestrial organic carbon and contaminants associated with this carbon (Ripszam et al., 2015). As ice cover in lakes and the sea decreases, more organic contaminants may volatilize to the atmosphere (Macdonald et al., 2003; Undeman et al., 2015). Extended vegetation periods together with a reduced ice 770 cover in the coastal zone allow both planktonic and benthic organisms to accumulate nutrients and toxic substances for a longer period. It has been estimated that, in an ice-free year, the average mercury concentration can be substantially higher in phytoplankton and macrophyto- and zoobenthos compared to ice winters (Bełdowska et al., 2016a; Bełdowska and Kobos, 2016). As a result, a greater load of mercury can be remobilized from sediment to benthic organisms (Bełdowska, 2015; Bełdowska et al., 2015). An increase in air temperature, especially in the late 775 autumn-winter-early spring season, contributes to the reduction of coal combustion and consequently to a decrease of toxic metal emissions and other combustion products like dioxins and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), as compared to colder winters (Bełdowska et al., 2016a; Bełdowska, 2015). Still, reductions in emissions of contaminants have much stronger effects on concentrations of all contaminants than climate change (Simpson et al. 2015).
- Dumped military material (?) may be a great danger for the Baltic Sea in the future as poisonous material is expected to leak due to corrosion of hulls. This process may possibly be affected by climate change. Due to longer vegetation periods in a warmer climate, the extended transfer of carcinogenic degradation products of explosives may take place for a larger part of the year. Corrosion rates are temperature- and oxygen dependent, so that good ventilation of dumping sites can be expected to enhance corrosion rates (Silva and Chock, 2016). Warming can significantly affect

- 785 munitions in shallow waters, which were mostly used as dumpsites for conventional warfare material. There, ammunition shells as hard metal objects as substrates for colonization in soft sediment areas can increase the local biodiversity of sessile species, but the chunks of organic compounds used as explosives can also attract primary and secondary producers as a source of nutrients, followed by various biofilm grazers. Longer vegetation seasons may contribute to oxygen deficiencies, which may reduce arsenic constituencies into more mobile and toxic arsenic species (Czub et al., 2021).
 - There is no evident direct impact of climate change on marine litter or microplastics (?). Still, there may be a connection via increased temperature- and photolysis dependent <u>physical</u> degradation <u>of microplastics</u>, and on the distribution due to changing currents. Furthermore, changes in precipitation and frequency of storm events may affect microplastic concentrations in the Baltic Sea due to changes in microplastic emissions, e.g. via stormwater runoffs, deposition and sediment resuspension.
 - There is an impact of climate on coastal tourism (+) in general (Arabadzhyan et al., 2021), and also at the Baltic Sea coasts (Braun et al., 1999; Seetanah and Fauzel, 2019). A warmer climate with projected longer and warmer summers is clearly beneficial for most touristic activities in the Baltic Sea region (swimming, diving, sun bathing, surfing, boating, fishing), with its moderate to subarctic weather conditions (Nicholls and Amelung, 2015; Perch-Nielsen et al., 2010). Furthermore, the perception of climate change and changing social norms, preferences and ideals towards a carbon neutral society and tourism may enhance the role of regional and local tourism in the Baltic Sea region (Kaján and Saarinen, 2013; Urry, 2015). On the other hand, ice-related activities in the northernmost parts in winter, like ice fishing and skating, is expected to be less practicable in the future. There are negative implications of climate change which are relevant for tourism, e.g. potentially deteriorating water quality in coastal waters due to hypoxia and algal blooms (Nilsson and Gössling, 2013; Olofsson et al., 2020), novel toxic algae (Engstrom-Ost et al., 2015), and growth of infectious bacteria (e.g. *Vibrio*) (Baker-Austin et al., 2012). Beaches may suffer as well (Haller et al., 2011).
- Coastal management (+) as the process to mitigate problems in the face of multiple uses of coastal spaces and services is strongly challenged by climate change (Sanchez-Argilla et al., 2016). There is strong evidence that climate 810 change heavily affects coastal structures through sea level rise (Nicholls, 2011) and intensified coastal erosion (Toimil et al., 2017). Storm surges, which run up higher with rising sea level (Needham et al., 2015; Hague et al., 2020; Stephens et al., 2020) as well as changing current patterns (Nagy et al., 2019) and sediment relocations (Soomere and Viška, 2014), endanger levees, groynes and other coastal structures, and call for coastal management decisions to cope with these changes (Le Cozannet et al., 2017). Harbours and cities are strongly affected, so that there is 815 considerable economic (Di Segni et al., 2017) and ecological (Naylor et al., 2012) value at stake. Beaches as spaces for recreation with multi-billion value in the Baltic Sea only (Czajkowski et al., 2015) and coastal biotopes are under pressure as well (Harff et al., 2017a; Vitousek et al., 2017; Vousdoukas et al., 2020). Sea level change in particular has a very strong impact on coastal management and the defense structures like levees and groynes, and generally on the management of low-lying coastal regions (Hoggart et al., 2014). Also coastal cities (Balica et al., 2012) and 820 harbours (Sierra, 2019) are highly vulnerable to sea level rise and require management actions. Different vulnerabilities and urgencies towards sea level rise require different management approaches in different countries, and between the southern and the northern regions (e.g. Harff et al., 2017a, Harff et al., 2017b, Støttrup et al., 2017): resilient, high, rocky coasts with land uplift dominate in the north (Ristaniemi et al., 1997), while vulnerable, low sandy coasts, soft cliffs and a slight land subsidence are strongly endangered in the southern regions (Zeidler, 1997).

825 5.1.2 Knowledge gaps

795

800

805

Gelöscht: and dissolution rates

-{	Gelöscht: Exceptions are the i
-{	Gelöscht: ,
-(Gelöscht: which
Ľ	Gelöscht: possible
Y	Gelöscht:

The large spread between different atmospheric simulations reflects the combined uncertainty between global climate sensitivity, regional response and natural variability. For assessing future climate change, modelling is the primary tool available, and fundamental research questions remain. These include a better representation of the large-scale thermohaline

- 835 circulation influencing the North Atlantic, the large-scale atmospheric circulation including storm-tracks influencing cyclone activity and high-pressure blocking situations, representation of microphysical processes involving clouds and aerosols, exchange processes at the surface including soil moisture and snow conditions, and a better representation of precipitation processes including convection-permitting modeling to better represent precipitation extremes.
- Simulations with large ensembles of climate models where the only difference is the initial conditions show that such changes
 can be very large and this is a considerable source of uncertainty in assessing changes in the regional climate. <u>Climate models</u> do generally not agree on the line dividing precipitation increases and decreases. Evaporation, on the other hand, will very certainly increase. As a consequence, there is an uncertainty to what extent conditions for drought will be more or less pronounced in the future. <u>Furthermore, it is so far not clear whether salinity in the Baltic Sea will increase or decrease in the future</u>, and thus how the modified salinities may affect sea-level changes. <u>There are major knowledge gaps concerning the effects of aerosols on the regional climate of the Baltic Sea region</u>, and models are largely unable to simulate aerosol-climate
- interactions (Hansson and Bhend, 2015). Further question are:
 - <u>Climate change and marine ecosystems</u>: There are large uncertainties related to the complex interactions in the pelagic and benthic ecosystems (from bacteria and fish) and how these respond to climate change.
 - Climate change and river regulations: How is climate change affecting regulated river basins, e.g. through inundations, floodings, or droughts? Current coupled climate models for the Baltic Sea region suffer from a poor representation of river regulations, floodplain storage and backwater effects (e.g. Hagemann et al., 2020).
 - *Climate change and dumped military material:* What is the effect of warming temperatures on the corrosion rate and release of toxic substances from dumped materials?

5.2 Coastal processes

850

855 Coastal processes generally describe the impact of the sea on the direct coastline it is in contact with. This includes waves, currents, sediment translocations and coastal erosion, accretion and silting processes. <u>These processes differently affect</u> different coastal types (sandy beaches and soft cliffs vs. rocky coasts).

About half of the shores of the Baltic Sea are sedimentary (Harff et al., 2017b) and thus susceptible with respect to different hydrometeorological loads. The submerged and soft coastal relief of the southern Baltic Sea area is under most threat (Labuz,

- 2015). The other half are either extremely resistant bedrock (granite) or very slowly changing limestone shores. Most of the sedimentary shores suffer from erosion (Pranzini and Williams, 2013) and only relatively short sections (most notably Denmark) exhibit accretion (EMODnet Geology, 2021). Many shore segments exhibit rapid retreat rates (e.g. up to 2.5 m yr⁻¹ in the Neva Bight, Ryabchuk et al., 2012) that may have large impacts on the coastal infrastructure and cause extensive land. For example, the projected shoreline retreat in some sections of the Pomeranian Bay may reach 100 m by the end of the 21st century (Deng et al., 2015) and 30–40 m on the northern shore of the Neva Bight (Leont'yev et al., 2015).
- The major supplier of energy to the nearshore and the driver of sediment transport are surface waves, mostly during high storm surges when waves affect unprotected sediment (Soomere and Viška, 2014; Kovaleva et al., 2017; Björkqvist et al., 2018). Such events are infrequent in the Baltic Sea that hosts a highly intermittent wave climate. On the one hand, as little as 1% of the total annual energy arrives on the shores of the eastern Baltic Sea within the calmest 170–200 days. On the other hand,
- 60% of the annual energy arrives within 20 days with relatively high waves and as much as 30% of the energy within the 3–4 stormiest days (Soomere and Eelsalu, 2014), Thus, the properties of single storms and the timing of storms in sequences may become decisive in the evolution of the coast (Coco et al., 2014; Dissanayake et al., 2015).

Gelöscht: A source of considerable uncertainty for sea level estimations is that the projections of precipitation change provided by climate models are regionally not robust.

Gelöscht: and the extent to which they may influence areas in the north

Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

Gelöscht: What

Gelöscht: is the connection between climate change and river regulations? Is climate change affecting how rivers are regulated, and how, e.g. through increasing inundations, floodings, etc? How does climate change influence the decisions to restore regulated rivers?

Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

Gelöscht: <#>Climate change and marine litter: Hardly anything is known about plastic degradation rates (not to be confused with fragmentation into smaller plastics), even less so for the marine system.¶

Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

Gelöscht: Different coastal types (sandy beaches and soft cliffs vs. rocky coasts) are differently affected by these processes

Gelöscht: loss of

Gelöscht: Technically, t

Gelöscht: . Even quite small waves contribute to sediment transport processes. The most intense alongshore and cross-shore sediment transport usually occurs in the nearshore (surf and swash zones) during very strong wave storms. The most rapid shoreline changes (both erosion and accumulation) occur during short term elevated average water level events when high waves approach the shore from an unusual and unfortunate angle and attack the unprotected sediment. This happens

Gelöscht: . The properties of this energy supply and the resulting wave-driven transport in large parts of the Baltic Sea shores are described in

Gelöscht: and generalized to the entire Baltic Sea context in (Hünicke et al., 2015; Harff et al., 2017a; Harff et al., 2017b)

Gelöscht: ¶

A simple consequence of this kind of intermittency of wave fields, anisotropy of wind and wave patterns (Soomere, 2003), and the complicated geometry of the shoreline is that many beaches are in an almost equilibrium state (Soomere and Healy, 2011) for long periods, and their evolution is a step-like process. This means that a few events resulting in rapid changes (when strong waves arrive from a specific direction during high water level events) occur on a background of very slow changes (that cover most of the year and require high-resolution measurements to detect; Eelsalu et al., 2015).

- 915 Hydrodynamic forces effectively reshape the shore, particularly when no ice is present and the sediment is mobile (Orviku et al., 2003; Ryabchuk et al., 2011; Nielsen et al., 2020). No ice means no protection against severe waves and high water levels (Barnhart et al., 2014). Storm surges are much higher during ice-fee time than on the shore of even partially ice-covered water bodies (Orviku et al., 2003).
- The potential additional sedimentation of fairways and river mouths and erosion of shores in the vicinity of built environments have direct economic consequences. The loss of stability of beaches (Haller et al., 2011) may severely damage communities that offer recreational services. Unexpected changes in the transport system may lead to, e.g., intense cross-shore transport of very fine sediment to vulnerable areas such as spawning areas, with substantial consequences to fish stocks.

Many Baltic Sea shores suffer from a deficit of fine sediments (Pranzini and Williams, 2013), which <u>makes them very</u> vulnerable, However, a number of beaches of the Baltic Sea are stabilized (Soomere et al., 2017): As waves in the Baltic Sea

925 are relatively short and thus their energy spectrum relatively narrow, the surf zone is narrow and wave run-up phenomena are usually less powerful than on the open ocean shores (Didenkulova et al. 2008). Postglacial rebound in some parts of the sea additionally stabilizes the affected beaches. Therefore, many Baltic Sea beaches with very small amounts of sand are in a fragile but almost equilibrium state. As sediment transport direction and its convergence (accumulation) and divergence (erosion) areas are highly sensitive with respect to the wave approach direction, and even a minor climate-change-driven

930 rotation of the predominant wind directions over the Baltic Sea may substantially alter the structural patterns and pathways of wave-driven transport and functioning of large sections of the coastline (Viška and Soomere, 2012).

Sediment extraction for the purposes of beach renourishment (Karaliūnas et al, 2020) or aggregate (Schwarzer, 2010) can negatively affect shorelines if the source zone is at depths less than the beach profile closure (López et al, 2020).

5.2.1 Impacts of coastal processes on other factors

940

945

- Coastal current systems and sediment relocations may possibly influence local hypoxia (?) in coastal embayments. Biogeochemical processes in the water column and sediments are the cause for hyp- and anoxia but coastal processes may be important for providing certain conditions (e.g. Conley et al., 2009; Kemp et al., 2009).
 - Coastal processes, next to riverine inputs, may affect alkalinity and **acidification** (?) in some regions (Krumins et al., 2013; Gustafsson et al., 2014; Gustafsson and Gustafsson, 2020).
 - Submarine groundwater discharge (+) can be defined as a coastal process. It is very much affected by other coastal processes like topography-driven flow, wave set-up, sea level rise and currents (Burnett et al., 2006; Kłostowska et al., 2020).
 - Coastal processes may affect marine ecosystems (?), in particular coastal habitats. On the one hand, the loss or weakening of coastal barriers leads to saltwater intrusion into adjacent low-lying areas. Increased salinity levels moving inland have disrupted many wetland ecological functions (Davidson et al., 2018). On the other hand, improved water quality via coastal retreat may mitigate losses of seagrass from sea level rise (Luijendijk et al., 2018). Major changes in the sediment transport system may lead to increased (but not yet quantified) pressure to vulnerable areas, e.g., via transport of very fine sediment to spawning areas.
- Coastal erosion can affect land use (+) close to the coast, beaches and close to endangered cliffs where erosion often increases risk for landslides (Collins and Sitar, 2008). These aspects are well understood for the open ocean shores (e.g., massive changes in the area of fish ponds owing to erosion and accretion, Kalther and Itaya, 2020) but have not been addressed in the Baltic Sea context. Accretion can generate new sand spits (Tônisson et al., 2008; Ryabchuk et al., 2011) and associated coastal lagoons, marshes or polders and may lead to massive silting of harbours. On a long time scale the morphology and its associated land use are subject to change.

Gelöscht: Particularly dangerous are thus situations when strong waves reach unprotected and unfrozen mobile sediment during extreme storm surges on higher sections of the shore that are out of reach of waves during periods of usual water levels

Gelöscht: Potential changes in the intensity and/or direction of wave-driven processes at the shore impact many aspects of society.

Gelöscht: means that they are

Gelöscht: because of the large hydrodynamic loads affecting them, being geomorphically young and poorly supplied in sand Gelöscht: explicitly or implicitly

Gelöscht: by the (mis-)match of the directions of predominant strong storms and geometry of the shoreline: they are relatively infrequently hit by storms and may have stable sections in their bayheads. Also, systematic synchronization of high water levels and strong waves may implicitly protect some beaches

Gelöscht:).

Gelöscht: As a consequence

Gelöscht: Direct subtractions from the coastal sediment budget can have significant consequences. Time is "running out for sand" (Bendixen et al, 2019), and this is the case in the Baltic Sea as well.

[2] nach unten verschoben: A major knowledge gap is the scarcity and low accessibility of data about changes to the coastline (Muis et al., 2020).

- Similarly, it can be significant for agricultural (+) fields and forests very close to the sea or a cliff endangered by coastal erosion. These processes are generally proportional to the shoreline retreat rate but may threaten important areas if, for example, a coastal barrier is lost. Coastal currents affect the distribution of land-borne nutrients (e.g. near-shore currents in the Pomeranian and Gdansk Bay, with little mixing with open waters (e.g. Pastuszak et al., 2003; Voss et al., 2005a).
- There is no direct evidence for an impact on **aquaculture (?)**, but erosion and sediment translocations may affect open aquaculture cages in coastal locations.
- There may be an impact of coastal processes on coastal fish habitats and **fishing** (?) grounds. Intense cross-shore transport of very fine sediment to spawning areas may adversely affect fish stock, but this potential effect has not been quantified.
 - Coastal processes can possibly affect the regulation of river (+) mouths and estuaries, and the associated sediment distributions in these river mouths. They may result in building a sill at the river mouth that partially or totally blocks the river flow, similar to ice jam (Lindenschmidt et al., 2019). This blocking may lead to flooding of the areas around the river mouth and to a degradation of water quality in the closed estuary. This phenomenon is frequent on open ocean shores (e.g. Thom et al., 2020). It usually occurs in wave-dominated environments, which are scarce in the Baltic Sea and are represented, e.g., by the Narva River (Laanearu et al., 2007).
 - Offshore wind farms (+) can be affected by coastal processes if they are close to the coast, e.g. through currents and sediment transport. Coastal currents may lead to wake effects and scouring, and problems with the stability of pillars and the sediment distribution in the lee of the wind turbines. The interaction between the foundation and the surrounding soil is a major source of uncertainty in estimating the safety margins of support structures (Smilden et al., 2020).
- There is a large influence of coastal processes on shipping (+) routes close to the coast and in intracoastal waterways;
 <u>Changing</u> currents, the generation of shallows and sand spits into fairways, either naturally or forced by different coastal engineering structures (Davis and Barnard, 2003), <u>may result in re-location and the need for dredging of</u> fairways.
 - Coastal processes may affect the distribution of chemical contaminants (?) and toxic heavy metals through sediment translocations and the modification of habitats. Coastal erosion contributes significantly to the inflow of substances to the sea (including toxic chemicals) and wave-driven alongshore transport may carry such substances to great distances. The concentrations of toxic metals in the eroding cliff are mostly low (Kwasigroch et al., 2018), but <u>due to the large amounts of eroded sediments, the total load of metals introduced in this way is significant, (Beldowska et al., 2016b). Additionally, episodes of erosion occur several times a year, leading to large loads of toxic substances in a relatively short time. Metals introduced in this way to the environment are often bioavailable (Kwasigroch et al., 2018).
 </u>
 - Chemical munition (?) dumpsites are located far from the coasts, but current systems may affect the distribution of leaked substances. Conventional munition dumpsites can however be located in shallow water at a close distance to the shore, i.e. in Kiel Bight. As degradation products and trace metals originating from munitions have been detected in the sediments, coastal processes may enhance the spreading of those contaminations to adjacent areas or increase their bioavailability (Gębka et al., 2016; Beldowski et al., 2019; Maser and Strehse, 2020).
 - Coastal processes have no impact on the generation but a significant impact on the distribution and accumulations of beach wrack (Suursaar et al., 2014) and **litter (+)** on the shoreline (Esiukova, 2017; Haseler et al., 2020; Urban-Malinga et al., 2020).
 - There is a very strong impact of coastal processes on **coastal management** (+). Coastal processes like erosion, sediment translocation, accretion etc. are primary drivers for coastal management (Hapke et al., 2016), which includes

Gelöscht:	,	

Gelös	cht:	resulting

-{	Gelöscht: a
\neg	Gelöscht: ,
Y	Gelöscht: due to the large amounts of eroded sediments

Gelöscht: there

980

990

995

1010

1005

1

1015

1020

1030

1035

the provision of coastal defenses against erosion and inundation by engineering and planning. Sand and gravel extraction from nearshore areas creates an intrinsic danger to the shoreline by removing sedimentary material in the affected area, and increasing the wave energy reaching the shoreline. Mining of sand or gravel is usually considered acceptable in terms of its effect on the shoreline dynamics if the extraction site is well offshore from the closure depth. For example, mining in Polish waters (Uscinowicz et al., 2014) was performed at a depth of 15 to 30 m_s and several operations in Tromper Wiek, NE of the Island of Rügen, at depths from 14 to 21 m (Kubicki et al., 2007). As these sites had a depth more than twice as large as the closure depth in the open Baltic Sea (Soomere et al., 2017), it is natural that no impact was reported on the coastal processes in the vicinity. Several operations providing sand for beach nourishment at Palanga, Lithuania, used sand extracted from the Baltic Sea floor at a depth of ≥ 50 m (Pupienis et al., 2014). Similarly, no direct impact on coastal processes was reported in 1997 after extraction of approximately 320 000 m³ of sand from a site located about 25 km off Wustrow, Germany (Krause et al., 2010). However, negative impacts on the coastal sediment budget cannot be excluded (Diesing et al., 2006).

5.2.2. Knowledge gaps

- 1040 There are today major gaps in the understanding of the functioning of sedimentary compartments and the wave-driven mobility of sediment between these cells in the eastern Baltic Sea. A major knowledge gap is the scarcity and low accessibility of data about changes to the coastline (Muis et al., 2020), They are crucial for the validation of modeled sediment fluxes and for understanding and forecasting coastline changes. Modelling with much finer resolution (about 500 m alongshore) is necessary to properly identify the structural features of sediment transport over long interconnected sedimentary systems. To resolve
- 1045 both rapid and slow phases of coastal evolution it is necessary to combine high-resolution scanning techniques with detailed bathymetric data and to develop and validate methods for approximate estimates of underwater sediment transport. Further questions are:
 - Coastal processes and hypoxia: What effects do coastal processes, i.e. currents, erosion processes and sediment translocations have on coastal hypoxia?
- Coastal processes and acidification: What effects do coastal processes have on acidification? What is the impact of coastal erosion on alkalinity and acidification? It is assumed that weathering in the northern basins and rivers may contribute to an increase in alkalinity. What contribution may coastal processes have on alkalinity, acidification and the carbon system in general?
 - Coastal processes and marine ecosystems: To which extent and how are coastal marine ecosystems impacted by coastal processes?
 - Coastal processes and fisheries: How do coastal processes have an impact on coastal fisheries, e.g. gill nets?
 - Coastal processes and chemical contaminants: How do coastal processes affect the release and distribution of chemical contaminants from rivers and sediments?
 - Coastal processes and Dumped <u>military material</u>: Do coastal processes affect dumped military material, e.g. by current systems or sediment transports? Are there any effects expected at the dumpsites and how strong could they be?

5.3 Hypoxia

1055

1060

Oxygen (O₂) is one of the central biogeochemical elements on Earth<u>and is primarily produced in seawater by phytoplankton</u>, but its concentration is also strongly affected by the gas exchange through the sea surface. In most parts of the world ocean,
 seawater is a source of oxygen; it is estimated that 50-85% of the atmospheric oxygen is produced in the oceans (NOOA, 2021). Oxygen can be carried to non-productive deeper layers by processes like convection, downwelling and diffusion, so

-(Gelöscht: twice
-(Gelöscht: >
-(Gelöscht: in 1997
-{	Gelöscht:);
	Celöscht: hawavar

[2] verschoben	(Einfügung)	

1	Gelöscht: A large gap is the scarcity and low accessibility of data
	about changes to the coastline.

Gelöscht: Military

Gelöscht: Material

Gelöscht: It is produced during photosynthesis and is part of metabolic energy production in almost all organisms. Consequently, its distribution in the oceans is essential for life in the sea. Oxygen in seawater

- that many parts of the deep ocean are rich in oxygen (Jahnke and Jackson, 1992). Respiration by heterotrophic organisms (bacteria, protists, micro-and macrozooplankton, larger animals) and nitrification (the microbial process in deeper water layers to produce nitrite and nitrate from ammonium) are the sinks for oxygen in the water column. Poor ventilation and enhanced respiration in deeper water layers may lead to *hypoxia*, which is defined as the approximate oxygen concentration too low to allow the existence of complex multicellular life (<2mL L⁻¹), or *anoxia*, denoting the complete absence of oxygen with the concomitant microbial production of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S).
- Hypoxia in the Baltic Sea (as in other highly productive and stratified regions of the world ocean) is a common phenomenon, but has increased considerably in the deep basins of the Baltic Sea (mainly in the Bornolm, Gdansk and Gotland basins) over the course of the past century (by a factor of 10 since the beginning of the 20th, Carstensen et al., 2014), <u>A hypoxic area of</u> roughly 32% of the Baltic Proper was estimated in 2019 (Hansson et al., 2020). This in principle goes parallel with the
- 1090 industrialization and eutrophication of the Baltic Sea (Zillén et al., 2008; Conley et al., 2009; Carstensen et al., 2014). Thus, eutrophication has been identified as the foremost cause of this development. However, the decreasing nutrient inputs in recent decades and years have not yet resulted in a re-oxygenation because of legacy nutrient pools, i.e nutrient pools which are temporarily accumulated in the sediments and released to the water column slowly (McCrackin et al., 2018a).
- Major Baltic Inflows (MBIs), i.e. irregular inflows of saline, oxygen-rich deep water through the Kattegat and Belts and Sounds
 into the deep basins of the western and central Baltic Sea, do not have any long-term positive impact on the oxygen conditions in the deep layers, as the enhanced salinity (and density) of these waters increase stratification and drastically reduce the vertical exchange beween surface and deep waters. Recent studies show that oxygen consumption rates after MBIs have significantly increased during the last decades, causing hypoxic conditions to prevail or even deteriorate (Meier et al., 2018).
 Thus, saltwater inflows generally contribute to a reduction of oxygen concentrations in the deep basins (Meier et al., 2017).
- In addition to the deep basins, many coastal waters in the Baltic Sea increasingly suffer from temporal or even permanent anor hypoxia (Conley et al., 2011, Jokinen et al., 2018). The main causes for coastal hypoxia are nutrient releases from the surrounding land, seasonal thermal stratification and reduced water circulation in coastal embayments. Climate change affects the extent of hypoxia in the water column. Oxygen deficiencies have increased in the deep basins and
- in coastal waters over the past decades (Carstensen et al., 2014; Caballero-Alfonso et al., 2015). Climate warming has
 contributed to this trend through lower O₂ dissolution in water, increased respiration rates and intensified stratification.
 Eutrophication with subsequent extensive biomass production and remineralization has been identified as the primary driver (Meier et al., 2019). Sea level rise is also expected to contribute to hypoxic areas, through the potential intensification of saltwater inflows and subsequent stronger stratification. It may be a considerable factor in the future (Meier et al., 2017, 2021b).
 For more on hypoxia and the role of oxygen in the marine ecosystem of the Baltic Sea, see Kuliński et al. (2021).

1110 5.3.1 Impacts of hypoxia on other factors

Hypoxia is a direct consequence of eutrophication and water column stratification. Such conditions lead to the partial separation of enhanced organic matter production in the surface from its remineralization in the deep water layers and surface sediments. This enhanced respiration in the deep basins lowers O₂ concentration (hypoxia), but at the same time increases CO₂ concentration, which contributes directly to **acidification** (+) (Melzner et al., 2013; Kuliński et al., 2021). On the other hand, total alkalinity (a measure of seawater buffer capacity) is generated during an anaerobic organic matter remineralization. Although most of those anerobic reactions are reversible when conditions change back to oxic, processes like denitrification, and pyrite and vivianite formation are permanent sources of alkalinity, which counteract acidification (Kuliński et al., 2017; Kuliński et al., 2021; Gustafsson and Gustafsson, 2020). In the end, the relevance of these processes and their net effect on ocean acidification in the Baltic Sea (deep basins and coastal waters) remain unclear.

Gelöscht: . Gelöscht: It has been shown that in the deep basins of the Baltic Sea (mainly in the Bornelw, Gdansk and Gotland basins) hyporai

Gelöscht: even

Sea (mainly in the Bornolm, Gdansk and Gotland basins) hypoxia, and anoxia in particular, Gelöscht: and has reached a

Gelöscht:

Gelöscht: ¶ The peculiar geography and hydrography of the Baltic Sea

(sequential deep basins strong stratification, low and irregular exchange with the North Sea) and strong anthropogenic pressure (nutrient loads from land) generate biogeochemical conditions which have a strong impact on the pelagic ecosystem of the Baltic Sea, manifesting in the occurrence of regular blooms of filamentous cyanobacteria. As the oxidized nitrogen nutrient compounds (nitrite and nitrate) which can be easily taken up by phytoplankton get depleted in the surface layer during the spring bloom, phytoplankton growth ceases and the pelagic system switches to regenerated production which is fueled by reduced nutrients of the microbial loop (Kuosa 1991) Under these conditions the filamento cvanobacter have an advantage over other phytoplankton species, as they are able to use the molecular nitrogen (N2) dissolved in surface waters by nitrogen fixation (Larsson et al., 2001). In oxygen-free surface sediments, bound phosphorus is converted to its labile (and bioavailable) form and released. Simultaneously, at hypoxic conditions denitrification occurs, which lowers nitrate concentrations This generates shifts in the N:P ratio towards a surplus of bioavailable phosphorus (Vahtera et al., 2007).¶ This shortage of bioavailable nitrogen species together with a surplus of phosporus gives N₂-fixing cyanobacteria a significant advantage over all other algae in these conditions. Furthermore, cyanobacteria blooms enhance eutrophication and organic matter supply to the deep water layers, which increases oxygen consumption. In the end this mechanism forms the so-called "vicious circle" - the positive feedback self-supporting the Baltic Sea eutrophication (Vahtera et al. 2007; Savchuk, 2018). Thus, the oxygen deficiency conditions in the deep basins have severe consequences for the nutrient budgets and the whole ecosystem of the Baltic Sea. Extensive cyanobacterial blooms are also common in sea areas with similar biogeochemica conditions, extensive hypoxic or anoxic waters, e.g. in the Black Sea, or parts of the tropical oceans (equatorial East Pacific, Arabian Sea) (Terenko and Nesterova, 2015; Westberry and Siegel, 2006).¶

Gelöscht: also Gelöscht: b

Gelöscht: It remains to be seen how quickly the effective nutrient abatement measures of the Baltic Sea Action Plan (HELCOM, 2007) take effect, and hyp-and anoxia, extensive phosphorus release from the deep basins and subsequent extensive cyanobacterial blooms decrease.

- Hypoxia and anoxia have a strong impact on marine ecosystems (+). The biogeochemistry and redox state of the system is strongly affected though feedbacks on the nitrogen and phosphorus cycles with strong implications for the pelagic and benthic ecosystems, e.g. the occurrence and massive blooming of nitrogen-fixing, filamentous cyanobacteria, and implications for higher trophic levels, e.g. cod (Vahtera et al., 2007; Dippner et al., 2008).
- Oxygen plays a significant role in the nitrogen and phosphorus biogeochemistry. Nitrogen is removed by processes such as anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) and denitrification and, alternatively, recycled through dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonia and nitrification. Hypoxia may alter nutrient dynamics by affecting the coupling of these pathways and further exacerbate the effects of eutrophication (Gustafsson et al., 2017; Savchuk, 2018). There is a feedback on internal nutrient cycling (+), i.e. the internal release of phosphorus from anoxic sediments. Hypoxia in this case would act as a driver for eutrophication, leading to favorable growth conditions for N₂-fixing cyanobacteria in summer when free bioavailable nitrogen is depleted in the surface waters. This is an immortant feedback mechanism strangly affecting the biogeochemistry of the Palais San with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for a with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for a with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for a with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for a with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for a with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for a with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for a with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for a with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for a with renormalized as a driver for the palaties for
- important feedback mechanism, strongly affecting the biogeochemistry of the Baltic Sea, with repercussions on the food web structure. This feedback mechanism is sometimes called the "vicious circle", as the additional cyanobacteria biomass is respired mainly in deeper waters, thereby increasing the oxygen free zones and subsequently the phosphate release in the deep water (Vahtera et al., 2007).
- Coastal **aquaculture (?)** may be affected by local hypoxia, and in turn may also create hypoxic areas, by sedimented unused fish food which is then respired (Díaz, 2010).
 - Hypoxic zones affect fisheries (+) though the impairment of fish production. The most substantial impacts are demonstrated for cod, where low oxygen has negative effects on egg production and survival (Köster et al., 2017). Furthermore, oxygen is considered to affect the growth and condition of the Eastern Baltic cod both directly and via regulating the availability of benthic food (Casini et al., 2016a; Neuenfeldt et al., 2020).
 - Changing oxygen concentrations in bottom waters alter the redox conditions, which in turn may lead to remobilization
 of contaminants accumulated in sediments and influence their bioavailability. A re-colonization of hypoxic bottoms
 by benthic animals can lead to increased release of "archived" contaminants (+) in the sediments by bioturbation
 (Thibodeaux and Bierman, 2003; Granberg et al., 2008), but it is unclear how this transport compares to other
 processes (Kwasigroch et al., 2021).
- Corrosion rates of dumped munitions (+) are dependent on oxygen concentration, the presence of specific ions in near-bottom water, and the activity of microbial communities (Silva and Chock, 2016). Although lower oxygen concentrations inhibit corrosion rates, subsequent changes from oxic to anoxic and back to oxic conditions may accelerate corrosion, due to oxidation of hydrogen sulfide to sulfates. Therefore, periodic hypoxic events may almost double corrosion rate as compared to fully anoxic conditions, and enhance it by ca. 20% to fully oxic conditions (Fabisiak et al. 2018). Additionally, hypoxia can alter the degradation process of chemical warfare agents, leading to greater persistence of degradation products in sediments (Vanninen et al., 2020). At the same time, arsenic released from agents based on this metal in pentavalent form, maybe remobilized from sediments in trivalent form, which is more toxic to biota (Czub et al., 2020; Czub et al., 2021).

1205 5.3.2 Knowledge gaps

1190

1195

1210

A realistic estimation of the anoxic and hypoxic areas in the deep basins and coastal zones is very difficult due to the lack of a highly resolved measurement grid. The current estimations are based on sporadic measurements, extrapolations and modeling, and may differ by up to 20%. It is insufficiently known which processes govern the observed frequencies of major saltwater inflows, and the mixing with stagnant deep waters. Also, there is no sufficient quantification of sources and sinks in the oxgen budgets. Further questions are: Gelöscht: So, h

Gelöscht: (?)

Gelöscht: Therefore, periodic hypoxic events may speed up corrosion.

- Hypoxia Acidification: The connection between anoxia and acidification or alkalinity are strong and should be considered in acidification studies. Is anaerobic alkalinity generation in coastal sediments an essential process in the Baltic Sea?
 - Hypoxia Aquaculture: Does coastal hypoxia have any impact on coastal open cage or extractive aquaculture sites?
- 1220
- Hypoxia Dumped Military Material: Does hypoxia or anoxia at the dumpsites have any impact on the corrosion
 rates of the hulls and shells, and what could be potential chemical reactions of leaked substances in the oxygen-free
 biogeochemical environment?

5.4 Acidification

The oceanic uptake of CO₂ goes with the price of ocean acidification (Gattuso and Hansson, 2011), and is also expected to affect coastal seas. However, the specific processes <u>here</u> are more complex, due to land-sea interactions such as river and drainage basin biogeochemistry, and effects from anoxic water and sediments. Whether coastal seas act as a source or a sink for atmospheric CO₂ depends on an intricate balance between air-sea CO₂ exchange, terrestrial carbon loads (rivers), water exchange with adjacent basins and sediment fluxes.

The strength of acidification depends on the accumulation of acid over basic elements and the buffering capacity of seawater (alkalinity). The variation of total alkalinity concentrations is considerable in the Baltic Sea, with low total alkalinities in the Gulf of Bothnia and the Gulf of Finland, and higher ones in the Gulf of Riga and the southern Baltic Sea. The variations in total alkalinity concentrations are presumably caused by the different geological structures in the Baltic Sea basins: the southern drainage basin is richer in limestone and hence alkalinity than the northern part, where granite dominates the bedrock (Hjalmarsson et al., 2008; Beldowski et al., 2010). This shows the complex acid-base system in the Baltic Sea and the importance of riverine discharge in shaping seawater pH.

- 1235 Generally, total alkalinity is lower in the Baltic Sea (especially in the Gulf of Bothnia and the Gulf of Finland) than in the open ocean, except in the direct vicinities of the mouths of the continental rivers (south and south-eastern coast). Since lower alkalinity corresponds to lower buffer capacity, at first approximation the Baltic Sea can be considered more vulnerable to acidification than oceanic regions. However, recent studies by Müller et al. (2016) reveal that total alkalinity in the Baltic Sea increases. The highest alkalinity increase, observed in the Gulf of Bothnia, entirely makes up for ocean acidification caused
- 1240 by CO₂ increase, whereas in the central part (Baltic Proper) ocean acidification is lowered by about 50%. Although the source of that increase is unknown so far, increase in weathering on land and anoxic alkalinity generation in sediments have been suggested.

The central role of the marine CO₂ system for biogeohemical processes in the Baltic Sea is discussed by Schneider and Müller (2018). Increased nutrient loads may increase the seasonal pH oscillations but may not inhibit future Baltic Sea acidification

- on longer time scales (Omstedt et al., 2012). This is further supported by a recent sensitivity study including aspects of eutrophication by Gustafsson and Gustafsson (2020).
 - It is not entirely clear if the Baltic Sea is or will be a net source or sink for CO₂ (Kuliński and Pempkowiak, 2011). Model calculations indicate that, before industrialization, the partial pressure of carbon dioxide in water was above atmospheric values (Omstedt et al., 2009), Seasonal variability increased after industrialization and the onset of eutrophication, making the modern
- 250 Baltic Sea both a sink (in summer) and source (in winter) of CO₂ to the atmosphere, <u>Outgassing or uptake due to air-water</u> CO₂ fluxes depends on river loads of carbon, total alkalinity and nutrients, as well the freshwater import <u>(Gustafsson et al., 2015)</u>.

Carbon dioxide is not the only fossil fuel combustion product contributing to acidification. <u>Acidification due to atmospheric</u> deposition of <u>sulfur and nitrogen oxides as well as ammonium from land and shipping peaked around 1980, with a pH decrease</u> of approximately 0.01 pH units in surface waters, <u>an order of magnitude less than acidification due to atmospheric CO2</u>.

(Omstedt et al., 2015). Then again, the contribution of shipping to acidification was found to be one order of magnitude less

Gelöscht: Acidification is the product of anthropogenic CO_2 emissions, mainly due to fossil fuel combustion and changes in land use, e.g. by transferring forests to agricultural lands. The atmospheric CO_2 concentration has increased from about 277 parts per million (ppm) at the beginning of the industrial era (1750) to 405 ppm in 2017 (Quéré et al., 2018), and it is continuously increasing. This accumulation illustrates that human activities like fossil fuel combustion and environmental changes (e.g. land use change) are responsible for more carbon inputs into the atmosphere than other Earth system compartments (land, ocean) can recycle. In the global carbon budget, averaged over the 1959-2017 period, 82% of the total emissions were due to fossil carbon dioxide emissions and 18% by land use change. Of the total emissions, 45% remained in the atmosphere, while 24% and 30% were taken up by the ocean and the relard, respectively (Quéré et al., 2018). The global fossil emissions have increased by a factor of three from the 1960s to 2008-2017. The related generation of atmospheric of oxidized sulphur and nitrogen compounds has resulted in "acid rain" and considerably contributed to the acidification of soils and freshwater bodies (Tranvik, 2021).¶

Gelöscht:)

Gelöscht: There has been increasing concern during the past two decades, and new observations have shown declining pH values in the ocean (IPCC, 2013; IPCC, 2019). Ocean acidification changes the ocean's carbonate chemistry, resulting in a wide range of effects (Gattuso and Hansson, 2011), and the estimation of the potential damage and calculation of costs is a contentious issue (World Ocean Review, 2015).¶ Marine acidification

Gelöscht: there

1

Gelöscht: This is illustrated in Figure 2, showing how changes in CO_2 concentrations and total alkalinity (A_T) drive the acid-base balance and seawater pH. Alkalinity can be defined as the excess of bases over acids in seawater, thus being a measure of its buffer capacity against acidification. **Gelöscht:** 1

	1		
	Gelöscht:		
/	Gelöscht: The relative importance of the factors affecting acidification is difficult to disentangle as variability is often large		
1	Gelöscht: Budget calculations imply that the neighboring North Sea and the surrounding drainage basin largely determine the fate		
1	Gelöscht: .		
h	Gelöscht: ¶		
	Gelöscht: by Omstedt et al. (2009) i		
	Gelöscht: , with a net release of CO ₂ to the atmosphere		
h	Gelöscht: , depending on the season		
/	Gelöscht: In a model sensitivity study, Gustafsson et al. (2015) showed how o		
1	[3] nach unten verschoben: Lansø et al. (2017)		
/	Gelöscht: examined the importance of short-term variability of the air-sea exchange in an atmosphere model study, illustrating the net		
/	[3] verschoben (Einfügung)		
1	[4] nach unten verschoben: Omstedt et al. (2015)		
/	Gelöscht: examined the effects of historical atmospheric depositions of sulfur and nitrogen oxides as well as ammonium frq	(
I	Gelöscht:		
/	Gelöscht: acids		
/	Gelöscht: which is		
λ	Gelöscht: the		
	Gelöscht: estimated		
4	Gelöscht: increased		
-	[4] verschoben (Einfügung)		
+	Gelöscht: (

than that of land emissions. Interestingly, the pH trend due to atmospheric acids has started to reverse due to reduced land emissions, although the effect of shipping is ongoing (Omstedt et al., 2015). While shipping is expected to become a major source of strong acid deposition to the Baltic Sea by 2050, the long-term effect on the pH and alkalinity is projected to be significantly smaller than estimated previously. A significant contribution to this difference is the efficient export of acidified surface waters to the North Sea (Turner et al., 2018). Despite decreasing emissions on land, soil and freshwater acidification due to fuel combustion and related atmospheric sulphur and nitrogen deposition ("acid rain") should be taken in to account in coastal regions (Tranvik, 2021) to estimate how this may affect coastal acidification. More on acidification and alkalinity and their roles in the biogeochemistry of the Baltic Sea are given in Kulinski et al., 2021.

5.4.1 Impacts of acidification on other factors

- Acidification may affect the marine ecosystems (?), by disturbing the metabolism of various organisms. Still, there has been no clear evidence of the extent of *in situ* impacts in the Baltic Sea (Brander and Havenhand, 2012; Paul et al., 2016). Effects of lower pH on various organisms have been mainly derived from mesocosm experiments. The impact on *in situ* ecosystems of the different regions of the Baltic Sea is mainly low or unknown (Havenhand, 2012; Doo et al., 2020).
 - It is unknown if there is an impact of acidification on **aquaculture (?)**. There is no clear evidence for a considerable impact of moderate acidification (realistic in the near future) on the growth of blue mussels (Thomsen et al., 2010)
 - The same holds true for a potential impact of acidification on fisheries (?) in the Baltic Sea, e.g. by affecting calcifying
- food organisms for fish larvae. Otoliths are made from calcium carbonate, and there have been shown no apparent effects by lower pH (Coll-Lladó et al., 2018; Di Franco et al., 2019; Hamilton et al., 2019). There are indications that acidification may affect the auditory behavior of fish (Simpson et al., 2011), but it is entirely unclear if these findings can be transferred to Baltic Sea species.
- 1375

1385

1365

1370

Changing pH can directly influence the speciation of dissociating chemical contaminants (?), but the extent and
significance for the environment are largely unknown. Marine acidification in surface, deep and sediment layers may
change how contaminants like heavy metals and aluminum dissolve in the water body and circulate in the
environment, but the effects are largely unknown.

5.4.2 Knowledge gaps

There are many unknowns in the Baltic Sea, and it is not clear whether acidification in the Baltic Sea is a threat for the time being, or not. A major knowledge gap is the uncertainty related to the sources and regional distributions of alkalinity, which largely determines the strength of acidification in the Baltic Sea. What is responsible for the observed trends in alkalinity in the different basins? What impact does acidification of freshwaters and soils through deposition of nitrogen and sulphur oxides have on coastal acidification? Further questions are:

- Acidification Marine Ecosystems: How are organisms and the ecosystem functions affected by acidification?
- Acidification Aquaculture: Which impact may acidification potentially have on open cage or extractive aquaculture?
- Acidification Fisheries: Which impact may acidification potentially have on fisheries? There is evidence that otoliths may be affected by lower pHs in the surrounding waters, but observed effects on larval growth and survival rates are scarce and contrasting.
- Acidification Chemical contamination: Are there any impacts of acidification on chemical contaminants? Does the pH in the foreseeable changes affect the chemical speciation of organic substances and the reactivity or potential toxicity of these compounds?

Gelöscht: and related Ambio Special issue

A hypothetical further increase in nutrient loads (notwithstanding the Baltic Sea Action Plan) would increase biological primary production and the surface pH (meaning reduced acidification) (Borges and Gypens, 2010) as well as its seasonal amplitude (Omstedt et al., 2009). On the other hand, increased mineralization in intermediate and deeper layers could increase acidification (Lui et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2011). Eutrophication may also increase the extent of anoxic deep waters (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008), which could increase the total alkalinity and thus the buffer effect (Edman and Omstedt, 2013; Ulfsbo et al., 2014; Kuliński et al., 2017; Gustafsson and Gustafsson, 2020). Anaerobic alkalinity generation in coastal sediments may be a factor (Thomas et al., 2009). Hence, the temporal and regional rage of acidification in the Baltic Sea is large and depends on a complex interplay of different factors, and trends in the Baltic Sea are not straightforward (Kulinski et al., 2017). There is a considerable ncertainty related to the sources and regional distributions of alkalinity, which in the Baltic Sea largely determines the strength of acidification (Gustafsson et al., 2019).

Gelöscht: details

5.5 Submarine Groundwater Discharge

Submarine groundwater discharge (SGD) is driven by overlapping land and marine drivers (e.g. precipitation, currents, tides,
waves, density gradients, Taniguchi et al., 2019). Due to its complex nature, SGD includes all flow of water across the seabed to the water column, regardless of fluid composition or driving force (Burnett et al., 2003). It includes both fresh groundwater discharge derived from terrestrial recharge (e.g. meteoric, precipitation-derived, fresh submarine groundwater discharge, FSGD) and recirculated seawater (salty groundwater, recirculated submarine groundwater discharge, RSGD, Burnett et al., 2006). Usually, recirculated seawater dominates SGD.

- 1420 Meteoric groundwater and salty groundwater discharge usually show different geochemical characteristics. The composition of meteoric groundwater discharge mostly depends on local <u>hydrogeological</u> conditions. The discharge of recirculated groundwater, which can consist of recirculated seawater or a mixture of fresh and recirculated groundwater, goes through various biogeochemical processes. Some chemical substances like nutrients, dissolved organic and inorganic carbon have concentrations several orders of magnitude higher in groundwater than in surface water. Therefore, even if the SGD rate is
- 1425 low, the chemical substances flux via SGD can be relatively important, as groundwater in coastal aquifers tends to be enriched in various chemical substances.

The first assessment of SGD in the Baltic Sea was made by Peltonen (2002) who estimated the fresh SGD (FSGD) to the entire Baltic Sea, using a combination of hydrological and hydrogeological methods. The amount of FSGD to the Baltic Sea, compared to total runoff was small around or even less than 1% (around 4.4 km³ yr-¹). However, this calculation does not

- include the recirculated water component. Krall et al. (2017) estimated SGD at Forsmark, Gulf of Bothnia to range from 5.5 (± 3.0) x 10³ m³ d⁻¹ to 950 (± 520) x 10³ m³ d⁻¹, using Ra isotopes. These rates are up to two orders of magnitude higher than those determined from local hydrological models, which consider only the fresh component of SGD. Klostowska et al. (2020) obtained similar results in the Bay of Puck, southern Baltic Sea. Recently estimated SGD rates range from 1.4 x 10⁶ m³ d⁻¹ to
- 11.3 x 10⁶ m³ d⁻¹ which are 17 to 130 times higher than the results obtained by Piekarek-Jankowska (1994), including only the
 freshwater component of SGD. The obtained fluxes were several times higher than the surface runoff. Additionally, local studies in the Bay of Puck suggest that SGD can be an important source of methane, dissolved organic and inorganic carbon (Szymczycha et al., 2012; Kotwicki et al., 2014; Donis et al., 2017), nutrients (Szymczycha et al., 2012; Szymczycha et al., 2016). It may also have an impact on ecosystems locally_k (Kotwicki et al., 2014_y, SGD may be a significant source of nutrients in different Baltic Sea regions, and therefore affect biogeochemical processes in
- the coastal zone <u>(Szymczycha et al. 2020a)</u>. It is well established that nutrient loads from land are filtered by biogeochemical processes and enter the open Baltic Sea in a modified form (Asmala et al., 2017; Edman et al., 2018). As the effectiveness of the coastal zone is important for a proper understanding of open sea eutrophication, SGD and accompanied nutrients fluxes should be considered in models characterizing the biogeochemical process in Baltic Sea coastal areas. Still, the current state of knowledge on <u>SGD</u> in the Baltic Sea is limited to local <u>studies</u>, which have used different approaches. Therefore, it is hard to draw overall conclusions and projections for the entire Baltic Sea.
- Driving forces of SGD involve topography-driven flow, wave set-up, precipitation, sea level rise and convection caused by salinity and temperature between the seawater and groundwater. As climate change is expected to affect most of the abovementioned factors, consequently it can also be expected to affect SGD. These effects would be observed in changes in the magnitude and composition of SGD, as well as in the biogeochemistry of the subterranean estuary (mixing zone/transition
- 1450 zone). Climate change is expected to affect ecosystems differently in different regions of the Baltic Sea. Furthermore, it can be speculated that SGD fluxes may increase in the northern Baltic Sea, where increased precipitation and groundwater tables are projected (Christensen et al. 2021), while in the southern part, the opposite trend can be envisaged. Sea level rise and geostatic land movement will certainly also affect SGD, but due to a lack of SGD data, it is hard to project the direction and significance of the change.

Gelöscht: M

Gelöscht: has received increased attention over the past decades due to growing concerns over its role as a potential source of chemical substances to the coastal ecosystem (Burnett et al., 2003; Taniguchi et al., 2019). SGD

Gelöscht:) which complicates SGD identification, quantification, and evaluation of SGD impact on marine ecosystems (**Gelöscht:** by definition,

Gelöscht:) (

Gelöscht: hydrogeologic

Gelöscht: SGD has been investigated in the Gulf of Finland (Viventsowa and Voronow, 2003; Virtasalo et al., 2019); the Gulf of Bothnia (Krall et al., 2017); the Eckemförde Bay (e.g. Schlüter et al., 2004; Scholten et al., 2015); the Bay of Gdańsk and the Bay of Puck (e.g. Szymczycha et al., 2012; Kotwicki et al., 2014; Donis et al., 2017; Klostowska et al., 2020; Szymczycha et al., 2020a; Szymczycha et al., 2020b).

Gelöscht: significance

Gelöscht: —

Gelöscht: is based on hydrogeological methods and modeled without validation by offshore sampling, so it relates only to FSGD

Gelöscht: models which

Gelöscht: ¶

The ecological impact of submarine groundwater discharge on coastal surface sediments was also assessed. In meiofauna assemblages in the Bay of Puck, a significant decline of certain meiofaunal taxa (mainly nematodes and harpacticoids), as well as altered temporal patterns and a changed small-scale vertical zonation was demonstrated

Gelöscht: ¶

Gelöscht: Recently, a study was conducted on the annual variability of nutrient loads, concentrations and cycling by SGD in the Bay of Puck (Szymczycha et al. 2020a). The estimated seasonal and annual loads of both dissolved inorganic nitrogen and phosphates via SGD to the Bay of Puck were the most significant source of nutrients. It can be assumed that

	Gelöscht: could
	Gelöscht: also
	Gelöscht: other
	Gelöscht: SGDs
	Gelöscht: studies which

5.5.1. Impacts of submarine groundwater discharge on other factors

- There may be an indirect effect on coastal **hypoxia** (?) through the release of additional nutrients via <u>SGD</u>, but its magnitude and relevance are uncertain. Studies have indicated that nutrients transported through SGD can support benthic and water column primary production in various coastal ecosystems and inhibit hypoxia (McMahon and Santos, 2017; Adolf et al., 2019).
 - <u>SGD</u> may be enriched in dissolved inorganic carbon, pCO₂, low pH and alkalinity in comparison to receiving coastal waters (Liu et al., 2014; Szymczycha et al., 2014), and thus may alter coastal water properties. Still, there is no indication that <u>SGD</u> in the Baltic Sea region have any effect on **acidification (?)**, except maybe on a very local scale. However, studies on the global level reveal that SGD can reduce the CO₂ buffering capacity of the receiving ocean, and act as a local driver of ocean acidification in local regions (Liu et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2021).
 - Impacts on marine ecosystems (?) may be locally important. To date, in many coastal regions marine organisms, respectively their biomass, abundance, productivity, physiology, and community structures have been directly evaluated along SGD gradients. SGD can contribute significantly to reef productivity and/or calcification and altering the phytoplankton community structure (McMahon and Santos, 2017; Adolf et al., 2019). In meiofauna assemblages in the Bay of Puck, southern Baltic Sea, a significant decline of certain meiofaunal taxa (mainly nematodes and harpacticoids), as well as altered temporal patterns and a changed small-scale vertical zonation was demonstrated (Kotwicki et al., 2014).
- The impact of SGD on nutrient loads depends on the hydrogeological and biogeochemical conditions of the coastal region. In many coastal sites, SGD-driven **nutrients loads** (+) are significant. Nutrients as well as dissolved organic and inorganic carbon components usually have concentrations several orders of magnitude higher in groundwater than in surface water. Therefore, even if the SGD rate is low, the chemical substances flux via SGD can be relatively important, as groundwater in coastal aquifers tends to be enriched in various chemical substances. In the Bay of Puck, Poland, the estimated seasonal and annual loads of both dissolved inorganic nitrogen and phosphates via SGD were the most significant source of nutrients (Szymczycha et al., 2020a). <u>Thus</u>, SGD may also be a significant source of nutrients in other <u>coastal regions of the</u> Baltic Sea<u>and may</u> affect benthic and water column primary productivity, and phytoplankton community structure.

• <u>SGD</u> may contain considerable concentrations of various substances, as they accumulate in the freshwater bodies and soils and intrude the aquifers where they may reach high concentrations (Hapworth et al., 2012). <u>SGD</u> may thus be an essential input route for **chemical contaminants** (+) and metals to the coastal Baltic Sea. Local studies in the Bay of Puck (Poland) suggest that SGD may be an important source of methane, dissolved organic and inorganic carbon (Szymczycha et al., 2012; Kotwicki et al., 2014; Donis et al., 2017), nutrients (Szymczycha et al., 2012; Szymczycha et al., 2020a), pharmaceuticals and caffeine residues (Szymczycha et al., 2020b) and trace metals (Szymczycha et al., 2016)

1530 5.5.2 Knowledge gaps

1500

1505

1510

1525

There are currently only very few measurements in selected areas, so a projection of the significance of submarine groundwater discharges to the Baltic Sea as a whole is very difficult. A dedicated groundwater-monitoring network combined with a coupled groundwater-surface model simulating the transport, adsorption and mixing processes would help understand and evaluate the role of submarine groundwater discharges in chemical substances cycling in the coastal Baltic Sea. Further questions are:

 Submarine <u>groundwater discharges</u> – Hypoxia: Do Submarine Groundwater Discharges have any impact on the generation of coastal hypoxia, e.g. though local nutrient inputs?

Ge	ösch	t: S	GDs

Gelöscht:	SGDs

	Ge	öscht:	SGDs
--	----	--------	------

Gelöscht: It can be assumed that	
Gelöscht: will	
Gelöscht: regions,	
Gelöscht: and therefore	
Gelöscht: , and also	
Gelöscht: the	
Gelöscht: Groundwater discharge	
Formatiert: Aufgezählt + Ebene: 1 + Ausgerichtet an: 0,63 cm + Einzug bei: 1,27 cm	
Gelöscht: s chemical contaminant (_
Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett	_
Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett	_
Gelöscht: the	-
Gelöscht: SGDs	-
Gelöscht: ¶	-

C	Gelöscht: Groundwater
ſ	Gelöscht: Discharges

- Submarine <u>groundwater discharges</u> Acidification: Do Submarine <u>groundwater discharges</u> have any impact on coastal acidification of seawater?
- Submarine <u>groundwater discharges</u> Marine (coastal) ecosystems: What are the effects of Submarine <u>groundwater</u> <u>discharges</u> on coastal ecosystems?

5.6 Marine Ecosystem

1555

The marine ecosystem of the Baltic Sea is largely characterized by the physical and biogeochemical conditions of the water body, which in turn are defined by the physical settings. The marine ecosystem features the taxonomic groups which are

- 1560 present in the oceans, ranging from viruses, bacteria, phytoplankton, mixo- and heterotrophic protists (flagellates, ciliates, amobae, nano and microzooplankton) to multicellular heterotrophic organisms like crustacean plankton (cladocerans, copepods), to fish, mammals (grey seals, ringed seals, harbor porpoises), sea birds and invertebrates like ctenophores and jellyfish. Organisms dwelling on or in the sea floor (benthos) are primarily unicellular foraminifera and invertebrate multicellular bivalves, snails, worms and macrophytes (HELCOM, 2012). The Baltic Sea features a salinity continuum between near freshwater in the North East to near ocean values in the Kattegat, resulting in a comparably low species diversity
- in the Baltic Sea, as compared to other coastal seas (HELCOM, 2018a). Due to its geographical location, the Baltic Sea ecosystem is subject to a strong seasonality. The seasonal cycle in the pelagic zone shows a strong growth pulse of phytoplankton in spring, as new nutrients have been distributed throughout the upper

water column by thermal convection in winter, and enough light is available to trigger photosynthesis. Spring blooms are 1570 characterized by larger phytoplankton, usually diatoms. Summer is typically the phase when regenerated production

- dominates, i.e. when a strong stratification cuts off the nutrient supply from the deeper layers, and when the microbial loop prevails with very small phytoplankton (*Synechococcus* sp., *Prochlorococcus* sp.) grazed by very small flagellates, ciliates and other microzooplankton. As nitrogen is a scarce commodity during this phase, the ecological niche opens for the nitrogenfixing filamentous cyanobacteria, which usually bloom in late summer. As the strong summer stratification is eroded by
- 1575 cooling and strong winds, new nutrients can again be distributed to the euphotic zone, giving rise to autumn blooms of larger phytoplankton, mostly dinoflagellates. In the past decades, a shift of seasonality (earlier occurrences of spring and cyanobacterial summer blooms (Kahru and Elmgren, 2014) and ocillations, Kahru et al., 2018) and a shift towards a predominance of dinoflagellates over diatoms (Klais et al., 2011; Spilling et al., 2018) has been shown and related to milder winters and related ecosystem changes (Wasmund et al., 2017). There are no coccolithophorids in the Baltic Sea, which is an important group of oceanic phytoplankton, forming extensive booms in the adjacent North Sea. Their absence in the Baltic
- Sea is difficult to explain, but it may be related to the complex carbonate budget of the Baltic Sea (Tyrrell et al., 2008). There are also no single-celled radiolaria in the Baltic Sea, which may be related to the low salinity (Kruglikova, 1989). The extensive blooms of filamentous nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria are an outstanding feature of the Baltic Sea pelagic

ecosystem, which are absent from most oceanic provinces <u>(except upwelling areas)</u>, but often found in other marginal seas <u>and</u> freshwater bodies. The dominance of the cyanobacteria can be attributed to the particular biogeochemistry of the Baltic Sea,

- which follows its unique oxygen conditions (Bianchi et al., 2000). In times of low nitrogen availability, nitrogen fixers have an advantage over other phytoplankton as they can transfer molecular nitrogen to the bioavailable form of ammonium. As they decay, this nitrogen becomes available for the rest of the autotrophic community. Bioavailable nitrogen is removed from anoxic water through denitrification, and phosphorus is released from the deep water and sediments (internal phosphorus loading). So
- 1590 far, there is no clear evidence for an overall significant increase or decrease in cyanobacterial blooms (Olofsson et al., 2020). The comparably low diversity and distribution of fish communities in the Baltic Sea are largely determined by the salinity gradient. Marine species (cod, flounder, herring) are more abundant in the open waters and coasts of the southern and central Baltic sea, while freshwater species such as perch and roach are more found in the northern waters (HELCOM, 2006, HELCOM, 2018a). Migratory fish species like salmon, sea trout and eel, which spawn in other waters, are also present in the

Gelöscht: Groundwater
Gelöscht: Discharges
Gelöscht: Groundwater
Gelöscht: Discharges
Gelöscht: Groundwater
Gelöscht: Discharges
Gelöscht: Groundwater
Gelöscht: Discharges

 Gelöscht:
 . V

 Gelöscht:
 (<2µm) unicellular cyanobacterial</td>

 Gelöscht:
 del de generated within the system is fueled by nutrients regenerated within the euphotic zone by active erazing

Gelöscht: and in

Gelöscht: The important N:P ratio is thus heavily modified (e.g. Granéli et al., 1990). Hence, oxygen conditions and cyanobacterial blooms substantially affect the Baltic Sea ecosystem (Motwani et al., 2018), by altering the redox state of the system and shifting nutrient ratios.¶

Although blooms of cyanobacteria are usually favored by warm temperature (Huisman et al., 2018), nutrient reductions following the BSAP are projected to have a stronger suppressing effect on these blooms than warming (Meier et al., 2019a), although the legacy pools of phosphorus are expected to prevail for the next decades (Vahtera et al., 2007). 1620 Baltic Sea. Fish larvae largely live from zooplankton, of which some marine and brackish copepods species as well as the fresh- and brackish water cladocera are dominant in the Baltic Sea. Fish, in turn, are food for predatory fish species, marine mammals, birds and humans.

Food production for human consumption has a substantial impact on the marine ecosystem. It has been shown that increasing fish stocks and catches in the 1980s were partly related to an elevated level of food availability for upper trophic levels due to
 eutrophication (Elmgren, 2021), A comprehensive assessment of Baltic Sea ecosystems under climate change is given by Viitasalo and Bonsdorff, 2021.

5.6.1 Impacts of marine ecosystems on other factors

- There is a strong impact by ecosystems on hypoxia (+). This connection is a strong example for the feedback between different factors: hypoxia strongly affects ecosystems (by altering the redox conditions in the deep water and sediments and hence the microbial communities and metabolic processes, i.e. hydrogen sulfide production (Gustafsson and Stigebrandt, 2007), by denitrification and phosphorus release and thus altering the pelagic nitrogen to phosphorus ratio (Dalsgaard et al., 2013; Vahtera et al., 2007), e.g. by inciting cyanobacterial blooms. Hypoxia in turn is strongly affected by the pelagic ecosystem as produced and sedimented biomass from the surface layers increases oxygen demands and the hyp- and anoxic area (Conley et al. 2009).
 - There is a feedback of ecosystems on **acidification** (+) through primary production and respiration processes affecting the CO₂ budget in the water column (Gypens et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2011). Also, feedback mechanisms related to alkalinity are known.
 - There are various foodweb interactions possible between the autochthonous ecosystem and the intruding nonindigenous species (+). Prominent examples are round goby (Almqvist et al., 2010), *Marenzelleria viridis* (Quintana et al., 2018), and *Mnemiopsis leidyi* (Riisgaard, 2017).
 - Exploitable fish stocks for **fisheries** (+) are part of the Baltic Sea ecosystem, so the state of the food web and ecosystem in general have a strong impact on the fish stocks (Harvey et al., 2003), through cascading effects from fish to phytoplankton (Donadi et al., 2017).
- There <u>can be an indirect impact of the ecosystem on **tourism** (+), through extensive blooms of filamentous cyanobacteria and other toxic or nuisance blooms which may be washed onto beaches (Bechard, 2020), but also by the intrinsic value of intact ecosystems which can attract visitors to coastal destinations.</u>

5.6.2 Knowledge gaps

1630

1640

645

1655

There are large uncertainties concerning the impact of climate change on the marine ecosystems of the Baltic Sea. This refers to temperature and acidification effects on various trophic levels, starting from the microbial communities and the microbial loop to higher levels. It is uncertain how salinity may change in the future, and likewise are the potential consequences for pelagic and benthic communities Further questions are:

- Marine ecosystems Acidification: It is known that primary production affects acidification, but do other ecosystem functions also have an effect?
- *Marine ecosystems Aquaculture:* What are the interrelations of marine ecosystems with open cage or extractive aquaculture; the latter can be described as the exploited part of the natural ecosystem.
- *Marine ecosystems Chemical contaminants:* What roles do aquatic ecosystems play in the transfer and transformation of organic constituents between trophic levels up to organisms consumed by humans like mussels, fish or algae?

Gelöscht: For commercial fish and fisheries, see Section 5.11)

Gelöscht: The marine ecosystem of the Baltic Sea is heavily under pressure by various human activities. Non-indigenous species may invade the Baltic Sea naturally, or through human activity (mostly through shipping). The round goby (Neogobius melanostomus) is a prominent example of an invasive species which has interfered with the indigenous ecosystem (Nurkse et al., 2016). Other examples are the pelagic comb jelly (*Mnemopsis sp.*) and the benthic annelid worm *Marenzelleria sp.* (see Section 5.7). Chemical substances and marine litter released to the sea by humans pose a largely unknown threat to the Baltic Sea (see Sections 5.15. and 5.17., respectively). Many effects are unknown, or propagate through the food chain to fisl where they accumulate and may threaten human consumption. As this is a human health issue, the impact on humans is much better studied than the effects on other components of the marine ecosystem. The impact of marine noise caused by human activities (installation and operation of coastal infrastructure, shipping) is also a largely unknown factor of disturbance for fish, mammals or smaller ents of the ecosystem (Ströber and Thomsen 2019; Mustonen et al., 2019). The effects of extensive wind farms on pelagic ns are largely unknown, ranging from creating microhabitats at the hard substrates of the pillar bases (reef effects, Andersson and Öhman, 2010), marine noise during the construction phase, to effects on stratification and downwelling (van Berkel et al., 2020) Food production for human consumption has a substantial impact on the marine ecosystem. Nutrient release through agriculture and (to a lesser degree, aquaculture) causes excessive growth of phytoplankton, generating not only excessive oxygen deficiency zones in the deep central basins and many coastal zones, but generally also an elevated level of food availability for upper trophic levels. It has been shown that increasing fish stocks and catches in the 1980s were partly related to eutrophication (Elmgren, 2021).¶ Marine ecosystems are affected strongly by climate change through warming and changing seasonality (Viitasalo, 2021; Viitasalo et al., 2015). For phyto- and zooplankton, longer and shifted growth periods have been shown, with selective impacts on specific species and functional groups (e.g. dinoflagellates, certain copepods) (Wasmund et al., 2019). Changes in benthic communities have been related to warming and eutrophication (Ehrnsten et al., 2020). A prominent potential victim of the anticipated reductions in sea ice in the northern Baltic Sea is the ringed seal which breeds on sea ice (Meier et al., 2004), while other marine mammals e.g. harbor porpoises, may profit from warming (Dippner et al., 2008). Still, there are many complex interactions between species and functional groups within the ecosystem and climate change related impacts on certain species or groups may have indirect propagations to other compartments of the system.

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett
Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett
Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett
Gelöscht:
Gelöscht: all
Gelöscht: constituents
Gelöscht: recruitments
Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht: phytoplankton
Gelöscht: fisheries (+).
Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett
Gelöscht: may
Gelöscht: a
Gelöscht: certain
Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett
Gelöscht: , although their occurrence in the future may be reduced with successful abatement measures (Meier et al., 2019a)
Gelöscht: . Some of these blooms may be potentially harmful, and in recent summers, beaches have been closed due to the occurrence of these blooms.
Collegebte The immediate of a binning on a second time through

pollution and underwater noise are also not well understood.

5.7 Non-indigenous species

1750

Baltic Sea, it is estimated that 140 to 170 new species have established (HELCOM, 2018a; Ojaveer et al., 2017), while their 1725 ecological and economic impact varies widely. The main vectors are ship hulls (biofouling), ballast water, and canals connecting previously separated bodies of water (Gollasch et al., 2000; Keller et al., 2011). Ports are known as hot spots for the distribution of non-indigenous species (HELCOM, 2018a). Temperature and salinity may be limiting or favouring factors for the distribution of non-indigenous species in the Baltic Sea (Holopainen et al., 2016). Prominent non-indigenous species, which have been shown to affect pelagic and benthic communities in the Baltic Sea, and also have economic implications, are 1730 the benthic polychaete worm Marenzelleria ssp. (Stigzelius et al., 1997), the pelagic comb jelly Mnemiopsis leidyi (Haslob et

Non-indigenous species are introduced by human activity into environments where they previously had been absent. For the

al., 2007), and the demersal fish round goby, which is shortly described here.

The bottom-dweller round goby Neogobius melanostomus (Pallas 1814) was recently recognized as an important intruder with the capacity to influence local species (Karlson et al., 2007; Järv et al., 2011; Ustups et al., 2016), and a potential to cause a regime shift in coastal ecosystems (Nurkse et al., 2016). The small fish (up to 25 cm length) is of Ponto-Caspian origin (Miller,

- 1735 1986) and was first reported in 1990 from the Gulf of Gdansk (Skóra and Stolarski, 1993). Since then, it has spread to other coastal areas of the Baltic Sea. It is believed that ship ballast water was the main vector for a long-distance transport to the Baltic Sea (Kornis e al., 2012; Kotta et al. 2016); however, it has been demonstrated that round goby is capable of migrating along the coast at a speed of up to 30 km yr⁻¹ (Azour et al., 2015).
- The round goby is found in different types of bottom habitats, usually at depths up to 30 m (Cross and Rawding, 2009). During 1740 summer, the round gobies breed and feed in shallow coastal waters (Kornis et al., 2012). The migration range during this period is mostly restricted to distances of a few hundred meters (Ray and Corkum, 2001). Longer migrations of up to several kilometers to and from deeper waters take place in spring and autumn (Sapota, 2012). The fish is an opportunistic feeder (Skóra and Rzeznik, 2001; Kornis et al., 2012) and no statistically significant size-specific preference for the pacific mussel Mytilus trossulus was found (Nurkse et al., 2016). Other studies have documented that round goby prefers shrimp Crangon crangon
- over blue mussel Mytilus edulis, and prefers Mytilus edulis over herring eggs (Wiegleb et al., 2018), leaving the issue of round goby food preferences somewhat unresolved.

It was shown that round goby represents the most important prey for the medium sized cod Gadus morhua, and perch Perca fluviatilis almost exclusively feeds on round goby in the Gulf of Gdansk (Almqvist e al., 2010). Similarly, round goby was found to be an important fraction in the diet of perch in the Pomeranian Bay (Oesterwind et al., 2017). At the same time, Järv

- et al. (2011) documented that perch in its diet prefers other fish species over round goby, suggesting that round goby is rather a prey of opportunity than of choice. Therefore, it can be assumed that in some Baltic Searegions, round goby has significantly suppressed several species that used to be preferred food items for other predatory fish species in coastal ecosystems. Furthermore, it was shown that round goby has become an important food source also for turbot Psetta maxima (Sapota and Skora, 2005), and birds like the great cormorant Phalacorocorax carbo (Bzoma, 1998; Rakauskas et al., 2013) and grey heron
- 1755 Ardea cinereal (Jakubas, 2004; Rakauskas et al., 2013). A dietary overlap between round goby and flounder (Karlson et al., 2007; Järv et al., 2011) and juvenile turbot presumably resulted in lower abundances in these species (Ustups et al., 2016). It is presently not possible to assess detailed impacts on ecosystems, as they seem to be very specific to the ecosystem invaded
- (Hirsch et al., 2016). Intraspecific interactions between invasive species could potentially mediate their ecological effects (Kornis et al., 2014). There have been efforts to introduce the round goby to the consumer market ("use and reduce"). While 1760 it is well suited for the market in terms of meat quality, the main obstacle seems to be its small size (Brauer et al., 2020).

5.7.1 Impacts of non-indigenous species on other factors

Gelöscht: themselves

Gelöscht: is

Gelöscht: areas

Gelöscht: the

Gelöscht: the

Gelöscht: ecosystems

27

1770

1775

1780

1785

1800

- Non-indigenous species may have strong impacts on marine ecosystems (+), e.g., round goby alters coastal habitats, decreasing their value as marine protection areas (Skabeikis et al., 2019). Examples are Round Goby *Neogobius melanostomus* (Almqvist et al., 2010), *Marenzelleria* ssp. (Quintana et al., 2018), and *Mnemiopsis leidyi* (Riisgaard, 2017).
- Non-indigenous fish can either positively or negatively affect food availability and growth of commercial fish (Ojaveer and Kotta, 2015), with impacts on **fisheries** (+) opportunities. Also, non-indigenous species can become a target for fisheries, e.g. round goby (Ojaveer et al., 2015). Also, it has been demonstrated that round goby competes for food with juvenile flatfish (Ustups et al., 2016).
- Regulations to mitigate biofouling and ballast water vectors have economic repercussions on the **shipping** (+) industries. A convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments by IMO is in force as of 2017 (IMO, 2021a) and requires the use of ballast water management systems.
- It has been shown that non-indigenous polychaete *Marenzelleria neglecta* burrows deeper in the sediment than native species and can enhance bioturbation-mediated transport of chemical contaminants (+) to the overlying water (Granberg et al., 2008; Hanson et al., 2020).
 - There may be an impact on **coastal management (?)** if a non-ingenious species becomes a problematic species causing problems for other species, having a detrimental effect on the ecosystem, or <u>causing</u> a regime shift through the introduction of a novel predator (Kotta et al., 2018). Eventually, management actions need to be taken to minimize the impacts. There are considerable impacts by non-indigenous species on biodiversity and ecosystem structure (Lehtiniemi et al., 2015). However, a complete removal of the new species is impossible once it has established itself (HELCOM, 2018a).

5.7.2 Knowledge gaps

The number of non-indigenous species in the Baltic Sea is an estimation based on monitoring, for which there is not a common strategy in all Baltic Sea basins. The impacts of single or multiple non-indigenous species on the ecosystem, food webs and food production (e.g. though the introduction of toxic algae) are complex and hard to distinguish from other factors. Further questions are:

 Non-indigenous species – Coastal management: What is the coastal management strategy to cope with nonindigenous species and their potential impacts?

1795 5.8 Land Use and Land Cover

Anthropogenic land use in the Baltic Sea region started at least about 6000 years before present (Gaillard et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2008). Deforestation for firewood, iron mining and agriculture has been the main factor driving land cover changes since at least 2000 years b.p. (Roberts et al., 2018; Lavento, 2019). Simulations by Strandberg et al. (2014) indicate that, during its maximal extent around 200 years ago, the deforestation of the southern and eastern part of the Baltic Sea catchment may have had an impact on the regional climate, comparable to present day greenhouse gas emissions driven climate change.

Land cover <u>and its use</u> is widely discussed as an important part of the Earth's carbon cycle, both as the second largest source of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions due to the ongoing large-scale deforestation of tropical areas (IPCC, <u>2014</u>), and for its potential to mitigate the effects of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions through increased carbon uptake by reforestation (Sonntag et al., 2016; Law et al., 2018). However, many land cover driven environmental changes and the possible feedbacks from those are not clear and under debate (Gaillard et al., 2015).

During the last decades, the ongoing deforestation of the tropical and subtropical regions is accompanied by accelerated reforestation of northern mid to high latitudes (IPCC, <u>2014</u>). The projections of future land use and cover in general anticipate

Gelöscht: or
Gelöscht: driving

Gelöscht: as a driver of environmental changes is well recognized when addressing the global and regional challenges related to the mitigation of anthropogenic CO₂ fluxes. It **Gelöscht:** 2013

a global increase in cropland and a reduction in the pasture and forest extent, but show considerable differences in the predictions of land use and cover development at continental/sub-continental scales, and incorporate large uncertainties
(Prestele et al., 2016).

Since the introduction of agriculture millennia ago, anthropogenic deforestation was, at the continental scale, a major human impact on land cover (e.g. Ellis, 2011; Roberts et al., 2018). Next to the biogeochemical feedbacks, i.e. changes in the capacity
 of the CO₂ sequestration through photosynthetic fixation into biomass, there are also considerable biogeophysical feedbacks on climate through the change in the reflectivity of the land surface (albedo). Dark surfaces (e.g. forests, waters) absorb the incoming heat better than bright surfaces (deserts, agricultural lands). The type of land cover has thus an impact on the regional

- climate (Bala et al., 2007; Deng et al., 2013). Most importantly, both effects may counteract each other, e.g. reforestation may contribute to a drawdown of CO₂ from the atmosphere, thereby theoretically contributing to a cooling effect; but that additional
 forest area may increase the dark surface and lead to a weaker albedo, contributing so to warming. These trade-off effects are difficult to quantify (Mykleby et al., 2017). It has been assumed that reforestation as a measure for carbon drawdown and
- cooling, at least in the already mostly forested northern Baltic Sea region, <u>may</u> be of little effect (Arora and Montenegro, 2011), <u>but</u> recent modeling studies imply that a massive reforestation may lead to a significant lowering of summer maximum temperatures and a reduction of summer heat waves south of the Baltic Sea (Strandberg and Kjellström, 2019).
- 1830 There are major uncertainties related to projections of the speed and direction of terrestrial land cover change and its ability to provide the service as a reducer of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. Projected land use and land cover change will have implications for the functioning and structure of terrestrial ecosystems, and on the amount and nature of the ecosystem services supported by the land cover, regardless if we consider more deforestation or reforestation. As terrestrial land cover is a slowly changing system with long-term implications, it is crucial to investigate both short and long-term effects.
- 1835 The role of land use and land cover change as a driver of terrestrial organic matter transport into aquatic systems is not well understood (Kayler et al., 2019). While the importance of terrestrial vegetation around drinking water resources is well recognized at the local scale, the impacts on the aquatic environments at a regional to global scale are much less known and studied. Cross-system studies with a focus on matter transfer between terrestrial and aquatic environments are rare, but when conducted, they show considerable land use driven changes in the composition and amount of terrestrial origin dissolved
- 1840 organic matter transported into the aquatic systems (Ning et al., 2018; Bragée et al., 2013). Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) from the land (Humborg et al., 2015) is one of the major sources of nutrients in terrestrial surface water systems, and can have considerable impacts on coastal marine environments (Ning et al., 2018).

A specific type of land use are urban complexes. With their aggregation of human activities and modifications like soil sealing, cities and their infrastructure (traffic, housing, water systems, sewer systems, etc.), are strongly affected by warming (heat
 island effect, heat waves) and extreme precipitation (flash floods). Coastal cities often have fronts and harbors in close vicinity to the sea, which are increasingly vulnerable to the rising sea level (Deppisch et al., 2015).

5.8.1 Impacts of land use and cover on other factors

1850

1855

• There is evidence that land use and cover may have an impact on the regional climate (+), through biogeochemical and biogeophysical effects (Strandberg et al., 2014; Mahmood et al., 2010). Albedo, i.e. the reflectance of the land surface affects the amount of energy reflected back into space and the fraction, which is converted to warming. Bright surfaces like agricultural lands reflect more energy than dark surfaces as forests and waters (biogeophysical effect). Thus, the fraction of land cover may affect regional warming (Strandberg et al., 2014, Strandberg and Kjellström, 2019). There is also evidence that an increase in CO₂ concentrations leads to an increase in vegetation (biogeochemical effect), at least in regions where water is not a limiting factor, i.e. the northern part of the Baltic Sea basin (Smith et al., 2008). It is, however, not clear what the respective impacts of these effects are and whether reforestation as a measure to mitigate climate change can be successful (Gaillard et al., 2015).

Gelöscht: The political efforts to mitigate climate change are aimed at decreasing the deforestation rates in tropical regions, and further increasing the reforestation pace at mid and high latitudes (UNFCCC, 2014; EU renewable energy Directive 2018/2001/EU), in order to decrease the land cover related CO₂ emissions and to increase the amount of carbon sequestered by terrestrial land cover.¶

-1	Gelöscht: , but i
-1	Gelöscht: will probably
-(Gelöscht: . However,

- There is an indirect but clear relation between land use and **hypoxia** (+) through nutrient release from agricultural fields and associated eutrophication (Altieri and Diaz, 2019).
- There is a well-documented connection between land use and soil erosion/acidification (+), both can be accelerated or slowed down by the choice of agricultural practices, fertilizers and crops (Bolan et al., 2005; Xiong et al., 2019). Furthermore, these processes affect matter exchange between aquatic and terrestrial systems and can lead to accelerated deterioration (eutrophication and acidification) of water quality (Hornung et al., 1990).

1870

1875

1880

1890

- There can be a considerable impact of land use on Submarine groundwater discharge (+). It can be expected that
 the type of land use and associated soils affect the quantity and quality of water seeping to groundwater and eventually
 reaching coastal discharge spots. However, the extent of this relationship is unknown (Ruff-Salis Martí et al., 2019).
- Land use (other than agriculture) may have an impact on effluents (nutrients, contaminants) from soils and land surface, potentially affecting coastal or **marine ecosystems (?)**, but this has not been assessed (Langlois et al., 2011).
- While forestry predominates in the northern part of the Baltic Sea basin, <u>agricultural lands (+) are the dominant type</u> of land use in the southern part of the basin, and it<u>may be severely affected by an uncertain change in</u> in precipitation in the south. The decisions on which part of the land is dedicated to agriculture (or any other type of land use) are very much management and political decisions, which are influenced by climatic conditions (Wiréhn, 2018; Mendelsohn and Dinar, 2009). There is a strong interrelation between the type of land use and **nutrient loads (+)**, as it strongly affects the amount of nutrients leaking to the sea, predominantly from agricultural land (Dambeniece-Migliniece et al., 2018).
- Land use indirectly affects certain branches of **fisheries** (+), e.g. by affecting rivers where salmons and other migrating fish spawn (Drouineau et al., 2018), see also Section 5.11 and 5.12.
 - Land use change is a major force driving river regulations (+). Regulation of river basins and drainage works in agriculture and forestry have been major factors for changes of hydrological and water quality responses in watersheds (Wörman et al., 2010). Moreover, damming of rivers has increased the area of freshwater bodies in the Baltic Sea region (Smedberg et al., 2009; Humborg et al., 2015).
 - There may be an indirect connection between land use and **offshore wind farms** (?) as there may be a competition for space between land-based wind farms and other types of land use. If the spaces on land become rare because of regulations and protests against extensive land use for wind farms, political decisions may be taken to build more at sea (Ladenburg, 2008).
- Fertilizers and insecticides on cultivated land may leak to the soil and sea, thus, it can be expected that change of land use has a considerable impact on emissions of contaminants (+) to the coastal sea. In addition, remobilization of toxic mercury from the soil (where it has been accumulated for decades) and transport to rivers and into the sea has been shown (Saniewska et al., 2014; Saniewska et al., 2019; Gębka et al., 2020).
- Land use is expected to have a certain impact on tourism (+) because coastal resort areas, beach developments and golf courses, among others, have high demands to areas and infrastructure which are in competition with other types of land use (Kropinova, 2012; Cottrell and Raadik Cottrell, 2015).
- There is a clear connection between coastal land use and coastal management (+). Housing areas close to the coast, on sand spits or on cliffs that are affected by coastal erosion and sea level rise are in peril of being lost to the sea. While it is customary to protect endangered segments of the coastline against erosion also in the parts of the Baltic Sea where the coasts are sinking (Pruszak and Zawadzka, 2005), the concept of managed retreat (Hino et al., 2017) is gradually being included into planning measures and several decisions have been made to abandon sections of shoreline (Schernewski et al., 2018). The same holds for agricultural lands and forests (Rekolainen, 1997; Nordström et al., 2015; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2019) close to affected shorelines even though the value of the unit of such areas is less than that of urbanized regions. Furthermore, land use affects terrestrial biodiversity, by degrading natural

Gelöscht: Discharge	
Gelöscht: agriculture	
Gelöscht: is the dominant	
Gelöscht: will	

Gelöscht: Groundwater

biotopes, reducing habitat and population sizes (Hansen et al., 2012), and hindering migration of species through fragmented landscapes (Oliver and Morecroft, 2014; Smith et al., 2008). Changed land cover e.g. the replacement of permeable soils with sealed urban spaces may lead to an increased vulnerability for flooding and inundation, with 1920 consequences for local economies, of which there is usually a high concentration in urban areas (Saniewska et al., 2014; Saniewska et al., 2018).

5.8.2 Knowledge gaps

There are major knowledge gaps concerning the speed and direction of terrestrial land cover change, and what the effects on atmospheric CO2 concentration and dissolved organic matter transport could be. Cross-system effects and feedbacks may 1925 impede afforestation efforts to enhance CO2 drawdown. There is still insufficient knowledge of the possible environmental, system and cross-system impacts and feedbacks to facilitate continental scale decisions on large scale land cover changes. Terrestrial land cover is a slowly changing system with long-term implications, so both short and long-term effects need to be evaluated. Further questions are:

- 1930
- Land cover Marine ecosystems: What are the impacts of land cover and land use on marine ecosystems? They are spatially separated but may be connected through various land-sea interlinkages; which could they be and how do they interact?
 - Land cover Offshore Wind Farms: What is the relationship between wind power generation on land, where there is an intense competition between different types of use and conflicts in regions where people live, and the designation of new offshore wind parks?

1935 Human-induced factors

The following sections describe the factors and impacts of direct anthropogenic origin, i.e. these factors would not exist in the absence of humans. Often, coastal management is an integrating activity managing the factors and impacts described below. These focus on human benefits and may be in conflict with benefits for ecosystems.

5.9 Agriculture and nutrient loads

- Agriculture accounts for 40% of global land area, 30% of greenhouse gas emissions, 70% of water withdrawals, and has 1940 doubled the amounts of nitrogen and phosphorus in circulation (Foley et al., 2011). In the Baltic Sea region, about 20% of the total catchment area is agriculture, varying from about 7% of the area for Sweden and Finland to 60% for Denmark (Svanbäck et al., 2019). In the past several decades, fertilizer use has decreased, while yield has increased due to improvements in crop varieties and agronomic practices (Lassaletta et al., 2014). There is a strong, positive linear correlation between agricultural
- 1945 nutrient surpluses and nutrient loads to the sea (surpluses are calculated as the sum of nutrients in fertilizer, manure, N-fixation by crops (N only), and atmospheric deposition (N only) minus removal due to crop harvest) (Hong et al., 2017). Diffuse losses of nutrients from agriculture are a core driver of nutrient loads to the Baltic Sea (Andersen et al., 2015). For the drainage basin as a whole, about 14% of net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs and 4% of net anthropogenic phosphorus inputs are transferred to the sea annually (Hong et al. 2017). There is often an inverse correlation between nutrient use efficiency and
- 1950 agricultural nutrient surpluses (e.g., low use efficiency often results in high surpluses). Nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiency in crop production is about 55% for both but varies greatly by country. For example, phosphorus use efficiency is <40% in Russia and Belarus but > 90% in Germany, Denmark, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, and Sweden (McCrackin et al., 2018a).
- Livestock is a driver of nutrient cycling in the drainage basin. About two thirds of nutrients in crops grown in the region are fed to livestock animals (not humans). In addition, substantial amounts of nutrients for livestock are imported in the form of 1955 soy. There is a positive relationship between the density of livestock and nutrient surpluses. Nutrients in manure are not always

Gelöscht: Agriculture is a strong driver of earth system changes

Gelöscht: Use efficiency is calculated as nutrients removed in crop harvest divided by the sum of manure and fertilizer added.

31

used efficiently in crop production because of increased specialization and separation of crop and livestock production. It is often more economical for farmers to purchase commercial fertilizers than to use nutrients in manure for crops (Wang et al., 2018; Svanbäck et al., 2019). Model studies suggest that redistributing manure nutrients, together with improving agronomic practices, could meet 54–82% of the remaining nitrogen reductions targets (28–43 kt N reduction) and 38–64% of phosphorus reduction targets (4–6.6 kt P) under the Baltic Sea Action Plan (McCrackin et al., 2018b).

- It is not known how fertilization practices, crops grown, and land use will change in response to climate change. However, it appears plausible that changes in temperature and precipitation patterns could change the types of crops grown in the region, with potential changes in fertilizer practices and diffuse nutrient losses, as well as riverine runoff and the magnitude of nutrient loads attributable to agriculture. Trends in <u>nutrient loads to the coastal Baltic Sea are much the opposite of the increasing</u> global trends (Beusen et al., 2016). External nutrient loads peaked around 1980, and total waterborne and airborne N and P loads decreased by 42% and 56%, respectively (Savchuk et al., 2012).
- 1970 Large amounts of phosphorus are stored in the sediment and deep waters, which are released in anoxic conditions (Puttonen et al., 2016). This phosphorus surplus in the large basins in combination with depleted available nitrogen at the surface gives nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria a competitive advantage over other phytoplankton, resulting in extensive cyanobacterial booms in late summer, still exacerbating the oxygen situation in the deep basins (Reed et al., 2011). Nitrogen from rivers does not effectively reach the central Baltic proper due to coastal denitrification and turnover. The <u>cyanobacterial</u> blooms in this area,
- however, are fueled by additional phosphorus from land sources, and internal loading (Voss et al., 2005b).
 - The effects of climate change on nutrient loads are highly uncertain. <u>Climate models suggest that the north of the Baltic Sea</u> region would be wetter and the south would be unchanged or drier (Christensen et al., 2021; Meier et al., 2021a), <u>so the</u> agricultural south may experience reduced nutrient loads to the sea. Currently, there is no consistent catchment-wide model of nutrient source apportionment (HELCOM, 2018c), so it is difficult to assess where which sources predominate in different sea
- sub-basins. It is unknown how fertilization practices, crops grown, and land use will change in response to climate change.
 Also unknown is the relative contribution of nitrogen from accumulated, legacy sources to current riverine loads to the sea, and how the accumulation and release of "legacy" nutrients will be impacted by climate change.

5.9.1 Impacts of agriculture on other factors

1985

1990

1995

2000

- A possible feedback by agriculture, or land use in general, on the regional **climate** (+) is through albedo. Agricultural areas have a higher albedo than forests and waters, so increased agricultural areas may be a cooling factor but the extent is unknown (Gaillard et al., 2015).
- Agricultural practices, i.e. fertilization of fields, are the primary source for nutrient loads to the Baltic Sea, so there is
 a strong impact of nutrient loads on hypoxia (+). Increased nutrient (mostly phosphorus) loads to the large basins
 since the 1950s are responsible for expanding oxygen-poor or -free zones in the past decades. There is clear and
 unequivocal evidence for this connection (e.g. Gustafsson et al., 2012).
- Agriculture affects the carbon chemistry of the coastal sea due to carbon and nutrient loads and thus may have an impact on acidification (+) through nitrogen fertilizers and agricultural procedures (Peters et al. 2011), the stimulation of primary production, stimulating the drawdown of CO₂ in the water column and thereby affecting acidification.
- The amounts and types of dissolved substances in the groundwater are strongly determined by agriculture, which thus strongly affects the quantity and quality of submarine groundwater discharges (+) (Szymczycha et al., 2020a; Szymczycha et al., 2020b).
 - The impacts of agriculture on marine ecosystems (+) are clearly the release of excess nutrients from agricultural fields (e.g. Wulff et al., 2007). These excess nutrients are washed into the aquifers and rivers and a large fraction ends up in the sea, where it leads to eutrophication, phytoplankton blooms, and in the Baltic Sea to increased oxygen deficiency zones with extensive further repercussions for the ecosystems, e.g. extensive cyanobacterial blooms.

Gelöscht: in the landscape

Gelöscht: ¶

Globally, nurrient loads to coastal areas are increasing despite increased retention along the land-to-sea pathway (Beusen et al., 2016).

Gelöscht: the

Gelöscht: region

Gelöscht: Since e

Gelöscht: Current nutrient reduction plans (e.g. under the Baltic Sea Action Plan) do not consider climate change. Therefore, additional reductions in land-based nutrient loads could be needed to reach environmental goals for the sea. ¶

Gelöscht: Sources of nutrients transported to the sea by rivers vary by country and nutrient type (e.g. nitrogen or phosphorus, HELCOM, 2018b, c). In Finland, for example, agriculture is the largest source of phosphorus and natural background sources are the largest source of nitrogen. For Poland, agriculture is the largest source of nitrogen while point sources are the largest source of phosphorus. ¶ Regardless of near-term nutrient reductions, symptoms of eutrophication will eventually be present in the Baltic Sea for the next several decades, due to slow response times (Savchuk, 2018). However, recent evidence suggests that conditions in the sea are improving (Andersen et al., 2017) even though the sea as whole was recently assessed as not achieving environmental targets (HELCOM, 2017b). Thus, there will continue to be a loss of social welfare as long as the sea remains eutrophied (Ahtiainen et al., 2014). The extent to which the countries around the Baltic Sea Action plan is not known. ¶

Next to the external loads through atmospheric, river and diffuse sources, internal loads of phosphorus play a particular role in the Baltic Sea.

Gelöscht: cyanobacetrial

Gelöscht: Nutrient loads depend on runoff and riverine discharge. Gelöscht: . The

Gelöscht: a reduced runoff, hence

Gelöscht: Nutrient loads are strongly influenced by runoff and discharge, so riverine nutrient loads strongly influence the eutrophication status of the sea.

Gelöscht: Global population growth will likely increase demand for food products. Increased wealth in countries like China will likely increase demand for livestock products (Yu et al., 2016). It will be challenging to reduce nutrient loads from agriculture and increase food production if farm structures and practices changes remain unchanged.¶

Gelöscht: may

Gelöscht: be

Agriculture has been the dominant type of land use (+) in the southern Baltic Sea region (e.g. Ning et al., 2018).

- There is a strong effect on fisheries (+) and aquaculture (+) as nutrients are the basis of the aquatic food web affecting
 fish production through multiple trophic processes. Generally, more nutrients mean more fish production. However, a too high nutrient availability contributes to eutrophication and oxygen deficiency, with negative impacts on fish growth and reproduction, e.g. for cod (Köster et al., 2017; Casini et al., 2016a). Furthermore, the composition of prey species, which may be affected by nutrients, is important for the production of specific fish species (e.g. Möllmann et al., 2005; Neuenfeldt et al., 2020).
- Agriculture is a major driver for river regulations (+). A multitude of drainage works in agricultural land has gradually led to a more rapid hydrologic response and may affect the transport of nutrient from land to sea, including Si retention by damming (Humborg et al., 2000).
- Like for land use, agriculture does affect the amounts and distribution of chemical contaminants (+) through agricultural practices, using fertilizers, veterinary pharmaceuticals and pesticides. Nutrient loads can affect chemical contaminants and heavy metals indirectly via eutrophication and organic carbon content/dynamics in the sea. Increased organic carbon in the surface water affects the air-sea exchange of airborne contaminants and transport via settling particles (Dachs et al., 2002). Increased organic carbon content and lower oxygen levels may promote the methylation of mercury present in the sediments, enhancing its toxicity and bioavailability (Avramescu et al., 2011; Bełdowski et al., 2015).
- There may be a connection between agriculture and coastal management (?) in regions where coastal infrastructure and agricultural fields compete for space. Coastal management may need to respond where agricultural fields are at stake where they are close to cliffs and other coastal features that are subject to erosion; however, these threats are currently considered minor compared to the potential loss of infrastructure. Nutrient loads stimulate actions to mitigate the effects of eutrophication (e.g. HELCOM, 2007), affecting coastal management actions (or management actions in general). On the short-term scale, such actions include warnings about cyanobacteria blooms and increased concentrations of other adverse substances in the bathing water (Kowalewska et al., 2014). On the long-term scale, actions may be necessary to manage the growth of reed or other plants that may decrease the recreational value of the beaches but at the same time reduce damages caused by coastal erosion and flooding (Osorio-Cano et al., 2019), and also act as a coastal filter for nutrient fluxes from the adjacent land (Kochi et al., 2020).

2075 5.9.1 Knowledge gaps

 It is highly uncertain how fertilization practices, crops grown, and land use will change in response to climate change. Also unknown is the relative contribution of nitrogen from accumulated, legacy sources to current riverine loads to the sea, and how the accumulation and release of legacy nutrients will be impacted by climate change. Nutrient loads are strongly influenced by runoff and discharge, so the eutrophication status of the sea is strongly influenced by riverine nutrient loads. Diffuse losses

 2080
 of nutrients from agriculture are one of the core drivers of nutrient loads to the Baltic Sea. Further questions are:

• Agriculture – Coastal management: What is the relation between agriculture and coastal management? Is coastal agriculture of any relevance for coastal management and how does it look like?

5.10 Aquaculture

Aquaculture, in its broadest sense, includes all cultured breeding and commercial production of plants and animals in water, ranging from fish, bivalves, macroalgae and microbes to wetland grass. Aquaculture can be roughly divided into *fed* and *extractive* aquaculture: the former depending on external resources, the latter extracting resources from its surroundings. **Gelöscht:** The effects of climate change on nutrient loads are highly uncertain. Nutrient loads depend on runoff and riverine discharge. Climate models indicate the north to become wetter and the south to be drier, or at least not get wetter, so that the agricultural south may experience a reduced runoff, hence reduced nutrient loads to the sea. Currently, there is no consistent, catchment-wide model of nutrient source apportionment, so it is difficult to assess where which sources predominate in different sea sub-basins. Furthermore, it is unknown

Gelöscht: is

Aquaculture in open waters (in contrast to closed recirculating systems) has an impact on its direct environment, and is in turn affected by environmental conditions.

- In the Baltic Sea region, Denmark and Finland are the major producers in marine aquaculture. There is also well-developed 2100 freshwater aquaculture, primarily in Poland and Denmark. Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) is by far the most produced fish in the region, with a share of roughly 70% of the region's total production, followed by common carp with 20%. Other cultured fish species are European eel, sturgeon, pikeperch, pike, tench, and others. Shellfish (i.e. bivalves like mussels) as another important aquaculture product is primarily grown in Germany, Sweden and Denmark (Paisely et al., 2010; Eurofish, 2015).
- 2105 Rainbow trout cage farming in brackish waters is concentrated at Åland, Åbo archipelago, the southwestern Finnish coast and in the Danish straits, while freshwater cage farming is mainly located in the sheltered waters of the large and exploited rivers of northern Sweden. Land-based farms, which include traditional flow-through systems as well as the more modern closed recirculation systems are found throughout the catchment area. Open fish farming is mainly associated with nutrient losses to the environment and has been shown to cause local eutrophication (Talbot and Hole, 1994; Diaz, 2010).
- 2110 Increasing water temperatures as well as sea level rise, changed precipitation patterns and extreme weather events have been identified as the main climate impacts on open fish aquaculture (De Silva and Soto, 2009; Galappaththi et al., 2020). In the Bothnian Bay, farmers already now see longer periods of suboptimal to lethal temperatures in the upper water layers (Kankainen et al., 2020). This might promote a growing interest in warm water tolerant species such as perch and pikeperch, but also in closed land-based systems as procedures and technology constantly evolves and becomes economically competitive.
 2115 In general, adaptation strategies are necessary for a sustainable aquaculture in the future (e.g. Reid et al., 2019)
- A poorly understood climate-related risk is the changed microbial biota in fish guts as water temperatures rise (Huyben et al., 2018), and the risk of infections and diseases (Reid et al., 2019) and parasites (Unger and Palm, 2017). Changes in salinity might also affect the biota, with possible physiological consequences for the fish. Still, none of the present or future candidates for aquaculture is expected to be affected by a decrease in salinity.
- 2120 Another problem related to open cage farming and increased water temperatures is the risk of escaping fish (e.g. Atalah and Sanchez-Jerez, 2020) For instance, escaping rainbow trout (accidentally or intentionally released for sport fishing) is a nonindigenous species that may establish permanent wild populations (Skilbrei and Wennevik, 2006; Stanković at al., 2015). This is now addressed by growing sterile fish.

For some cultivation types, a location within offshore wind farms has been envisaged. The closure of certain wind farms to 2125 traffic and fishing, together with a location in open, well-ventilated sea areas seems attractive for certain types of aquaculture production (e.g. Mikkola et al., 2018).

Extractive aquaculture includes filtering organisms such as bivalves, but also macroalgae and other plants like seaweed (Critchley et al., 2019; Weinberger et al., 2020) and reed (Karstens et al., 2019). Similar to seaweed farming, mussel farming has the potential to reduce the environmental impact of marine aquaculture by acting as a nutrient sink, transferring nutrients

into harvestable biomass ("mussel mitigation farming", Petersen et al., 2014; Holbach et al., 2020). Germany and Denmark are the leading producers of blue mussel (Eurofish, 2015). Macroalgae farming has been tested, also in conjunction with fish (Wang et al., 2014). Blue mussels have been shown to be particularly sensitive to changes in either temperature and/or salinity (e.g. Westerborn et al., 2002; Braby and Somero, 2006).

<u>Reed has a long history as backup fodder for livestock, but also as roofing material around the Baltic Sea (Köbbing et al.,</u>
 <u>2013:</u> Karstens et al., 2019). While the blue mussel is a candidate for pelagic nutrient abatement on the local scale, reed (*Phragmites australis*), represents a catch crop for nutrient runoff and habitat enhancement in the inner littoral zone.
 Management of this zone, i.e. the targeted cultivation and harvest of reed, may be an option for nutrient removal.

5.10.1 Impacts of aquaculture on other factors

Gelöscht: 1

Gelöscht: accidentially
Gelöscht: indigenious

 Gelöscht: However, very few suitable species tolerate the low salinity of the Baltic Sea, a problem that may be exacerbated by potentially lower salinities in the future.

 [5] verschoben (Einfügung)

 Gelöscht: D.

 Gelöscht: In the Baltic Sea region, there is also a strong interest in wetland farming and reed harvest (

 Gelöscht: a "blue" solution for

 Gelöscht: . Nutrients leaking from land seem to pause here before moving on to the pelagic zone and the sediments in deeper water.

 [5] nach oben verschoben: Reed has a long history as backup fodder for livestock, but also as roofing material around the Baltic Sea (Köbbing et al., 2013).

Gelöscht: Since the mid 20th century, eutrophication has resulted in a massive increase in wild reed growth and harvest both at the banks of the Baltic Sea and at lakes in its catchment area, a phenomenon yet to be decribed in the literature but which has been observed in other coastal regions of the world (Xu et al., 2021). This development is likely to continue due to a longer growing season and reduced ice cover in combination with increased or prevailing high nutrient runoff from agricultural lands. Reduced ice coverage is likely to contribute to a thickening of the reed meadows, both above and below the water surface, as ice removes old reed. Harvest of reeds is an ongoing process all around the Baltic Sea, with an interest to "clean" the water surface in order to improve outdoor activities like boating, swimming and wild life experience like bird watching, fishing and duck hunting.

- Aquaculture may be important for local hypoxia (+) in enclosed or semi-enclosed coastal regions with little water
 exchange, where fish cages release excess nutrients and alleviate local eutrophication and possibly hypoxia (e.g. Talbot and Hole, 1994).
 - Aquaculture may have an impact on marine ecosystems (+) through local eutrophication near open cage farms, or by
 escaping cultured specimens from cages into the wild. Indirectly, it could act to reduce fishing pressure on certain
 species if there is an aquaculture alternative and the natural populations are less exploited. Mussel farms could enhance
 wild declining populations by releasing a spate of precisely the same genetic heritage as the wild populations. It has
 recently been observed that lost mussels from the farm can establish wild colonies under the farm. Also, mussel farms
 could be used to clean up the seawater at a large scale (Kotta et al., 2020).

2175

2180

- Non-indigenous species (+) which are grown in open water cages may escape and establish stable wind populations in the new environment. In 2016, a cargo ship crashed into a Danish fish farm, releasing some 80.000 rainbow trout specimen from the cages (Reuters, 2016). It is unsure whether escaped rainbow trouts are able to establish stable wild populations. Continuous escapes during routine operation may also be a problem. Efforts to mitigate this problem are to culture indigenous species preferentially or to grow sterile non-indigenous fish. Another prominent example is the escape of the pacific oyster from oyster farms, following the successful establishment of wild populations in the German Wadden Sea, with subsequent strong competition and <u>suppression</u> of blue mussel beds (Reise et al., 2017).
- An increase in land-based aquaculture in recirculating systems with associated land use (+) is anticipated as technology improves and becomes economic. This would require a complex energy intensive industrial infrastructure. For an estimated 6.4 GWh energy demand per year for a production of 1.000 tof market-size salmon (Nistad, 2020), this would require a massive commitment of renewable energy in a carbon neutral production process. A back-of-the-envelope calculation yields that for this yearly production volume, roughly 3.1 ha of solar collectors or 560 wind turbines would be needed. This means additional land use beyond the ceiling of the fish factory itself.
- Nutrient release (+) to the open water is important on the local scale near fish farms through excess fodder and fish excrements (e.g. Talbot and Hole, 1994). On the other hand, blue mussel, seaweed and macroalgae farming may act to remove nutrients from the vicinity of the farms (Kochi et al., 2020; Kotta et al., 2020) and may help to counteract eutrophication by catching plankton and other small particles and improve water transparency, at least on the local scale (Petersen et al., 2014). By this, mussel farming may deal with increased nutrient release from sediments due to hypoxia and changed nutrient run of from land (Kotta et al., 2020). Fish farming in the Baltic Sea presently represents only 0.5% of <u>nutrient losses to the Baltic Sea</u>, but could be the use of recapture based feed sources, i.e. interacting with fisheries, be a net remover of nutrients from the Baltic Sea. Aquaculture is the extension of land-based agriculture and farming into the waters. As such, it complements and extends the benefits and detriments of food production to the waters. However, new technologies for particle collection from open cages, land-based farming, and circular feeds, obtaining the nutrient from the recipient load using blue catchcrops and ecosystem management fishing products, can make aquaculture a net contributor to reduced nutrient pressure. Reet management and harvest for fodder production and other uses on sheltered coastal stretches may mitigate local nutrient runoff from nearby agricultural fields.
- Aquaculture may complement fisheries (?) and theoretically ease the fishing pressure on certain species by growing
 them in controlled conditions, or provide cultured alternatives to wild catches, and thus reduce the <u>impact of the fishing</u> pressure on the ecosystem. However, it is assumed that aquaculture production on the global scale may not substantially displace, but largely supplement fisheries capture (Longo et al., 2019).
- There are no direct impacts of aquaculture on offshore windfarms (+). However, wind farms represent suitable locations for certain aquaculture types, as they are installed in open water areas which ensure constant ventilation and exchange of water. These areas are banned from shipping and fishing and have a cerain infrastructure, so aquaculture in wind farms may be synergistic (Buck et al., 2017; Mikkola et al., 2018).

Gelöscht: warming	, but this will be more probable with increasing
Gelöscht:	suppession
Gelöscht:	۹
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	If so, t
Gelöscht:	will
Gelöscht:	(e.g.
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	around
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	7
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	5
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	on
Gelöscht:	production
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	If
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	(e.g.
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht: process, ca.	solar power) is used for a carbon neutral production
Gelöscht:	5
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	sun cells per 1.000 tons yearly production
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Gelöscht:	must be dedicated
Formatiert:	Schriftart: Nicht Fett
Gelöscht:	(+)
Formatiert:	Schriftart: Nicht Fett
Gelöscht:	imact

- Some cultivated fish species are loaded with anthropogenic chemical contaminants (?) (e.g. antibiotics, pesticides, persistent organic pollutants) to a higher degree than wild populations (Cole et al., 2009).
- /

2235

2240

- Aquaculture may be a source for **marine litter (?)** and microplastics (as the bulk of handling material and equipment is from plastics), but the scale and relevance are largely unknown (Lusher et al., 2017; Sandra et al., 2019).
- There may be an impact of aquaculture on **touristic** (?) activities, for instance by culinary tourism (Kim et al., 2017). <u>Certain</u> types of aquaculture farming (e.g. waters reed, macroalgae, blue mussel) may improve the water quality and light penetration in inner coastal regions and may thus be beneficial for certain touristic activities in these protected inner coastal areas (boating, swimming, fishing, duck hunting).
- **Coastal management** (+) strongly affects coastal aquaculture by allocating areas for farms; conversely, the aquaculture industry is a strong coastal stakeholder and thus has a certain influence on the management of the coastal waters (e.g. Primavera, 2006).

2245 5.10.2 Knowledge gaps

A climate-related risk that is poorly understood is the changed microbial biota in fish guts as water temperatures rise. Changes in salinity might also affect the biota, with possible physiological consequences for the fish. A further uncertainty is the impact of parasites in future aquaculture, and the impacts of pharmaceuticals on the environment. Further questions are:

- 2250
- Aquaculture Fisheries: What is the economic connection between aquaculture and fisheries? Also, there may be a
 competition for coastal spaces.
 - Aquaculture Chemical contaminants: What is the impact of pharmaceuticals to fight fish diseases and parasites on the environment? What is the impact on the environment and other organisms?
 - Aquaculture Marine litter: There are considerable knowledge gaps concerning aquaculture as a source for marine litter of any kind.

2255 5.11 Fisheries

The main target species in commercial fisheries in the Baltic Sea are cod, herring and sprat. Other target fish species include salmon, plaice, flounder, dab, brill, turbot, pike-perch, pike, perch, vendace, whitefish, eel and sea-trout. Fisheries affect the Baltic Sea ecosystems primarily through selective extraction of species and physical disturbance to the seabed. The latter is most relevant in the southern Baltic Sea, where gears that come into contact with the seabed (e.g. bottom trawls) are commonly

2260 used (ICES, 2018a). Furthermore, some gears, especially gill nets, have incidental bycatches of marine mammals and seabirds, affecting these populations (HELCOM, 2017a). According to EU Common Fisheries Policy (CFP), fishing should be conducted in an environmentally, economically and socially sustainable way, and catch limits should be set at levels that ensure maximum sustainable long-term yields. For the major fish stocks in the Baltic Sea, a multiannual EU management plan (EU, 2016) aims to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the CFP. Fisheries for the major Baltic Sea fish stocks are expected to further align with the targets of these policies in the future.

One of the major scientific challenges concerning fisheries <u>impacts</u> is the quantification relative to other human or ecosystem factors. An example here is cod, where fishing for its prey species potentially influences cod growth and condition (ICES, 2018b). However, as several other factors influence cod growth and condition at the same time (e.g., oxygen conditions, parasites from grey seals) (Casini et al., 2016b; Horbowy et al., 2016), the possible effects of fisheries management actions

2270 are difficult to determine. Another example is fishing impacts on the seabed, for which little is known about the sensitivity of different organisms and communities to fishing gear disturbances, at the Baltic Sea scale. In this area, further research and data are needed to parameterize models and establish quantitative links to other pressures (e.g. anoxia) (ICES, 2018a). Fish stocks and fisheries are also affected by climate (salinity, temperature) and eutrophication, whose effects are closely

connected through the oxygen conditions in the Baltic Sea. For example, recruitment of the Eastern Baltic cod is largely

Gelöscht: (+))
Gelöscht: Cerain	

[6] nach unten verschoben: Fisheries affect the Baltic Sea ecosystems primarily through selective extraction of species and physical disturbance to the seabed. The latter is most relevant in the southern Baltic Sea, where gears that come into contact with the seabed (e.g. bottom trawls) are commonly used (ICES, 2018a). Furthermore, some gears, especially gill nets have incidental bycatches of marine mammals and seabirds, affecting these populations (HELCOM, 2017a).

Gelöscht: Fisheries removals are generally recorded in the form of fisheries statistics. Additionally, biological information on the catch (size/age structure, weight of the fish) is collected for the commercially important fish stocks. For several key fish species in the Baltic Sea, long time series of monitoring data and fisheries statistics are available, which have allowed describing the development of fishing pressure over multiple decades, in some cases since the beginning of the intensified fishing in the 1950s-1960s (Eero et al., 2008; Eero, 2012).¶

[6] verschoben (Einfügung)

Gelöscht: ¶

Gelöscht: ¶

Gelöscht: Fish is a valuable food, and fisheries, together with related industries, are locally an important source of income and employment in the Baltic Sea region, especially in small coastal communities. Therefore, sustainable use of marine resources should be ensured, as also stated in the global policy goals (United Nations, 1982). The goal for an ecosystem-based approach for fisheries management is to consider conservation, economic profit and social equity simultaneously. There are tradeoffs between reaching these goals, and there are competing interests between different users of major fish stocks, which are related to food web interactions between species. For example, management strategies prioritizing overall profit would favour economically more valuable species, such as cod, which may cause conservation and equity issues concerning fisheries targeting other species (Voss et al., 2014).[¶]

Both natural and human-induced processes, including species interactions influence the status of the fish stocks. Applying the most appropriate management actions under given ecosystem conditions, i.e. a holistic ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management, requires knowledge of pressure-state links in the ecosystem.

Gelöscht: impacts,

Gelöscht: of fishing impacts
influenced by salinity and oxygen conditions (Köster et al., 2017), and temperature significantly affects sprat recruitment (MacKenzie and Köster, 2004 MacKenzie et al., 2007). A combination of oxygen content and temperature was found to have significant effects on egg/larva development and survival of the Western Baltic cod (Hüssy, 2011). The growth of

- 2320 planktivorous species or life stages is also affected by climatic conditions regulating zooplankton dynamics (Casini et al., 2011; Köster et al., 2017). Furthermore, oxygen is considered to affect the growth and condition of the Eastern Baltic cod both directly and via regulating the availability of benthic food (Casini et al., 2016a). Climate impacts on one species can also propagate through the food web and affect other species via food web interactions. For example, a high abundance of sprat due to favourable temperatures increases competition between sprat and herring and reduces their growth and condition (Casini 2325 et al. 2011). Möllmann (2019) provides an overview of the effects of climate change and fisheries on the fish stocks of the
- et al., 2011). Möllmann (2019) provides an overview of the effects of climate change and fisheries on the fish stocks of the Baltic Sea

A combination of high fishing pressure and unfavourable salinity and oxygen conditions for cod reduced the cod stock in the late 1980s, which released sprat from predation pressure and allowed for an increase in sprat stock in the 1990s, which was additionally favoured by suitable temperatures (Köster et al., 2003; Möllmann et al., 2008). In another example, the increase

in the cod stock in the late 1970s to the highest level on record was found to be due to a combination of favourable climate and a temporary reduction in fishing pressure (Eero et al., 2011).

Eutrophication has presently negative effects on fish resources via deteriorated oxygen conditions, <u>but some coastal species</u> may benefit from the associated high nutrient levels. Historically, the increase in nutrient concentrations from the level before the 1950s to the 1980s possibly improved the growth of sprat and herring (Eero et al., 2016) and may have slightly enhanced the productivity of cod (Eero et al., 2011). <u>Fishing is considered to remove nutrients from the Baltic Sea (Nielsen et al., 2019)</u>,

which is another interaction between eutrophication and fisheries.

Contaminants in fish above accepted thresholds have implications for marketing possibilities of the fish, and invasive species <u>may interact</u> with fisheries through food web interactions; round goby has become a new exploitable resource for some fisheries, but also has negative impacts on some other native commercial species (Ojaveer et al, 2015).

2340 5.11.1 Impacts of fisheries on other factors

2335

2345

2350

- For hypoxia (?), there may be a cascading effect, e.g. fishing out large predators may affect consumption at lower trophic levels. In the end, this trophic cascade <u>may have</u> repercussions on nutrient concentrations, eutrophication and hypoxia (Nielsen et al., 2019).
- Fisheries strongly affects the marine ecosystem (+). The withdrawal of large quantities of intermediate to top
 predators may cascade down to lower trophic levels in the pelagic and benthic zone, and affect higher trophic levels
 like marine mammals and sea birds (Jennings and Kaiser, 1998; Bergström et al. 2019; Elmgren 2021). There can be
 considerable detrimental effects to benthic communities through bottom trawls.
 - Fisheries may directly or indirectly affect **non-indigenous species** (?) by altering the food web structure and opening up an ecological niche for new species, or may be a new commercially interesting species, e.g. the round goby (Ojaveer et al., 2015).
 - There is no direct effect of fisheries on **nutrient loads (?)** but there can be feedback to coastal nutrient concentrations due to cascading effects if certain species are removed by fishing. By removing fish, fishing is considered to extract nutrients from the Baltic Sea (Nielsen et al., 2019).
- Fisheries may affect aquaculture (?) indicrectly, by increasing the need to culture fish due to overfishing (Longo et al. 2019).
- There is a connection between fisheries and **offshore wind farms (+)** regarding the competition for space and resources in the coastal areas (Methratta 2020). On the other hand, the bases of pillars have been shown to form

Gelöscht: ¶

Gelöscht: of several factors is often responsible for extensive changes in fish abundances. For example, a combination

Gelöscht: These examples demonstrate how combinations of different forcings can interact and affect population dynamics.¶
Gelöscht: especially in deeper basins. In contrast,

Gelöscht: By removing fish, f

Gelöscht: Hazardous substances in the Baltic Sea interact with fisheries as well.

Gelöscht: In addition, contaminants can affect fish stocks via food web interactions. For example, a reduced level of hazardous substances has allowed the top predator grey seal population to increase in abundance. Seals are preying on fish resources and their increased abundance has led to an increased infection of cod with the seal-associated liver worm (Sokolova et al., 2018), which may affect cod condition and cause mortality (Horbowy et al., 2016).¶

Gelöscht: is another driver interacting

Gelöscht: . An example here is

Gelöscht: , Neogobius melanostomus

Gelöscht: which

Gelöscht: Importantly, it has been found to increase bioaccumulation of sediment-related toxins in the food chain, and thereby increase risks for fish consumers (Ojaveer et al., 2015 and references therein).

Gelöscht: has

Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben

Gelöscht: by giving an indication which fish species may be interesting to culture, or for which species there is a demand, which fisheries cannot satisfy. Here, management instruments like fishing quota may play a role.¶

artificial reefs, which can act as nursery grounds for specific fish species (Wilson and Elliot, 2009; Degraer et al., 2020)

- Likewise, a possible impact of fisheries on **shipping** (?) regards the competition for space.
 - Fishing vessels have been a source for **marine litter** (+) and contamination, e.g. nylon nets, buoyancy gear, solid and liquid waste, similar to general shipping. Abandoned fishing nets, however are a special threat not only for fish, marine mammals (Stelfox et al., 2016) but also for birds (e.g. Merlino et al., 2018).
 - Fisheries may have an impact on **tourism (?)**. In some holiday resorts, fishermen have switched from traditional fishing to offering recreational fishing tours to tourists, to selling fish (not own catches) from fishing vessels, or to touristic sightseeing boat tours altogether.
 - Fisheries is an integral part of **coastal management** (+) in areas where fishing grounds or essential fish habitats potentially overlap with other uses of the coastal zone. While conflicts of this type are scarce in the Baltic Sea region, they are common in regions that use massively fish ponds at the coast (Kalther and Itaya, 2020).

2400 5.11.2 Knowledge gaps

A major challenge is to quantify fishing impacts on ecosystems relative to those of other human or ecosystem factors. An example here is cod in the Baltic Sea, where fishing for its prey species potentially influences cod growth and condition. However, as a number of other factors influence cod growth and condition at the same time (e.g., oxygen conditions, parasites from grey seals), possible effects of fisheries management actions are difficult to determine. Furthermore, little is known about

- 2405 fishing impacts on the seabed and the sensitivity of different organisms and communities to fishing gear disturbances. Further research and data to parameterize models are needed, as well as establishing better quantitative links to other factors (e.g. anoxia). Monitoring systems with respect to other ecosystem components or factors need to be implemented. Further questions are:
- 2410

2415

2395

- *Fisheries Non-indigenous species.* What is the impact of fisheries on the success and distribution of non-indigenous species? Some may be a commercial alternative to indigenous species (e.g. Round Goby), but how do fisheries respond to non-indigenous species?
- *Fisheries agriculture.* What is the influence of fisheries on agriculture, i.e. what is the potential feedback on terrestrial food production?
- Fisheries Aquaculture. What is the connection between fisheries and aquaculture (economically though markets or directly)?
 - Fisheries Tourism. Is there any impact of fisheries on tourism_and the attractiveness of coastal areas and their traditional cultures and livelihoods, in addition to fishermen switching from fishing to organizing touristic fishing j tours in fishing and touristic hotspots, or selling market fish directly from fishing vessels?

5.12 River regulation and stream restoration

Many rivers in the Baltic Sea catchment basin are regulated, i.e. their natural course has been altered for power generation, municipal water supply, and irrigation for agricultural purposes, flood protection, shipping and navigation (e.g. Kelly et al., 2017). Damming for hydropower generation is more common in the northern, boreal part of Europe, where a considerable fraction of electric power generation is by hydropower (up to 82% in Norway and 77% in Finland, Lehner et al., 2005, Humborg et al., 2015). Regulation of river basins and drainage works in agriculture and forestry have been major factors for changes in the hydrological and water quality responses in watersheds (Wörman et al., 2010).

Jt has been shown that damming leads to a reduced silica transport to the sea (Humborg et al., 2000), due to diatom blooming in reservoirs and reduced weathering in the regulated rivers (Humborg et al., 2015). One option to reduce nutrient loading (in

Gelöscht: to Gelöscht: necessarily

Gelöscht: ,

Gelöscht:) is by hydropower (

Gelöscht: Nilsson et al., (2005) describe how the majority of the world's river basins are regulated. Such river regulations affect the river hydrograph, sediment transport and local erosion (Kumar et al., 2011). The dam structure and associated damming can cause permanent flooding with increased green house gas emissions from the flooded terrestrial-carbon pool, river fragmentation, higher sedimentation rate in the reservoir, retention of solute pollutions and risk for eutrophication in the reservoir. It can change the amounts and the stoichiometric ratios of the nutrients reaching the coastal waters, hence potentially modifying the coastal biogeochemistry (Humborg et al., 2006).

A multitude of drainage works in all agricultural land has gradually led to a more rapid hydrologic response over the last centuries and probably in general a corresponding decreased retention capacity for nutrients downstream, from land to sea (Weigelhofer et al., 2018). Traditionally, there has been an understanding that the stream network topology has the major importance for the hydrological response of a river basin – this effect is due to the so-called geomorphological dispersion affecting both water flow and solute esponses (Rinaldo et al., 1991; Rodriguez-Iturbe and Rinaldo, 1997). However, more recently there has been a growing understanding that spatial heterogeneity of in-stream channel properties, such as those affected by drainage works, play an additional important role for hydrological responses - the associated phenomena are termed hydraulic and kinematic dispersions (Saco and Kumar, 2002) Consequently, numerous drainage projects in agricultural land during several centuries have gradually implied a more rapid flow response (Åkesson et al., 2016) with consequences also for the water quality response in streams and river basins (Riml and Wörman, 2015). Also the interaction between the stream flow and shallow groundw immediately below the stream water – the hyporheic zone – is important for filtering the stream water (Boano et al., 2014) and, thus, for the water quality of estuaries.¶

- 2465 particular nitrogen and phosphorus) is to implement local remediation measures within the agricultural drainage system that utilize the "self-purification" of the stream network. Such local measures structures built in stream channels limit the eutrophication both in downstream inland waters and can potentially have a major role in reducing the nitrogen loading to the sea (Seitzinger, 1988; Boano et al., 2014). A general understanding is that nitrogen removal in streams is controlled by biochemistry, but stream hydromechanics impose a limit on the <u>nitrate removal rate</u> (Gomez-Velez et al., 2014; Grant et al.,
- 2470 2018; Morén et al., 2018). The past few decades of research on stream hydrology and biogeochemistry provide a picture of the so-called hyporheic zone as a hotspot for stream biogeochemistry and self-purification of the stream water (Boano et al., 2014).
 - Stream restoration projects tend to reverse effects of previous drainage works by introducing engineered structures like crossvanes, riffle-and-pools, new bed substrate or checker dams (Wortley et al., 2013). Such stream structures create localized
- 2475 hydraulic head drops in streams, which can increase the water flux into the hyporheic zone and, thereby, reduce both nitrate and phosphate transport (Morén et al., 2018). <u>A recent study of the potential for reducing nitrogen export through denitrification</u> in agricultural streams via restoration actions indicates that the effectiveness is highly heterogeneous, depending on local stream conditions, but also that significant reduction in nitrogen export can be achieved through such actions if implemented <u>widespread</u> (Refsgaard et al., 2019).
- The hydrological response changes over time due to landscape changes, climate variability and global warming. The energy level available for the transport of sediment and solutes in streams is highly variable (Wörman et al., 2017), which has significant environmental implications for decadal or longer time-scales. Climate change may generate higher runoff due to overall increasing precipitation, especially in the winter, with large regional differences (Graham et al., 2008; Räisänen, 2017; Christensen et al., 2021). Runoff peaks are expected to come earlier in the year in some regions and be less pronounced due to
- a lower snowmelt peak and a more spread-out precipitation volume across the winter. An increased runoff would generally enhance nitrogen transport and decrease retention and transformation of nitrogen in streams. Impacts by river regulations on flow regimes and temperatures may be stronger or comparable to those by current climate change (Arheimer et al., 2017; Ashraf et al., 2016). Moreover, regulations and modifications of many rivers are not well represented in current coupled climate models (e.g. Hagemann et al., 2020).

2490 5.12.1 Impacts of river regulation on other factors

- There is a close connection to coastal processes (+), as regulated rivers carry different amounts of sediments to the sea (Tena and Batalla, 2013), which can thus alter coastal processes and morphology in the vicinity (downstream) of river mouths of regulated rivers. Marinas at the river mouth may have a similar impact (Soomere et al., 2007). While extensive damming of major rivers is detrimental for their deltas (Li et al., 2017), many small beaches experience permanent erosion because of the regulation of rivers that fed them with sand in the past (Vitousek et al., 2017).
- River regulations may have an impact on hypoxia (?) near river mouths, through altered nutrient loads, eutrophication
 and increased oxygen demand/depletion.
- River loading of total carbon and alkalinity is associated with weathering processes in the drainage areas where some are rich and some poor in limestone, affecting alkalinity and **acidification (+)** (Hjalmarsson et al., 2008). Differences in river concentrations of organic carbon and organic alkalinity (Kuliński et al., 2014; Ulfsbo et al., 2014) and in some drainage basins are associated with acid sulphur soils (Nordmyr et al., 2006).
- There is some evidence that regulated rivers have an impact on a drainage basin's groundwater budget (Hancock, 2002), so there is a plausible connection to **groundwater discharges (?)** to the sea, but the uncertainty is high.
- River regulation and damming may lead to reduced nutrient transport to the sea, especially for silica (Humborg et al., 2000), due to diatom blooming in reservoirs and reduced weathering in the regulated rivers (Humborg et al., 2015).

Gelöscht: recently it has been found that

Gelöscht:

Gelöscht: at which nitrate is removed in the reactive zones of streams

Gelöscht: Restoration actions in streams can offer an important contribution to a system of management plans to reduce nitrogen and phosphorus loadings to inland waters and the Baltic Sea. ¶

Gelöscht: An engineering design approach is based on many, in practice, uncertain and variable factors that can also be difficult to control by engineered structures. ¶ Nevertheless, a

Gelöscht: wide-spread Gelöscht: periodicity of

Gelöscht: as well as

2500

- 2520 The changed amounts and stoichiometric ratios of the nutrients entering the coastal waters may modify the coastal biogeochemistry and hence affect the **marine ecosystem (+)**.
 - There <u>may be an impact of river regulations on land use (?)</u> and <u>agriculture (?)</u>. River regulation and restorations can be seen as a type of land use, and the fraction and distribution of useable land are partly determined by regulated rivers.
- River regulations substantially affect **nutrient loads** (+) through damming and sedimentation, changing the river's nutrient concentrations and biogeochemistry, particularly for Si (Humborg et al., 2015). Still, it has been estimated that the global riverine nitrogen and phosporus transport has increased despite all regulation efforts (Beusen et al., 2016).
- If river passages are opened for upstream migrating fish, there is the risk of introducing pathogens from the marine
 environment into protected areas. The majority of Swedish aquaculture (?) of coldwater fish is harboured from marine pathogens in shielded areas. This needs to be managed if upstream migration is facilitated.
 - There is a connection of regulated rivers with fisheries (+), at least for some branches, as regulated rivers (barriers, dams, locks, modifications of the riverbed), make it difficult or impossible for some fish to migrate to their spawning grounds through the rivers (anadromous species like salmon, eel). Fish passes have been installed at many locks and barriers but passages are significantly lower than without barriers and differ tremendously between species and types of passes (Noonan et al., 2012; Bunt et al., 2012)
 - For some **chemical contaminants (?)** (e.g. pharmaceuticals, Lindim et al., 2016) and trace metals, riverine transport is the major transport route to the sea. Degradation of chemical contaminants during river transport depends on several environmental factors including shading, nutrient conditions, turbidity, exchange between surface water and the hyporheic zone, the bacterial community in the sediment, and attenuation is therefore dependent on watershed characteristics and water residence time (e.g. Rieger et al., 2012; Posselt et al., 2020). It is therefore plausible that the extensive regulation of rivers in the Baltic Sea catchment impacts the attenuation of many organic contaminants and metals (Saniewska et al., 2014; Saniewska et al., 2018; Gębka et al., 2020).
- Rivers represent major pathways for microplastic emissions to the sea (Schmidt et al., 2017). In the Baltic Sea, microplastic input via rivers can be expected as well, although retention rates are unknown (Schernewski et al., 2021). River regulations may influence how much or which fraction of marine litter (?) reaches the sea. However, this is largely unknown.
 - Regulated rivers change the amount and quality of water entering coastal waters so they have an impact on coastal management (+) (Coccossis, 2004).

2550 5.12.2 Knowledge gaps

2535

2540

Concerning stream restoration, there is a need for more extensive observations on the water quality effects of stream restoration actions and a comparison of the effectiveness of such efforts compared to other land-based remediation plans. Furthermore, there is a need to be able to project the effects of remediation actions on nitrogen removal and other water quality objectives, especially regarding feedbacks between hydrological changes and biogeochemistry of the hyporheic zone under different

- 2555 conditions. Further questions are:
 - River regulations Hypoxia. Are river regulations in any way correlated with coastal and deep-water hypoxia?
 - *River regulations Submarine <u>groundwater discharges</u>. Are river regulations in any way correlated with submarine groundwater discharges, or do they affect groundwater in coastal regions in any way?*
 - River regulations Marine Ecosystems. What impact do river regulations have on marine (coastal) ecosystems?
- *River regulations Aquaculture.* To what extent is coastal aquaculture affected by river regulations?

Gelöscht: is a clear impact of
Gelöscht: (+)
Gelöscht: (+).

Gelöscht: (+). Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett

Gelöscht: Groundwater
Gelöscht: Discharges

- River regulations Chemical contaminants. Is there a connection between river regulations and the release or transport of chemical contaminants?
- River regulations Marine litter. Is there a connection between river regulations and the release or transport of marine litter?

5.13 Offshore Wind Farms

2570

2605

Regenerative power generation is on the rise to help reach decarbonization goals. For Europe, an increase of up to 15% in regenerative wind power generation is projected for the late 21st century (Tobin et al., 2015; Tobin et al., 2016). Wind power installations have increased in recent years especially in the southern Baltic Sea, and the prospects are substantial. By late

- 2575 2019, 2 GW offshore wind power was installed in the Baltic Sea and it is expected to be 9 to 14 GW by 2030 (Pineda and Fraile, 2019). WindEurope's latest scenario projects installations of 85 GW by 2050, making the Baltic Sea the second-largest basin for offshore wind in Europe (after the North Sea). Offshore wind power is expected to be competitive with other power sources in 2030, according to the ECDGE report (2019). Wind power generation is of course depending on future wind conditions, Climate models do not project any consistent future trend for wind speeds in the Baltic Sea region (Räisänen, 2017;
- 2580 Christensen et al., 2021), except for an increase in near-surface wind speed in areas that today are covered by sea ice, and which are projected to have largely disappeared in a future warmer climate (Kjellström et al., 2018). Hence, only a drastic increase in the number of wind farms can yield a considerable increase in renewable energy production, with all its potential consequences on ecosystems and potential feedbacks to the regional climate. Moreover, the variability and expected technological development in turbine effectivity are expected to be larger than the estimated climate effects (Tobin et al.,

2585 2016). Irrespective of future wind conditions, problems regarding wind wake effects are to be expected in very large wind farms, with consequences for the efficiencies of these large farms (Akhtar et al., 2021). There are several socio-economic and psychological aspects, which may affect the development of offshore wind energy generation in the Baltic Sea. The visual impact of offshore wind energy infrastructure is a considerable hurdle shaping the social acceptance of the surrounding communities to wind energy development (e.g. Upham and Johansen, 2020). On

- 2590 occasions, this has triggered economic compensation demands by citizens living in coastal areas as a retribution scheme to allow the development of offshore wind energy, an issue which can a) significantly further slowdown the development of new wind energy infrastructure, and b) add additional development costs should financial compensation need to take place. In that respect, various governments across the Baltic Sea region have included compensation mechanisms within their renewable energy system support policies.
- Furthermore, the <u>so-called</u> "viewshed effect" of offshore wind energy may have a particularly acute economic impact on coastal touristic destinations, an observation corroborated across multiple case studies in Spain (Voltaire and Koutchade, 2020), US (Landry et al., 2012; Lilley et al., 2010; Parsons et al., 2020), France (Westerberg et al., 2013), and Denmark (Ladenburg and Dubgaard, 2007), among other jurisdictions. This may lead to significant revenue losses for tourism-dependent businesses, potentially outweighing the economic profits stemming from offshore wind farm developments and ultimately
- 2600 resulting in a net welfare loss for the affected coastal region (Voltaire and Koutchade, 2020). However, there may also be limited benefits (Hooper et al., 2017).

Wind energy development may enhance social acceptance and positive economic distributive impacts under more collaborative procedural and co-ownership conditions whereby individual citizens are offered the opportunity to more proactively participate in the development of wind projects (Langer et al., 2017; Pons-Seres de Brauwer and Cohen, 2020). Importantly, the aggregated 'social potential' stemming from citizen-financed wind energy infrastructure development is significant under a

European context (Pons-Seres de Brauwer and Cohen, 2020), and thus highly relevant (and potentially replicable) for the Baltic Sea Region Gelöscht: has been Gelöscht: GW

Gelöscht: depening

Gelöscht: , but they are not clear

Gelöscht: (Pons-Seres de Brauwer and Cohen, 2019)

As of <u>October 2021</u>, there are in the Baltic Sea region (including Kattegat, Belt Sea and Lake Vänern), <u>18</u> wind parks in operation, with a total production of 2<u>8</u>_GW, <u>3</u>_out of operation and <u>11</u>_under construction or in planning (<u>https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liste der Offshore-Windparks; this German Wikipedia page is the only up-to date source, page retrieved 13 October 2021). Figure <u>2</u>_shows a map of the offshore wind farms in the Baltic Sea (https://www.4coffshore.com/offshorewind/).</u>



Gelöscht:	November			
Gelöscht:	2020			
Gelöscht:	19			
Gelöscht:	2			
Gelöscht: 2 Gelöscht: 4 Formatiert: Absatz-Standardschriftart, Englisch (Vereinigtes Königreich) Gelöscht: https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liste_der_Offshore- Windparks				
			Gelöscht:	3

Figure <u>2</u>: Offshore wind farms in the Baltic Sea (https://www.4coffshore.com/offshorewind/), as of 24 June 2021, courtesy of 4COffshore.com

5.13.1 Impacts of offshore wind farms on other factors

2615

There may be a certain impact on the regional climate (+) by offshore wind farms through absorbing atmospheric energy. There is little information on the magnitude of this effect, and modelling exercises have found varying impacts 2625 on the regional climate at current densities of wind warms (Fitch et al., 2013; Vautard et al., 2014; Akhtar et al., 2021). Considerable impacts cannot be excluded in the future with an extensive development of renewable energy production to meet climate goals. Studies suggest that with climate change, the wind resource change in the Baltic Sea is not as significant as other European seas, with a majority of studies suggesting a small tendency of increasing resource in the Northern part (Devis et al., 2018; Reyers et al., 2016; Hahmann et al., 2020). However, the impact of 2630 the farms on the regional climate has not been assessed in this area, partly due to the scale of the offshore wind industry being still rather small. While observational evidence is scarce, numerical studies in other regions have suggested possible impacts of wind farms on local meteorology and climate, depending on the farm size and density, and turbine types. The impact from the farms on local meteorology can be seen in the formation of fog (Emeis, 2010; Hasager et al., 2017, North Sea), the change of spatial distribution of precipitation (Pan et al., 2018, US coast), cloud 2635 cover (Boettcher et al., 2015, North Sea), decrease in sensible heat flux (Foreman et al., 2017, North Sea), and local temperature (Roy and Traiteur, 2010, conceptual). Observational evidence has shown that offshore wind farms may affect the local climate by modifying the marine boundary layer (Siedersleben et al., 2018). The impact is mostly

-(Formatiert: Abstand Vor: 0 Pt., Nach: 0 Pt.
-{	Gelöscht: 3
Y	Gelöscht:
٢	Gelöscht: ¶

Gelöscht: gentle

42

2660

- 2665

2670

2675

2690

2680

2685

(specifically two species of seals) regarding underwater noise suggesting that noise from construction and operation are without significant long-term impact on the marine mammals. Wind parks may also host fish and sessile assemblages of organisms (Andersson and Öhman, 2010), and be selective hunting areas for harbor porpoises due to

km downwind (Siedersleben et al., 2018).

high fish abundances there (absence of fishing and artificial reef conditions, shown for the North Sea, Scheidat et al., 2011). Many species of water birds have been observed to react to the presence of a wind farm, from a few hundreds of meters to a few kilometers ahead, as observed over both the Baltic Sea and the North Sea (Hueppop et al., 2006). Most of them change flying route and fly around the farm, and very few (less than 1%) fly riskily close to the farm and end with collision, according to the observation around the Nysted wind farm in the Baltic Sea (Desholm and Kahlert, 2005). Large wind farm clusters may form a barrier effect to migrating birds, though some may fly into the

the downwind wave field heights was estimated to be minor (Alari and Raudsepp, 2012).

space between the farms (Larsen and Guillemette, 2007). Studies for land birds affected by offshore wind farm are lacking. Potential impacts of wind wakes on hydrodynamics features of the downstream waterbody and ecosystems are discussed by van Berkel (2020).

assessed through temperature, and the findings are that there is only a statistically insignificant change in mean

temperature, with seasonal peak values up to 0.5°C (Vautard et al., 2014; Keith et al., 2004; Pryor et al., 2018). In contrast, Wang and Prinn (2011) and Huang and Hall (2015) found a potential cooling effect in the vicinity of the offshore wind farms due to an increase in latent heat flux. Airborne observations confirm that wind farm wakes can

extend 50-70 km under stable atmospheric conditions (Platis et al., 2018; Akhtar et al., 2021). These measurements show that wakes can increase the temperature by 0.5 °C and humidity by 0.5 g kg⁻¹ at hub height, even as far as 60

The impact of wind farms on coastal processes (+) depends on the vicinity to the coast. Currents may be affected by pillars and sediment transport may be affected locally (Zhang and Wang, 2009; Besio and Losada, 2008). Coastal

currents may lead to scouring and problems with the stability of pillars (Whitehouse et al., 2011). The disturbance to

There is a considerable impact of offshore wind farms on marine ecosystems (+). Noise from pile driving can cause

temporal to permanent damage to marine mammals to different degrees, and cause their behavior changes in communication and travel (Southall et al., 2007). Cables during construction and electromagnetic field can also affect

the orientation of those who use geomagnetic cues during migration (Lovich and Ennen, 2013). Tougaard and Michaelsen (2018) examined the impact of the wind farm Kriegers Flak in the Baltic Sea on marine mammals

There is no clear connection between offshore wind farms and land use (?) except that an extension of offshore wind farms may result in a reduced number of wind turbine constructions on land.

The same holds for the connection with agriculture (?); land-based wind farms may need to be reduced to give space for agricultural fields. It needs to be taken into account that land-based wind energy constructions need to fulfill certain regulations concerning the vicinity to housing settlements, and that local communities can often contest the construction of new sites in their neighborhoods, so that land areas for wind generation may be increasingly scarce in the future.

There is a potential synergistic use of offshore wind farms related to certain types of aquaculture (+) in the Baltic Sea (Mikkola et al., 2018). The installation of open cages between the pillars was proposed to grow seaweed, rainbow trout or Atlantic salmon (Stuiver et al., 2016; Lengoburu et al., 2018). The shared infrastructure, the placement in clean and open waters as well as the exemption from fishing and shipping have been reasons for considering this type of synergetic use. There are, however, certain obstacles associated which may have so far prevented a successful application. These refer mostly to economic, legal and management-related, rather than technical constraints (Buck et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2020; van den Burg et al., 2020).

Gelöscht: Gelöscht: are rather consistent:

Gelöscht: (

Gelöscht:

Gelöscht: (*)

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett Gelöscht: often reject the

-{	Gelöscht: good (
-(Gelöscht:)
-{	Gelöscht: risks and
-{	Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben
Y	Gelöscht:

- 2710

2715

- 272
- 1

2725

2730

As with any offshore activity, windfarm constructions may affect dumped munitions (?), due to possible breach of
munition hulls. Since wind farms are built away from official dumpsites, solitary munitions or unofficial dumpsites
are the main risk factors. In 2017, the construction of a wind farm in the North Sea released an abandoned seamine
from the sediments that was later found floating between the piles of the GodeWind 2 farm (Schuler, 2017).

There is an array of possible impacts of wind farms on **fisheries** (+). Wind farms cover large <u>areas, which are exempt</u> from fishing, so there is competition for space. Studies have suggested that some fish species are affected by noise

from foundation construction or operation (Thomsen et al., 2006). Some found evidence of injury from pile driving sounds for several fish species (e.g. Casper et al., 2012; Casper et al., 2013), and noises and consequent vibration produced by the turbines can negatively affect the communication and orientation signals of fish (Wahlberg and Westerberg, 2005). Their behaviors (e.g. swimming route) can be disrupted by the magnetic fields from the electrical currents in the transmission cables (Ohman et al., 2007). On the other hand, these large areas banned from fishing

may act as spawning grounds for fish due to banned fishing and the functioning of windmill pillars as artificial reefs (Wilson and Elliot, 2009; Degraer et al., 2020). There is evidence for increased fish populations in the presence of

Offshore wind energy infrastructure may have important disruptive impacts on the **shipping** (+) routes of cargo vessels (Samoteskul et al., 2014). In case of route obstruction, wind farm owners must financially compensate cargo vessel operators for detouring from their shipping routes. One such example is the Anholt wind farm in the <u>Kattegat</u>

(Petersen et al., 2015). This represents a significantly high added cost to be internalized during the offshore wind farm development process (Samoteskul et al., 2014). Consequently, offshore wind energy infrastructure may therefore be built in areas away from recognized shipping routes and anchoring locations, to avoid collision and subsequent financial compensation to vessel operators. <u>Moreover</u>, nearshore <u>locations</u> should be avoided, as this may reduce social acceptance due to the infrastructure's visual impact on the population living in coastal areas, <u>which may have</u> significant economic implications, particularly in coastal touristic areas with high recreational value. Alternatively, cargo vessel routes ought to be modified on a permanent basis, an action that could significantly reduce the financial

There are potential emissions of chemical contaminants (?) from all offshore activities due to increased emissions

from constructions and traffic leading to disturbance of seabed sediments (release of contaminants in sediments and chemicals used in the infrastructure, leakage through lubricants, other material etc., e.g. metals, biocides, oils, coolants, dielectric fluids. However, there are no investigations on the magnitude of this potential contamination

wind farms (Leonhard et al., 2011, Methratta, 2020).

(Tornero and Hanke, 2016; Ytreberg et al., 2020)

cost of future offshore wind farm developments (Samoteskul et al., 2014).

- Marine litter (?) could be generated through the maintenance and traffic related to the offshore constructions, but there are no investigations on this connection.
- Offshore wind farms may have an impact on coastal tourism (+). The so-called "viewshed effect" or "horizon pollution" (in Germany) of offshore wind farms may have adverse economic impacts on coastal touristic destinations (e.g. Ladenburg and Dubgaard, 2007), and may result in a net welfare loss for the affected coastal region (Voltaire and Koutchade, 2020). There are, however, controversial views (Hooper et al., 2017).
- Wind farm planning is a part of coastal management (+), i.e. governments and local authorities attempt to balance and manage their use and development in coastal zones. On the one hand, socio-economic effects, such as revenue losses for tourism-dependent businesses, may possibly outweigh economic benefits from offshore wind farm developments and may result in a net welfare loss for the affected coastal region (e.g. Voltaire and Koutchade, 2020); on the other hand, recent modeling results indicate that large offshore wind farms may affect the wind resources and impact power production in the future (Lundquist et al., 2019). Wind resources are limited and large wind farms may

Gelöscht: areas which

Gelöscht: Baltic Sea

€	Gelöscht: (so as
ſ	Gelöscht:)
$\left(\right)$	Gelöscht: while s
ſ	Gelöscht: imultaneously avoid n
C	Gelöscht: siting
C	Gelöscht: , an effect that can
ſ	Gelöscht: (see social acceptance section below)
ſ	Gelöscht: (+)

elöscht:		

G

-{	Gelöscht: the
-{	Gelöscht: resulting
Ĺ	Gelöscht:).
-{	Gelöscht: On

2765

2770

reduce the harvestable wind due to shadowing effects, i.e. power production of downwind turbines may be compromised in large wind farms (Akhtar et al. 2021).

5.13.2 Knowledge gaps

The impact of wind farms on the regional climate has not been assessed in the Baltic Sea. With increasingly extensive wind parks, the impacts on the regional weather and climate as well as on currents, stratification and marine ecosystems (incl. birds) need to be further investigated. It will be important to project the future contribution of offshore wind farms to energy production in the Baltic Sea region and Europe in relation to land-based structures and other producers of regenerative energy. Further questions are:

- Offshore Windfarms Chemical contaminants: Do offshore wind farms release any organic contaminants to the water?
- Offshore Windfarms Dumped military material: Is there a connection between offshore wind farm sites and dumpsites of ammunition?
 - Offshore Windfarms Marine litter: Do offshore wind farms release considerable amounts of litter to the water?

5.14 Shipping

Shipping has a significant impact on the environment, both in the water and in the atmosphere (Moldanová et al., 2021, Figure

- 3). The Baltic Sea has some of the densest maritime traffic in the world with more than 2,000 ships in the area, on an average day (IMO, 2021b). Nowadays, 80 % of the world's trade is operated by sea traffic (UNCTAD, 2019), and 15 % of the global cargo traffic_is via the Baltic Sea (BalticLINes, 2016). Ships carry oil, gas, containers and large freight. In the Baltic Sea, the main shipping route is from the Belt Sea in the west to Saint Petersburg and other ports in the eastern Baltic Sea. The main hazards on this route are the shallow and narrow Kadet channel between Falster, Denmark and the Mecklenburg coast,
- 2780 Germany, and the Danish straits. The northern part of the Baltic Sea and the Gulf of Finland and Gulf of Riga can also be affected by severe ice conditions in winter.

Air emissions from shipping are fairly well known as vessel activity can be tracked using ship specific positioning systems like AIS (Automatic Identification System), and emissions as well as discharges can be estimated using modeling tools (Jalkanen et al., 2009; Jalkanen et al., 2020; Johansson et al., 2013; Johansson et al., 2017). Various pollutant streams are

- regulated by conventions by the International Maritime Organisation (IMO MARPOL, IMO 2021c), which sets the rules for air emissions and various discharges from ships. Antifouling and ballast water releases are governed by separate conventions outside the MARPOL framework. The reduction of air emissions has been primarily motivated by impacts on human health (Sofiev et al., 2018; Jonson et al., 2015; Brandt et al., 2013; Mwase et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2018; Karl et al., 2019; Ramacher et al., 2019; Soares et al., 2014). Ship-emitted NO_x depositions contribute to eutrophication with less than 10% of various
- 2790 biogeochemical variables, but this share is three to eight times larger than shipping contribution to total nitrogen input to the Baltic Sea (Raudsepp et al., 2013; Raudsepp et al., 2019).

Sulphur emissions from shipping fuels (crude oil) are a particular problem for the environment and human health (Barregard et al., 2019). As of 2020, IMO has banned fuels with a sulfur content above 0.5%, compared with a previous 3.5% (IMO, 2021d). Efforts to reduce sulphur have led to the adoption of SOx scrubbers, which are used to clean the exhaust gases by spraying them with water, which is released back to the sea. The wastewater release from scrubbers represents the second largest discharge from ships to the sea. The full impacts of SO_x scrubbing are currently unknown, but several countries have prohibited the use of SO_x scrubbers in port areas, anticipating potential water quality problems. As of 2021, NO_x emission regulations are planned to be in force for new ships (IMO, 2021b). This requirement is not applied retroactively for old vessels, which means that the full 80% reduction on ship emitted NO_x will be visible only after the whole Baltic Sea fleet has undergone

2800 a renewal cycle, which may take up to 30 years. It is possible to adapt to both sulphur and nitrogen regulatory changes by

Gelöscht: . Due to the large size of the upwind farms, the p

Gelöscht: The impacts are manifold and include the release of toxic bio/antifouling agents from ship hulls, the release of ballast water and waste, black and grey water, scrubbing and bilge water, the generation of surface waves and underwater noise, the unintended transport of alien passengers on ship hulls and in ballast water tanks, and the release of combustion products to the atmosphere Gelöscht: ¶

Gelöscht: Katet

Gelöscht: ¶

[1] nach unten verschoben: Figure 4: Different impacts of shipping on the environment. Hydrodynamic impacts such as wakes are not depicted but described in the text. From Moldanová et al., 2018.

Gelöscht: 2012;

Gelöscht: 2018;

Gelöscht: Shipping contributes to various environmental pressures, some of which are depicted in Figure 4. Gelöscht: , but underwater noise from ships remains unregulated using Liquid Natural Gas (LNG) as a shipping fuel. To this date, LNG mostly consists of methane, which is a fossil fuel. Unburnt methane may also escape the ships' engines thus leading to methane slip, making it more difficult to achieve GHG reduction targets set for international shipping (Ushakov et al., 2019).

Sewage releases to the Baltic Sea will become illegal for passenger traffic in 2023, which will reduce nitrogen inflow from ships to the sea by 90% (Jalkanen et al., 2020). The introduction of non-indigenous species through vessel hulls can be mitigated by using antifouling paints. Organotin compounds have been banned for more than a decade (IMO, <u>2021e</u>), but these and various other organometallic compounds remain in use especially in recreational boats (Eklund <u>and Watermann</u>, 2018).

2830

small boats mainly operate in coastal waters. The maximum release of organometallic compounds from antifouling paints occurs during the summer months, when contributions from both shipping and boating are at maximum. Estimated annual quantities of copper released to the Baltic Sea are about 282 and 57 tonnes for ships and boats (Jalkanen et al., 2020; Johansson et al., 2020).

The traffic patterns of ships and recreational boats are different: large vessels travel along designated shipping lanes, whereas

Oily bilge water release is allowed if their oil content is below 15 ppm and the vessel is not in coastal waters. Discharges of grey water (wash water from sinks, washing machines etc), emissions of energy (noise, light, heat) to the sea are currently not regulated, but the importance of noise as pollution has been recognized (Mustonen et al., 2019; Jalkanen et al., 2018), The impact of modern military ships on the Baltic Sea environment is largely unknown. Gelöscht: 2021d

Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett
Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett
Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett
Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett

Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Kursiv



• Shipping effects on coastal processes (+) such as erosion become noticeable along shorelines in relatively sheltered coastal regions where the impact of ship-induced waves add to the impact of natural waves. Hydrodynamic impacts of shipping in rivers, navigational channels and archipelago waterways have been known for decades (Madekivi, 1993). Shipping may generate dangerous waves and swell-like disturbances in narrow passages and rivers and even on relatively open shores with potential harm for banks, beaches and coastal infrastructure (Kelpšaite et al., 2009; Soomere et al., 2009; Zaggia et al., 2017; Scarpa et al., 2019; Ulm et al., 2020). It may cause extensive resuspension of bottom sediments (Erm and Soomere, 2006), trigger ecological disturbance and cause harm to the aquatic wildlife (Ali et al., 1999; Lindholm et al., 2001). The impact of ship-generated waves may become substantial in areas where either period or approach direction of the wave deviates from those typical of natural waves. Several parts of the Baltic Sea (most notably Tallinn Bay) with high traffic of strongly powered ships are affected by much longer waves than wind waves in the area (Soomere, 2007). Such waves cause unusually strong impacts at a certain depth that first becomes evident via intense sediment resuspension (Erm and Soomere, 2006) and later may be compensated by sediment from the beach profile (Soomere, 2007). The increased local hydrodynamic activity may damage various structures and archaeological sites, and safety problems for navigation and users of the beach and nearshore may arise (Parnell and Kofoed-Hansen, 2001).

2865

2870

2875

2880

2885

2890

2895

2900

- Scrubber water increases acidification (+). According to the IMO requirements, the pH of the effluent discharge must not be lower than 6.5 and the difference between inlet and released water must be less than two pH units (IMO, 2009). Even with these requirements, gradual acidification of ocean areas may occur with a high adoption rate of open loop scrubbers as a means to comply with sulphur emission restrictions. Ocean acidification because of the climate change and CO₂ solubility is estimated as 0.002 pH units per year (Rhein et al., 2013). In contrast, scrubber adoption is estimated to reduce pH with an additional 0.0001 pH units per year (Turner et al., 2018). Confined water areas, like estuaries and ports, may experience larger reductions (up to 0.015 pH units, Teuchies et al., 2020).
 - Shipping has various impacts on marine ecosystems (+), e.g. the pollution by chemical substances and antifouling agents, the release of nutrients to the water and to the atmosphere, acidification by scrubber water, contribution to marine litter and marine noise. Shipping contributes to continuous low-frequency underwater noise, which may have adverse effects on marine life (Nedwell et al., 2004; Rolland et al., 2012; Mustonen et al., 2019). Furthermore, the leaching of organometallic compounds, especially those of Cu and Zn from antifouling paints on ship hulls are high (Eklund and Watermann, 2018; Jalkanen et al., 2020; Lagerström et al., 2020) and affect organisms. Anchors and chain scour may affect benthic ecosystems at anchorages (Broad et al., 2020).
- There is a clear connection to the introduction of **non-indigenous species** (+) as ballast water or attachment on hulls are a major pathway for the introduction of new species (Bressy and Lejars, 2014; Davidson et al., 2009).
- It has been shown that shipping is a significant source for the emission of airborne nitrogen into the atmosphere. Its contribution from ships may be less than 3%, but its share from various biogeochemical variables may be as high as 10% (Raudsepp et al., 2019). Direct discharge from ships to the sea includes **nutrients** (+) and pharmaceuticals in the form of black (from toilets), grey (other sewage) and bilge (engine and other liquid waste) water, but also as food waste (Jalkanen et al., 2020).
- Shipping may have an impact on open water **aquaculture (?)** farming by excluding shipping routes or endangering safe cages and potential escape of non-indigenous species to the environment by collision or swell damage. In October 2016, a cargo vessel collided with an aquaculture cage in Danish coastal waters, causing 80.000 rainbow trouts to escape the closed farm, with unknown consequences for the coastal ecosystem (Reuters, 2016); similar incidents have been reported by local fishermen.

$\left(\right)$	Gelöscht: dominate
ſ	Gelöscht: the coastal processes regime by generating
-	Gelöscht:),
ſ	Gelöscht:),

Gelöscht: period or approach direction

Gelöscht:

Formatiert: Listenabsatz

Gelöscht: inidigenous

47

- There is a connection between shipping and **fisheries** (+) through competition between fishing grounds and shipping routes (e.g. Bastardie et al., 2015), the generation of underwater noise (Jalkanen et al., 2018), and the contamination of fish by heavy metals and antifouling agents (Maljutenko et al., 2021).
 - There may be an impact of shipping on **offshore wind farms** (?) through the danger of collisions in detrimental conditions (storms, loss of maneuverability). The location and approval of wind farms are dependent on shipping routes. Areas for specific purposes are allocated by maritime spatial planning (HELCOM, 2013, an example for the Gulf of Bothnia).
 - Shipping is a significant source of water pollution in general, also for **chemical contaminants** (+). This is through the release of organic contaminants and heavy metals to seawater through scrubber water, and other contaminated water (black grey and bilge water) and antifoulings, in particular copper and zinc (Jalkanen et al., 2020; Magnusson et al., 2018; Ytreberg et al., 2020). Polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), e.g. pyrene, are carcinogenic compounds formed during combustion, of which particularly high concentrations are found along shipping lanes due to the release of bilge and scrubber water.
 - Furthermore, shipping can be a source of **marine litter** (+) although it is not considered the main source (e.g. Graca et al., 2017)
- Shipping has an impact on tourism (+) as coastal touristic activities involve recreational boating, either on a guided basis (touristic boat trips or recreational fishing trips), or on an individual basis (recreational small-vessel leisure boating). Another dimension is the growing cruise ship sector, which has grown to a large commercial sector, providing many jobs in various branches (also in the destination harbors), but having a detrimental impact on the environment (air pollution, scrubber water, litter, marine noise) and disturbance of local communities (Urbanyi-Popiołek, 2019).
- Shipping may have an impact on coastal management (+) as some impacts on coasts and coastal structures as well as in rivers (damage through waves and swell, unprotected coastlines affected by swell) is evident (e.g. Zaggia et al., 2017; Jägerbrand et al. 2019).

5.14.2 Knowledge gaps

2910

2915

2920

2940

945

There are large unknowns concerning underwater noise generated by ships and its impacts on marine life. A monitoring network for underwater noise would help to get reliable data. <u>The development and implementation of quiet ship hulls and</u> propulsion systems and the issue of marine noise could be further implemented in IMO measures. The effects of emission abatement techniques and their waste streams (SO_x scrubbers, catalytic converters, use of gas or hydrogen as a marine fuel) <u>could</u> be investigated and evaluated as to their benefit or harm to the ecosystem. The climate impacts of shipping, emissions of black carbon, methane and CO₂ <u>could</u> be quantified and the use of biofuels evaluated. Further questions are:

• Shipping - aquaculture: What impacts could shipping have on aquaculture?

5.15 Chemical contaminants (with an emphasis on organic contaminants)

Thousands of organic chemicals, both synthetic and naturally occurring, are released intentionally or unintentionally to the Baltic Sea environment due to human activities. It is unclear if the total anthropogenic chemical stress to the Baltic Sea is currently increasing or decreasing: in many cases, e.g. for banned chemicals that are monitored, environmental/biotic concentrations are declining, although emissions from remaining reservoirs in the technosphere and buffering by secondary sources such as soils and sediments delay their elimination (Breivik et al., 2016; Glüge et al., 2017; Abbasi et al., 2019; Sobek et al., 2016). Dioxins and dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are for example still present in Baltic Sea fish in high

Gelöscht: (+))
Gelöscht: Furthermore, t	

Gelöscht: and

-(Gelöscht: as
-{	Gelöscht: ,
- 1	
. 7	Gelöscht: target
\sim	
ા	Gelöscht: harbours
\searrow	
٦	Gelöscht: scubber

Gelöscht: Furthermore, more emphasis should be put on the construction of q
Gelöscht: ,
Gelöscht: the topic of
Gelöscht: should be further
Gelöscht: should
Gelöscht: should
Gelöscht: should be

levels, making sales restrictions and recommendations of maximum fish intake necessary to protect human health (Pihlajamäki 965 et al., 2018).

Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv

Legacy pollutants, i.e. those which are banned but still present in the environment, still dominate the burden of some groups of persistent organic pollutants analyzed in Baltic Sea marine mammals and birds, due to their persistence and exceptional bioaccumulation potential. However, analysis of less well-studied organic contaminants, often replacements for the legacy pollutants, indicate that levels in fish and mussels are now similar or exceeding their predecessors (de Wit et al., 2020). The lacking control of identity and amount of emitted substances hampers characterization and quantification of combined toxic effects in the Baltic Sea (Lethonen et al., 2017; van den Brink et al., 2018). Organic contaminants can reduce the resilience to other stressors by influencing the fitness of the organism, e.g. the key physiological mechanisms to maintain homeostasis

Direct effects of climate change include an array of processes. Changing environmental temperatures affect diffusive partitioning between environmental phase-pairs such as air-water, air-aerosols, air-soil, air-vegetation, leading to a different distribution between environmental compartments, like increased volatilization from seawater to air (Macdonald et al., 2003). Increasing temperatures can enhance photo- and hydrolytic degradation as well as biodegradation of organic contaminants (Noyes et al., 2009). Atmospheric transport and air-water exchange can be influenced by changes in wind fields and, to a lesser extent, wind speeds (Lamon et al., 2009; Kong et al., 2014). Changing precipitation patterns influence chemical transport via atmospheric deposition (rain dissolution and scavenging of particles, Armitage et al., 2011) and runoff, in turn transporting terrestrial organic carbon (Gustavsson et al., 2019; Josefsson et al. 2016; Ripszam et al., 2015). As ice cover in lakes and the sea decreases, more organic contaminants may volatilize to the atmosphere (Macdonald et al., 2003; Undeman et al., 2015). The responses are complex and several processes can act antagonistically, e.g. warmer temperatures may lead to re-

volatilization of organic contaminants in soils, but may also lead to increased degradation in the atmosphere and the environment in general. This latter effect, however, can be expected to be weaker than the former (Armitage et al., 2011).

- Hydrophobic organic contaminants adsorb to organic carbon, hence, changes in organic carbon cycling may influence the distribution of organic contaminants (Nizzetto et al., 2012). Increased primary production in the sea influences the air-water exchange of some organic contaminants (Dachs et al., 2002). The downwards transport of organic contaminants via sedimentation of particulate matter increases with increasing primary production (Nizzetto et al., 2012). The concentration of
- particulate organic matter in the water column reduces the bioavailability of organic contaminants as they adsorb to the particles (Borgå et al., 2010). In the Baltic Sea, eutrophication leads to hypoxia and anoxia in bottom sediments, which reduces the activity of benthic organisms, and hence bioturbation (Thibodeaux and Bierman, 2003; Granberg et al., 2008). This may lead to a reduced release of organic contaminants archived in the sediments. Invasive species such as the deep-burrowing polychaete <u>Marenzelleria</u> spp., or higher abundances of the native bioturbating species <u>Monoporeia affinis</u> may cause the
- 2995 opposite effect (Hanson et al. 2020). Changes in marine food web structure may indirectly influence bioaccumulation (Wikner and Andersson 2012), and changes in the light regime may affect photolysis of organic contaminants, e.g., polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) (Kuivikko et al., 2007; Leal et al. 2013; McGovern et al., 2020).

<u>Climate change may affect bioaccumulation in food webs directly by influencing body size, growth rates and conditions,</u> <u>temperature dependent ventilation rates, or biotransformation rates (Borgå et al., 2010; Alava et al., 2017). Increasing PCB</u>

2000 concentrations in burbot have been connected to increased organic matter concentrations (Armitage et al., 2011). In the Bay of Bothnia, low growth rates may explain the observed lack of decreasing dioxin levels in herring during the last decades (Miller et al., 2013). Organic contaminants can modulate the composition and functioning of microbial communities', and potentially also biogeochemical processes important to Earth system functioning (Vila-Costa et al., 2020).

5.15.1 Impacts of chemical contaminants on other factors

2970

(Noyes et al., 2009).

naturally occurring, are released intentionally or unintentionally to the Baltic Sea environment due to human activities. It is well known that organic contaminants can negatively affect aquatic organisms at different trophic levels, illustrated e.g. by previous severe effects on marine predator populations (white tailed eagle, seals etc.) in the 1970s and 1980s (Sonne et al., 2020). It is unclear if the total anthropogenic chemical stress to the Baltic Sea is currently increasing or decreasing. In many cases, e.g. for banned chemicals that are monitored, environmental/biotic concentrations are declining, although emissions from remaining reservoirs in the technosphere and buffering by secondary sources such as soils and sediments delay their elimination (Breivik et al., 2016; Glüge et al., 2017; Abbasi et al., 2019; Sobek et al., 2016). Dioxins and dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are for example still present in Baltic Sea fish in too high levels, making sales restrictions and recommendations of maximum fish intake necessary to protect human health (Pihlajamäki et al., 2018). ¶ Legacy pollutants still dominate the burden of some groups of persistent organic pollutants analyzed in Baltic Sea marine mammals and birds, due to their persistence and exceptional bioaccumulation potential. However, analysis of less well-studied organic contaminants, often replacements for the legacy pollutants, indicate that levels in fish and mussels are now similar or exceeding their predecessors (de Wit et al., 2020). The lacking control of identity and amount of emitted substances hampers characterization and quantification of combined toxic effects in the Baltic Sea (Lethonen et al., 2017; van den Brink et al., 2018). It is notable that Baltic Sea organisms are particularly sensitive to toxic chemicals, as many marine species already live in brackish water at non-optimal salinity. i.e. under osmotic stress. Organic contaminants can reduce the resilience to other stressors by influencing the fitness of the

Gelöscht: Thousands of organic chemicals, both synthetic and

organism, e.g. the key physiological mechanisms to maintain homeostasis (Noyes et al., 2009).¶ Direct effects of climate change include an array of processes Changing environmental temperatures affect diffusive partitioning between environmental phase-pairs such as air-water, air-aerosols air-soil, air-vegetation, leading to a different distribution between environmental compartments, like increased volatilization from seawater to air (Macdonald et al., 2003). Increasing temperatures can enhance photo- and hydrolytic degradation as well as biodegradation of organic contaminants (Noyes et al., 2009). Atmospheric transport and air-water exchange can be influenced by changes in wind fields and, to a lesser extent, wind speeds (Lamon et al., 2009; Kong et al., 2014). Changing precipitation patterns influence chemical tra sport via atmospheric deposition (rain dissolution and scavenging of particles, Armitage et al., 2011) and runoff, in turn transporting terrestrial organic carbon (Gustavsson et al., 2019; Josefsson et al 2016; Ripszam et al., 2015). As ice cover in lakes and the sea decreases, more organic contaminants may volatilize to the atmosphere (Macdonald et al. 2003: Undeman et al. 2015) Hence, climate change and other factors can directly or indirectly influence (reduce or enhance) concentrations of organic contaminants in different environmental matrices by impacting emissions, transport and transformation of chemicals (Macdonald et al., 2003; Noyes et al 2009 Kallenborn et al 2012 Balbus et al 2013) How an organic pollutant is impacted by changing environmental characteristics (such as temperatures, wind speeds, organic carbon content in soil, water, air, food chain structure) depends on the emission patterns (if emissions occur to air, soil or water), as well as physical-chemical properties of the pollutant (e.g. water solubility, vapor pressure, hydrophobicity, degradability in various media and organisms) (Wania and Mackay, 1999; Meyer et al., 2005). The responses are complex and several processes can act antagonistically. For example, warmer temperatures may lead to re-volatilization of organic contaminants in soils, but may also lead to increased degradation in the atmosphere and the environment in general. This latter effect, however, can be expected to be weaker than the former (Armitage et al., 2011). ¶ Modeling studies that assess the total effect of climate change on

environmental concentrations for a wide range of organic pollutants are scarce. However, several case studies for selected substances including PCBs, dioxins, toxic fungicides such as Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) and hexachlorocyclohexane isomers (HCH) have been conducted (Gouin et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2019). Due to counteracting effects, the total impact of climate change on environmental concentrations compared to baseline are first.

- Toxic effects of organic contaminants and metals affect all types of organisms, e.g. health conditions and reproduction, and **marine ecosystems (+)** and biogeochemical processes in general (Vila-Costa et al., 2020).
- Chemical contaminants are ubiquitous in the environment and many are used on purpose in agriculture (+) (e.g. pesticides, insecticides). So, the desired effects on growth efficiency and agricultural yield are accompanied by unwanted and largely unknown negative effects on organisms and the food chain (Kumar et al., 2019).
 - Aquaculture (+) fish may be strongly affected by organic contaminants, sometimes more than wild fish, as they are exposed to higher concentrations of deliberately dispensed pharmaceuticals, e.g. antibiotics (Cole et al., 2009).
- Organic contaminants have a strong indirect impact on fisheries (+). Contaminants in fish above accepted thresholds have implications for marketing possibilities of the fish. High concentrations of contaminants, e.g. dioxins, affect the marketing of the fish (fatty fish cannot be marketed in Europe, although exemptions exist for Sweden, Finland and Latvia). In addition, contaminants can affect fish stocks via food web interactions. For example, a reduced level of hazardous substances has allowed the top predator grey seal population to increase in abundance. Seals are preying on fish resources and their increased abundance has led to an increased infection of cod with the seal-associated liver worm (Sokolova et al., 2018), which may affect cod condition and cause mortality (Horbowy et al., 2016).

5.15.2 Knowledge gaps

The types and amounts of organic contaminants entering the Baltic Sea are not well characterized, and major sources and pathways through the environment and food webs are not known, even for many legacy pollutants. Methods to estimate or project use patterns and emissions lack for the majority of organic substances used. It is not known what the combined effect of the thousands of chemicals in the Baltic Sea is, and what type of chemicals are the main drivers for the mixture toxicity. It is a great challenge to separate the effects of organic contaminants from natural variability and other stressors in the Baltic Sea, and to predict effects at population or ecosystem level from observations of sublethal effects in individuals. Severe

- 3195 on the Baltic Sea ecosystem due to the cocktail of chemicals are largely unknown. Regularly monitored organic contaminants such as dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs are currently present in Baltic Sea fish at too high levels, making sales restrictions and recommendations of maximum fish intake necessary to protect human health. Further questions are:
 - Chemical <u>contaminants</u> Submarine <u>groundwater discharges</u>. What is the contribution of organic contaminants released through submarine groundwater discharges, and how strong is this effect?

detrimental effects at the population level have been linked to specific pollutants in the past, but many ecotoxicological effects

Chemical <u>contaminants</u> – Marine ecosystems. The toxicity of organic contaminants and metals to many marine
organisms is well documented in laboratory and field studies, yet there are many unknowns concerning how and to
what extent organic contaminants have an impact on certain compartments of the marine ecosystem, in particular the
microbial communities and thereby the potential implications for critical biogeochemical processes.

5.16 Unexploded ordnance and discarded military material

- In the Baltic Sea, large quantities of unexploded ordnance (UXO) and discarded military materials (DMM) are present on the sea floor (Figure 4). About 160.000 mines and an unknown number of bombs, shells and torpedoes were discarded in the Baltic Sea as a result of military actions. In addition, soon after World War II, the Baltic Sea was used as a dumpsite for at least 40.000 tons of chemical munitions (Knobloch et al., 2013). Recent studies performed at the dumpsites revealed that 50% of inspected UXO and DMM have already corroded, and their constituents have leaked to the surrounding sediments, while others are expected to do so in the next 30-40 years. Recent studies show that those substances are persistent, and their degradation
- products may be as toxic as their parent compounds (Czub et al., 2020). Hydrodynamic models indicate that they may spread

Gelöscht: Contaminants	
Gelöscht: Groundwater	
Gelöscht: Discharges	
Gelöscht: Contaminants	

Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben
Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben

into neighboring areas and may be taken up by organisms. Indeed, there are reports of bioaccumulation of explosives and chemical warfare agents in organisms in the Baltic Sea (Niemikoski et al., 2017).

Hence, four scenarios are to be considered: 1. a slow release of toxicants and local contamination maintained; 2. a gradual increase of release and spread of contaminated areas; 3. a rapid release of contamination and massive pollution; and 4. a possible beaching of munitions or munition fragments, and impact on tourists,

The first two scenarios depend mostly on natural conditions, and the magnitude of pollution can be assessed by existing models. In this situation, munition is only one of many stressors acting on the Baltic Sea, and can be included in an overall assessment. In the third scenario, severe consequences for the entire Baltic Sea or specific areas adjacent to dumpsites may result from anthropogenic intervention, which is hard to predict. The last scenario is already ongoing – periodic encounters of beach

3220

- 3225 strollers with UXO or fragments of munitions, especially incendiary like white phosphorus, happen every year (Frank et al., 2008; Knobloch et al., 2013). The process may intensify in case of progressing corrosion of containers or anthropogenic disturbance of munitions due to offshore activities. The first two scenarios may have an impact on fisheries, by affecting fish health and diminishing recruitment and, a limited impact on fish consumers, as they assume low contamination. The third scenario may have a detrimental impact on offshore economy, a loss of fisheries and loss of tourism. The fourth scenario may
- have negative implications on tourism, and high investments of coastal communities on maintaining safety on the beaches. <u>Degradation processes of chemical warfare agents and explosives are almost fully recognized (Mazurek et al., 2001;</u> <u>Soderstrom et al., 2018)</u>, however, not much is known about their metabolic pathways in biota. <u>Munition related pollution is greatly dependent on corrosion. It is especially enhanced during anoxic to oxic transitions in the</u>
- bottom water, exceeding the rates in stable oxic environments (Fabisiak et al. 2018, Vanninen et al., 2020). Therefore, the
 frequency of anoxic events may amplify the release of the pollutants, while oxygen-rich conditions can increase their bioavailability, due to biota return to deep dumpsite habitats (Czub et al. 2018).
 Elongated warm periods caused by climate change can significantly affect munitions in shallow waters, where mostly

conventional warfare materials were dumped. Not only the presence of hard metal objects as substrates for colonialization in soft sediment areas can increase the local biodiversity of sessile species, but the chunks of organic compounds used as

2240 explosives can also attract primary and secondary producers as a source of nutrients. This is caused by the release of nitrates during the biodegradation of TNT and similar substances (Jessim, 2018). Higher-level organisms, e.g. nematodes, were found in the contaminated sediments, followed by various biofilm grazers.

Due to longer vegetation periods in a warmer climate, the extended transfer of carcinogenic degradation products of explosives may occur for a larger part of the year. Apart from that, the sympathetic effects of other pollutants, such as heavy metals, that

are often associated with munitions (Gebka et al., 2016) and persistent organic pollutants (POPs), may further enhance the toxic effects of munition related contaminants. The analysis of biomarkers for environmental stress in fish and mussel from the dumpsites shows that CWA and UXO act in a similar way on marine organisms, therefore the existence of other stressors can amplify the adverse effect.

Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben
Formatiert:	Einzug: Links: 0 cm, Erste Zeile: 0 cm
Formatiert:	Nicht Hervorheben

Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben

Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben



Figure 4: Chemical munition dumpsites in the Baltic Sea (Jacek Beldowski, CHEMSEA project 2013)

5.16.1 Impacts of dumped military material on other factors

3255

3260

3265

- Impacts on <u>marine ecosystems (?)</u> and effects beyond local vicinity <u>around</u> the sources of contamination are largely <u>unknown</u> (Maser and Strehse, 2020). However, effects on the upper food web and consumable fish and shellfish have been shown (Maser and Strehse, 2021).
- Dumped ammunition sites can be dangerous for fisheries (+), and poisonous substances can cause fish diseases. Fisheries can be affected by fish health, diminished recruitment, and consumer health. The consequences are largely unknown but may be significant. For example, the Bornholm Deep is a prominent dumpsite of warfare agents and it is at the same time the only functioning spawning area for the migrating Eastern stock of Baltic Sea cod (Sanderson et al., 2008; Köster et al., 2017; Lang et al., 2018). There have been reports of fishing vessels being affected by dumped military material. In total about 200 fishermen were injured by exposure to chemical warfare agents since dumping (Sanderson and Fauser, 2015). Despite the fact that bottom trawling is restricted or not advised in chemical munition dumpsites, trawlmarks are present on the bottom there, some of them freshly made (Klusek and Grabowski, 2018). As conventional and chemical single munitions are located outside official dumping grounds, the risk of encounter still exists. Disturbance of munitions by trawling gear can both speed up munition casing breach, and endanger crew by explosion or contamination.
- Dumped munitions may affect the development of **offshore wind farms** (+) as these installations need to be installed at a safe distance from dumping sites. This also holds for all offshore activities affecting the sea bottom (Appleyard, 2015).

Gelöscht: As a result of military conflicts in the 20th century, large quantities of warfare material ended up in global rivers, lakes, seas and oceans. Thousands of tons of various poisonous chemicals were purposely and accidentally submerged in coastal and deep-sea areas, and the Baltic Sea is no exception (Figure 5). Navy units and munition transports lost cargo or were destroyed during battles and later sunk, while aerial raids dropped significant amounts of bombs and aerial mines to coastal areas. Mine warfare, intense in both World Wars, introduced about 160.000 mines to the Baltic Sea, of which barely 20% has so far been removed or destroyed in clearance operations. This "Unexploded Ordnance" (UXO) is dispersed in many areas of the Baltic Sea. In addition, the Baltic Sea was used as a dumpsite for at least 40.000 tons of chemical munitions (Discarded Military Material, DMM). Sea dumping operations took place soon after World War II, leaving official and unofficial underwater dumpsites unmonitored for several decades (Knobloch et al., 2013). ¶ Dumped chemical munitions pose a recognized environmental hazard for marine ecosystems. Recent studies performed in the dumpsites revealed that 50% of inspected unexploded ordnance (UXO) and Discarded Military Material (DMM) have already corroded, and their constituents have leaked to the surrounding sediments. Many substances among explosives and chemical warfare agents used as munition fillings have a demonstrated toxicity on terrestrial organisms; therefore, the material poses a potential threat also to aquatic organisms. On the other hand, the conditions in water are dramatically different. Solubility, oxidation and hydrolyzation are among various factors that shape the fate and bioavailability of a chemical compound in aquatic ecosystems. The environmental pathways of degradation, transport and transformation of explosives and chemical warfare agents are complex and generally depend on multiple factors. However, it can be concluded, that those substances are persistent, and their degradation products may be as toxic as their parent compounds (Czub et al., 2020). Hydrodynamic models indicate that they may spread into neighboring areas, increasing chances of biological uptake. Indeed, there are first reports of bioaccumulation of explosives and chemical warfare agents in organisms in the Baltic Sea (Niemikoski et al., 2017). Recently, complex risk assessment methods, using neural networks have been developed, taking into account environmental parameters, state of munitions, and the potential impact on biota, to assess present and future risks of dumped munitions.

The corrosion process of munitions at the Baltic Sea bottom progresses, and the impact on the environment is expected to increase in the near future. According to corrosion models, many containers have already released toxic substances to the environment, while others could do so in the next 30-40 years. At the same time, intensifying anthropogenic activities could disturb munitions and accelerate this process. This is mostly connected with the offshore industry and increased use of the sea bottom. Hence, several scenarios should be considered.⁴

Slow release of toxicants and local contamination maintained
 Gradual increase of release and spread of contaminated areas
 Rapid release of contamination and massive pollution

4. Possible beaching of munitions or munition fragments, and impact on tourists¶

The first two scenarios depend mostly on natural conditions, and the magnitude of pollution can be assessed by existing models. In this situation, munition is only one of many stressors acting on the Baltic Sea, and can be included in an overall assessment. In the third scenario, severe consequences for the entire Baltic Sea or specific areas adjacent to dumpsites result from anthropogenic intervention, which is hard to predict. The last scenario is already ongoing periodic encounters of beach strollers with UXO or fragments of munitions, especially incendiary like white phosphorus, happen every year (Frank et al., 2008; Knobloch et al., 2013). The process may intensify in case of progressing corrosion of containers or anthropogenic disturbance of munitions due to offshore activities. With increasing marine traffic and expansion of offshore activities the presence of scattered explosives and dangerous chemicals pose a threat to workers and overall safety in the seas. The first two scenarios may have an impact on fisheries, by affecting fish health and diminishing recruitment and, a limited impact on fish consumers. as they assume low contamination. There are also no existing quality regulations for food contaminated by chemical warfare agents. Saf Golöscht: 5

	delosciti. 5
	Gelöscht: Marine
I	Gelöscht: aound
	Gelöscht: unknow

3445

3460

Chemical contaminants (+) concentrations can be affected by leaking substances from dumped ammunition at least on the local scale, as the poisons in question are largely organic substances. Apart from that, the sympathetic effects of other pollutants, such as heavy metals, that are often associated with munitions (Gębka et al., 2016) and persistent organic pollutants (POPs), may further enhance the toxic effects of munition related contaminants (Czub et al., 2020).

• The management and treatment of dumped military material and unexploded ammunition may be an issue for **coastal management (?)** and maritime spatial planning, as allocating space for the construction of pipelines and other infrastructure needs to consider dumping site. Actions may be necessary to cope with the consequences of leaking ammunition, which is generally offshore in deep basins, but sometimes closer to the coast (Frey et al., 2020; Maser and Strehse, 2020).

5.16.2 Knowledge gaps

Not much is known about the metabolic pathways of munition related compounds in biota. This may lead to the underestimation of sublethal effects of those compounds. Further studies are needed to identify all the degradation products, their lifetime in the marine environment, and toxicity thresholds of their metabolites. Many dumpsites are unknown and may be in accessible coastal regions. Due to the scattered distribution of munitions in the Baltic Sea, further surveys and identification are needed to quantify the number of munitions that are not fully corroded and could create a source of contaminants for the Baltic Sea. Further questions are:

- <u>Dumped military material</u> Marine <u>ecosystems</u>: <u>How</u> to what extent, and when <u>can impacts on marine ecosystems</u> be expected<u>2</u>
 - Dumped military material Fisheries and aquaculture: How substantial is the danger of released toxic substances for food production and humans?

 Dumped <u>military material</u> - Coastal management: Is the fate and potential danger of unexploded ordnances in the dumpsites an issue for coastal management? How is the management organized in dealing with this danger? How can modeling efforts help estimate the danger?

5.17 Marine litter and microplastics

Plastic litter has been recognized as a problem in the oceans since the 1970s, but public, scientific, and political awareness has increased tremendously over the last decade. Plastic litter is generally categorized as macro- (>25mm), meso- (5-25mm), and
 micro-(<5mm) litter, Larger particles (>2mm) can be easily sampled and implemented in cost-effective monitoring, meeting the requirements of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (Haseler et al., 2019). Sampling, processing and analysis of smaller microplastics require a more elaborate procedure (Enders et al., 2020).

Plastic contributes the largest share of human-generated litter entering the oceans from both land and offshore sources (Derraik, 2002). Land-based litter sources include municipal, commercial, industrial, agricultural, construction, and demolition activities (Barnes et al., 2009). Offshore sources encompass vessels or offshore platforms, lost containers from cargo shipping, fisheries,

3470 (Barnes et al., 2009). Offshore sources encompass vessels or offshore platforms, lost containers from cargo shipping, fisheries, and marine aquaculture (Andrady, 2011; Derraik, 2002; Hinojosa and Thiel, 2009; Richardson et al., 2019).
 In the Baltic Sea, litter dropped at beaches is a major source for larger micro- to macroplastics, including cigarette butts

(Haseler et al., 2020). Regarding the smaller size fractions, municipal <u>wastewater</u> was identified as substantial source for microplastics into the Baltic Sea (Baresel and Olshammar, 2019; Schernewski et al., 2021), especially stormwater runoffs

3475 including sewer overflow events, wastewater treatment plants (despite relatively good removal efficiencies), and untreated wastewater. Other potential sources for plastics into the Baltic Sea are marinas, agriculture, and industrial spills. Tire wear particles may form a considerable fraction in microparticle pollution in waters but there is hardly any information on

1	[13] nach unten verschoben: How substantial is the danger of released toxic substances for ecosystems, food production and humans?
Ч	Gelöscht: Miltary
Ľ	Gelöscht: Material
$\langle \rangle$	Gelöscht: Ecosystems
Ŋ	Gelöscht: It is much unknown h
	Gelöscht: and
())	Gelöscht: , an impact
$\langle \rangle$	Gelöscht: on
	Gelöscht: can
	Gelöscht: .
	[13] verschoben (Einfügung)
$\langle \rangle$	Gelöscht: ecosystems,
	Gelöscht: Miltary
Ì	Gelöscht: Material
	Gelöscht: Data on concentrations of plastic particles in the Baltic Sea are rare, and we are just beginning to understand the sources, distribution and fate of this pollution.¶
	Gelöscht: , with the smallest size classes being the most abundant ones in the environment, but at the same time the most difficult to detect

Gelöscht: waste water

3500 concentrations and impacts (Wagner et al., 2018). <u>Generally, the polymers detected most frequently are the ones produced in highest quantities, such as polyethylene and polypropylene.</u>

The beaches of the Baltic Sea are significantly polluted with plastic particles, with reported numbers ranging between less than 10 to over 1000 plastic particles per kg dry weight (Urban-Malinga et al., 2020). An extensive survey of 190 sandy beaches across the whole Baltic Sea area yielded 4921 plastic particles >2mm, mostly industrial pellets (19.8 %), non-identifiable

- 3505 plastic pieces 2–25 mm (17.3 %), and cigarette butts (15.3 %) (Haseler et al., 2020). The Warnow estuary in the southern Baltic Sea, as an example for non-beach sediment, showed microplastic abundances (>0.5mm) ranging between 46 and 379 particles per kg dry weight, with concentrations decreasing towards the opening to the Baltic Sea (2 particles per kg). The abundance of plastic floating on the water surface appears comparable or lower than that in other world regions (Gewert et al., 2017; Tamminga et al., 2018; Rothäusler et al., 2019). Generally, distinct differences can be detected between areas with high
- 3510 versus low anthropogenic activity, with higher abundances of plastic particles and fibers close to major cities, freshwater discharges, and beaches (Zobkov et al., 2019; Gewert et al., 2017). Simulations based on emission data for the Baltic Sea region indicate a relatively short average residence time of about 14 days for polymers (0.02–0.5mm) in the water body, assuming beaches as a sink for microplastics (Schernewski et al., 2020).
- Microplastic in fish varies across the Baltic Sea and with fish species. Particles were detected in 3.4% of demersal to 10.7%
 of pelagic fish in the North Sea and Southern Baltic Sea (Rummel et al., 2016), in 22% of Western Baltic herring (Ogonowski et al., 2019) and up to 1.8% in different northern Baltic Sea fish (Budimir et al., 2018). Long-term microplastics exposure on early life stages of sea trout showed no effects on hatching rate, larvae survival, or growth. Still, it generated nuclear abnormalities and chromosomal damage, indicating potential genotoxic effects (Jakubowska et al., 2020). Further data on ecotoxicological effects of microplastics on Baltic Sea biota are still rare. Methodological challenges exist, particularly for
 experimental studies targeting small microplastic fractions. In addition, environmental contaminants can mask microplastic-
- related effects.

Baltic Sea-wide investigations of microplastic-associated microbial biofilms and the potential of plastic degradation by Baltic Sea microorganisms indicate a low relevance of the interactions between microplastics and microorganisms. (Kesy et al., 2019, Oberbeckmann et al., 2018). A specific enrichment of microplastics with potentially pathogenic bacteria, e.g. *Vibrio*, as

3525 compared to natural particles, does not occur in the Baltic Sea (Oberbeckmann and Labrenz, 2020). While some physiochemical properties of plastic beads changed significantly after exposure to bacterioplankton from the Baltic Sea (McGivney et al., 2020), the microbial degradation and metabolization of full plastic polymers is unlikely to occur in the Baltic environment at time scales relevant for human society (Oberbeckmann and Labrenz, 2020). Plastic additives or pollutants accumulating on plastic particles, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), are more susceptible to bacterial
degradation. Evidence for PAH accumulation on plastic and subsequent degradation are still missing for the Baltic Sea.

5.17.1 Impacts of marine litter and microplastics on other factors

3535

540

• The impacts of marine litter and microplastics on the marine ecosystem (?), is largely unclear as concrete data are rare. Health consequences of microplastics in higher trophic levels like fish and birds and ultimately humans are unknown to date. Large plastic particles and items like abandoned nets and lines can result in lethal entaglements or be taken up as food items as they resemble prey organisms, which then may cause starvation. It is not known what the frequency of such events is in the Baltic Sea. Ingestion of microplastics has been demonstrated for diverse marine species, ranging from zooplankton to bivalves and fish (Ivar do Sul and Costa, 2014), but ecotoxicological effects have not been shown and a matter of constant research, with methodological challenges especially in the small microplastic range. Studies on Baltic Sea biota indicate indifferent or minor to genotoxic effects, but this is still very uncertain (Oberbeckmann and Labrenz, 2020). The same holds for open cage and extractive aquaculture (?).

[7] nach unten verschoben: Data on concentrations of plastic particles in the Baltic Sea are scarce and highly variable due to challenging and not yet harmonized methodologies. Generally, the polymers detected most frequently are the ones produced in highest quantities, such as polyethylene and polypropylene.

Gelöscht: . Environmental parameters, such as nutrient concentrations or salinity, strongly influence the composition of biofilm communities colonizing plastics. In contrast, the polymer properties of plastic themselves seem to affect these communities to a lesser extent

Gelöscht: Plastics often contain residual monomers, which are more likely to be degraded by microorganisms than polymers (Klaeger et al., 2019). This should be considered, in order to avoid the overestimation of plastic polymer degradation. Likewise, p

Gelöscht: In any case, published data on

Gelöscht: Hence, microorganisms obviously cannot help to mitigate plastic pollution in the Baltic Sea, therefore the emissions of plastic particles need to be reduced.¶

🛛 [7] verschoben (Einfügung)

Gelöscht: Data on concentrations of plastic particles in the Baltic Sea are scarce and highly variable due to challenging and not yet harmonized methodologies. Generally, the polymers detected most frequently are the ones produced in highest quantities, such as polyethylene and polypropylene. In order to mitigate plastic pollution in the Baltic Sea, several measures are possible. For example, a reduction of cigarette butts at beaches may be prevented via environmental education, fines, or a smoking ban (Kataržytė et al., 2020). With regard to microplastics from municipal wastewater, a reduction of sewer overflows from currently 1.5% to 0.3% of the annual wastewater volume would notably lead to 50% less total emissions from wastewater-based sources into the Baltic Sea (Schernewski et al., 2021). Thus, both socio-economic and technological approaches need to be taken into account.¶

Gelöscht: M

	Gelöscht: has an impact
	Gelöscht: (+),
	Gelöscht: but
	Gelöscht: microplastics

Formatiert: Schriftart: Nicht Fett

- The fishing industry (+) is affected by increasing public concern about microplastics. While microplastic uptake from other sources (e.g. plastic drinking bottles) is often neglected, the general concern is mainly focused on fish consumption. At the same time, the fishing industry is contributing to plastic pollution with lost fishing gear. There is little information on abandoned fishing gear in the Baltic Sea (e.g. Richardson et al., 2019) but it has been attributed as one of the largest sources of plastic in the Pacific (Lebreton et al., 2018).
- As any naturally occurring particles in the water column, plastic litter particles can accumulate **chemical contaminants (?)** that ad- or absorb on the particle surface (Endo et al., 2013, Rochman et al. 2013). PAHs were found to accumulate on plastic particles in contrast to natural control particles (Oberbeckmann and Labrenz, 2020) but this depends both on the type of plastic studied and the chemical assessed. It has been discussed whether such contaminants can enter the food web via uptake of microplastics, but so far there is no sufficient evidence (Koelmans et al., 2016; Galloway et al., 2017). <u>However, phthalates which had been used as plastic softeners and which are identified as endocrine disruptors, have been found to leach from certain types of microplastic (Paluselli et al. 2019).</u>
- The presence of marine litter on beaches has an impact on the tourism (+) industry. Visible pollution can devaluate a touristic region and lead to a decrease in visitor numbers in the long term. Simultaneously, tourism was identified as a major pollution source on Baltic <u>Sea</u> beaches (e.g. pieces from firework and cigarette butts) (Haseler et al., 2020; Schernewski et al., 2018)
- There is presumably an impact on coastal management (?), as plastic litter should be included in existing strategies.
 Removing plastics from coastal areas has been shown to be more efficient than removing them from garbage patches in the ocean (Sherman and van Sebille, 2016). Plastic monitoring and beach cleanings are successful management tools to reduce plastic loads in the marine environment (Kataržytė et al., 2020, Haseler et al., 2020).

5.17.2 Knowledge gaps

3580

3585

Concentrations of plastic particles in the Baltic Sea are scarce and highly variable, due to challenging and not harmonized methodologies and data on ecotoxicological effects of microplastics on Baltic Sea biota are rare. Methodological challenges exist, in particular for experimental studies targeting the small microplastic fractions, and due to environmental contaminants masking microplastic-related effects. Also, data on PAH (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon) accumulation on plastic and subsequent degradation are insufficient for the Baltic Sea. While considerable plastic emissions occur in the Baltic Sea, it is not clear yet how urgent and eologically damaging the plastic problem in the Baltic Sea is compared to other environmental 3605 problems. Further questions are:

- Marine Litter Chemical <u>contaminants</u>: What is the impact of the different types of marine litter, e.g. how are
 microplastic particles degraded down to macromolecules, and what is the relevance for the release of organic
 contaminants of different types? What are these types of contaminants and what impacts could they have on
 ecosystems and harvestable food organisms for human use? Which substances are the most dangerous?
- Marine Litter Marine <u>ecosystems</u>: There are many uncertainties about how and to what extent marine litter affects marine organisms, ecosystems and food webs.
 - Marine Litter Coastal management: How far are marine litter, its discharge and distribution patterns a subject for coastal management?

5.18 Tourism

3610

3615 The Baltic Sea region is an important destination for coastal and maritime tourism (Hall et al., 2009; Agarin et al., 2010). It is estimated that the region's tourism industry employs approximately 640,000 people, based on 88 million visiting tourists creating over 227 million registered overnight stays annually (Jacobsen, 2018). Geographically, the tourism industry in the

Gelöscht: <#>¶

Gelöscht: <#>Ingestion of microplastics has been demonstrated for diverse **marine species** ranging from zooplankton to bivalves and fish (Ivar do Sul and Costa, 2014). Ecotoxicological effects are a matter of constant research, with methodological challenges especially in the small microplastic range. Studies on Baltic Sea biota indicate indifferent or minor to genotoxic effects, but this is still very uncertain (Oberbeckmann and Labrenz, 2020). The same holds for open cage and extractive **aquaculture** (?).¶

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett

Gelöscht: Contaminants

Gelöscht: Ecosystems

Baltic Sea region involves the sea area, the coastal zone and the catchment area. The impacts of tourism are most concrete in the coastal zone and in the Baltic Sea itself.

The cruise tourism sector constitutes a relatively small part of the shipping industry with approximately 5 % of total maritime traffic on the Baltic Sea (Polack, 2012) but it is growing fast (Więckowski and Cerić, 2016). As a result, the Port of Helsinki was in 2019 the busiest international passenger port in Europe with a total of 12.2 million passengers (Port of Helsinki, 2020). In general, the environmental impacts of cruises on the Baltic Sea are similar to shipping; they include the release of toxic

- 3635 materials from ship hulls, the release of black/grey water and air pollution (Jalkanen et al., 2020), but with a scale of several thousand passengers. In addition to direct impacts on the sea areas, cruise shipping creates significant environmental impacts on the coastal zone and especially in port environments and nearby urban structures. The key environmental issues in the ports and coastal areas relate to waste management, water and soil quality, noise and air emissions such as nitrous oxide (NO_z) and particulate matter (Simonsen et al., 2019). According to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development OECD
- 640 report (Pallis, 2015), the key sustainability management targets for the handling of waste and garbage are the development of effective policies and practices (so called Port Reception Facilities). According to the report, it is estimated that a cruise ship with 3.000 passengers (plus crew) produces 50 tons of solid waste in a week, which is considerably more than a regular ship of comparable size.
- Coastal and marine environments and their attractivity are essential for the tourism industry and so-called 'sun-sand-sea tourism' (Nilsson and Gössling, 2013). In the Baltic Sea region, the coastal zone provides opportunities for a variety of tourist activities, which are concentrated on designated resort areas, spas but also urban centers along the coastal line (see Smith, 2015; Jacobsen, 2018). The main tourism activities take place during the summer season and include sunbathing and beach activities, boating, fishing and second recreational homes. Winter season activities are based on spas, skiing and ice-fishing (Hall et al., 2009).
- Climate change affects both summer and winter season activities. Shorter and unstable snow and ice conditions reduce outdoor activities in the winter season without necessarily providing alternatives. In contrast, summer activities and resources are expected to benefit directly from a warming climate (Hamilton et al., 2005). Furthermore, there are already indications that, compared to the Mediterranean region, more temperate summer conditions may attract increasing numbers of coastal tourists to the Baltic Sea region, especially from Northern Europe (see Rutty and Scott, 2010; Grillakis et al., 2016). In conclusion,
- 655 coastal and maritime tourism in the Baltic Sea region is expected to grow above the global average in the future. However, potentially negative impacts of climate and environmental change may evoke an image problem for marketing. This may contribute to a lower recreational value or impose real health risks, like a higher probability of extremes in weather conditions (e.g. heat waves or floods, Christensen et al, 2021), or more frequent and extensive mass blooms of blue-green algae (O'Neil et al., 2012; Hogfors et al., 2014). According to Schernewski et al. (2001), for example, the poor water quality
- 3660 "is the main obstacle for reaching the [tourism] development goals" in Pomerania, Germany. Indeed, algal blooms have had localized impacts on summer tourism in the Baltic Sea region, as the increasing periods of blooms have already resulted in restrictions of coastal zone uses in many countries and resorts. Based on a case study by Nilsson and Gössling (2013), which covered mainly tourists from Sweden, but also from Denmark, Germany, Norway and Finland, a significant share of tourists who have experienced algal blooms have shortened or even canceled their holidays in certain coastal destinations. Moreover,
- the study indicated that algal blooms had an impact on the tourist's willingness to return to the same destination where they had experienced blooms during previous visits. Thus, the impacts of algae blooms in the coastal zone can be significant.

5.18.1 Impacts of tourism on other factors

 Tourism is one of the largest economic sectors in the world. The related individual and organized land, sea and airbased mobility comes with the cost of fossil carbon emissions, contributing to global climate change (+) (Scott et al., **Gelöscht:** The Baltic Sea region is an important destination for coastal and maritime tourism (Hall et al., 2009; Agarin et al., 2010). It is estimated that the region's tourism industry employs approximately 640,000 people, based on 88 million visiting tourists creating over 227 million registered overnight stays annually (Jacobsen, 2018). Geographically, the tourism industry in the Baltic Sea region involves the sea area, the coastal zone and the catchment area. Although the overall catchment area is important for tourism, the impacts of tourism and their relations with different human drivers are most concrete and visible in the coastal zone and the Baltic Sea area. ¶

The cruise tourism sector constitutes a relatively small part of the shipping industry with approximately 5 % of total maritime traffic on the Baltic Sea (Polack, 2012) but it is growing fast. The share of cruise shipping is substantial in the fast growing international tourism (Wieckowski and Cerić, 2016). As a result, the Port of Helsinki was in 2019 the busiest international passenger port in Europe with a total of 12.2 million passengers (Port of Helsinki, 2020). In general, the environmental impacts of cruises on the Baltic Sea are similar to shipping; they include the release of toxic materials from ship hulls, the release of black/grey water and air pollution (Jalkanen et al., 2020), but with a scale of several thousand passengers. In addition to direct impacts on the sea areas, cruise shipping creates significant environmental impacts on the coastal zone and especially in port environments and nearby urban structures. The key environmental issues in the ports and coastal areas relate to waste management, water and soil quality, noise and air emissions such as nitrous oxide (NO_x) and particulate matter (PM2.5) (Simonsen et al., 2019). According to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development OECD report, Pallis, 2015), the key sustainability management targets for the handling of waste and garbage are the development of effective policies and practices (so called Port Reception Facilities). According to the report, it is estimated that a cruise ship with 3000 passengers (plus crew) produces 50 tons of solid waste in a week, which is considerably more than a regular ship of comparable size. Although the average emissions may not be significant, the local population may be highly exposed as cruise terminals are typically close to city centers (Pallis, 2015). Coastal and marine environments and their attractivity are essential

for the tourism industry; so-called 'sun-sand-sea tourism' and naturebased tourism form two of the most important sub-sectors of global tourism (Nilsson and Gössling, 2013). In the Baltic Sea region, the coastal zone provides opportunities for a variety of tourist activities, which are concentrated on designated resort areas, spas but also urban centers along the coastal line (see Smith, 2015, Jacobsen, 2018). The main tourism activities take place during the summer season and include sunbathing and beach activities, boating, fishing, yachting and second recreational homes, for example. Winter season activities are based on spas, skiing, icc-skating and icc-fishing (Hall et al., 2009). Some of these activities can be practiced sustainably, to a certain extent (Figure 6).¶

Climate change affects both summer and winter season activities. Shorter and unstable snow and ice conditions reduce outdoor activities in the winter season without necessarily providing alternatives. In contrast, summer activities and resources are expected to benefit directly from a warming climate (Hamilton et al., 2005). Furthermore, there are already indications that, compared to the Mediterranean region, more temperate summer conditions may attract increasing numbers of coastal tourists to the Baltic Sea region, especially from Northern Europe (see Rutty and Scott, 2010; Grillakis et al., 2016). In conclusion, coastal and maritime tourism in the Baltic Sea region is expected to grow above the global average in the future. ¶

Figure 6: Kayaking in coastal stretches may be a sustainable form of tourism (Photo: Visit Oulu, https://visitoulu.fi/en/kuvapankkikuvat/)¶

However, potentially negative impacts of climate and environmental change may evoke an image problem for marketing. This may contribute to a lower recreational value or impose real health risks, like a higher probability of extremes in weather conditions (e.g. heat waves or floods, Christensen et al., 2021), or more frequent and extensive mass blooms of blue-green algae (O'Neil et al., 2012; Hogfors et al., 2014). According to Schernewski et al. (2001), for example, the poor water quality "is the main obstacle for reaching the [tourism] development goals" in Pomerania, Germany. Indeed, algal blooms have had localized impacts on summer tourism in the Baltic Sea region, as the increasing periods of blooms have already resulted in restrictions of coastal zone uses in many countries and resorts. 2012; Nilsson and Gössling, 2013; Terrenoire et al., 2019). It is estimated that tourism contributes to about 8% of global GHG emissions (Lenzen et al., 2018).

- Impacts on marine ecosystems (?) by tourism are not well studied in the region. However, cruise tourism produces
 similar underwater noise as shipping but on a much lower scale, as cruise tourism constitutes a very small part of the
 overall shipping industry on the Baltic Sea (Polack, 2012). It is unclear what kind of impact the current level of cruise
 tourism noise has on marine life in the Baltic Sea (see Hawkins and Popper, 2017; Jalkanen et al., 2018).
 - Tourism is a land use form having localized impacts on land cover (+). Especially coastal resort areas are modified to
 fulfil tourist and recreational requirements, such as beach developments and golf courses (Kropinova, 2012; Cottrell
 and Raadik Cottrell, 2015). In addition, cruise tourism, ports and related transportation channels have an impact on
 urban structures (Pallis, 2015).
- Coastal zone and maritime tourism cause nutrient loads (+) that are mainly based on poorly regulated resort (accommodation and other services) wastewater management and cruise ships (Schernewski et al., 2001). Wilewska-Bien et al. (2016) estimate that the annually generated food waste onboard ships in the Baltic Sea contains about 182 tonnes of nitrogen and 34 tonnes of phosphorus.
- Offshore wind farms (+) may form aesthetically disturbing elements for visiting tourists (Veidemane and Nikodemus, 2015). As offshore wind farms, coastal tourism and yachting will grow, the probability of conflicts may increase in the future.
 - Shipping (+) and cruise tourism are using partially the same port facility areas in some cases, which may have a positive impact on the development of Port Reception Facilities for the sustainable managing of waste (see Pallis, 2015).
- Especially coastal tourism activities create a substantial amount of traceable marine litter (+) (Lewin et al., 2020).
 Based on previous studies, macro- and microplastics are the dominant types of waste, both in the coastal zone and marine area (Balčiūnas and Blažauskas, 2014; Haseler et al., 2019; Rothäusler et al., 2019). Marine litter has an impact on tourist perceptions and satisfaction (Lewin et al., 2020).

3865

3875

3845

3850

Tourism is a significant factor for coastal use and change, causing both pressures and possibilities for coastal management (+). Pressures are based on over-development and unregulated growth of tourism activities (Schernewski and Sterr, 2002) but tourism may also have a symbiotic relationship with coastal management which provides safety and stability for environments of touristic activity (Haller et al., 2011; Weisner and Schernewski, 2013).

5.18.2 Knowledge gaps

In the future, it is important to monitor and control pollution loads allocated to touristic activities, including the release of 3870 nutrients, litter, chemicals and oil. Multi-stakeholder governance and coordinated collection of sustainability management indicators are needed. Monitoring data is required both for the sustainable development of the tourism sector, consisting of a large number of enterprises of different sizes, and for planning mitigation and adaptation policies in the overall catchment area of the Baltic Sea. Further questions are:

Tourism – Marine ecosystems: How does tourism in all its variations affect the different compartments of marine ecosystems?

5.19 Coastal management

Coastal management (including marine spatial planning and marine protected area management) is an integrating factor that is used to regulate human activities in the coastal zone and thus has a strong impact on most other coastal, and many marine, factors.

- With respect to the physical environment, the term 'coastal management' is often used synonymously with the provision of coastal defenses against erosion and inundation (Pilkey and Cooper, 2014), most frequently using engineering approaches. However, plans and decisions made by environmental managers and decision makers *per se* may be considerable drivers of coastal change. Sometimes, management decisions can have a direct effect, such as when an approval is given for the construction of port facilities (Pupienis et al., 2013; Žilinskas et al., 2020) or a seawall, or the effect may be more indirect,
- 3885 <u>through mechanisms of land use and maritime spatial planning (Zaucha, 2014), fisheries allocations (Reusch et al., 2018), or river regulation affecting sediment supply.</u> <u>Ideally, coastal management should be a reasoned, achievable and sustainable long-term response to coastal use and change</u>

that protects the environment and provides for the use and enjoyment of the coast by people. It should be forward looking, identifying how future human activities will interact with natural factors (wind, waves, currents, water levels etc.) and

- 890 processes (sediment transport, erosion, deposition etc.) by providing a framework to assess, mitigate and minimize adverse impacts while promoting positive changes. However, very frequently the actions resulting from management become factors in their own right, resulting in further, often unintended changes. For example, the implementation of plans for coastal erosion 'protection', sea defenses and public infrastructure (e.g. ports), can change physical factors (waves, currents etc.) and sediment transport, resulting in new morphodynamic equilibrium conditions that may be unwanted and unpredictable. Many such
- 895 situations in the Baltic Sea are described in detail in Pranzini and Williams (2013), specific examples being the use of groynes that create downdrift effects, and seawalls that result in upper beach loss. <u>It has long been known that manipulation of one part of a system can cause effects in other parts of the system, often is</u> <u>unexpected ways. This 'Law of Unintended Consequences' (Mottershead et al., 2016) has meant that many coastal</u>
- management actions have resulted in unanticipated outcomes, some of which have been beneficial, but the majority of which
 have made the problem worse, or have created new problems. Negative unintended consequences are most frequently caused
 by ignorance, error, immediacy (e.g. to protect human life), or basic values (Merton, 1936) (e.g. private property rights,
 freedom of navigation, sovereign rights). Coastal management normally relies on the best available science, but also on social
 and economic needs and political expediency, things that are often incompatible.

The tools now available make the assessment of coastal projects much more reliable (e.g. Bagdanavičiūtė et al., 2019).
Modelling tools such as the MIKE suite (DHI) and DELFT 3D suite can effectively alert managers to cases where management actions can result in consequences that must be considered further. If a project is expected to change the fluid motions, the coastal morphology or natural sediment transport, unintended consequences may result, and these need to be foreseen and addressed. In most parts of the Baltic Sea, due to its small size and limited fetch, waves are generally of short period and length, with sediment transport being largely confined to quite shallow waters. Therefore, even small-scale projects, such as small boat harbors, can have significant coastal impacts.

As the understanding of coastal processes improves, negative consequences of actions should become less common, and the application of simple conceptual models, along with sophisticated tools that are now available should result in better management. A bigger challenge, however, is the resolution of the conflicts between best practice and long-held societal values and practices. Coastal management must be undertaken with specific consideration of climate change, particularly sea level rise. Vitousek et al. (2017) pose the question: Can beaches survive climate change? They conclude that "the future of the

coastline will be what we engineer it to be", thereby putting forward the view that coastal management actions may be the most significant coastal driver in the future.

5.19.1 Impacts of coastal management on other factors

3920

Coastal management decisions have a substantial impact on **coastal processes** (+) by changing sediment transport pathways, by erosion management, and resulting from coastal constructions such as groynes, seawalls and port

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Gelöscht: Coastal management (including marine spatial planning and marine protected area management) is an integrating factor that is and marine protected area management) is an used to regulate human activities in the coastal zone and thus has a strong impact on most other coastal, and many marine, factors.¶ With respect to the physical environment, the term 'coastal management' is often used synonymously with the provision of coastal defenses against erosion and inundation (Pilkey and Cooper, 2014), concentrating specifically on hard engineering (such as groynes, seawalls, revetments and offshore structures), soft engineering (renourishment, beach dewatering, dune stabilization) and planning (managed retreat, limiting development, reclamation). When considering factors of change in the phy cal coastal environment, we normally think of waves (of different types), water levels, coastal currents and sediment availability and their relationship to erosion or accretion. We rarely think of the plans and decisions made by environmental managers and decision makers per se being a driver of coastal change. We generally expect that such plans and decisions are made based on the best scientific knowledge and advice, but management decisions can also be based on political expediency or a range of socio-economic necessities or factors. These decisions can, in themelves, result in further environmental change This argument can be made with respect to both the physical and biological environments. Sometimes, management decisions can have a direct effect, such as when an approval is given for the construction of port facilities (Pupienis et al., 2013; Žilinskas et al., 2020) or a seawall, or the effect may be more indirect, through mechanisms of land use and maritime spatial planning (Zaucha 2014), fisheries allocations (Reusch et al., 2018), or river regulation affecting sediment supply. ¶ Ideally, coastal management should be a reasoned, achievable and

Ideally, coastal management should be a reasoned, achievable and sustainable long-term response to coastal use and change that protects the environment and provides for the use and enjoyment of the coast by people. It should be forward looking, identifying how future human activities will interact with natural factors (wind, waves, currents, water levels etc.) and processes (sediment transport, erosion, deposition etc.) by providing a framework to assess, mitigate and minimize adverse impacts while promoting positive changes. However, every frequently the actions resulting from management attempts to use the coast become factors in their own right, resulting for coastal erosion 'protection', sea defenses, public infrastructure (e.g. ports), coastal development areas, and public space and amenity creation, can change the physical factors (waves, currents etc.) and sediment transport, resulting in new morphodynamic equilibrium conditions that may be unwanted and unpredictable. Many such situations in the Baltic Sea are described in detail in Pranzini and Williams (2013).¶

Many attempts to reactively mitigate coastal problems, undertaken with the best intentions but with limited understanding of processes, have resulted in negative impacts. Specific examples include the use of groynes that create downdrift effects, and seawalls that result in upper beach loss. It has long been known that manipulation of one part of a system can cause effects in other parts of the system, often is unexpected ways. This 'Law of Unintended Consequences', first formalized by Locke in 1691 (Mottershead et al., 2016) has meant that many coastal management actions have resulted in unanticipated outcomes, some of which have been beneficial, but the majority of which have made the problem worse, or have created new problems. Negative unintended consequences are most frequently caused by ignorance, error, immediacy (e.g. to protect human life), or basic values (Merton, 1936) (e.g. private property rights, freedom of navigation, rights to use resources, sovereign rights). Thus, coastal management relies on the scientific basis of the best available knowledge for the best available response, but also depends on social, economic and political constraints, in balancing claims, which are hardly compatible.¶

A good example of coastal management commuting with oaste varies is the case of hard protection (such as a seawall) constructed to protect private property from coastal erosion. It is well understood that building a seawall which protects property effectively degrades the beach (passive crosion; Griggs, 2005) when the system is in sediment deficit, causing a loss of public amenity. This results in a conflict between private property rights and public or environmental rights. Until such issues are resolved, managed retreat (Cooper, 2003) as a management response to coastal erosion is difficult or almost impossible.¶

Gelöscht: through the control of sediment translocations

intrastructure, A current and emerging coastal management factor influencing shorelines is the management of sand	_
extraction, already a problem in the southern Baltic Sea (e.g. Uścinowicz et al., 2014).	

- Submarine groundwater discharge (?) may be impacted by coastal management decisions or infrastructure which could have an impact on the coastal groundwater level and the conditions and obstruction of groundwater seeps.
- Coastal management can be expected to have an impact on marine ecosystems (?) as it affects the land-sea <u>linkages</u>, which are important for the terrestrial and riverine loads of nutrients and pollutants.
- Possibly, **non-indigenous species** (?) could profit or suffer from coastal management decisions, through the management or protection of certain habitats, or the deterioration of those habitats, by coastal constructions.
- Coastal management decisions may, directly or indirectly affect land use (?), agriculture (?) and aquaculture (?) in coastal areas.
- Coastal management can have a considerable impact on **fisheries** (+) and fish stocks by regulating fishing grounds and deteriorating coastal habitats of fish species (Kraufvelin et al., 2018)
- There may be a connection between coastal management <u>and river regulations (?)</u> at least in the estuaries (e.g. Zedler, 2017)
- Coastal management, through maritime and coastal planning, regulates the allocation of space for offshore wind farms (+) (e.g. Chaouachi et al., 2017; Sobotka et al., 2021).
- There is a considerable impact of coastal management on shipping (+) through the construction of ports, wind farms, allocation of fairways, regulations, and marine protected areas from which shipping is banned. Areas are regulated by local authorities considering the protected areas defined e.g. in the Birds and Habitat directives (92/43/EEC and 2009/147/EC) (e.g. Andersen et al., 2020).
 - Dumped military material (+) is in some cases located in the coastal zone, although it more concerns terrestrial
 dumpsites or solitary munitions resulting from military activities. In such cases, munition may be disturbed during
 the construction of coastal defences. In Germany, a special programme has been initiated to locate and remediate
 munitions in the coastal zone (BLANO, https://www.schleswig-holstein.de/DE/UXO/uxo_node.html).
 - Coastal management regulations are used in the management of marine litter (?).
 - Coastal management has a strong impact on tourism (+), as the tourist industry is a major stakeholder in the competition for space in the coastal area (e.g. Nordstrom et al., 2007).

5.19.2 Knowledge gaps

4090

4095

4100

4105

4110

4115

4120

125

Coastal management uses available mechanisms (plans, laws, regulations, engagement activities, etc_2) to provide for the sustainable use of the coastal and marine environment. The knowledge gaps that apply to all natural and anthropogenic factors can therefore be summarized as follows:

- What data and analyses are needed to enable coastal management to be more effective, and how can the required information be obtained?
 - What tools and systems (such as decision support tools are needed to enable decision makers to provide the best possible management for a sustainable Baltic Sea?

• Recognizing that the <u>coastal impacts in the</u> Baltic Sea do not respect borders, how can management mechanisms be integrated across jurisdictions?

Gelöscht: a reduction or enhancement of erosion, and through coastal constructions like groynes, levees and other coastal and offshore constructions.

Gelöscht: linkages which

1	Gelöscht: impact directly on
$\left(\right)$	Gelöscht: (+),
ſ	Gelöscht: (+)
Y	Gelöscht: (+)

Gelöscht: on

Gelöscht: . Gelöscht: rather

Gelöscht: es

Formatiert: Keine Aufzählungen oder Nummerierungen

6. Discussion

- 140 The industrial revolution and the subsequent developments <u>like the industrial production of nitrogen fertilizers (Smil, 1999)</u> have dramatically changed the world, with massive benefits for humans and concomitant detrimental effects <u>like anthropogenic</u> climate change, eutrophication, overfishing, pollution and others. There is a growing understanding that the connections <u>between these</u> intertwined factors must be addressed. Many publications have dealt with this complex issue on a general scale (differently termed multiple or cumulative effects, stressors, pressures, drivers), mostly using statistical analysis or modeling
- 4145 approaches to better describe the problem, or management procedures to cope with it (e.g. Crain et al., 2008; Halpern et al., 2015; Gunderson et al., 2016; Liess et al., 2016; Elliott et al., 2020; Gissi et al., 2021). Many investigations have focused on the harvestable upper part of the food chain, e.g. fish and their food resources (Bolt et al., 2014; Andersen et al., 2017; Stelzenmüller et al., 2018). The Baltic Sea region or parts of it have been treated by various investigations (Jutterström et al., 2014; Andersen et al., 2015; Reusch et al., 2018; Andersen et al., 2020). Indices have been calculated to quantify the different
- effects and interrelations (e.g. HELCOM, 2018a; Korpinen et al., 2012; Blenckner et al., 2021) and the work has also been incorporated into decision support systems or general advice for decision makers (Meier et al., 2012; Meier et al., 2014; Hyytiäinen et al., 2021). Studies concerning the Baltic Sea have identified eutrophication. hazardous substances. non-indigenous species and fisheries (Korpinen et al., 2012; Andersen et al., 2015; HELCOM 2018a; Andersen et al., 2020; Blenckner et al., 2021) as the most detrimental factors, but also acidification and climate change (Jutterström et al., 2014).
- Looking at our DSPIR analysis of the different drivers (Table 1), and our matrix analysis (Table 2a, b), it becomes evident that the pressures caused by climate change, food production, transport, energy production, industries and tourism and have the largest impacts on the Baltic Sea region. Table 2b shows the different factors considered in this analysis, sorted according to the extent they are (actively) affecting others (left column), or (passively) being affected by others (upper row). Here we see that climate change, shipping and land use/agriculture have the strongest impact, while fishing, marine ecosystems and
- agriculture are strongest affected. This semi-qualitative assessment holds for the Baltic Sea region, but may be different for similar regions of the world, with different foci of human activities.



Human needs and values are the ultimate drivers determining the aggregate demand of different goods and services, strongly affecting the level of production, land use and environmental footprint of economic activities in the area (Figure 5).

Gelöscht: ¶ 6 Summary and d

	Gelöscht: for the environment. A by-product of the industrial revolution was the industrial production of nitrogen fertilizers with the Haber-Bosch process (Smil, 1999). With the eradication of hunger, population numbers have since exploded, with various environmental consequences like anthropogenic climate change, eutrophication, overfishing and pollution.	
///	Gelöscht: tools are required to address	
	Gelöscht: effects	
(())	Gelöscht: of	
	Gelöscht: ese	
	Gelöscht: multiple	
	Gelöscht: factors	
	Gelöscht: The detection and isolation of single factors from others is crucial for attributing detrimental effects and managing a healthy environment.¶ We analyzed the connections between different factors affecting the Earth system of the Baltic Sea region, by simply describing what is known from the scientific literature to assess how strong and relevant the connections are.	
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	Gelöscht: In the	
	Gelöscht: ,	
$\langle \rangle$	[11] verschoben (Einfügung)	
$\left(\right) $	Gelöscht: ,	
	Gelöscht: with the most substantial impact have been identified as	

[11] nach oben verschoben: eutrophication, hazardous substances, non-indigenous species and fisheries (Korpinen et al., 2012; Andersen et al., 2015; HELCOM 2018a; Andersen et al., 2020; Blenckner et al., 2021). Figure 5: Schematic view of the interrelations between the different factors. *Food production* includes land use, agriculture and nutrient loads, aquaculture and fisheries; *Energy production, transport and economy* includes offshore wind farms, shipping and tourism; *Coastal processes and modifications* includes coastal processes, Submarine groundwater discharges and river regulations; *Ecosystems* includes non-invasive species; *Pollution* includes contaminants, dumped military material and marine litter; *Management* includes technological approaches.

200

The decisions of consumers living in the catchment of the Baltic Sea region are particularly important for those agricultural products (such as dairy) that are consumed locally and not much exported or imported due to high transport costs. However,
for many other products (including forestry and many industries), the global demand and the competitiveness of local industries determine the level of production. In addition to their role as consumers, citizens are voters that – thought their representatives in city councils, parliaments, and the EU – decide on environmental policies and technology standards required from industries. It is important to note that human activities drive environmental change both globally (e.g. climate change) and regionally (e.g. pollution of the Baltic Sea). However, climate change plays a particular role as it has an integrating effect on other factors.
The main message from this analysis is that climate change represents the overarching factor, affecting almost all of the other factors.

natural and human-induced factors (Table 2b). For some we know the effects reasonably well (e.g. coastal processes, agriculture, aquaculture, fisheries); for others, we know little (distribution and fate of contaminants, microplastics and dumped ammunition).

Food production on land and in the sea has severe consequences on the ecosystems, through nutrient loads and resulting eutrophication and hypoxia, <u>but</u> also on the climate, due to the conversion of forests to agricultural fields. More nutrients are distributed on the fields than crops can take up and convert into biomass. Artificial fertilizers are used in excess and incentives to adjust fertilizer inputs to plant needs are few. Livestock, i.e. pigs and cattle excrete highly useable fertilizers, but this manure is mostly not used for fertilization, and use efficiencies differ largely between countries. The excess nutrients are largely washed to the sea where they have resulted not only in excessive algal growth, decay and oxygen consumption, but also in increased fish stocks and catches. Fish stocks and fisheries have profited from the fertilization of the Baltic Sea in the mid-20th century (Adjers et al., 2006; Eero et al., 2016), and catches increased dramatically 8-fold over the course of the 20th century (Elmgren, 1989; Elmgren, 2021), mainly because of enhanced fishery methods and infrastructure, but also because of a heavily fertilized Baltic Sea. Fishing pressure and climate-related changes are presumably the main reason that some commercially used fish stocks broke down in the late 1980s (Dippner et al., 2008), but we do not know the effect of reduced nutrient loads for this breakdown, of which a reduction also goes in parallel. The efforts of nutrient abatements and regulations in the Baltic

Sea, mainly though HELCOM, have been a success story (Reusch et al., 2018). Nutrient loads have been reduced since the 1980s (Gustafsson et al., 2012), but legacy nutrients (those that are hidden in the sediments and are released under certain circumstances) remain a problem, especially phosphorus (McCrackin et al., 2018a).

Offshore wind power production will presumably increase in the future, as the demand for renewable energy is growing in the effort to mitigate climate change, and extensive sea areas will be dedicated to wind power generation. A quick back-of-the-envelope calculation demonstrates this: to replace an average fossil fuel power plant of 2 GW, an area of about 15 x 15 km must be assigned to the wind farm, assuming the currently largest wind turbines of 10 MW with a rotor diameter of 100 m, and an estimated distance of 1 km between the individual turbines. This will be a challenge for marine spatial planning, increasing the political pressure to establish dual or multiple uses, e.g. with food production (aquaculture). Still, there are potential problems with efficiencies as large wind farms may experience self-shadowing effects, affect the micro-climate and potentially downstream ecosystems (von Berkel et al., 2020). Impacts on ecosystems may also be positive as the artificial reef effect may be beneficial for fish and eventually also for fisheries, even though it is banned from the wind farm areas.

Underwater noise may be a problem for marine mammals or fish mainly during the construction phase. There is very little





Figure 8: Schematic view of the interrelations between the different factors. Food production includes land use, agriculture and nutrient loads, aquaculture and fisheries; Energy production, transport and economy includes offshore wind farms, shipping and tourism; Coastal processes and modifications includes coastal processes, SGDs and river regulations; Ecosystems includes non-invasive species; Pollution includes contaminants, dumped military material and marine litter (Marcus Reckermann).¶

	Gelöscht: and
	Gelöscht: detrimental implications like
	Gelöscht: considerably
	Gelöscht: . E
	Gelöscht: must

4270 knowledge on marine noise impacts on marine mammals and other organisms. Offshore energy production also affects terrestrial land use as the energy produced offshore needs to be transferred to consumers over large distances (large powerlines over land or in soils).

Gas and oil extraction (not treated in section 5) is rather minor in the Baltic Sea, as compared to other marginal seas like the neighboring North Sea. Oil and gas production and transportation may have severe implications in case of permanent spills

- 4275 and accidents (Hassler, 2011). Precautions include the use of double hull tankers, safer shipping lanes and navigational aids (Moldanová et al., 2018) and possibly smart ship routing (Soomere and Quak, 2013). There are <u>oil and gas fields in Polish and</u> Russian (Kaliningrad region) <u>territories</u> and oil terminals exist in the Russian part of the Gulf of Finland and in the Baltic States, so the Baltic Sea is a transfer region for oil and gas tankers to the markets of the world. Gas pipelines also cause environmental and political concerns (Nordstream 1 and 2; Lidskrog and Elander, 2012; Heinrich, 2018), transferring gas from
- 4280 Russia to Germany. The Baltic Pipe Project (2021) planned for 2022 intends to provide the infrastructure for transporting gas from Norway to Denmark and Poland (Górski, 2020). Overall, the impact of oil pollution in the Baltic Sea so far is considered to be relatively low (Kostianoy and Lavrova, 2014; HELCOM, 2018a) and the number of oil spills has decreased over the past 20 years, presumably through monitoring and airplane and satellite surveys (HELCOM 2018a) but the increasing volumes of surface oil transport gradually increase the relevant risk.
- 4285 Maritime transport of goods affects not only the climate through the combustion of fossil fuel but has many direct consequences for marine life and water quality. It was shown in the past that regulations work slowly but efficiently (e.g. double hull tankers, ballast tank regulations, antifouling regulations, different others), so a transfer to more sustainable shipping can be expected in the future. The release of chemical contaminants is also highly regulated but the vast diversity of the different substances makes a thorough monitoring difficult, let alone the many unknown effects and reactions between the different substances,
- 4290 how they affect organisms and how a warmer and wetter environment affects concentrations and pathways, transfers and transformations between land, atmosphere and the sea. Impacts on food webs and consequences for human marine food resources need to be constantly assessed and monitored as many new substances are released into the environment. Marine litter originates from all human activities: offshore platforms, shipping, lost containers, fisheries, aquaculture,
- agriculture, municipal waste, tourism. A large fraction is carried to the sea by riverine runoff. The effects on the environment 4295 at least for the large size fractions are visible and well documented but the effects of the micro- and nanosized particles down to colloid and molecular scales are largely unknown, as is the effect by their constituents on biota and the food chain. The toxicity to biota along the food chain up to humans remains unclear, and how <u>microplastic particles remain inert</u> over a long time horizon. Degradation rates for some polymers are very slow, so that microbial degradation will presumably not be a big help in removing microplastics from the waters.
- 4300 A legacy of human activity for which we do not know how serious potential impacts may be are the ammunitions of chemical warfare or dumped or unexploded ammunitions from the <u>Second World War</u>. These hazardous materials were deliberately dumped into the sea during or after the war. It is not known how dangerous these materials are <u>beyond the very vicinity of the dumpsites</u>, e.g. is the dilution effect of any leaking toxic substances sufficient or are ecosystems and people living at the coasts and upper food levels including consumable fish seriously affected in the near future? Many containments are expected to
- 4305 corrode in the coming years and decades, so this is a large unknown threat with high damage potential. Whether we look at marine litter, microplastics, warfare agents or chemical contaminants, we see a low interference with other factors (including climate change) but a strong and direct impact on ecosystems (which are largely unknown), and on humans as the top consumer of marine products (Table 2b).
- Climate change directly affects atmospheric and marine properties such as air and water temperature, precipitation, runoff,
 salinity, sea ice, sea level, and acidification (Table 2b). It affects the food production sector, as the growth of crops on land is temperature and water dependent, and in the sea, temperature is a significant growth factor for cultured fish and shellfish. Fish stocks are dependent on climate-sensitive availabilities of food organisms and stratification (Möllmann, 2019). In some cases,

Gelöscht: Gelöscht: is not treated in the main part of this paper but it

Gelöscht: (also through shipping)

-{	Gelöscht: known
-{	Gelöscht: only
-{	Gelöscht: territory
Y,	Gelöscht: ,
Y	Gelöscht: however,

Gelöscht:	inert
Geloschili	mert

Gelöscht: second world war Gelöscht: This shows the low level of understanding and conco and the notion of "out of sight, out of mind" in the past.	ern,
Gelöscht: This shows the low level of understanding and conce and the notion of "out of sight, out of mind" in the past.	ern,
Gelöscht: just	
Gelöscht: on scales of more than just the	
Gelöscht: Models to simulate dispersion and dilution scenarios needed.	s are

Gelöscht:	As this is a major health concern, there are fewer
unknowns.	

62

climate change affects different factors, which work antagonistically, and the net effect is not apparent. Sea level rise, for example, is expected to have a strong impact on the southern coasts and ecosystems of the Baltic Sea. Salinity (Lehmann et al., 2021) is an essential factor for marine life in the Baltic Sea, and many species live in narrow tolerance bands. Hence, it is vital to know how salinity will change in the future. It may increase through intensified inflows (as sea level rise would widen

the passages in the Danish Belts and Sounds), but conversely, it may be reduced by increased runoff, Currently it is unclear / which effect is prevailing (Meier et al., 2021c).

<u>Certain regional factors may exert a feedback on the climate. Anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions have been convicted</u> <u>of being be responsible for the most part of the warming of the past decades (Bhend, 2015), but apparently it cannot explain</u> the warming completely (Bakhordarian et al., 2016). Other regional factors have been discussed, such as the decreased

- concentrations of aerosols in the atmosphere (Hansson and Bhend, 2015) and land cover changes (Gaillard et al., 2015). Regulations to reduce air pollution in the 1980s led to reduced aerosol concentrations in the air, and thus to a lower cooling effect through blocking of incoming insolation (Hansson and Bhend, 2015, Bakhordarian et al., 2016). Aerosol reductions are a strong candidate to contribute to the observed warming (or more precisely, to a reduced cooling effect on the regional scale, von Storch et al., 2021). This would mean that a successful mitigation effort to reduce dangerous human impacts would act to
- 4345 <u>increase another one (climate warming). This once again shows how interwoven natural and human-induced impacts are and how they can work antagonistically on different factors.</u>

Socio-economic factors such as population growth, urbanization, technological development, life style and values play a significant role in developing the Baltic Sea and its coastal regions, more than the direct effects of the changing climate, but they are closely interwoven with it. A decision on land use may be primarily a socio-economic one, but it will be influenced

4350 by climate change and its impacts. Land cover also includes urbanization and sealed surfaces in urban areas. These have a high relevance for flooding as they exacerbate the flood risks in urban areas. The same meteorological event may have a low impact where soils can absorb water and distribute it downstream and via the natural aquifers, but it may turn into a catastrophic event for human infrastructure if the drainage systems below the sealed surfaces are too weak. Hence, extreme events may be exacerbated by human infrastructure. This is similar in the case of regulated rivers, where storm floods may run up higher, and natural flooding areas are either embanked or sealed.

All these different factors and interactions create the need for management and policies, at their different facets. The different human claims to exploiting marine resources like fisheries, aquaculture, shipping and wind farms must be managed and balanced by preservative efforts (protective regulations, Marine Protected Areas, Belgrano et al., 2021). While the goal of management is sustainability, it follows the needs of society (e.g. food production, energy and other resources from the sea,

- 4360 transport, coastal infrastructure and protection, tourism, inspiration), and at the same time avoiding environmentally detrimental consequences. Coastal management needs to balance different opposing stakeholder interests, and is a political rather than a scientific process in which scientists actively participate. The human benefit has been at the centre of most efforts, which is evident in concepts like "blue growth" (economic benefits from the sea) and "ecosystem services" which perceive nature as a "service provider" for human welfare and development, rather than protect it for its own sake (e.g. Omstedt, 2020).
- ⁴³⁶⁵ Marine protection can be justified by the need to secure the future provision of marine ecosystem services to benefit future generations. In this light, "Ecosystem-based Management" and "Marine Protected Areas" also represent anthropocentric concepts and the ultimate goal of management can be described as to provide a fair intergenerational distribution of ecosystem services (and avoid spoiling the resource). The UN Decade (2021-2030) of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development (e.g. Pendleton et al., 2020) provides an example where future coastal management may be heading, also in the Baltic Sea (see also United Nations, 2021)
 - The large sectors that provide goods and services to humans (food, energy, transport, recreation) have the most direct impact on the Baltic Sea environment. From a scientific point of view, it is not possible to render a verdict on the most harmful impact on the environment, as this is largely not a scientific but a social construction. Different stakeholders, e.g. scientists, coastal

Gelöscht: (Meier et al., 2021c)

[8] verschoben (Einfügung)

Gelöscht: ¶

The energy production, transport sector and tourism are strongly affected by climate change. It is the initial driver for the expande ded use of wind power, and changes in wind speeds and frequencies affect the efficiency of wind turbines. However, current projections do not indicate increasing wind speed in the area (Christensen et al., 2021), so an increased yield of wind power can only be achieved with all the through more and larger turbines and larger wind farms, consequences for other uses and of course ecosystems. Shipping in the north may be facilitated by dramatically reduced ice covers in the future, and fairways in the south may be safer in shallow passages with rising sea levels. The tourist industry in the Baltic Sea region can be expected to profit from warmer summers, as its coasts are ideal for multiple summer leisure activities. Both leisure boating and cruise shipping can be expected to profit from warmer temperatures. Climate change may aggravate many environmental problems, which have their causes in other anthropogenic factors, reducing the silience of marine ecosystems. Climate change may affect the distribution of chemical contaminants in the environment through warming and changed precipitation patterns. As organic pollutants can travel through air, water and in organisms up the food chain, and they experience transformations along the way, which depend on physical and biogeochemical factors, we see a complex array of possible modifications, some of which may be deleterious. Nutrient loads per se are not affected by climate change directly, but their pathways, turnover and regeneration rates, as well as oxygen consumption rates and hence hypoxia, are.

[12] verschoben (Einfügung)

[8] nach oben verschoben: Certain regional factors may exert a feedback on the climate. Anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions have been convicted of being be responsible for the most part of the warming of the past decades (Bhend, 2015), but apparently it cannot explain the warming completely (Bakhordarian et al., 2016). Other regional factors have been discussed, such as the decreased concentrations of aerosols in the atmosphere (Hansson and Bhend, 2015) and land cover changes (Gaillard et al., 2015). Regulations to reduce air pollution in the 1980s led to reduced aerosol concentrations in the air, and thus to a lower cooling effect through blocking of incoming insolation (Hansson and Bhend, 2015). Bakhordarian et al., 2016). Aerosol reductions are a strong candidate to contribute to the observed warming (or more precisely, to a reduced cooling effect on the regional scale, von Storch et al., 2021). This would mean that a successful mitigation effort to reduce dangerous human impacts would act to increase another one (climate warming). This once again shows how interwoven natural and human-induced impacts are and how they can work antagonistically on different factors. ¶

Gelöscht: There are considerable knowledge gaps on acidification in the Baltic Sea, and many aspects remain rather uncertain, e.g. the source of variability of alkalinity and its trends in the various basins. As alkalinity in the Baltic Sea is generally lower than in the ocean (e.g. Hjalmarsson et al., 2008), Baltic Sea waters at first approximation are more sensitive to acidification. However, the

[12] nach oben verschoben: All these different factors and interactions create the need for management and policies, at their different facets.

Gelöscht: Although management and regulations have shown some success in the Baltic Sea and worldwide (e.g. monitoring surveys, reductions of nutrients, pollutants and oil spills, HELCOM, 2018a), considerable challenges are still ahead, e.g. related to fisheries and Gelöscht: pervasive

Jeioschie perva

Gelöscht: Put bluntly, it may be concluded that only factors relevant for the human benefit are considered in these concepts, and species or biomes that do not fulfil this criterium, or are considered a nuisance, are not worth saving and may perish. Thus, the human perspective is and will remain ubiquitous.

Gelöscht: could be an essential inspiration

Gelöscht: for

Gelöscht: for

Gelöscht: 7 Conclusions¶

dwellers, people who live from the sea, local policy makers or environmental activists may all have different conceptions. This is also the case between the different riparian countries (Lundberg, 2013; Martinez et al. 2014; von Storch, 2021). Science can provide the facts as far as possible to help establish and support management options.

475 Author contributions

MR elaborated the concept, wrote the abstract and sections 1-4, 6-8, authored Sections 5.3. and 5.6, and contributed to different parts in section 5. AO co-elaborated the concept and authored Section 5.4. TS authored 5.2 and provided many useful comments to all sections in an early stage of the manuscript. JA authored Section 5.7.; NA contributed to Section 5.13.; MB contributed to Section 5.15; JB authored Section 5.16.; CPSB contributed to Section 5.13; TC contributed to Section 5.16.; ME authored Section 5.11.; KH contributed to sections 1 and 7; JPJ authored Section 5.14.;

4480

4485

AK authored Section 5.10.; EK co-authored Section 5.1.; KK contributed to Section 5.4.; XGL authored Section 5.13.; MMeC authored Section 5.9.; HEMM co-authored Section 5.1., SO authored Section 5.17.; KP authored Section 5.19. and provided useful comments to Section 7; AP authored Section 5.8.; JS authored Section 5.18.; BS authored Section 5.5.; EU authored Section 5.15.; AW authored Section 5.12.; EZ co-authored Section 5.1. All co-authors contributed to the bullet lists in Section 5, according to their expertise.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Special Issue statement: This paper is part of the Special Issue "Baltic Earth Assessment Reports (BEAR)" in Earth System Dynamics.

Acknowledgments

- 4490 TS was supported by the European Economic Area (EEA) Financial Mechanism 2014–2021 Baltic Research Programme, project SolidShore (EMP480), and the Estonian Research Council Grant PRG1129. The research work by JB was co-funded by the European Union (European Regional Development Fund) under the Interreg Baltic Sea Region Programme 2014–2020, project #X005 DAIMON 2. It was conducted as a part of an international project co-financed from the funds of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education programme entitled "International Co-financed Projects" in the years 2019–2021;
- 4495 agreement no. 5051/INTERREG BSRBSR/2019/2. KK was supported by the Polish National Science Centre (grants no. 2015/19/B/ST10/02120; 2019/34/E/ST10/00167), and BONUS INTEGRAL project funded by BONUS (Art 185), jointly from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for Research, Technological Development and Demonstration and the Polish National Centre for Research and Development. XGL thanks the Danish ForskEL/EUDP (grant no. PSO-12521/EUDP 64017-0017) for support. KP was supported by the European Regional Development Fund program Mobilitas Plus, Estonian
- Research Council Top Researcher Grant MOBTT72, reg. no. 2014-2020.4.01.16-0024, and the European Economic Area (EEA) Financial Mechanism 2014–2021 Baltic Research Programme, project SolidShore (EMP480), and the Estonian Research Council Grant PRG1129. <u>AP was supported by the Estonian Research Council grant PRG323</u>. **BS** was supported by the Norway Grants 2014-2021 operated by National Science Centre under Project Contract: 2019/34/H/ST10/00645 and the National Science Center under Project Contract: 2019/34/H/ST10/00645 and the Statement Project Contract: 2019/34/E/ST10/00217. **EU** was supported by The Swedish Agency for

4505 Marine and Water Management through the grant 1:11 - Measures for marine and water environment

Gelöscht: Climate change has an overarching impact on all other factors and affects their interactions. Most of the environmental challenges in the Baltic Sea (including nutrient loads, hazardous substances, safe recovery of dangerous dumped military material) are considered and managed at the regional scale (e.g. by HELCOM). Regional solutions are at hand through domestic political decisions and good management, and its efforts and results can be seen on the regional scale. Climate change will continue over the coming centuries, with its impacts of warming, changing precipitation patterns, less ice cover, rising sea level and acidification. Regional climate change is embedded into the global one, and it cannot be mitigated without mitigating the global one. Hazardous substances and microplastics resemble greenhouse gas emissions in their global dimension and local appearance. They are produced and distributed all over the world, wherever humans live. It is virtually impossible to recover the material already released to the environment, and we need to live with the consequences of this persistent type of pollution for the time being.]

By driving the enormous technological and socio-economic changes of the past 150 years, the inventive human spirit has caused the environmental problems we are facing; it is logical to assume that it will be able to come up with the solutions as well. Information and open discussion, stakeholder involvement, locally tailored best practices, management and technological innovation will be keys for achieving this goal.¶

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett

Gelöscht: 1

	6330-6340. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b07032, 2019.
535	Adjers, K., Appelberg, M., Eschbaum, R., Lappalainen, A., Minde, A., Repecka, R., and Thoresson, G.: Trends in coastal
	fish stocks of the Baltic Sea. Boreal Environ. Res., 11, 1, 13-25, ISSN 1239-6095, 2006.
	Adolf, J. E., Burns, J., Walker, J. K., and Gamiao, S.: Near shore distributions of phytoplankton and bacteria in relation to
	submarine groundwater dischargefed fishponds, Kona coast, Hawai 'i, USA. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 219, 341-353, doi:
	<u>10.1016/j.ecss.2019.01.021, 2019.</u>
540	Agarin, T., Jetzkowitz, J., and Matzarakis, A.: Climate change and tourism in the eastern Baltic Sea region. In: Schott, C.
	(Ed.): Tourism and the Implications of Climate Change: Issues and Actions. Emerald: Bingley, pp. 261-281, 2010.

Abbasi, G., Li, L., and Breivik, K.: Global Historical Stocks and Emissions of PBDEs', Environ, Sci. Technol., 53, 11,

Agrawala, S.: Context and Early Origins of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climatic Change, 39, 605–620, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005315532386, 1998.

Akhtar, N., Geyer, B., Rockel, B., Sommer, P.S., Schrum, C.: Accelerating deployment of offshore wind energy alter wind

- 4545 climate and reduce future power generation potentials. Sci Rep 11, 11826, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91283-3, 2021.
 - Alari, V., and Raudsepp, U.: Simulation of wave damping near coast due to offshore wind farms. J. Coast. Res., 28, 1, 143-148, doi: 10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-10-00054.1, 2012.
- Alava, J.J., Cheung, W.W.L., Ross, P.S., Sumaila, U.R.: Climate change–contaminant interactions in marine food webs: Toward a conceptual framework, Glob. Change Biol. 23, 10, 3984-4001, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13667, 2017.
- Ali, M. M., Murphy, K. J., and Langendorff, J.: Interrelations of river ship traffic with aquatic plants in the River Nile, Upper Egypt. In: Caffrey, J., Barrett, P. R. F., Ferreira, M. T., Moreira, I. S., Murphy, K. J., Wade, P. M. (Eds.) Biology, Ecology and Management of Aquatic Plants. Developments in Hydrobiology, 147, Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0922-4_14, 1999.
- 4555 <u>Almqvist, G., Strandmark, A. K., and Appelberg, M.: Has the invasive round goby caused new links in Baltic food webs?</u> Environ. Biol. Fish. 89, 79-93 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-010-9692-z, 2010.

Altieri, A. H. and Diaz, R. J., Chapter 24 - Dead Zones: Oxygen Depletion in Coastal Ecosystems, Charles Sheppard, C. (Ed.): World Seas: an Environmental Evaluation (Second Edition), Academic Press, 453-473, ISBN 9780128050521, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-805052-1.00021-8, 2019.

4560 <u>Andersen, J. H., Halpern, B. S., Korpinen, S., Murray, C., and Reker, J.: Baltic Sea biodiversity status vs. cumulative human pressures, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 161, 88-92, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.05.002, 2015.</u>

Andersen, J. H., Carstensen, J., Conley, D. J., Dromph, K., Fleming-Lehtinen, V., Gustafsson, B. G., Josefson, A. B., Norkko, A., Villnäs, A., and Murray C.: Long-term temporal and spatial trends in eutrophication status of the Baltic Sea. Biol. Rev., 92, 135-149, https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12221, 2017.

- 565 Andersen, J. H., Al-Hamdani, Z., Harvey, E. T., Kallenbach E., Murray C., and Stock, A.: Relative impacts of multiple human stressors in estuaries and coastal waters in the North Sea–Baltic Sea transition zone, Sci. Total Environ. 704, 135316, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135316, 2020.
 - Andersson, M. H., and Öhman M. C.: Fish and sessile assemblages associated with wind-turbine constructions in the Baltic Sea. Mar. Freshw. Res. 61, 642-650. https://doi.org/10.1071/MF09117, 2010.
- 4570 Andrady, A. L.: Microplastics in the marine environment, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 62, 1596-1605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.05.030, 2011. Appl. and D.: Appl. Appl. Appl. Appl. Appl. Prov. Prov.
 - Appleyard, D.: Avoiding a big bang for your buck. Renew. Energ. Focus, 16, 5, 138-141, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ref.2015.10.018, 2015.

	Arabadzhyan, A., Figini, P., García, C., González, M.M., Lam-González, Y.E. and León, C.J.: Climate change, coastal
575	tourism, and impact chains - a literature review, Current Issues in Tourism, 24:16, 2233-2268, DOI:
	10.1080/13683500.2020.1825351, 2021.
	Arheimer, B., Dahné, J., and Donnelly, C.: Climate Change Impact on Riverine Nutrient Load and Land-Based Remedial
	Measures of the Baltic Sea Action Plan. AMBIO 41, 600-612 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-012-0323-0, 2012.
	Arheimer, B., Donnelly, C., and Lindström, G.: Regulation of snow-fed rivers affects flow regimes more than climate
4580	change, Nat. Commun. 8, 62, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00092-8, 2017.
	Armitage, J. M., Quinn, C. L., and Wania, F.: Global climate change and contaminants-an overview of opportunities and
	priorities for modelling the potential implications for long-term human exposure to organic compounds in the Arctic, J.
	Environ. Monitor., 13, 6, 1532–1546, 2011.
	Arora V. K., and Montenegro, A.: Small temperature benefits provided by realistic afforestation efforts, Nat. Geosci., 4,
4585	514–518, DOI: 10.1038/ngeo1182, 2011.
	Ashraf, F. B., Ali, T. H., Marttila, H., and Kløve, B.: Assessing impacts of climate change and river regulation on flow
	regimes in cold climate: A study of a pristine and a regulated river in the sub-arctic setting of Northern Europe, J Hydrol
	542, 410-422, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.09.016, 2016.
	Asmala, E., Carstensen, J., Conley, D. J., Slomp, C. P., Stadmark, J., and Voss, M.: Efficiency of the coastal filter: nitrogen
90	and phosphorus removal in the Baltic Sea, Limnol. Oceanogr. 62, S222-S238, doi: 10.1002/lno.10644, 2017.
	Atalah, J., and Sanchez-Jerez, P.: Global assessment of ecological risks associated with farmed fish escapes, Global Ecol.
	Conservat., 21, e00842, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00842. 2020.
	Avramescu, ML., Yumvihoze, E., Hintelmann, H., Ridal, J., Fortin, D., and Lean, D. R. S.: Biogeochemical factors
	influencing net mercury methylation in contaminated freshwater sediments from the St. Lawrence River in Cornwall,
95	Ontario, Canada, Sci. Total Environ., 409, 5, 968-978, ISSN 0048-9697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.11.016,
	<u>2011.</u>
	Azour, F., van Deurs, M., Behrens, J., Carl, H., Hüssy, K., Greisen, K., Ebert, R., and Møller, P. R.: Invasion rate and
	population characteristics of the invasive round goby Neogobius melanostomus: effects of density and invasion history,
	Aquat. Biol. 24, 41-52, https://doi.org/10.3354/ab00634, 2015.
00	BACC Author Team: Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer- Verlag
	Berlin-Heidelberg. ISBN 978-3-540-72786-6, DOI 10.1007/978-3-540-72786-6, 2008.
	BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer
	International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.
	Bagdanavičiūtė, I., Kelpšaitė-Rimkienė, L., Galinienė, J., and Soomere, T.: Index based multi-criteria approach to coastal
)5	risk assessment, J. Coast. Conserv. 23, 785-800, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-018-0638-5, 2019.
	Bala, G., Caldeira, K., Wickett, M., Phillips, T. J., Lobell, D. B., Delire, C., and Mirin, A.: Combined climate and carbon-
	cycle effects of large-scale deforestation. PNAS 104, 16, 6550-6555, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0608998104, 2007.
	Balčiūnas, A., and Blažauskas, N.: Scale, origin and spatial distribution of marine litter pollution in the Lithuanian coastal
	zone of the Baltic Sea. Baltica, 27, 39-44, 2014.
10	Balica S. F., Wright, N. G., and van der Meulen, F.: A flood vulnerability index for coastal cities and its use in assessing
	climate change impacts. Nat. Hazards 64, 73-105. DOI 10.1007/s11069-012-0234-1, 2012
	Baltic Earth: Baltic Earth Science Plan 2017. International Baltic Earth Secretariat Publication Series No. 11, ISSN 2198-
	4247, 2017.
	BalticLINes: Shipping in the Baltic Sea -Past, present and future developments relevant for Maritime Spatial Planning.
15	Project Report I. 35 p, 2016.
1	Baltic Pipe Project. https://baltic-pipe.eu/ last access 16 April 2021.

	Baresel, C., and Olshammar, M.: On the Importance of Sanitary Sewer Overflow on the Total Discharge of Microplastics
	from Sewage water, J. Environ. Protect., 10, 1105-1118, DOI: 10.4236/jep.2019.109065, 2019.
	Barkhordarian, A., von Storch, H., Zorita, E., and Gomez-Navarro, J. J.: An attempt to deconstruct recent climate change in
4620	the Baltic Sea basin, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121 , 13 , $207 - 13$, 217 , doi: $10.1002/2015JD024648$, 2016 .
	Barnes, D. K. A., Galgani, F., Thompson, R. C., and Barlaz, M.: Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic debris in global
	environments, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B3641985–1998 http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0205, 2009.
	Barnhart, K. R., Anderson, R. S., Overeem, I., Wobus, C., Clow, G. D., and Urban, F. E.: Modeling erosion of ice-rich
	permafrost bluffs along the Alaskan Beaufort Sea coast, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 119, 1155-1179,
4625	<u>doi:10.1002/2013JF002845, 2014.</u>
	Barregard, L., Molnàr, P., Jonson, J. E., and Stockfelt, L.: Impact on Population Health of Baltic Shipping Emissions, Int. J.
	Environ. Res. Public Health 16, 1954, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16111954, 2019.
	Bartosova, A., Capell, R., Olesen, J. E., Jabloun, M., Refsgaard, J. C., Donnelly, C., Hyytiäinen, K., Pihlainen, S.,
	Zandersen, M., and Arheimer, B.: Future socioeconomic conditions may have a larger impact than climate change on
4630	nutrient loads to the Baltic Sea. Ambio 48, 1325-1336, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01243-5, 2019.
	Bastardie, F., Rasmus Nielsen, J., Eigaard, O. R., Fock, H. O., Jonsson, P. and Bartolino, V.: Competition for marine space:
	modelling the Baltic Sea fisheries and effort displacement under spatial restrictions, ICES Journal of Marine Science, 72,
	3, 824-840, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu215, 2015.
	Bauer, B., Horbowy, J., Rahikainen, M., Kulatska, N., Müller-Karulis, B., Tomczak, M.T., et al.: Model uncertainty and
4635	simulated multispecies fisheries management advice in the Baltic Sea. PLoS ONE 14, 1, e0211320,
	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211320, 2019.
	Bechard, A.: The economic impacts of harmful algal blooms on tourism: an examination of Southwest Florida using a spline
	regression approach. Nat Hazards 104, 593-609, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04182-7, 2020.
	Becker, A, Ng, A. K. Y., McEvoy, D., and Mullett, J.: Implications of climate change for shipping: Ports and supply chains.
4640	WIREs Clim Change. 9:e508, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.508, 2018.
	Bełdowska, M.: The Influence of Weather Anomalies on Mercury Cycling in the Marine Coastal Zone of the Southern
	Baltic-Future Perspective. Water Air Soil. Pollut. 226, 2248, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-014-2248-7, 2015.
	Bełdowska, M., Jędruch, A., Słupkowska, J., Saniewska, D., and Saniewski, M.: Macrophyta as a vector of contemporary
	and historical mercury from the marine environment to the trophic web, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 22, 5228-5240,
4645	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-4003-4, 2015.
	Bełdowska M., Jędruch, A., Zgrundo, A., Ziółkowska, M., Graca, B., and Gębka, K.: The influence of cold season warming
	on the mercury pool in coastal benthic organisms, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 171, 99-105, ISSN 0272-7714,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2016.01.033, 2016a.
	Bełdowska, M., Jędruch, A., Łęczyński, L., et al.: Coastal erosion as a source of mercury into the marine environment along
4650	the Polish Baltic shore, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 16372-16382 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016- 6753-7, 2016b.
	Bełdowska M., and Kobos, J.: Mercury concentration in phytoplankton in response to warming of an autumn - winter
	season, Environ. Pollut., 215, 38-47, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.002, 2016.
	Bełdowski, J., Loeffler, A., Schneider, B., and Joensuu, L.: Distribution and biogeochemical control of total CO2 and total
	alkalinity in the Baltic Sea, J. Mar. Syst., 81, 252–259, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.12.020, 2010.
4655	Bełdowski, J., Miotk, M., and Pempkowiak, J.: Methylation index as means of quantification of the compliance of
	sedimentary mercury to be methylated, Environ. Monit. Assess. 187, 498 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-4716-y,
	2015.
1	

	Bełdowski, J., Szubska, M., Siedlewicz, G., Korejwo, E., Grabowski, M., Bełdowska, M., Kwasigroch, U., Fabisiak, U.,
	Łońska, E., Szala, M, Pempkowiak, J.: Sea-dumped ammunition as a possible source of mercury to the Baltic Sea
60	sediments, Science of The Total Environment, 674, 363-373, doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.058., 2019.
	Belgrano, A., Novaglio, C., Svedäng, H., Villasante, S., Melián, C. J., Blenckner, T., Bergström, U., Bryhn, A., Bergström,
	L., Bartolino, V., Sköld, M., Tomczak, M., Wikström, S. A., Hansen, A. S., Linke, S., Emmerson, R., Morf, A.,
	Tönnesson, K.: Mapping and Evaluating Marine Protected Areas and Ecosystem Services: A Transdisciplinary Delphi
	Forecasting Process Framework, Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 9,
65	https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fevo.2021.652492, DOI=10.3389/fevo.2021.652492, 2021.
	Bergström, L., Karlsson, M., Bergström, U., Pihl, L., and Kraufvelin, P.: Relative impacts of fishing and eutrophication on
	coastal fish assessed by comparing a no-take area with an environmental gradient, Ambio 48, 565-579,
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-018-1133-9, 2019.
	Besio, G., and Losada, M. A.: Sediment transport patterns at Trafalgar offshore windfarm, Ocean Eng., 35, 7, 653-665, ISSN
70	0029-8018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2008.01.002, 2008
	Beusen, A. H. W., Bouwman, A. F., Van Beek, L. P. H., Mogollón, J. M., and Middelburg, J. J.: Global riverine N and P
	transport to ocean increased during the 20th century despite increased retention along the aquatic continuum,
	Biogeosciences, 13, 2441-2451, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2441-2016, 2016.
	Bhend, J.: Regional Evidence of Global Warming. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for
75	the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI
	<u>10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.</u>
	Bianchi, T. S., Engelhaupt, E., Westman, P., Andrén, T., Rolff, C., and Elmgren, R.: Cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic
	Sea: Natural or human-induced? Limnol. Oceanogr., 45, 3, 716-726, doi: 10.4319/lo.2000.45.3.0716, 2000.
	Björkqvist, J. V., Lukas, I., Alari, V., van Vledder, G.P., Hulst, S., Pettersson, H., Behrens, A., and Männik, A.: Comparing a
30	41-year model hindcast with decades of wave measurements from the Baltic Sea, Ocean Eng., 152, 57-71, doi:
	<u>10.1016/j.oceaneng.2018.01.048, 2018.</u>
	Blenckner, T, Möllmann, C, Stewart Lowndes, J, Griffiths, J.R., Campbell, E., De Cervo, A., Belgrano, A., Boström, C.,
	Fleming, V., Frazier, M., Neuenfeldt, S., Niiranen, S., Nilsson, A., Ojaveer, H., Olsson, J., Palmlöv, C.S., Quaas, M.,
	Rickels, W., Sobek, A., Viitasalo, M., Wikström, S.A., Halpern, B.S.: The Baltic Health Index (BHI): Assessing the
35	social-ecological status of the Baltic Sea. People Nat. 3, 359-375, https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10178, 2021.
	Boano, F., Harvey, J.W., Marion, A., Packman, A. I., Revelli, R., Ridolfi L., Wörman A.: Hyporheic flow and transport
	processes, Mechanisms, models, and biogeochemical implications. Geophys. Rev. 52, 4 603-679,
	<u>10.1002/2012RG000417, 2014.</u>
	Boettcher, M., Hoffmann, P., Lenhart, H., Schluenzen, K. H., and Schoetter, R.: Influence of large offshore wind farms on
90	North German climate. Meteorol. Z., 24, 5, 465-480, doi: 10.1127/metz/2015/0652. 2015.
	Bolan, N. S., Adriano, D. C., Naidu, R., De La Luz Mora, M., and Santiagio, M.: Phosphorus-Trace Element Interactions in
	Soil-Plant Systems. In Phosphorus: Agriculture and the Environment, Sims, J. T., Sharpley, A. N. and Westermann, D. T.
	(Eds.), https://doi.org/10.2134/agronmonogr46.c10, 2005.
	Boldt, J. L., Martone, R., Samhouri, J., Perry, R. I., Itoh, S., Ik Kyo Chung, Takahashi, M., and Yoshie, N.: Developing
95	Ecosystem Indicators for Responses to Multiple Stressors. Oceanography 27, 4, 116-33,
	http://www.jstor.org/stable/24862217. 2014.
	BONUS, 2021. BONUS Projects. https://www.bonusportal.org/projects, last access: 21 April 2021.
	Borgå, K., Saloranta, T. M., and Ruus, A.: Simulating climate change-induced alterations in bioaccumulation of organic
	contaminants in an Arctic marine food web, Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 29, 6, 1349-1357, https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.159,

4700 <u>2010.</u>

- Borzenkova, I., Zorita, E., Borisova, O., Kalnina, L., Kisiliene, D., Koff, T., Kutsenov, D, Lemdahl, G., Sapelko, T.,
 Stanckaite, M., and Subetto, D.: Climate Change during the Holocene (past 12.000 years). In: BACC II Author Team:
 Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer International
 Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.
- Braby, C. E., and Somero, G. N.: Following the heart: temperature and salinity effects on heart rate in native and invasive species of blue mussels (genus Mytilus), J. Experiment. Biol. 209, 2554-2566, doi: 10.1242/jeb.02259, 2006.
 Bragée, P., Choudhary, P., Routh, J., Boyle, J. F., and Hammarlund, D.: Lake ecosystem responses to catchment disturbance
 - and airborne pollution: an 800-year perspective in southern Sweden, J. Paleolimnol. 50, 4, 545-560, DOI 10.1007/s10933-013-9746-2, 2013.
- 4710 Brander, K., and Havenhand, J.: Impacts of Climate Change, Including Acidification, on Marine Ecosystems and Fisheries. In: Brander, K., MacKenzie, B., Omstedt, A. (Eds.) Climate Impacts on the Baltic Sea: From Science to Policy. Springer Earth System Sciences. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-25728-5_5, 2012.
- Brander, K., Kevern, C., Barange, M., and Soto, D.: Climate change implications for fisheries and aquaculture. In: Climate Change Impacts on Fisheries and Aquaculture: A Global Analysis. Phillips, B. F., Pérez-Ramírez, M. (Eds). John Wiley
 8 Sons, 1048 pp. 2017.
- Brandt, J., et al.: Assessment of past, present and future health-cost externalities of air pollution in Europe and the contribution from international ship traffic using the EVA model system, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 15, 7747–7764, doi:10.5194/acp-13-7747-2013, 2013.

Braun, O. L., Lohmann, M., Maksimovic, O., Meyer, M., Merkovic, A., Messerschmidt, E., Riedel, A., and Turner, M.:

- 720 Potential impact of climate change effects on preferences for tourism destinations. A psychological pilot study. Clim. Res., 11, 3, 247-254, doi: 10.3354/cr011247, 1999.
 - Breivik, K., et al.: Tracking the Global Distribution of Persistent Organic Pollutants Accounting for E Waste Exports to Developing Regions, Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 2, 798–805, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b04226, 2016.
- Bressy, C., and Lejars, M.: Marine Fouling An Overview, J Ocean Technol, 9, 4, 19-28, 2014
- 725 Broad, A., Rees, M.J., Davis, A.R.: Anchor and chain scour as disturbance agents in benthic environments: trends in the literature and charting a course to more sustainable boating and shipping, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 161, Part A, 111683, ISSN 0025-326X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111683, 2020.
 - Buck, B. H., Krause, G., Pogoda, B., Grote, B., Wever, L., Goseberg, N., Schupp, M. F., Mochtak, A. and Czybulka, D.: The German Case Study: Pioneer Projects of Aquaculture-Wind Farm Multi-Uses / In: Aquaculture Perspective of Multi-Use
- Sites in the Open Ocean: The Untapped Potential for Marine Resources in the Anthropocene, Buck, B. and Langan, R. (Eds.), Springer International Publishing, 102 p., ISBN: 978-3-319- 51159-7, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-51159-7, 2017.
 Budimir, S., Setala, O., and Lehtiniemi, M.: Effective and easy to use extraction method shows low numbers of microplastics in offshore planktivorous fish from the northern Baltic Sea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 127, 586-592,
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.12.054, 2018.
- Bunt, C. M., Castro-Santos, T. and Haro, A.: Performance Of Fish Passage Structures At Upstream Barriers To Migration.

 River Res. Applic., 28, 457-478, https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1565, 2012.
 - Burnett, W. C., Bokuniewicz, H. J., Huettel, M., Moore, W. S., and Taniguchi, M.: Groundwater and porewater inputs to the coastal zone, Biogeochemistry 66, 3-33, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BIOG.000000666.21240.53, 2003.
- Burnett, W. C., Aggarwal, P. K., Aureli, A., Bokuniewicz, H., Cable, J. E., Charette, M. A., Kontar, E., Krupa, S., Kulkarni,
 <u>K. M., Loveless, A., Moore, W. S., Oberdorfer, J. A., Oliveira, J., Ozyurt, N., Povinec, P., Privitera, A. M. G., Rajar, R.,</u> Ramessur, R. T., Scholten, J., Stieglitz, T., Taniguchi, M., and Turner, J. V.: Quantifying submarine groundwater discharge in the coastal zone via multiple methods, Sci. Total Environ. 367, 498–543, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.05.009. 2006.

	Bzoma, S.: The contribution of round goby (Neogobius melanostomus Pallas, 1811) to the food supply of cormorants
745	(Phalacorocorax carbo Linnaeus, 1758) feeding in the Puck Bay, Bulletin of the Sea Fisheries Institute, Gdynia. 2, 39-48,
	<u>1998.</u>
	Cai, W. J., Hu, X., Huang, W. J., et al.: Acidification of subsurface coastal waters enhanced by eutrophication. Nature
	Geosci 4, 766–770, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1297, 2011.
	Carstensen, J., Jesper, H., Gustafsson B. G., and Conley D. J.: Deoxygenation of the Baltic Sea during the last century.
750	PNAS 111, 15, 5628-5633, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1323156111, 2014.
	Casini, M., Kornilovs, G., Cardinale, M., et al.: Spatial and temporal density dependence regulates the condition of central
	Baltic Sea clupeids: compelling evidence using an extensive international acoustic survey. Popul. Ecol. 53, 511-523,
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-011-0269-2, 2011.
	Casini, M., Eero, M., Carlshamre, S., and Lövgren, J.: Using alternative biological information in stock assessment:
755	condition-corrected natural mortality of Eastern Baltic cod, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 73, 10, 2625-2631,
	https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw117, 2016a.
	Casini, M., Käll, F., Hansson, M., Plikshs, M., Baranova, T., Karlsson, O., Lundström, K., Neuenfeldt, S., Gårdmark, A., and
	Hjelm, J .: Hypoxic areas, density-dependence and food limitation drive the body condition of a heavily exploited marine
	fish predator. Roy. Soc. Open Sci. 3, 160416, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160416, 2016b.
60	Casper, B. M., Popper, A. N., Matthews, F., Carlson, T. J., and Halvorsen, M. B.: Recovery of barotrauma injuries in
	Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha from exposure to pile driving sound, PLoSONE, 7:e39593,
	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039593, 2012.
	Casper, B. M., Halvorsen, M. B., Matthews, F., Carlson, T. J., and Popper, A. N: Recovery of barotrauma injuries resulting
	from exposure to pile driving sound in two sizes of hybrid striped bass, PLoSONE, 8:e73844,
65	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073844, 2013.
	Chaouachi, A., Covrig, C. F., and Ardelean, M.: Multi-criteria selection of offshore wind farms: Case study for the Baltic
	States, Energ. Policy, 103, 179-192, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.01.018, 2017.
	Chen, W., Koundouri, P., Dávila, O. G., Haggett, C., Rudolph, D., Lu, S-Y., Chi, C-F., Yu, J., Golmen, L., and Ying, Y-H.:
	Social acceptance and socioeconomic effects of Multi-Use Offshore Developments: Theory and Applications in
70	MERMAID and TROPOS projects. In DEOS Working Papers 2021 Athens University of Economics and Business. 2020
	Christensen O.B. Kjellström, E., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M. and Meier, H.E.M.: Atmospheric regional climate projections for
	the Baltic Sea Region until 2100, Earth Syst. Dynam. in preparation, 2021.
	Christensen, O. B., and Kjellström, E.: Projections for Temperature, Precipitation, Wind, and Snow in the Baltic Sea Region
	until 2100. Oxford Research Encyclopedia for climate science. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/
75	9780190228620.013.695, 2018.
	Coccossis, H.: Integrated Coastal Management and River Basin Management, Water, Air and Soil Pollution: Focus 4, 411-
	419, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:WAFO.0000044814.44438.81, 2004.
	Coco, G., Senechal, N., Rejas, A., Bryan, K. R., Capo, S., Parisot, J. P., Brown, J. A., and MacMahan, J. H. M: Beach
	response to a sequence of extreme storms. Geomorphology, 204, 493-501, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.08.028, 2014.
80	Cole, D. W., Cole, R., Gaydos, S. J., Gray, J., Hyland, G., Jacques, M. L., Powell-Dunford, N., Sawhney, C., and Au, W. W.:
	Aquaculture: Environmental, toxicological, and health issues, Int. JHyg Environ. Heal., 212, 4, 369-377,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2008.08.003, 2009.
	Coll-Lladó, C., Giebichenstein, J., Webb, P. B., Bridges C. R., and Garcia de la Serrana, D.: Ocean acidification promotes
	otolith growth and calcite deposition in gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) larvae, Sci. Rep. 8, 8384,
785	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26026-y, 2018.

Conley, D. J., Björk, S., Bonsdorff, E., Carstensen, J., Destouni, G., Gustafsson, B. G., Hietanen, S., Kortekaas, M., Kuosa, H., Meier, H. E. M., Müller-Karulis, B., Nordberg, K., Nürnberg, G., Norkko, A., Pitkänen, H., Rabalais, N., Rosenberg, 790 R., Savchuk, O., Slomp, C. P., Voss, M., Wulff, F., and Zillén, L.: Hypoxia-Related Processes in the Baltic Sea. Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 10, 3412-3420, https://doi.org/10.1021/es802762a, 2009 Conley, D. J., Carstensen, J., Aigars, J., Axe, P., Bonsdorff, E., Eremina, T., Haahti, B.-M., Humborg, C., Jonsson, P., Kotta, J., Lännegren, C., Larsson, U., Maximov, A., Rodriguez Medina, M., Lysiak-Pastuszak, E., Remeikaitė-Nikienė, N., Walve, J., Wilhelms, S., and Zillén, L.: Hypoxia Is Increasing in the Coastal Zone of the Baltic Sea, Environ. Sci. 795 Technol., 45, 16, 6777-6783, DOI: 10.1021/es201212r, 2011. Contestabile, P., Crispino, G., Di Lauro, E., Ferrante, V., Gisonni, C., Vicinanza, D.: Overtopping breakwater for wave Energy Conversion: Review of state of art, recent advancements and what lies ahead, Renew. Energ., 147, 1, 705-718, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.08.115. 2020. Cottrell, S., and Raadik Cottrell, J.: The State of Tourism in the Baltics. Scand. J. Hosp. Tour., 15, 4, 321-326, DOI: 4800 10.1080/15022250.2015.1081798, 2015. Crain, C. M., Kroeker, K., Halpern, B. S.: Interactive and cumulative effects of multiple human stressors in marine systems, Ecol. Lett., 11, 1304-1315, doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01253.x, 2008. Critchley, A., Hurtado, A., Pereira, L., Cornish, M., Largo, D. and Nicholas, P.: Seaweed resources of the world: a 2020 vision, Bot. Mar., 62, 3, 191-193. https://doi.org/10.1515/bot-2019-0028, 2019. 805 Cross, E. E., and Rawding, R. S.: Acute thermal tolerance in the round goby, Apollonia melanostoma (Neogobius melanostomus). J. Therm. Biol., 34, 85-92, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2008.10.006, 2009. Czajkowski, M., Ahtiainen, H., Artell, J., Budzinski, W., Hasler, B., Hasselstrom, L., Meyerhoff, J., Nommann, T., Semeniene, D., Soderqvist, T., Tuhkanen, H., Lankia, T., Vanags, A., Zandersen, M., and Zylicz, T.: Valuing the commons: An international study on the recreational benefits of the Baltic Sea, J. Environ. Man., 156, 209-217, doi: 4810 10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.03.038, 2015. Czub, M., Kotwicki, L., Lang, T., Sanderson, H., Klusek, Z., Grabowski, M., Szubska, M., Jakacki, J., Andrzejewski, J., Rak, D. Bełdowski, J.: Deep sea habitats in the chemical warfare dumping areas of the Baltic Sea, Sci. Total Environ., 616-617, 1485-1497, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.165, 2018. Czub, M., Nawała, J., Popiel, S., Dziedzic, D., Brzeziński, T., Maszczyk, P., Sanderson, H., Fabisiak, J., Bełdowski, J. and 4815 Kotwicki, L .: Acute aquatic toxicity of sulfur mustard and its degradation products to Daphnia magna, Mar. Environ. Res. 161, 105077, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105077, 2020. Czub, M., Nawała, J., Popiel, S., Brzeziński, T., Maszczyk, P., Sanderson, H., Maser, E., Gordon, D., Dziedzic, D., Dawidziuk, B., Pijanowska, J., Fabisiak, J., Szubska, M., Lang, T., Vanninen, P., Niemikoski, H., Missiaen, T., Lehtonen, K. K., Bełdowski, J., and Kotwicki, L.: Acute aquatic toxicity of arsenic-based chemical warfare agents to 4820 Daphnia magna, Aquat. Toxicol., 230, 105693, ISSN 0166-445X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2020.105693, 2021. Dachs, J. et al.: Oceanic Biogeochemical Controls, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 20, 4229-4237, https://doi.org/10.1021/es025724k, 2002. Dalsgaard, T., De Brabandere, L. and Hall, P.O.J.: Denitrification in the water column of the central Baltic Sea, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 106, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.12.038, 2013. 4825 Dambeniece-Migliniece, L., Veinbergs, A., Lagzdins, A.: The impacts of agricultural land use on nitrogen and phosphorus loads in the Mellupite catchment, Energy Procedia, 147, 189-194, ISSN 1876-6102,

Collins, B. D., and Sitar, N.: Processes of coastal bluff erosion in weakly lithified sands, Pacifica, California, USA.

Geomorphology, 97, 3-4, 483-501, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.09.004. 2008.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.07.054, 2018.

Davidson, I. C., Brown, C. W., Sytsma, M. D., and Ruiz, G. M.: The role of containerships as transfer mecha	nisms of marine
biofouling species, Biofouling, 25, 7, 645-655, DOI: 10.1080/08927010903046268, 2009	

- 4830 <u>Davidson, N. C., Fluet-Chouinard, E., and Finlayson, C. M.: Global extent and distribution of wetlands: trends and issues,</u> <u>Mar. Freshw. Res., 69, 4, 620–627, doi.org/10.1071/MF17019, 2018.</u>
 - Davis, R. A., and Barnard, P.: Morphodynamics of the barrier-inlet system, west-central Florida, Mar. Geol., 200, 1–4, 77-101, ISSN 0025-3227, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(03)00178-6, 2003.
- <u>de Silva, S. S., and Soto, D.: Climate change and aquaculture: potential impacts, adaptation and mitigation. In Cochrane, K.,</u>
 <u>De Young, C., Soto, D., and Bahri, T. (Eds.), Climate change implications for fisheries and aquaculture: overview of current scientific knowledge. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 530, 151-212, Rome, FAO, 2009.</u>
- de Wit, C. A., Bossi, R., Dietz, R., Dreyer, A., Faxneld, S., Garbus, S. E., Hellström, P., Koschorreck, J., Lohmann, N., Roos, A., Sellström, U., Sonne, C., Treu, G., Vorkamp, K., Yuan, B., and Eulaers, I.: Organohalogen compounds of emerging concern in Baltic Sea biota: Levels, biomagnification potential and comparisons with legacy contaminants,
- 840 Environ. Int., 144, 106037, ISSN 0160-4120, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106037, 2020.
- Defeo, O., McLachlan, A., Schoeman, D. S., Schlacher, T. A., Dugan, J., Jones, A., Lastra, M., and Scapini, F.: Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: A review, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 81, 1, 1-12, ISSN 0272-7714, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2008.09.022, 2009.

Degraer, S., Carey, D., Coolen, J., Hutchison, Z., Kerckhof, F., Rumes, B., and Vanaverbeke, J.: Offshore Wind Farm

- 845 <u>Artificial Reefs Affect Ecosystem Structure And Functioning: A Synthesis. Oceanography, 33, 4, 48-57.</u> doi:10.2307/26965749, 2020.
 - Deng, J. J., Harff, J., Schimanke, S., and Meier, H. E. M.: A method for assessing the coastline recession due to the sea level rise by assuming stationary wind-wave climate, Oceanol. Hydrobiol. St., 44, 3, 362-380, doi: 10.1515/ohs-2015-0035, 2015.
- 4850 Deng, J., Wu, J., Zhang, W., Dudzinska-Nowak, J., and Harff, J.: Characterising the relaxation distance of nearshore submarine morphology: A southern Baltic Sea case study, Geomorphology, 327, 365-376, ISSN 0169-555X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.11.018, 2019.
 - Deng, X., Zhao, C., Yan, H.: Systematic Modeling of Impacts of Land Use and Land Cover Changes on Regional Climate: A Review, Adv. Meteorol., 2013, Article ID 317678, 11 p., https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/317678, 2013.
- 4855 Deppisch, S., Juhola, S., Janßen, H. and Richter, M.: Socio-economic impacts Urban complexes. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Reg. Clim. St., Springer International Publishing. <u>ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.</u>
 - Derraik, J. G. B.: The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: a review. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 44, 842-852, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(02)00220-5, 2002.
- 860 <u>Desholm, M., and Kahlert, J.: Avian collision risk at an offshore wind farm. Biol. Lett.</u>, 1, 296–298. National Environmental <u>Research Institute</u>, Rønde, Denmark. DOI: 10.1098/rsbl.2005.0336, 2005

Devis, A., Van Lipzig, N. P. M., and Demuzere, M.: Should future wind speed changes be taken into account in wind farm development? Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 064012, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aabff7, 2018.

- Di Franco, A., Calo, A., Sdiri, K., Cattano, C., Milazzo, M., and Guidetti, P.: Ocean acidification affects somatic and otolith growth relationship in fish: evidence from an in situ study. Biol. Lett. 15: 20180662.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0662, 2019.
 - Díaz, R. J.: Agriculture's impact on aquaculture: Hypoxia and eutrophication in marine waters", in Advancing the Aquaculture Agenda: Workshop Proceedings, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264088726-20-en, 2010.
(Pelinovsky, E., Kharif, C, Eds.), Springer, 83-94, doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-8314-3_5, 2008. Diesing, M., Schwarzer, K., Zeiler, M., and Klein, H.: Comparison of marine sediment extraction sites by means of shoreface zonation. J Coast Res, Special Issue 39, 783-788, https://www.jstor.org/stable/25741683, 2006. Dippner, J. W., Vuorinen, I., Daunys, D., Flinkman, J., Halkka, A., Köster, F.W., Lehikoinen, E., MacKenzie, B.R., 4875 Möllmann, C., Møhlenberg, F., Olenin, S., Schiedek, D., Skov, H., Wasmund, H.: Climate-related Marine Ecosystem Change, Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer- Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg. ISBN 978-3-540-72786-6, DOI 10.1007/978-3-540-72786-6, 309-377, 2008. Di Segni, D. M., Bitan, M., and Zviely, D.: Assessing the costs for adaptation of marine constructions to sea-level rise. J. Environ. Plann. Man., 60, 11, 2056-2070, doi: 10.1080/09640568.2016.1272441, 2017. 4880 Dissanayake, P., Brown, J., Wisse, P., and Karunarathna, H.: Comparison of storm cluster vs isolated event impacts on beach/dune morphodynamics, Estuar. Coast. .Shelf Sci., 164, 301-312, doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2015.07.040, 2015. Donadi S., Austin Å. N., Bergström U., Eriksson B. K., Hansen J. P., Jacobson P., Sundblad G., van Regteren M. and Eklöf J. S.: A cross-scale trophic cascade from large predatory fish to algae in coastal ecosystems, Proc. R. Soc. B.2842017004520170045, http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0045, 2017. 4885 Doney, S. C., Fabry, V. J., Feely, R. A., and Kleypas, J. A.: Ocean Acidification: The Other CO2 Problem. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 1, 1, 169-192, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163834, 2009. Donis, D., Janssen, F., Liu, B., Wenzhöfer, F., Dellwig, O., Escher, P., Spitzy, A., and Böttcher, M. E.: Biogeochemical impact of submarine ground water discharge on coastal surface sands of the southern Baltic Sea, Estuar, Coast. Shelf Sci., 189, 131-142, doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2017.03.003, 2017. 890 Doo, S. S., Kealoha, A., Andersson, A., Cohen, A. L., Hicks, T. L., Johnson, Z. I., Long, M. H., McElhany, P., Mollica, N., Shamberger, K. E. F., Silbiger, N. J., Takeshita, Y., and Busch, D. S.: The challenges of detecting and attributing ocean acidification impacts on marine ecosystems, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 77, 7-8, 2411-2422, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa094, 2020. Drouineau, H., Carter, C., Rambonilaza, M., Beaufaron, G., Bouleau, G., Gassiat, A., Lambert, P., le Floch, S., Tétard, S., 4895 and de Oliveira E.: River Continuity Restoration and Diadromous Fishes: Much More than an Ecological Issue. Environ. Manage. 61, 671-686, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-017-0992-3, 2018. ECDGE (European Commission DG Energy): Study on Baltic Offshore Wind Energy Cooperation Under BEMIP, Final Report, ENER/C1/2018-456, 2019. Edman, M., Eilola, K., Almroth-Rosell, E., Meier, H. E. M., Wåhlström, I., and Arneborg, L.: Nutrient Retention in the 900 Swedish Coastal Zone, Front. Mar. Sci. 5:415. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00415, 2018. EEA: Environmental indicators: Typology and overview. European Environmental Agency, 1999. Eero, M., MacKenzie, B. R., Köster, F. W., and Gislason, H.: Multi-decadal responses of a cod (Gadus morhua) population to human-induced trophic changes, exploitation and climate variability. Ecol. Appl., 21(1), 214-226, doi: 10.1890/09-1879.1.2011. 905 Eero, M., Andersson, H. C., Almroth-Rosell, E., and MacKenzie, B. R.: Has eutrophication promoted forage fish production in the Baltic Sea? Ambio, 45, 6, 649-60, DOI 10.1007/s13280-016-0788-3, 2016. Ehrnsten, E., Norkko, A., Müller-Karulis, B., Gustafsson, E., and Gustafsson, B. G.: The meagre future of benthic fauna in a

4870 Didenkulova, I., Pelinovsky, E., and Sergeeva, A.: Runup of long irregular waves on plane beach. In: Extreme Ocean Waves

<u>coastal sea</u>—Benthic responses to recovery from eutrophication in a changing climate, Glob. Change Biol. 26, 2235– 2250, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15014, 2020.

910 <u>Eklund, B., and Watermann, B.: Persistence of TBT and copper in excess on leisure boats hulls around the Baltic Sea, Env.</u> <u>Sci. Poll. Res., 25, 12595-14605. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1614-1, 2018.</u>

Ekman, M., and Mäkinen, J.: Mean sea surface topography in the Baltic Sea and its tr	ansition area to the North Sea: a
geodetic solution and comparisons with oceanographic models. J. Geophys. Res-	Oceans 101(C5), 11993-11999,
https://doi.org/10.1029/96JC00318, 1996.	

4915 Elken, J., Lehmann, A., and Myrberg, K.: Recent Change – Marine circulation and stratification. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.

Elliott, M., Borja, Á., Cormier, R.: Managing marine resources sustainably: A proposed integrated systems analysis approach, Ocean Coast. Manage., 197, 105315, ISSN 0964-5691, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105315,

- 4920 <u>2020.</u>
 - Ellis E. C.: Anthropogenic transformation of the terrestrial biosphere. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A369, 1010–1035, doi:10.1098/rsta.2010.0331, 2011.
 - Elmgren, R.: Man's Impact on the Ecosystem of the Baltic Sea: Energy Flows Today and at the Turn of the Century. Ambio, 18, 6, 326–332. JSTOR, www.jstor.org/stable/4313603, 1989.
- 4925 Elmgren, R.: Assessing human effects on the Baltic Sea ecosystem. Ambio 50, 739–741 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-020-01463-0, 2021.

Emeis, S.: A simple analytical wind park model considering atmospheric stability. Wind Energ., 13, 459-469. https://doi.org/10.1002/we.367, 2010.

EMODnet Geology. http://www.emodnet-geology.eu/, last access 22 April 2021.

- 4930 Enders, K., Lenz, R., Ivar Do Sul, J. A., Tagg, A. S., and Labrenz, M.: When every particle matters: A QuEChERS approach to extract microplastics from environmental samples. MethodsX, 7, 100784, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2020.100784, 2020.
 - Endo, S., Yuyama, M., and Takada, H.: Desorption kinetics of hydrophobic organic contaminants from marine plastic pellets, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 74, 1, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.07.018, 2013.
- 4935 Endres, S., Maes, F., Hopkins, F., Houghton, K., Mårtensson, E. M., Oeffner, J., Quack, B., Singh, P., and Turner, D.: A New Perspective at the Ship-Air-Sea-Interface: The Environmental Impacts of Exhaust Gas Scrubber Discharge, Front. Mar. Sci. 5:139. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00139, 2018.

Engstrom-Ost, J., Rasic, I. S., Brutemark, A., Rancken, R., Simic, G. S., and Laugen, A. T.: Can Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii invade the Baltic Sea? Environ. Rev., 23, 2, 161-169, doi: 10.1139/er-2014-0062, 2015.

940 Erm, A., and Soomere, T.: The impact of fast ferry traffic on underwater optics and sediment resuspension. Oceanologia, 48(S), 2006.

Esiukova, E.: Plastic pollution on the Baltic beaches of Kaliningrad region, Russia, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 114, 2, 1072-1080, ISSN 0025-326X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.10.001, 2017.

Eurofish, 2015: Fisheries and aquaculture around the Baltic Sea, A booklet containing an overview of the countries, TACs

- 4945 and quotas, catches, aquaculture, processing, and trade data. https://issuu.com/eurofish/docs/baltic_brochure-final, last access on 20 April 2021.
 - Evans, T. B., White, S. M., and Wilson, A. M.: Coastal groundwater flow at the nearshore and embayment scales: A field and modeling study. Water Resour. Res., 56, e2019WR026445. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026445, 2020.

Fabisiak, J., Jurczak, W., Szubrycht, G., and Zaremba, M.: Ecological safety of the Baltic Sea in the aspects of corrosive
 reprocessing of containers with toxic warfare agents. Journal of KONBiN, 45(1), 27-44.

doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/jok-2018-0002, 2018.

Farquharson, L. M., Mann, D. H., Swanson, D. K., Jones, B. M., Buzard, R. M., and Jordan, J. W.: Temporal and spatial variability in coastline response to declining sea-ice in northwest Alaska, Mar. Geol., 404, 71-83, doi: <u>10.1016/j.margeo.2018.07.007, 2018.</u>

4955	Feistel, R., Nausch, G., and Wasmund, N. (Eds.): State and Evolution of the Baltic Sea, 1952-2005: A Detailed 50-Year
	Survey, John Wiley and Sons Inc, ISBN 978-0-471-97968-5, 2008.
	Fitch, A. C., Lundquist, J. K., and Olson, J. B.: Mesoscale Influences of Wind Farms throughout a Diurnal Cycle, Mon.
	Weather Rev., 141, 7, 2173-2198, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-12-00185.1, 2013.
	Fitzgerald, D. M., Fenster, M. S., Argow, B. A., and Buynevich, I. V.: Coastal Impacts Due to Sea-Level Rise. Annu. Rev.
4960	Earth Planet. Sci. 36:1, 601-647, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.35.031306.140139, 2008.
	Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., Mueller, N. D., O'Connell, C.,
	Ray, D. K., West, P. C., Balzer, C., Bennett, E. M., Carpenter, S. R., Hill, J., Monfreda, C., Polasky, S., Rockstrom, J.,
	Sheehan, J., Siebert, S., Tilman, D., and Zaks, D. P.: Solutions for a cultivated planet. Nature 478, 337-342. DOI:
	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10452, 2011.
4965	Foreman, R. J., Cañadillas, B., Neumann, T., and Emeis, S.: Measurements of heat and humidity fluxes in the wake of
	offshore wind turbines, J. Renew. Sustain. Ener. 9, 053304, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5003811, 2017.
	Frank, M., Schmucker, U., Nowotny, T., Ekkernkamp, A., and Hinz, P.: Not all that glistens is gold: civilian white
	phosphorus burn injuries. Am. J. Emerg. Med. 26, 8, 974.e973-974.e975, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2008.03.011,
	<u>2008.</u>
4970	Frey, T., Bełdowski, J., and Maser, E.: Explosive Ordnance in the Baltic Sea: New Tools for Decision Makers. The Journal
	of Conventional Weapons Destruction 23, 3, Article 11, https://commons.lib.jmu.edu/cisr-journal/vol23/iss3/11, 2020.
	Fronzek, S. and Carter, T. R.: Assessing uncertainties in climate change impacts on resource potential for Europe based on
	projections from RCMs and GCMs, Climatic Change 81, 357-371, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9214-3, 2007.
	Gaillard, MJ.: Archaeological Applications. In: Elias S. A. (Ed.) The Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science, 3, 880-904.
975	Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2013.
	Gaillard, MJ., Kleinen, T., Samuelsson, P., Nielsen, A. B., Bergh, J., Kaplan, J., Poska, A., Sandström, C., Strandberg, G.,
	Trondman, AK., and Wramneby, A.: Causes of Regional Change-Land Cover. In: BACC II Author Team: Second
	Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Reg. Clim. St., Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-
	<u>319-16006- 1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.</u>
980	Galappaththi, E. K., Ichien, S. T., Hyman, A. A., Aubrac, C. J., and Ford, J. D.: Climate change adaptation in aquaculture.
	Rev. Aquacult., 12, 2160-2176, https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12427, 2020.
	Galloway, T., Cole, M., and Lewis, C.: Interactions of microplastic debris throughout the marine ecosystem, Nat. Ecol.
	Evol., 1, 0116, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0116, 2017.
	Gari, S. R., Newton, A., and Icely, J. D.: A review of the application and evolution of the DPSIR framework with an
985	emphasis on coastal social-ecological systems, Ocean Coast. Manage., 103, 63-77, ISSN 0964-5691,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2014.11.013, 2015
	Gattuso, JP., and Hansson, L. (Eds.) Ocean acidification. Oxford university press. ISBN 978-0-19-959108-4, 2011.
	Gębka, K., Bełdowski, J., and Bełdowska M.: The impact of military activities on the concentration of mercury in soils of
	military training grounds and marine sediments, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 23, 22, 23103-23113, 2016.
990	Gębka, K., Saniewska, D., and Bełdowska, M.: Mobility of mercury in soil and its transport into the sea. Environ. Sci. Pollut.
	Res. 27, 8492-8506, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06790-8, 2020.
	Gewert, B., Ogonowski, M., Barth, A., and Macleod, M.: Abundance and composition of near surface microplastics and
	plastic debris in the Stockholm Archipelago, Baltic Sea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 120, 292-302,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.04.062, 2017.
4995	Gissi, E., Manea, E., Mazaris, A. D., Fraschetti, S., Almpanidou, V., Bevilacoua, S., Coll, M., Guarnieri, G., Lloret-Lloret,

E., Pascual, M., Petza, D., Rilov, G., Schonwald, M., Stelzenmüller, V., and Katsanevakis, S.: A review of the combined

effects of climate change and other local human stressors on the marine environment, Sci Total Environ., 755, 1, 142564, ISSN 0048-9697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142564, 2021.

Glüge, J., Steinlin, C., Schalles, S., Wegmann, L., Tremp, J., Breivik, K., Hungerbühler, K., Bogdal, C.: Import, use, and 5000 emissions of PCBs in Switzerland from 1930 to 2100, PloS one. Public Library of Science, 12, 10, p. e0183768, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183768, 2017.

- Gollasch, S., Lenz, J., Dammer, M., and Andres, H.-J.: Survival of tropical ballast water organisms during a cruise from the Indian Ocean to the North Sea, J. Plankton Res., 22, 5, 923-937, https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/22.5.923, 2020.
- Gomez-Velez, J., and Harvey, J. W.: A hydrogeomorphic river network model predicts where and why hyporheic exchange 005 is important in large basins, Geophys. Res. Lett., 10.1002/2014GL061099, 2014.
- Gopalakrishnan, T., Hasan, M. K., Sanaul Haque, A. T. M., Layomi Jayasinghe, S., and Kumar, L.: Sustainability of Coastal Agriculture under Climate Change. Sustainability 11, 24, 7200, https://doi.org/10.3390/su11247200, 2019.
- Górski, J.: The BalticPipe in Context: The Geopolitical and Normative Analysis, 2019, addendum June 2020, 17(5) Oil Gas and Energy Law 1-85, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3636052, 2020.
- 010 Graca, B., Szewc, K., Zakrzewska D., Dołęga, A., and Szczerbowska-Boruchowska, M.: Sources and fate of microplastics in marine and beach sediments of the Southern Baltic Sea-a preliminary study, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 24, 7650-7661. DOI 10.1007/s11356-017-8419-5, 2017.

Granberg, M. E., Gunnarsson, J. S., Hedman, J. E., Rosenberg, R., and Jonsson, P.: Bioturbation-Driven Release of Organic Contaminants from Baltic Sea Sediments Mediated by the Invading Polychaete Marenzelleria neglecta, Environ. Sci.

- 5015 Technol. 42, 4, 1058-1065, DOI: 10.1021/es071607j, 2008.
 - Grant, S. B., Azizian, M., Cook, P., Boano, F. and Rippy, M.A.: Factoring stream turbulence into global assessments of nitrogen pollution, Science 359, 1266-1269, DOI: 10.1126/science.aap8074, 2018.
 - Gräwe, U., Klingbeil, K., Kelln, J., and Dangendorf, S.: Decomposing mean sea level rise in a semi-enclosed basin, the Baltic Sea, J. Climate, 32, 3089-3108, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0174.1, 2019.
- 020 Grillakis, M. G., Koutroulis, A. G., Seiradakis, K. D., and Tsanis, I. A.: Implications of 2 °C global warming in European summer tourism. Climate Services, 1, 30-38, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2016.01.002. 2016.

Grinsted, A., Jevrejeva, S., Riva, R. E., and Dahl-Jensen, D.: Sea level rise projections for northern Europe under RCP8. 5. Climate Res., 64, 1, 15-23. doi:10.3354/cr01309, 2015.

Gröger, M., Arneborg, L., Dieterich, C., Höglund, A. and Meier H.E.M.: Summer hydrographic changes in the Baltic Sea, 5025 Kattegat and Skagerrak projected in an ensemble of climate scenarios downscaled with a coupled regional ocean-sea

- ice-atmosphere model, Clim. Dynam. 53, 5945-5966 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04908-9, 2019. Gröger, M., Dieterich, C., Haapala, J., Ho-Hagemann, H. T. M., Hagemann, S., Jakacki, J., May, W., Meier, H. E. M., Miller, P. A., Rutgersson, A., and Wu, L.: Coupled regional Earth system modelling in the Baltic Sea region, Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2021-14, in review, 2021.
- 030 Grönholm, T., Mäkelä, T., Hatakka, J., Jalkanen, J.-P., Kuula, J., Laurila, T., Laakso, L. and Kukkonen J.: Evaluation of Methane Emissions Originating from LNG Ships Based on the Measurements at a Remote Marine Station, Environmental Science and Technology 55 (20), 13677-13686, DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.1c03293, 2021.

Gunderson, A. R., Armstrong, E. J., and Stillman, J. H.: Multiple Stressors in a Changing World: The Need for an Improved Perspective on Physiological Responses to the Dynamic Marine Environment, Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 8, 1, 357-378, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-122414-033953, 2016.

- 5035
 - Gustafsson, B. G., and Stigebrandt, A.: Dynamics of nutrients and oxygen/hydrogen sulfide in the Baltic Sea deep water, J. Geophys. Res., 112, G02023, doi:10.1029/2006JG000304, 2007.

	T., Savchuk, O. P., and Zorita, E.: Reconstructing the development of Baltic Sea eutrophication 1850-2006. AMBIO, 41,
040	6, 534-548, doi:10.1007/s13280-012-0317-y, http://www.springerlink.com/content/n5158p42n133/, 2012.
	Gustafsson, E., Wällstedt, T., Humborg, C., Mörth, CM., and Gustafsson, B. G.: External total alkalinity loads versus
	internal generation: The influence of nonriverine alkalinity sources in the Baltic Sea, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 28, 1358-
	1370, doi:10.1002/2014GB004888, 2014.
	Gustafsson, E., Omstedt, A., and Gustafsson, B. G.: The air-water CO2 exchange of a coastal sea- A sensitivity study on
045	factors that influence the absorption and outgassing of CO2 in the Baltic Sea, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 120,
	doi:10.1002/2015JC010832. 2015.
	Gustafsson, E., Savchuk, O.P., Gustafsson, B. G., and Mueller-Karulis, B.: Key processes in the coupled carbon, nitrogen,
	and phosphorus cycling of the Baltic Sea, Biogeochemistry 134:301-317. DOI 10.1007/s10533-017-0361-6, 2017.
	Gustafsson, E., and Gustafsson, B. G.: Future acidification of the Baltic Sea – A sensitivity study, J. Mar. Syst.,
050	https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2020.103397, 2020.
	Gustavsson, J., Wiberg, K., Nguyen, M. A., Josefsson, S., Laudon, H., and Ahrens, L.: Seasonal trends of legacy and
	alternative flame retardants in river water in a boreal catchment, Sci. Total Environ., 692, 1097-1105, ISSN 0048-9697,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.158, 2019.
	Gypens N., Lacroix, G., Lancelot, C., and Borges, A.V., Seasonal and inter-annual variability of air-sea CO2 fluxes and
055	seawater carbonate chemistry in the Southern North Sea, Progr. Oceanogr., 88, 1-4, 59-77, ISSN 0079-6611,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2010.11.004 2011.
	Hagemann, S., Stacke, T., Ho-Hagemann H.T.M.: High Resolution Discharge Simulations Over Europe and the Baltic Sea
	Catchment, Frontiers in Earth Science 8, DOI=10.3389/feart.2020.00012,
	https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/feart.2020.00012, 2020.
060	Hague, B. S., McGregor, S., Murphy, B. F., Reef, R., and Jones, D. A.: Sea Level Rise Driving Increasingly Predictable
	Coastal Inundation in Sydney, Australia. Earths Future, 8, 9, art. no. e2020EF001607, doi: 10.1029/2020EF001607,
	<u>2020.</u>
	Hahmann, A., Peña, A., Pryor, S. and Luzia, G.: Wind resources in CMIP6 models for the North Sea. Conference
	proceedings at EGU 2020, https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu2020-9093, 2020.
065	Hall, M., Müller, D.K., and Saarinen, J.: Nordic Tourism: Issues and Cases Channel View Publications, Cooper, C., Hall, C.
	M., and Dallen, J. T. (Eds.), ISBN-13: 978-1-84541-094-0, 2009.
	Haller, I., Stybel, N., Schumacher, S., and Mossbauer, M.: Will Beaches be enough? Future Changes for Coastal Tourism at
	the German Baltic Sea, J. Coast. Res. 61, 10061, 70-80, doi: https://doi.org/10.2112/SI61-001.68, 2011.
	Halpern, B. S., Frazier, M., Potapenko, J., Casey, K. S., Koenig, K., Longo, C., Stewart Lowndes, J., Cotton Rockwood, R.,
070	Selig, E. R., Selkoe, K. A., and Walbridge, S.: Spatial and temporal changes in cumulative human impacts on the world's
	ocean, Nat. Commun. 6, 7615, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8615, 2015.
	Hamilton, J., Maddison, D., and Tol, R.: Climate change and international tourism: a simulation study. Global Environ.
	Chang. 15, 253-266, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2004.12.009, 2005.
	Hamilton, S. L., Kashef, N. S., Stafford, D. M., Mattiasen, E. G., Kapphahn, L. A., Logan, C. A., Bjorkstedt, E. P., and
075	Sogard, S. M.: Ocean acidification and hypoxia can have opposite effects on rockfish otolith growth, J. Experiment. Mar.
	Biol. Ecol., 521, 151245, ISSN 0022-0981, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2019.151245, 2019.
	Hancock, P.: Human Impacts on the Stream-Groundwater Exchange Zone. Environ. Manage., 29, 763-781,
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-001-0064-5, 2002.

Gustafsson, B. G., Schenk, F., Blenckner, T., Eilola, K., Meier, H. E. M., Müller-Karulis, B., Neumann, T., Ruoho-Airola,

	Hansen, A. J., DeFries, R. S., and Turner, W.: Land Use Change and Biodiversity. In: Gutman, G., et al. (Eds.) Land Change
080	Science. Remote Sensing and Digital Image Processing, 6. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-
	<u>2562-4_16, 2012.</u>
	Hanson, N., Larsson, Å., Parkkonen, J., Faxneld, S., Nyberg, S., Bignert, A., Ek Henning, H., Bryhn, A., Olsson, J., Karlson,
	A. M. L., and Förlin, L.: Ecological changes as a plausible explanation for differences in uptake of contaminants between
	European perch and eelpout in a coastal area of the Baltic Sea, Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol., 80, 103455, ISSN 1382-
085	6689, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2020.103455, 2020.
	Hansson, H.C. and Bhend, J.: Causes of Regional Change: Aerosols. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of
	Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Reg. Clim. St., Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1.
	DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.
	Hansson, M., Viktorsson, L., and Andersson, L.: Oxygen Survey in the Baltic Sea 2019 - Extent of Anoxia and Hypoxia,
090	1960-2019 SMHI Göteborg, Sweden. RO, Report Oceanography, ISSN 0283-1112, 67, 2020.
	Hapke, C. J., Plant, N. G., Henderson, R. E., Schwab, W. C., and Nelson, T. R.: Decoupling processes and scales of
	shoreline morphodynamics, Mar. Geolog., 381, 42-53, ISSN 0025-3227, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2016.08.008,
	<u>2016.</u>
	Harff, J., Deng, J., Dudzińska-Nowak, J., Fröhle, P., Groh, A., Hünicke, B., Soomere, T., and Zhang, W.: What Determines
095	the Change of Coastlines in the Baltic Sea? In: Harff, J., Furmańczyk, K., von Storch, H. (Eds.) Coastline Changes of the
	Baltic Sea from South to East. Coastal Research Library, 19, 15-35, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, , doi: 10.1007/978-3-
	<u>319-49894-2_2, 2017a.</u>
	Harff, J., Furmańczyk, K., and von Storch, H. (Eds): Coastline Changes of the Baltic Sea from South to East. Coastal
	Research Library, vol 19. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 388 pp. 2017b.
100	Harff, J., Jöns, H., and Rosentau, A.: Geological, Paleoclimatological, and Archaeological History of the Baltic Sea Region
	Since the Last Glaciation. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Climate Science, last access: 8 Apr. 2021, from
	https://oxfordre.com/climatescience/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228620.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228620-e-621.
	<u>2020.</u>
	Harvey, C.J., Cox, S.P., Essington, T.E., Hansson, S. and Kitchell, J.F.: An ecosystem model of food web and fisheries
105	interactions in the Baltic Sea, ICES Journal of Marine Science, 60/5, 939-950, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1054-
	<u>3139(03)00098-5, 2003.</u>
	Hasager, C., Nygaard, N., Volker, P., Karagali, I., Andersen, S., and Badger, J.: Wind Farm Wake: The 2016 Horns Rev
	Photo Case. Energies 10, 3, 317, https://doi.org/10.3390/en10030317, 2017.
	Haseler, M., Weder, C., Buschbeck, L., Wesnigk, S., and Schernewski, G.: Cost-effective monitoring of large micro- and
110	meso-litter in tidal and flood accumulation zones at south-western Baltic Sea beaches, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 149, 110544.
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.110544, 2019.
	Haseler, M., Balciunas, A., Hauk, R., Sabaliauskaite, V., Chubarenko, I., Ershova, A., and Schernewski, G.: Marine Litter
	Pollution in Baltic Sea Beaches - Application of the Sand Rake Method, Front. Environ. Sci., 8, 599978,
	https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2020.599978, 2020.
115	Hasler, B., Hyytiäinen, K., Refsgaard, J.C., et al.: Sustainable ecosystem governance under changing climate and land use:
	An introduction, Ambio 48, 1235-1239 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01269-9, 2019.
	Haslob, H., Clemmesen, C., Schaber, M., Hinrichsen, H., et al.: Invading Mnemiopsis leidyi as a potential threat to Baltic
	fish, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 349:303-306. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07283, 2007.
	Hassler, B.: Accidental Versus Operational Oil Spills from Shipping in the Baltic Sea: Risk Governance and Management
120	Strategies, AMBIO 40, 170-178, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-010-0128-y, 2011.

5120

Havenhand, J. N.: How will Ocean Acidification Affect Baltic Sea Ecosystems? An Assessment of Plausible Impacts on Key <u>Functional Groups, AMBIO 41, 637–644, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-012-0326-x, 2012.</u>

Hawkins, A. D., and Popper A. N.: A sound approach to assessing the impact of underwater noise on marine fishes and invertebrates. ICES J. Mar. Sci., 74, 3, 635–651, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw205, 2017.

 S125
 Heinrich, A.: Securitisation in the Gas Sector: Energy Security Debates Concerning the Example of the Nord Stream

 Pipeline. In: Szulecki, K. (Ed.) Energy Security in Europe. Energy, Climate and the Environment. Palgrave Macmillan,

 Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64964-1_3, 2018

HELCOM, Assessment of Coastal Fish in the Baltic SeaBalt. Sea Environ. Proc. No. 103 A, 2006. HELCOM, Baltic Sea Action Plan. https://helcom.fi/baltic-sea-action-plan/, 2007.

- HELCOM, Checklist of Baltic Sea Macro-species. Baltic Sea Environment Proceedings No. 130, 2012.
 <u>HELCOM</u>, Part of the outcome of Plan Bothnia a transboundary Maritime Spatial Planning pilot in the Bothnian Sea. <u>https://helcom.fi/media/publications/Plan-Bothnia-foldout-map.pdf</u>, last access on 20 April 2021. 2013.
 - HELCOM, Number of drowned mammals and waterbirds in fishing gear. HELCOM Core Indicator Report. Online. Viewed 06.10.17, http://www.helcom.fi/, 2017a.
- 135 HELCOM, The Integrated Assessment of Eutrophication supplementary report to the first version of the 'State of the Baltic Sea' report. Available at: http://www.helcom.fi/action-areas/industrial-municipal-releases/waste-water/waste-water-inmunicipalities/ 2017b.
 - HELCOM, State of the Baltic Sea Second HELCOM Holistic Assessment 2011-2016. Baltic Sea Environment Proceedings 155, 2018a.
- 140 <u>HELCOM, Input of nutrients by the seven biggest rivers in the Baltic Sea region. Baltic Sea Environment Proceedings</u> <u>No.163, 2018b.</u>

 HELCOM, Sources and pathways of nutrients to the Baltic Sea. Baltic Sea Environment Proceedings No. 153, 2018c.

 HELCOM, EN-Clime. https://helcom.fi/helcom-at-work/groups/state-and-conservation/en-clime/, last access 21 April 2021.

 2021.

145 <u>Hieronymus, M., and Kalén, O.: Sea-level rise projections for Sweden based on the new IPCC special report: The ocean and cryosphere in a changing climate. Ambio 49, 1587–1600, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01313-8, 2020.</u>

Hino, M., Field, C., and Mach, K.: Managed retreat as a response to natural hazard risk, Nat. Clim. Change 7, 364–370, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3252, 2017.

<u>Hinojosa, I. A., and Thiel, M.: Floating marine debris in fjords, gulfs and channels of southern Chile. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 58,</u>
 <u>341-350, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.10.020, 2009.</u>

- Hirsch, P. E., N'Guyen, A., Adrian-Kalchhauser, I., and Burkhardt-Holm, P.: What do we really know about the impacts of one of the 100 worst invaders in Europe? A reality check. Ambio 45, 267-279, DOI 10.1007/s13280-015-0718-9, 2016.
 Hjalmarsson, S., Wesslander, K., Anderson, L. G., Omstedt, A., Perttilä, M., and Mintrop, L.: Distribution, long-term development and mass balance calculation of total alkalinity in the Baltic Sea, Cont. Shelf Res. 28, 4–5, 593–601. DOI
- 155 <u>10.1016/j.csr.2007.11.010, 2008.</u> <u>Hogfors, H., Motwani, N. H., Hajdu, S., El-Shehawy, R., Holmborn, T., Vehmaa, A., et al.: Bloom-Forming Cyanobacteria</u> <u>Support Copepod Reproduction and Development in the Baltic Sea. PLoS ONE, 9, 11, e112692.</u>
- Support Copepod Reproduction and Development in the Baltic Sea. PLoS ONE, 9, 11, e112692. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112692, 2014.
- Hoggart S. P. G., Hanley, M. E., Parker, D. J., Simmonds, D. J., Bilton, D. T., Filipova-Marinova, M., Franklin, E. L.,
 Kotsev, I., Penning-Rowsell, E. C., Rundle, S. D., Trifonova, E., Vergiev, S., White, A. C., and Thompson, R. C.: The consequences of doing nothing: The effects of seawater flooding on coastal zones, Coast. Engin., 87, 169-182, ISSN 0378-3839, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2013.12.001. 2014.

	Höglund, A. P., Pemberton, R., Hordoir, R., and Schimanke, S.: Ice conditions for maritime traffic in the Baltic Sea in future
E165	<u>cinnate. Borear Environ. Res. 22, 243–203, 2017.</u>
5105	forma in the western Deltie See, Sei Tetel Environ, 726 120624 ISSN 0049 0607
	$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000$
	nups.//doi.org/10.1010/j.scholenv.2020.159024, 2020.
	Holopainen, R., Lentiniemi, M., Meier, H. E. M. et al.: Impacts of changing climate on the non-indigenous invertebrates in
170	the northern Baltic Sea by end of the twenty-first century. Biol. invasions 18, 3015–3032,
5170	$\frac{\text{nttps://doi.org/10.100//s10530-016-119/-z, 2016.}{\text{N}_{12}}$
	Hong, B., Swaney, D. P., McCrackin, M., Svanback, A., Humborg, C., Gustarsson, B., Yershova, A., and Pakhomau, A.:
	Advances in NANI and NAPI accounting for the Baltic drainage basin: spatial and temporal trends and relationships to
	watershed IN and IP fluxes, Biogeochemistry 133:245-261, https://doi.org/10.100//s10533-01/-0330-0, 201/.
	Hooper, I., Hattam, C., and Austen, M.: Recreational use of offshore wind farms: Experiences and opinions of sea anglers in
5175	the UK. Mar. Policy 78, 55-60, doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2017.01.013, 2017.
	Horbowy, J., Podolska, M., and Nadolna-Aftyn, K.: Increasing occurrence of anisakid nematodes in the liver of cod (Gadus
	morhua) from the Baltic Sea: Does infection affect the condition and mortality of fish? Fish. Res., 179, 98–103,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2016.02.011, 2016.
	Hornung, M., Reynolds, B., Stevens, P. A., and Hughes, S.: Water quality changes from input to stream. In: Edwards, R. W.,
5180	Gee, A. S., and Stoner, J. H. (Eds.) Acid Waters in Wales. Monographiae Biologicae, 66. Springer, Dordrecht.
	https://doi.org/10.100//9/8-94-009-1894-8_15, 1990.
	Huang, HY., and Hall, A.: (UCLA Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences). Preliminary Assessment of
	Offshore Wind Development Impacts on Marine Ecosystems. California Energy Commission. CEC-500-2016-023, 2015.
	Huang, P., Hennig, K., Kala, J., Andrys, J., and Hipsey, M. R.: Climate change overtakes coastal engineering as the
5185	dominant driver of hydrological change in a large shallow lagoon, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 24, 56/3–5697,
	https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-5673-2020, 2020.
	Hueppop, O., Dierschke, J., Exo, K., et al.: Bird migration studies and potential collision risk with offshore wind turbines.
	IBIS, Int. J. Avian Sci., 148, 90–109, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2006.00536.x, 2006.
	Humborg C., Conley, D.J., Rahm, L., Wulff, F., Cociasu, A., Ittekkot, V.: Silicon Retention in River Basins: Far-reaching
5190	Effects on Biogeochemistry and Aquatic Food Webs in Coastal Marine Environments, AMBIO: A J. of the Human
	Environment, 29(1):45-50, https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-29.1.45, 2000.
	Humborg, C., Estrup Andersen, H., Blenckner T., Gadegast, M., Giesler, R., Hartmann, J., Hugelius, G., Hürdler, J.,
	Kortelainen, P., Blicher-Mathiesen, G., Venohr, M., and Weyhenmeyer, G.: Environmental Impacts—Freshwater
	Biogeochemistry. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional
5195	Climate Studies, Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.
	Hüssy, K.: Review of western Baltic cod (Gadus morhua) recruitment dynamics, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 68, 1459–1471,
	https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsr088, 2011.
	Huttunen, I., Lehtonen, H., Huttunen, M., Piirainen, V., Korppoo, M., Veijalainen, N., Viitasalo M., and Vehviläinen, B.:
	Effects of climate change and agricultural adaptation on nutrient loading from Finnish catchments to the Baltic Sea, Sci.
5200	Total Environ., 529, 168-181, ISSN 0048-9697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.05.055, 2015.
	Huyben, D., Sun, L., Moccia, R., Kiessling, A., Dicksved, J., and Lundh, T.: Dietary live yeast and increased water
	temperature influence the gut microbiota of rainbow trout, J. Appl. Microbiol., 124, 6, 1377-1392, doi:
	https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13738, 2018.
	Hyytiäinen, K., Bauer, B., Bly Joyce, K., et al.: Provision of aquatic ecosystem services as a consequence of societal
5205	changes: The case of the Baltic Sea, Popul. Ecol. 63, 61–74, https://doi.org/10.1002/1438-390X.12033, 2021.

5205

	IMO: Resolution MEPC. 184, 59,
	https://www.cdn.imo.org/localresources/en/OurWork/Environment/Documents/184(59).pdf, last access: 19 April 2021,
	<u>2009.</u>
	IMO: https://www.imo.org/en/OurWork/Environment/Pages/BWMConventionandGuidelines.aspx, last access: 23 June
210	<u>2021. 2021a.</u>
	IMO: Protecting vulnerable seas from shipping and marine pollution Particularly Sensitive Sea Areas (PSSAs).
	https://imohq.exposure.co/protecting-vulnerable-seas-from-shipping-and-marine-pollution, last access: 19 April 2021.
	<u>2021b.</u>
	IMO: International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL).
215	https://www.imo.org/en/About/Conventions/Pages/International-Convention-for-the-Prevention-of-Pollution-from-Ships-
	(MARPOL).aspx, last access: 20 April 2021. 2021c.
	IMO: IMO 2020: - cutting sulphur oxide emissions. https://www.imo.org/en/MediaCentre/HotTopics/Pages/Sulphur-
	2020.aspx. Website retrieved 12 November 2021. 2021d.
	IMO: International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships.
220	https://www.imo.org/en/About/Conventions/Pages/International-Convention-on-the-Control-of-Harmful-Anti-fouling-
	Systems-on-Ships-(AFS).aspx. Website retrieved 20 April 2021. 2021e.
	IPCC: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth
	Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A.
	Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp., 2014.
225	Ivar do Sul, J. A., and Costa, A. F.: The present and future of microplastic pollution in the marine environment, Environ.
	Pollut., 185, 352-364, ISSN 0269-7491, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.036, 2014.
	Izaguirre, C., Losada, I. J., Camus, P., Vigh J. L. and Stenek V.: Climate change risk to global port operations. Nat. Clim.
	Change 11, 14-20, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00937-z, 2021.
	Jacobsen, P. B. (Ed.): State of the Tourism Industry in the Baltic Sea Region, 2018 Edition. Rostock: BSTC Baltic Sea
230	Tourism Center. 2018.
	Jägerbrand, A. K., Brutemark, A., Barthel Svedén, J., and Gren, IM.: A review on the environmental impacts of shipping
	on aquatic and nearshore ecosystems, Sci. Total Environ., 695, 133637, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133637
	<u>0048-9697, 2019.</u>
	Jahnke, R. A. and Jackson, G. A.: The Spatial Distribution of Sea Floor Oxygen Consumption in The Atlantic and Pacific
235	Oceans. In: Rowe, G.T., Pariente V. (Eds.) Deep-Sea Food Chains and the Global Carbon Cycle. NATO ASI Series
	(Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences), 360. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-2452-
	<u>2_18, 1992.</u>
	Jakubas, D.: The response of the grey heron to a rapid increase of the round goby. Waterbirds. 27: 304-307,
	https://doi.org/10.1675/1524-4695(2004)027[0304:TROTGH]2.0.CO;2, 2004.
240	Jakubowska, M., Białowąs, M., Stankevičiūtė, M., Chomiczewska, A., Pažusienė, J., Jonko-Sobuś, K., Hallmann, A., and
	Urban-Malinga, B.: Effects of chronic exposure to microplastics of different polymer types on early life stages of sea
	trout Salmo trutta, Sci. Total Environ., 740, 139922, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139922, 2020.
	Jalkanen, JP., Brink, A., Kalli, J., Pettersson, H., Kukkonen, J., and Stipa, T.: A modelling system for the exhaust emissions
	of marine traffic and its application in the Baltic Sea area, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4, 9209-9223.
245	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-9209-2009, 2009.
	Jalkanen, JP., Johansson, L., Liefvendahl, M., Bensow, R., Sigray, P., Östberg, M., Karasalo, I., Andersson, M., Peltonen,
	H., and Pajala, J.: Modelling of ships as a source of underwater noise. Ocean Sci., 14, 1373–1383.
	https://doi.org/10.5194/os-14-1373-2018, 2018.
1	

Jalkanen, J.-P., Johansson, L., Wilewska-Bien, M., Granhag, L., Ytreberg, E., Eriksson, K.M., Yngsell, D., Hassellöv, I.M.,

- 250 <u>Magnusson, K., Raudsepp, U., Maljutenko, I., Styhre, L. Winnes, H. and Moldanova, J.: Modeling of discharges from</u> <u>Baltic Sea shipping, Ocean Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/os-2020-99, 2020</u>
 - Järv, L., Kotta, J., Kotta, I., and Raid, T.: Linking the structure of benthic invertebrate communities and the diet of native and invasive fish species in a brackishwaterecosystem, Ann. Zool. Fenn. 48, 129-141. https://doi.org/10.5735/086.048.0301, 2011.
- 255 Jessim, A. I.: Biodegradation of explosive material 2, 4, 6-Trinitrotoluene (TNT), J. Bacteriol. Mycol. Open Access, 6, 2, <u>116-120. 2018.</u>
 - Johansson, L., Jalkanen, J.-P., Kalli, J., and Kukkonen, J.: The evolution of shipping emissions and the costs of regulation changes in the northern EU area. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 22, 11375–11389, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-11375-2013, 2013.
- 260 Johansson, L., Jalkanen, J.-P., and Kukkonen, J.: Global assessment of shipping emissions in 2015 on a high spatial and temporal resolution. Atmos. Environ., 167, 403–415, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.042, 2017. Johansson, L., Ytreberg, E., Jalkanen, J.-P., Fridell, E., Eriksson, K. M., Lagerström, M., Maljutenko, I., Raudsepp, U., Fischer, V., and Roth, E.: Model for leisure boat activities and emissions – implementation for the Baltic Sea, Ocean Sci.,
 - 16, 1143–1163, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-16-1143-2020, 2020.
- 265 Jokinen, S. A., Virtasalo, J. J., Jilbert, T., Kaiser, J., Dellwig, O., Arz, H. W., Hänninen, J., Arppe, L., Collander, M., and <u>Saarinen, T.: A 1500-year multiproxy record of coastal hypoxia from the northern Baltic Sea indicates unprecedented</u> deoxygenation over the 20th century, Biogeosciences, 15, 3975–4001, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-3975-2018, 2018. Jonson, J. E., Jalkanen, J. P., Johansson, L., Gauss, M., and Denier van der Gon, H. A. C.: Model calculations of the effects
- of present and future emissions of air pollutants from shipping in the Baltic Sea and the North Sea, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 783–798, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-783-2015, 2015.
- Josefsson, S., Bergknut, M., Futter, M. N., Jansson, S., Laudon, H., Lundin, L., and Wiberg, K.: Persistent Organic Pollutants in Streamwater: Influence of Hydrological Conditions and Landscape Type. Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 14, 7416-7424 DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.6b00475, 2016.
- Jutterström, S., Andersson, H. C., Omstedt, A., and Malmaeus, J. M.: Multiple stressors threatening the future of the Baltic
- 275 <u>Sea-Kattegat marine ecosystem: Implications for policy and management actions, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 86, 468-480, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.06.027, 2014.</u>
 - Kahru, M., and Elmgren, R.: Multidecadal time series of satellite-detected accumulations of cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea, Biogeosciences, 11, 3619–3633, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-3619-2014, 2014.
- <u>Kahru, M., Elmgren, R., Di Lorenzo, E. and Savchuk, O.: Unexplained interannual oscillations of cyanobacterial blooms in</u>
 the Baltic Sea. Sci Rep 8, 6365, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24829-7, 2018.
- Kaján, E. and Saarinen, J.: Tourism, climate change and adaptation: A review. Current Issues in Tourism 16.2, 167-195, https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2013.774323, 2013.
 - Kalther, J. and Itaya, A.: Coastline changes and their effects on land use and cover in Subang, Indonesia. J. Coast. Conserv. 24, 16, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-020-00736-w, 2020.
- 285 Kankainen, M., Vielma, J., Koskela, J., Niukkoja, J., and Niskanen, L.: Olosuhteiden vaikutus kirjolohen kasvatuksen tehokkuuteen Suomen merialueilla Luonnonvara-jabiotaloudentutkimus 28/2020. Natural Resources Institute Finland (LUKE) Report 2020, in Finnish https://jukuri.luke.fi/handle/10024/545811, 2020.
- <u>Karaliūnas, V., Jarmalavičius, D., Pupienis, D., Janušaitė, R., Žilinskas, G., and Karlonienė, D.: Shore Nourishment Impact on Coastal Landscape Transformation: An Example of the Lithuanian Baltic Sea Coast. J. Coast. Res., 95, SI, 840–844.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.2112/SI95-163.1, 2020.
 </u>

- Karl, M., Pirjola L., Karppinen, A., Jalkanen J.-P., Ramacher, M.O.P. and Kukkonen, J.: Modeling of the Concentrations of Ultrafine Particles in the Plumes of Ships in the Vicinity of Major Harbors, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 17(3), 1– 24, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17030777, 2020.
- Karlson, A. M. L., Almqvist, G., Skora, K.E., and Appelberg, M.: Indications of competition between non-indigenous round
 goby and native flounder in the Baltic Sea. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 64, 479-486, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fs1049, 2007.
- Karstens, S., Inácio, M., and Schernewski, G.: Expert-Based Evaluation of Ecosystem Service Provision in Coastal Reed
 Wetlands Under Different Management Regimes, Front. Environ. Sci., https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00063, 2019.
 Kataržytė, M., Balčiūnas, A., Haseler, M., Sabaliauskaitė, V., Lauciūtė, L., Stepanova, K., Nazzari, C., and Schernewski, G.:
- Cigarette butts on Baltic Sea beaches: Monitoring, pollution and mitigation measures, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 156, 111248, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111248, 2020.
- Kayler, Z. E., Premke, K., Gessler, A., Gessner, M. O., Griebler, C., Hilt, S., Klemedtsson, L., Kuzyakov, Y., Reichstein, M., Siemens, J., Totsche, K.-U., Tranvik, L., Wagner, A., Weitere, M., and Grossart, H.-P.: Integrating Aquatic and <u>Terrestrial Perspectives to Improve Insights Into Organic Matter Cycling at the Landscape Scale, Front. Earth Sci., https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00127, 2019.</u>
- Soos Keith, D. W., DeCarolis, J. F., Denkenberger, D. C., Lenschow, D. H., Malyshev, S. L., Pacala, S., and Rasch, P. J.: The influence of large-scale wind power on global climate. PNAS, 101, 46, 16115-16120, www.pnas.orgcgidoi10.1073pnas.0406930101, 2004.
 - Keller, R. P., Geist, J., Jeschke, J. M., and Kühn, I.: Invasive species in Europe: ecology, status, and policy, Environ. Sci. Eur. 23, 23, https://doi.org/10.1186/2190-4715-23-23, 2011.
- S10 Kelly J. M., Scarpino, P., Berry, H., and Syvitski J. (Eds.): Rivers of the Anthropocene, Open Access Free E-book, 242pp, https://doi.org/10.1525/luminos.43, 2017.
- Kelpšaite, L., Parnell, K., and Soomere, T.: Energy pollution: The relative influence of wind-wave and vessel-wake energy in Tallinn Bay, the Baltic Sea, J. Coast. Res., Special Issue No. 56. Proceedings of the 10th International Coastal
 Symposium ICS 2009, Vol. I, 812-816, last access: April 20, 2021, from http://www.jstor.org/stable/25737691, 2009.
- S15 Kemp, W. M., Testa, J. M., Conley, D. J., Gilbert, D., and Hagy, J. D.: Temporal responses of coastal hypoxia to nutrient loading and physical controls, Biogeosciences, 6, 2985–3008, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-2985-2009, 2009.

Kesy, K., Oberbeckmann, S., Kreikemeyer, B., and Labrenz, M.: Spatial Environmental Heterogeneity Determines Young Biofilm Assemblages on Microplastics in Baltic Sea Mesocosms, Front. Microbiol., 10, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01665, 2019.

- Kim, G., Duffy, L. N., Jodice, L. W., and Norman, W. C.: Coastal Tourist Interest in Value- Added, Aquaculture-Based, <u>Culinary Tourism Opportunities, Coast. Manage.</u>, 45, 4, 310-329, DOI: 10.1080/08920753.2017.1327345, 2017.
 Kjellström, E., Nikulin, G., Strandberg, G., Christensen, O. B., Jacob, D., Keuler, K., Lenderink, G., van Meijgaard, E., <u>Schär, C., Somot, S., Sørland, S. L., Teichmann, C., and Vautard, R.: European climate change at global mean</u> temperature increases of 1.5 and 2 °C above pre-industrial conditions as simulated by the EURO-CORDEX regional
- dimate models, Earth Syst. Dynam., 9, 459–478, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-9-459-2018, 2018.
 - Klais, R., Tamminen, T., Kremp, A., Spilling, K., and Olli, K.: Decadal-Scale Changes of Dinoflagellates and Diatoms in the Anomalous Baltic Sea Spring Bloom. PLoS ONE 6(6): e21567. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021567, 2011.
 Kłostowska, Ż., Szymczycha, B., Lengier, M., Zarzeczańska, D., Dzierzbicka-Głowacka, L.: Hydrogeochemistry and magnitude of SGD in the Bay of Puck, southern Baltic Sea. Oceanologia, 62, 1, 1-11, ISSN 0078-3234,
- 5330 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceano.2019.09.001, 2020.</u>
- Klusek, Z. and Grabowski, M.: Results of Acoustic Research in the CM Deploying Areas. In: Bełdowski, J., Been, R., and Turmus, E. (Eds.) Towards the Monitoring of Dumped Munitions Threat (MODUM). NATO Science for Peace and Security Series C: Environmental Security. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-1153-9_3, 2018.

Knobloch, T., Bełdowski, J., Böttcher, C., Söderström, M., Rühl, N-P., and Sternheim J.: Chemical Munitions Dumped in

- the Baltic Sea. Report of the ad hoc Expert Group to Update and Review the Existing Information on Dumped Chemical

 Munitions in the Baltic Sea (HELCOM MUNI). HELCOM Baltic Sea Environmental Proceedings. 2013.
 - Köbbing, J. F., Thevs, N., and Zerbe, S.: The utilisation of reed (Phragmites australis): a review. Mires Peat, 13, 01, 1–14, http://www.mires-and-peat.net/, ISSN 1819-754X, 2013.

Kochi, L. Y., Freitas, P. L., Maranho, L. T., Juneau, P., and Gomes, M. P.: Aquatic macrophytes in constructed wetlands: A
 fight against water pollution. Sustainability, 12, 21, 9202, doi: 10.3390/su12219202, 2020.

- Koelmans, A. A., Adil, B., Burton, G. A., and Janssen, C. R.: Microplastic as a Vector for Chemicals in the Aquatic Environment: Critical Review and Model-Supported Reinterpretation of Empirical Studies, Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 7, 3315-3326, DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.5b06069, 2016.
- Kong, D., Macleod, M., and Cousins, I. T.: Chemosphere Modelling the influence of climate change on the chemical
 concentrations in the Baltic Sea region with the POPCYCLING-Baltic model, Chemosphere, 110, 31–40, doi:
- <u>10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.02.044, 2014.</u>
 - Kononen, K., Andrusaitis, A., and Sirola, M.: Scientific support by the BONUS plus projects for the sustainability of the Baltic Sea region: The case of the HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan, Ambio, 43, 1, 1–10, doi: 10.1007/s13280-013-0472-9, 2014.
- Kornis, M. S., Mercado-Silva, N., and Vander Zanden, M. J.: Twenty years of invasion: a review of round goby Neogobius melanostomus biology, spread and ecological implications, J. Fish. Biol. 80, 235-285, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.03157.x, 2012.
 - Kornis, M. S., Carlson, J., Lehrer-Brey, G., and Van der Zanden, M. J.: Experimental evidence that ecological effects of an invasive fish are reduced at high densities, Oecologia, 175, 325-334, 2014.
- S355 Korpinen, S., Meski, L., Andersen, J.-H., and Laamanen, M.:, Human pressures and their potential impact on the Baltic Sea ecosystem, Ecol. Indic., 15, 1, 105-114, ISSN 1470-160X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.09.023, 2012.
 - Köster, F. W., Möllmann, C., Neuenfeldt, S., Vinther, M., St.John, M. A., Tomkiewicz, J., Voss, R., Hinrichsen, H.-H.,
 MacKenzie, B., Kraus, G., and Schnack, D.: Fish stock development in the Central Baltic Sea (1974-1999) in relation to variability in the environment, ICES Mar. Sci. Symp., 219, 294-306. 2003.
- Sign Köster, F. W., Möllmann, C., Hinrichsen, H.-H., Wieland, K., Tomkiewicz, J., Kraus, G., Voss, R., Makarchouk, A., MacKenzie, B., St. John, M.-A., Schnack, D., Rohlf, N., Linkowski, T., and Beyer, J. E.; Baltic cod recruitment – the impact of climate variability on key processes, ICES J. Mar. Sc., 62, 7, 1408–1425, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2005.05.004, 2005.

Köster, F. W., Huwer, B., Hinrichsen, H.-H., Neumann, V., Makarchouk, A., Eero, M., Dewitz, B. V., Hüssy, K.,

365 <u>Tomkiewicz, J., Margonski, P., Temming, A., Hermann, J.-P., Oesterwind, D., Dierking, J., Kotterba, P., and Plikshs, M.:</u> <u>Eastern Baltic cod recruitment revisited—dynamics and impacting factors, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 74, 1, 3–19, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw172, 2017.</u></u>

Kostianoy, A. G. and Lavrova O. Y.: Conclusions. In: Kostianoy, A., Lavrova, O. (Eds.) Oil Pollution in the Baltic Sea. Handb. Environ. Chem., 27. https://doi.org/10.1007/698_2013_235, 2013.

- 5370 Kotta, J., Nurkse, K., Puntila, R., and Ojaveer, H.: Shipping and natural environmental conditions determine the distribution of the invasive non-indigenous round goby Neogobius melanostomus in a regional sea, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 169, 15-24, ISSN 0272-7714, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.11.029, 2016.
 - Kotta, J., Wernberg, T., Jänes, H., Kotta, I., Nurkse, K., Pärnoja, M., and Orav-Kotta, H.: Novel crab predator causes marine ecosystem regime shift. Sci. Rep., 8, 4956, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23282-w. 2018.
- S375 Kotta, J., Futter, M., Kaasik, A., Liversage, K., Rätsep, M., Barboza, F. R., Bergstrom, L., Bergstrom, P., Bobsien, I., Diaz, E., Herkül, K., Jonsson, P. R., Korpinen, S., Kraufvelin, P., Krost, P., Lindahl, O., Lindegarth, M., Lyngsgaard, M. M.,

Muhl, M., Sandman, A. N., Orav-Kotta, H., Orlova, M., Skov, H., Rissanen, J., Siaulys, A., Vidakovic, A., Virtanen, E.: Cleaning up seas using blue growth initiatives: Mussel farming for eutrophication control in the Baltic Sea, Sci. Total Environ., 709, 136144, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136144, 2020.

- State Kotwicki, L., Grzelak, K., Czub, M., Dellwig, O., Gentz, T., Szymczycha, B. and Bottcher, M. E.: Submarine groundwater discharge to the Baltic coastal zone: Impacts on the meiofaunal community. J. Mar. Syst., 129, 118-126, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.06.009, 2014.
 - Kovaleva, O., Eelsalu, M., and Soomere, T.: Hot-spots of large wave energy resources in relatively sheltered sections of the Baltic Sea coast, Renew. Sustain. Energ. Rev., 74, 424–437, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.02.033, 2017.
- 385 Kowalewska, G., Lubecki, L., Szymczak-Żyła, M., Buchole, K., Filipkowska, A., Gogacz, R., and Zamojska, A.: Eutrophication monitoring system near the Sopot beach (southern Baltic), Ocean Coast. Manage., 98, 51-61, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2014.06.007, 2014.
 - Krall, L., Trezzi, G., Garcia-Orellana, J., Rodellas, V., Morth, C.-M., and Andersson, M.: Submarine groundwater discharge at Forsmark, Gulf of Bothnia, provided by Ra isotopes, Mar. Chem. 196, 162-172,
- 5390 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2017.09.003, 2017.</u>
- Kraufvelin, P., Pekcan-Hekim, Z., Bergström, U., Florin, A.-B., Lehikoinen, A., Mattila, J., Arula, T., Briekmane, L.,
 Brown, E. J., Celmer, Z., Dainys, J., Jokinen, H., Kääriä, P., Kallasvuo, M., Lappalainen, A., Lozys, L., Möller, P., Orio, A., Rohtla, M., Saks, L., Snickars, M., Støttrup, J., Sundblad, G., Taal, I., Ustups, D., Verliin, A., Vetemaa, M., Winkler, H., Wozniczka, A., and Olsson, J.: Essential coastal habitats for fish in the Baltic Sea, Est. Coast. Shelf Sci., 204, 14-30, ISSN 0272-7714, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2018.02.014. 2018.
- Krause, J. C., Diesing, M., and Arlt, G.: The physical and biological impact of sand extraction: a case study of the western Baltic Sea, J. Coast. Res., Special Issue 51, 215-226, doi: 10.2112/SI51-020.1, 2010.
- Kropinova, E.: Agro-and rural tourism in the Baltic sea region: A growing sector. In Karlsson, I., and Ryden L. (Eds.), Rural
 Development and Land Use. The Baltic University Programme, Upsala University: Uppsala, 130-140, 2012.
- 400 <u>Kruglikova, S. B.: Arctic Ocean Radiolarians. In: Herman, Y. (Eds.) The Arctic Seas. Springer, Boston, MA.</u> https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0677-1_19, 1989.

Krumins, V., Gehlen, M., Arndt, S., Van Cappellen, P., and Regnier, P.: Dissolved inorganic carbon and alkalinity fluxes
 from coastal marine sediments: model estimates for different shelf environments and sensitivity to global change,
 Biogeosciences, 10, 371–398, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-371-2013, 2013.

- 405 <u>Kubicki, A., Manso, F., and Diesing, M.: Morphological evolution of gravel and sand extraction pits, Tromper Wiek, Baltic Sea, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 71, 3-4, 647-656, doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2006.09.011, 2007.</u>
- Kuivikko, M., et al.: Modeled direct photolytic decomposition of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the Baltic Sea and the Atlantic Ocean, Environ. Sci. Technol. ACS Publications, 41, 20, 7016–7021, https://doi.org/10.1021/es070422+, 2007.
 Kuliński, K., and Pempkowiak, J.: The carbon budget of the Baltic Sea, Biogeosciences Discuss. 8, 3219-3230. DOI:
- 410 <u>10.5194/bgd-8-4841-2011, 2011.</u>
 - Kuliński, K., Schneider, B., Hammer, K., Machulik, U., and Schulz-Bull, D.: The influence of dissolved organic matter on the acid-base system of the Baltic Sea, J. Mar. Syst. 132, 106–115, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.01.011, 2014.
- Kuliński, K., Schneider, B., Szymczycha, B., and Stokowski, M.: Structure and functioning of the acid-base system in the Baltic Sea, Earth Syst. Dynam. 8, 1107-1120, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-8-1107-2017, 2017.
- 5415 Kuliński, K., Rehder, G., Asmala, E., Bartosova, A., Carstensen, J., Gustafsson, B., Hall, P. O. J., Humborg, C., Jilbert, T., Jürgens, K., Meier, M., Müller-Karulis, B., Naumann, M., Olesen, J. E., Savchuk, O., Schramm, A., Slomp, C. P., Sofiev, M., Sobek, A., Szymczycha, B., and Undeman, E.: Baltic Earth Assessment Report on the biogeochemistry of the Baltic Sea, Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2021-33, in review, 2021.

Kumar, V., Kumar, P., and Singh, J.: An introduction to contaminants in agriculture and environment. Contaminants in

Agriculture and Environment: Health Risks and Remediation, 1, 1-8.strese, DOI: 10.26832/AESA-2019-0159-01, 2019. Kundzewicz, Z. W., Ulbrich, U., Brücher, T., Graczyk, D., Krüger, A., Leckebusch, G. C., Menzel, L., Pinskwar, I., <u>Radziejewski, M., and Szwed, M.: Summer Floods in Central Europe – Climate Change Track? Nat. Hazards, 36: 165– 189, 2005.</u>

Kwasigroch, U., Bełdowska, M., Jędruch, A. et al.: Coastal erosion-a "new" land-based source of labile mercury to the

- 425 marine environment. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 28682–28694 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018- 2856-7, 2018.
 - Kwasigroch, U., Bełdowska, M., Jędruch, A. et al.: Distribution and bioavailability of mercury in the surface sediments of the Baltic Sea, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13023-4, 2021.
 - Laanearu, J., Koppel, T., Soomere, T., and Davies, P. A.: Joint influence of river stream, water level and wind waves on the height of sand bar in a river mouth, Nord. Hydrol. 38, 3, 287–302, doi: 10.2166/nh.2007.012, 2007.
- 430 Labuz, T. A.: Environmental Impacts—Coastal Erosion and Coastline Changes. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin, Reg. Climate St., Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1. 2015.
 - Ladenburg, J., and Dubgaard, A.: Willingness to pay for reduced visual disamenities from offshore wind farms in Denmark, Energ. Pol., 35, 8, 4059–4071, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2007.01.023, 2007.
- Ladenburg, J.: Attitudes towards on-land and offshore wind power development in Denmark; choice of development strategy, Renew. Energ., 33, 1, 111-118, ISSN 0960-1481, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2007.01.011, 2008.
 Lagerström, M., Ytreberg, E., Wiklund, A.-K. E., and Granhag, L.: Antifouling paints leach copper in excess study of metal release rates and efficacy along a salinity gradient, Water Res., 186, 116383, ISSN 0043-1354, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116383, 2020.
- 440 Lamon, L., von Waldow, H., MacLeod, M., Scheringer, M., Marcomini, A., and Hungerbühle, K.: Modeling the global levels and distribution of polychlorinated biphenyls in air under a climate change scenario, Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 15, 5818–5824, 2009.

Landry, C. E., Allen, T., Cherry, T., and Whitehead, J. C.: Wind turbines and coastal recreation demand. Resour. Energ. Econom., 34, 1, 93–111, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reseneeco.2011.10.001, 2012.

445 Lang, T., Kotwicki, L., Czub, M., Grzelak, K., Weirup, L., and Straumer, K.: The Health Status of Fish and Benthos Communities in Chemical Munitions Dumpsites in the Baltic Sea. Towards the Monitoring of Dumped Munitions Threat (MODUM): A Study of Chemical Munitions Dumpsites in the Baltic Sea. Beldowski, J., Been, R., and Turmus, E. K. (Eds.) Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands: 129-152, 2018.

Langer, K., Decker, T., and Menrad, K.: Public participation in wind energy projects located in Germany: Which form of

- 9450 participation is the key to acceptance? Renew. Energ., 112, 63–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.05.021, 2017. Langlois, J., Hélias, A., Delgenès, J. P., and Steyer, J. P.: Review on Land Use Considerations in Life Cycle Assessment: Methodological Perspectives for Marine Ecosystems. In: Finkbeiner M. (eds) Towards Life Cycle Sustainability Management. Springer, Dordrecht, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-1899-9_9, 2011.
- Langner, J., Bergström, R. and Foltescu, V .: Impact of climate change on surface ozone and deposition of sulphur and
- 1455 nitrogen in Europe, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 6, 1129-1141, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.09.082, 2005. Larsen, J. K., and Guillemette, M.: Effects of wind turbines on flight behaviour of wintering common eiders: implications for habitat use and collision risk, J. Appl. Ecol., 44, 3, 516–522, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01303.x, 2007. Lassaletta, L., Billen, G., Grizzetti, B., Anglade, J., and Garnier, J.: 50 year trends in nitrogen use efficiency of world cropping systems: the relationship between yield and nitrogen input to cropland, Environ. Res. Lett. 9, 105011,
- 460 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/10/105011, 2014.

ĺ	Lavento, M .: Regional History of Settlement and Human Impacts in the Baltic Sea Region over the Last 2000 Years. Oxford
	Research Encyclopedia of Climate Science,
	https://oxfordre.com/climatescience/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228620.001.0001/acrefore-9780190228620- e-681,
	<u>2019.</u>
5465	Law, B. E., Hudiburg, T. W., Berner, L. T., Kent, J. J., Buotte, P. C., and Harmon, M. E.: Land use strategies to mitigate
	climate change in carbon dense temperate forests, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 115, 14, 3663-3668.
	doi:10.1073/pnas.1720064115, 2018.
	Le Cozannet, G., Nicholls, R. J., Hinkel, J., Sweet, W. V., McInnes, K. L., Van de Wal, R. S. W., Slangen, A. B. A., Lowe,
	J. A., White, K. D.: Sea Level Change and Coastal Climate Services: The Way Forward, J. Mar. Sci. Eng. 5, 49,
5470	https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse5040049, 2017.
	Leal, J. F., Esteves, V. I., and Santos, E. B. H.: BDE-209: Kinetic studies and effect of humic substances on
	photodegradation in water, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 24, 14010–14017, https://doi.org/10.1021/es4035254, 2013.
	Lebreton, L., Slat, B., Ferrari, F., Sainte-Rose, B., Aitken, J., Marthouse, R., Hajbane, S., Cunsolo, S., Schwarz, A., Levivier,
	A., Noble, K., Debeljak, P., Maral, H., Schoeneich-Argent, R., Brambini, R., and Reisser, J.: Evidence that the Great
475	Pacific Garbage Patch is rapidly accumulating plastic, Nat. Sci. Rep. 8, 4666, DOI:10.1038/s41598-018-22939-w, 2018.
	Lee, E., Hyun, Y., and Lee, KK.: Sea level periodic change and its impact on submarine groundwater discharge rate in
	coastal aquifer, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 121–122, 51-60, ISSN 0272-7714, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.02.011,
	2013.
	Lehmann, A., Getzlaff, K., and Harlaß, J.: Detailed assessment of climate variability in the Baltic Sea area for the period
480	1958 to 2009. Climate Res. 46:185-196, https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00876, 2011.
1400	Lehmann, A., Myrberg, K., Post, P., Chubarenko, I., Dailidiene, I., Hinrichsen, HH., Hüssy, K., Liblik, T., Lips, U., Meier,
	H. E. M., and Bukanova, T.: Salinity dynamics of the Baltic Sea, Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss. [preprint],
	https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2021-15, in review, 2021.
	Lehner, B., Czisch, G., and Vassolo, S.: The impact of global change on the hydropower potential of Europe: a model-based
485	analysis, Energ. Pol., 33, 7, 839-855, ISSN 0301-4215, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2003.10.018, 2005.
	Lehtiniemi, M., Ojaveer, H., David, M., Galil, B., Gollasch, S., McKenzie, C., Minchin, D., Occhipinti-Ambrogi, A., Olenin,
	S., and Pederson, J.: Dose of truth-Monitoring marine non-indigenous species to serve legislative requirements, Mar.
	Pol., 54, 26-35, ISSN 0308-597X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2014.12.015, 2015.
	Lenzen, M., Sun, YY., Faturay, F., Ting, Y.P., Geschke, A., Malik, A.: The carbon footprint of global tourism. Nature Clim
490	Change 8, 522–528, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0141-x, 2018.
	Leonhard, S. B., Stenberg, C., Støttrup, J., et al.: Effect of the Horns Rev 1 Offshore Wind Farm on Fish Communities.
	Follow-up SevenYears after Construction, DTU Aqua Report No 246-2011, 2011.
	Leont'yev, I. O., Ryabchuk, D. V., Sergeev, A. Y., and Kovaleva, O. A.: The forecast of coastal recession in the eastern gulf
	of Finland for the twenty-first century, Oceanology, 55, 3, 434-440, doi: 10.1134/S0001437015030108, 2015.
495	Leppäranta, M. and Myrberg, K.: Physical oceanography of the Baltic Sea. Springer Science and Business Media, 2009.
	Lewin, WC., Weltersbach, M. S., Denfeld, G., and Strehlow, H. V.: Recreational anglers' perceptions, attitudes and
	estimated contribution to angling related marine litter in the German Baltic Sea, J. Environ. Manage., 272,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111062, 2020.
	Li, D., Long, D., Zhao, J., Lu, H, and Hong, Y.: Observed changes in flow regimes in the Mekong River basin, J. Hydrol.,
500	551, 217-232, ISSN 0022-1694, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.061, 2017.
2200	Liess, M., Foit, K., Knillmann, S., Schäfer R. B., and Liess HD.: Predicting the synergy of multiple stress effects, Sci. Rep.
	6, 32965, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32965, 2016.

Lilley, M. B., Firestone, J., and Kempton, W.: The Effect of Wind Power Installations on Coastal Tourism, Energies, 3, 1, 1– 22, https://doi.org/10.3390/en3010001, 2010.

- 505 Lin, H., et al.: Shipping pollution emission associated with increased cardiovascular mortality: A time series study in Guangzhou, China, Environ. Pollut., 241, 862-868, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.06.027, 2018.
 - Lindenschmidt, K.-E., Rokaya, P., Das, A., Li, Z., and Richard, D.: A novel stochastic modelling approach for operational real-time ice-jam flood forecasting, J. Hydrol., 575, 381-394, ISSN 0022-1694, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.048, 2019.
- 510 Lindholm, T., Svartström, M., Spoof, L., and Meriluoto, J.: Effects of ship traffic on archipelago waters off the Långnäs harbour in Åland, SW Finland, Hydrobiologia 444, 217–225, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1017518131889, 2001.
 - Lindim, C. et al.: Science of the Total Environment Evaluation of human pharmaceutical emissions and concentrations in Swedish river basins, Sci. Total Environ., 572, 508–519, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.08.074, 2016.
- Liu, Q., Charette, M. A., Henderson, P. B., McCorkle, D. C., Martin, W., and Dai, M.: Effect of submarine groundwater discharge on the coastal ocean inorganic carbon cycle, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59, 5, 1529-1554, doi:
 - <u>10.4319/lo.2014.59.5.1529, 2014.</u>
 <u>Liu Y., Jiao, J.J., Liang, W., Santos, I.R., Kuang, X., Robinson, C.E.: Inorganic carbon and alkalinity biogeochemistry and fluxes in an intertidal beach aquifer: Implications for ocean acidification, Journal of Hydrology, 595, 126036, doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126036, 2021.
 </u>
- 520 Longo, S.B., Clark, B., York, R. and Jorgenson, A.K.: Aquaculture and the displacement of fisheries captures. Conservation Biology, 33: 832-841. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13295, 2019.
- Lovich, J. E., and Ennen, J. R.: Assessing the state of knowledge of utility-scale wind energy development and operation on non-volant terrestrial and marine wildlife, Appl. Energ. 103, 52–60, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.001, 2013.
- 525 Lu, H.-A., and Yeh, J.-C.: The Impact of Using Mega Containerships on Operation and Management of Shipping Lines. Transport. J. 58, 1, 38-64, doi:10.5325/transportationj.58.1.0038, 2019.
 - Luijendijk, A., Hagenaars, G., Ranasinghe, R., Baart, F., Donchyts, G., Aarninkhof, S.: The State of the World's Beaches, Sci. Rep. 8, 6641, doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-24630-6, 2018.

 Lundberg, C.: Eutrophication, risk management and sustainability. The perception of different stakeholders in the northern

 530
 Baltic Sea, Mar Poll Bull 66 (1-2), 143-150, doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.09.031, 2013.

- Lundquist, J. K., DuVivier, K. K., Kaffine, D. et al.: Costs and consequences of wind turbine wake effects arising from uncoordinated wind energy development, Nat. Energ. 4, 26–34, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-018-0281-2, 2019.
 Luomaranta, A., Ruosteenoja, K., Jylhä, K., Gregow, H., Haapala, J., and Laaksonen, A.: Multimodel estimates of the
- <u>changes in the Baltic Sea ice cover during the present century, Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography, 66:1,</u>
 <u>DOI: 10.3402/tellusa.v66.22617, 2014.</u>
- Lusher, A. L., Hollman, P. C. H., and Mendoza-Hill, J. J: Microplastics in fisheries and aquaculture: status of knowledge on their occurrence and implications for aquatic organisms and food safety. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 615. Rome, Italy, 2017.

Macdonald, R. W., Mackay, D., Li, Y.-F. and Hickie B.: How will global climate change affect risks from long-range

540 <u>transport of persistent organic pollutants? Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess. 9, 3, 643–660, https://doi.org/10.1080/713609959, 2003.</u>

MacKenzie, B. R., and Köster, F. W.: Fish Production And Climate: Sprat In The Baltic Sea. Ecology, 85, 784-794, https://doi.org/10.1890/02-0780, 2004.

 MacKenzie, B. R. and Schiedek, D.: Daily ocean monitoring since the 1860s shows record warming of northern European

 545
 seas. Glob. Change Biol., 13, 1335-1347, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01360.x, 2007.

	Madekivi, O.: Alusten Aiheuttamien Aaltojen. Ja Virtausten Ympäristö Vaikutukset. Vesi- Ja Ympäristöhallitus. Helsinki,
5550	<u>Vesi- Ja Ympäristö Hallin Non Julkaisuja- Sarja A 166, 1993.</u>
	Magnusson, K., Jalkanen, JP., Johansson, L., Smailys, V., Telemo, P., and Winnes H.: Risk assessment of bilge water
	discharges in two Baltic shipping lanes, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 126, 575-584,
	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.035, 2018.
	Mahmood, R., Pielke, R. A., Sr., Hubbard, K. G., Niyogi, D., Bonan, G., Lawrence, P., McNider, R., McAlpine, C., Etter,
5555	A., Gameda, S., Qian, B., Carleton, A., Beltran-Przekurat, A., Chase, T., Quintanar, A. I., Adegoke, J. O.,
	Vezhapparambu, S., Conner, G., Asefi, S., Sertel, E., Legates, D. R., Wu, Y., Hale, R., Frauenfeld, O. W., Watts, A.,
	Shepherd, M., Mitra, C., Anantharaj, V. G., Fall, S., Lund, R., Treviño, A., Blanken, P., Du, J., Chang, H., Leeper, R.,
	Nair, U. S., Dobler, S., Deo, R., and Syktus, J.: Impacts of Land Use/Land Cover Change on Climate and Future
	Research Priorities, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 91, 1, 37-46. Last access Apr 19, 2021, from
5560	https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/bams/91/1/2009bams2769_1.x, 2010.
	Maljutenko, I., Hassellöv, I.M., Eriksson, M., Ytreberg, E., Yngsell, D., Johansson, L., Jalkanen, JP., Kõuts, M., Kasemets,
	ML., Moldanova, J., Magnusson, K. and Raudsepp, U.: Modelling spatial dispersion of contaminants from shipping
	lanes in the Baltic Sea, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 173, Part A, 112985, ISSN 0025-326X,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112985, 2021.
5565	Martinez, G., Orbach, M., Frick, F., Donargo, A., Ducklow, K. and Morison, N.: The cultural context of climate change
	adaptation. Cases from the U.S. East Coast and the German Baltic Sea coast. Findings from Transdisciplinary Research,
	in: Social Dimensions of Climate Change Adaptation in Coastal Regions, edited by: Martinez, G., Fröhle, P. and Meier,
	HJ., oekom verlag, München, ISBN 978-3-86581-682-5, 85-100, 2014.
	Maser, E., and Strehse, J. S.: "Don't Blast": blast-in-place (BiP) operations of dumped World War munitions in the oceans
5570	significantly increase hazards to the environment and the human seafood consumer, Arch. Toxicol. 94, 1941-1953,
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-020-02743-0, 2020.
	Maser, E., and Strehse, J. S.: Can seafood from marine sites of dumped World War relicts be eaten? Arch. Toxicol.
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-021-03045-9, 2021.
	Mazurek, M., Witkiewicz, Z., Popiel, S., and Sliwakowski, M.: Capillary gas chromatography-atomic emission
5575	spectroscopy-mass spectrometry analysis of sulphur mustard and transformation products in a block recovered from the
	Baltic Sea, J. Chromatogr. A 919, 1, 133-145, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(01)00672-0, 2001.
	McCrackin, M. L., Muller-Karulis, B., Gustafsson, B. G., Howarth, R. W., Humborg, C., Svanbäck, A., and Swaney, D. P.:
	A century of legacy phosphorus dynamics in a large drainage basin, Glob. Biogeochem. Cy., 32, 1107-1122,
	https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB005914, 2018a.
5580	McCrackin, M. L., Gustafsson, B. G., Hong, B., Howarth, R. W., Humborg, C., Savchuk, O. P., Svanbäck, A., and Swaney,
	D. P.: Opportunities to reduce nutrient inputs to the Baltic Sea by improving manure use efficiency in agriculture, Reg.
	Environ. Change 18, 1843-1854, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1308-8, 2018b.
1	McGivney, E., Cederholm, L., Barth, A., Hakkarainen, M., Hamacher-Barth, E., Ogonowski, M., and Gorokhova, E.: Rapid

MacKenzie, B. R., Gislason, H., Möllmann, C., and Köster, F. W.: Impact of 21st century climate change on the Baltic Sea fish community and fisheries, Glob. Change Biol., 13, 7, 1348-1367, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01369.x,

<u>2007.</u>

 Physicochemical Changes in Microplastic Induced by Biofilm Formation. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol., 8,

 5585
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00205, 2020.</u>

 McGovern, M., Pavlov, A. K., Deininger, A., Granskog, M. A., Leu, E., Søreide, J. E., and Poste, A. E.: Terrestrial Inputs

Drive Seasonality in Organic Matter and Nutrient Biogeochemistry in a High Arctic Fjord System (Isfjorden, Svalbard), Front. Mar. Sci. 7, 542563. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.542563, 2020.

- McMahon, A., and Santos, I. R.: Nitrogen enrichment and speciation in a coral reef lagoon driven by groundwater inputs of bird guano. J. Geophys. Res. 122, 7218–7236. doi: 10.1002/2017JC012929, 2017.
 - Meier, H. E. M., Döscher, R., and Halkka, A.: Simulated Distributions of Baltic Sea-ice in Warming Climate and Consequences for the Winter Habitat of the Baltic Ringed Seal," AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment, 33, 4, 249-256, https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-33.4.249, 2004.

Meier, H.E.M., Müller-Karulis, B., Andersson, H.C. Dieterich, C., Eilola, K., Gustafsson, B.G., Höglund, A., Hordoir, R.,

- 595 Kuznetsov, I., Neumann, T., Ranjbar, Z., Savchuk, O.P. and Schimanke S.: Impact of Climate Change on Ecological Quality Indicators and Biogeochemical Fluxes in the Baltic Sea: A Multi-Model Ensemble Study. AMBIO 41, 558–573, doi.org/10.1007/s13280-012-0320-3, 2012.
 - Meier, H. E. M., Andersson, H. C., Arheimer, B., Donnelly, C., Eilola, K., Gustafsson, B. G., Kotwicki, L., Neset, T.-S., Niiranen, S., Piwowarczyk, J., Savchuk, O. P., Schenk, F., Węsławski, J. M., and Zorita, E.: Ensemble Modeling of the
- Baltic Sea Ecosystem to Provide Scenarios for Management. AMBIO 43, 37–48, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-013-0475-6, 2014.
 - Meier, H. E. M.: Projected Climate Change Marine Physics. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.
- 605 Meier, H. E. M., Höglund, A., Eilola, K. and Almroth-Rosell, E.: Impact of accelerated future global mean sea level rise on hypoxia in the Baltic Sea, Clim. Dynam. 49, 163–172, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3333-y, 2017.
 - Meier, H. E. M., Väli, G., Naumann, M., Eilola, K., and Frauen, C.: Recently accelerated oxygen consumption rates amplify deoxygenation in the Baltic Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 123, 3227-3240, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JC013686, 2018.
- Meier, H. E. M., Eilola, K., Almroth-Rosell, E., Schimanke, S., Kniebusch, M., Höglund, A., Pemberton, P., Liu, Y., Väli,

 G., and Saraiva, S.: Disentangling the impact of nutrient load and climate changes on Baltic Sea hypoxia and
- eutrophication since 1850. Clim. Dynam., 1145–1166, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4296-y, 2019.
 Meier, H. E. M., and Saraiva, S.: Projected oceanographical changes in the Baltic Sea until 2100. In: Oxford Research Encyclopedia, Climate Science. Oxford: Oxford University Press, doi:10.1093/acrefore/9780190228620.013.699, 2020.
 Meier H.E.M. et al. 2021a: Climate change in the Baltic Sea region: A summary, Earth System Dynamics, in preparation,
- 5615

2021.

- Meier H.E.M. et al. 2021b: Oceanographic regional climate projections for the Baltic Sea until 2100, Earth System Dynamics, in preparation, 2021.
- Meier, H. E. M., Dieterich, C., and Gröger, M.: Natural variability is a large source of uncertainty in future projections of hypoxia in the Baltic Sea. Commun. Earth Environ. 2, 50 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00115-9, 2021c.
- Melzner, F., Thomsen, J., Koeve, W., et al.: Future ocean acidification will be amplified by hypoxia in coastal habitats, Mar. <u>Biol. 160, 1875–1888, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-1954-1, 2013.</u>
- Mendelsohn, R., and Dinar, A.: (Eds.) Climate change and agriculture: an economic analysis of global impacts, adaptation and distributional effects, 246 pp.,Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, U.K., ISBN 9781847206701, 2009.
- Merlino, S., Abbate, M., Pietrelli, L., Canepa, P., and Varella, P.: Marine litter detection and correlation with the seabird nest
 content, Rend. Fis. Acc. Lincei 29, 867–875, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-018-0750-3, 2018.
- Merton, R. K.: The unanticipated consequences of purposive social action, Am. Sociol. Rev., 1, 6, 894-904, <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2084615, 1936.</u>
 - Methratta, E. T.: Monitoring fisheries resources at offshore wind farms: BACI vs. BAG designs, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 77, 3, 890–900, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa026, 2020.
- Meyers, S. D., and Luther, M. E.: The impact of sea level rise on maritime navigation within a large, channelized estuary, Marit. Policy Manag., 47, 7, 920-936, DOI: 10.1080/03088839.2020.1723810, 2020.

	Mikkola, E., Heinonen, J., Kankainen, M., Hekkala, T., and Kurkela, J.: Multi-Platform Concepts for Combining Offshore
	Wind Energy and Fish Farming in Freezing Sea Areas: Case Study in the Gulf of Bothnia." Proceedings of the ASME
	2018 37th International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering. Volume 6: Ocean Space Utilization.
635	Madrid, Spain, June 17-22, 2018, V006T05A029, ASME. https://doi.org/10.1115/OMAE2018-77677, 2018.

- Miller, A., Hedman, J.E., Nyberg, E., Haglund, P., Cousins, I.T., Wiberge, K. and Bignerta A.: Temporal trends in dioxins (polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin and dibenzofurans) and dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls in Baltic herring (Clupea harengus), Mar. Pollut. Bull. 73, 1, 220–230, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.05.015, 2013.
- Miller, P.: Gobiidae. In: Whitehead, P. J. P., Bauchot, M.-L., Hureau, J.-C., Nielsen, J., Tortonese, E. (Eds.). Fishes of the North-Eastern and the Mediteranean, 3, 1019-85. 1986.
- Mitrovica, J. X., Tamisiea, M. E., Davis, J. L., and Milne, G. A.: Recent mass balance of polar ice sheets inferred from patterns of global sea-level change, Nature 409, 1026–1029, doi:10.1038/35059054, 2001.
- Mohrholz, V., Dutz, J., and Kraus, G.: The impact of exceptionally warm summer inflow events on the environmental conditions in the Bornholm Basin, J. Mar. Syst. 60, 285-301, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2005.10.002, 2006.
- 645 Möllmann, C., Kornilovs, G., Fetter, M., and Köster, F. W.: Climate, zooplankton, and pelagic fish growth in the central Baltic Sea, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 62, 7, 1270–1280, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2005.04.021, 2005.

 Möllmann, C., Müller-Karulis, B., Kornilovs, G., and St John, M. A.: Effects of climate and overfishing on zooplankton dynamics and ecosystem structure: regime shifts, trophic cascade, and feedback loops in a simple ecosystem, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 65, 302–310, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsm197, 2008.

- 650 Möllmann, C. Effects of Climate Change and Fisheries on the Marine Ecosystem of the Baltic Sea. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Climate Science. https://oxfordre.com/climatescience/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228620.001.0001/ acrefore-9780190228620-e-682, 2019.
 - Moldanová, J., Hassellöv, IM., Matthias, V., Fridell, E., Jalkanen, J.-P., Ytreberg, E., Quante, M., Tröltzsch, J., Maljutenko, I., Urmas Raudsepp, U. and Eriksson, K.M.: Framework for the environmental impact assessment of operational

655 <u>shipping, Ambio, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-021-01597-9, 2021.</u>

- Moldanová, J., Fridell, E., Matthias, V., Hassellöv, I.-M., Jalkanen, J.-P., Tröltzsch, J., Quante, M., Johansson, L., Karl, M., Malutenko, I., Ytreberg, E., Eriksson, M., Sigray, P., Karasalo, I., Peltonen, H., Hasenheit, M., Granhag, L., Mawdsley, I., Aulinger, A., Tang, L., Ramacher, M., Uppstu, A., Jutterström, S., Moldan, F., Gustavsson, M., Demirdjian, B., Magnusson, K., Raudsepp, U., Roth, E., and Piotrowicz, J.: Sustainable Shipping and Environment of the Baltic Sea region. BONUS Sheba Scientific Final Report.
- https://www.bonusportal.org/projects/sustainable_ecosystem_services_2015-2018/sheba, 2018. Morén, I., Wörman, A. and Riml J.: Design of remediation actions in streams for retention and degradation of nutrients in the hyporheic zone, Wat. Resour. Res., 53, 11, 8872–8899, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR020127, 2018.
- Mottershead, D. N., Stephenson, W. J., and Hemmingsen, M. A.: Geomorphology and the Law of Unintended Consequences
 (Locke, 1691): Lessons from coastal weathering and erosion at Prawle (UK) and Kaikoura (NZ)', Geomorphology, 265, 1-11, doi:/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.04.015, 2016.
 - Muis, S., Apecechea, M. I., Dullaart, J., de Lima Rego, J., Madsen, K. S., Su, J., Yan, K., and Verlaan, M.: A High-<u>Resolution Global Dataset of Extreme Sea Levels, Tides, and Storm Surges, Including Future Projections, Front. Mar.</u> <u>Sci., 7, 263, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00263, 2020.</u>
- 670 Müller, J. D., Schneider, B., and Rehder, G.: Long-term alkalinity trends in the Baltic Sea and their implications for CO2induced acidification, Limnol. Oceanogr., 61, 1984-2002, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10349, 2016.

 Mustonen, M., Klauson, A., Andersson, M. Clorennec, D., Folegot, T., Koza, R., Pajala, J., Persson, L., Tegowski, J., Tougaard, J., Wahlberg M. and Sigray P.: Spatial and Temporal Variability of Ambient Underwater Sound in the Baltic Sea, Sci. Rep. 9, 13237, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48891-x, 2019. 675 Mwase, N. S., et al.: Health Impact of Air Pollution from Shipping in the Baltic Sea : Effects of Different Spatial Resolutions in Sweden. Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Health, 17, 2, 1-17, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17217963, 2020. Mykleby, P. M., Snyder, P. K., and Twine, T. E .: Quantifying the trade-off between carbon sequestration and albedo in midlatitude and high-latitude North American forests, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 5, 2493-2501, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071459, 2017. 680 Nagy, H., Di Lorenzo, E., and El-Gindy, A.: The impact of climate change on circulation patterns in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea upper layer using Med-ROMS model, Progr. Oceanogr., 175, 226-244, doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2019.04.012, 2019. Naylor, L. A., Coombes, M. A., Venn, O., Roast, S. D., and Thompson, R. C.: Facilitating ecological enhancement of coastal infrastructure: The role of policy, people and planning, Environ. Sci. Pol., 22, 36-46, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2012.05.002, 685 2012. Nedwell, J. R., Edwards, B., Turnpenny, A. W. H., and Gordon J.: Fish and Marine Mammal Audiograms: A summary of available information, Subacoustech Report ref: 534R0214, 2004. Needham, H. F., Keim, B. D., and Sathiaraj, D.: A review of tropical cyclone-generated storm surges: Global data sources, observations, and impacts, Rev. Geophys., 53, 545-591, doi: 10.1002/2014RG000477, 2015. 690 Neuenfeldt, S., Bartolino, V., Orio, A., Andersen, K. H., Andersen, N. G., Niiranen, S., Bergström, U., Ustups, D., Kulatska, N., and Casini, M.: Feeding and growth of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua L.) in the eastern Baltic Sea under environmental change, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 77, 2, 624-632, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsz224, 2020. Nicholls, R. J.: Planning for the impacts of sea level rise, Oceanography, 24, 2, 144-157, doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2011.34, 2011. 695 Nicholls, S., and Amelung, B.: Implications of Climate Change for Rural Tourism in the Nordic Region, Scand. J. Hosp. Tour., 15, 1-2, 48-72, DOI: 10.1080/15022250.2015.1010325, 2015. Nielsen, D. M., Dobrynin, M., Baehr, J., Razumov, S., and Grigoriev, M.: Coastal erosion variability at the southern Laptev Sea linked to winter sea ice and the Arctic Oscillation. Geophys. Res. Lett., 47, e2019GL086876. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086876, 2020. 700 Nielsen, J. R., Hoff, A., Waldo, S., Hammarlund, C., Virtanen, J.: Fishing for nutrients – economic effects of fisheries management targeting eutrophication in the Baltic Sea, Ecol. Econom., 160, 156-167, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2019.02.013, 2019. Niemikoski H., Söderström, M., and Vanninen, P.: Detection of chemical warfare agent-related phenylarsenic compounds in marine biota samples by LCHESI/MS/MS, Anal. Chem., 89, 11129-11134, 705 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b03429, 2017. Nilsson, J. H., and Gössling, S.: Tourist Responses to Extreme Environmental Events: The Case of Baltic Sea Algal Blooms, Tour. Plann. Develop., 10, 1, 32-44, https://doi.org/10.1080/21568316.2012.723037, 2013. Ning, W., Nielsen, A. B., Norbäck Ivarsson, L., Jilbert, T., Åkesson, C. M., Slomp, C. P., Andrén, E., Broström, A., and Filipsson, H. L .: Anthropogenic and climatic impacts on a coastal environment in the Baltic Sea over the last 1000 years. 710 Anthropocene 21, 66-79, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ancene.2018.02.003, 2018. Nistad, A. A.: Current and Future Energy Use for Atlantic Salmon Farming in Recirculating Aquaculture Systems in Norway, Master's thesis in Energy and Environmental Engineering, Supervisor: Johan Berg Pettersen, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Energy and Process Engineering, https://hdl.handle.net/11250/2656753, January 2020. 715 Nizzetto, L., Gioia, R., Li, J., Borgå, K., Pomati, F., Bettinetti, R., Dachs, J., and Jones, K. C.: Biological pump control of the fate and distribution of hydrophobic organic pollutants in water and plankton, Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 6, 3204-3211, https://doi.org/10.1021/es204176q, 2012.

NOOA. How much oxygen comes from the ocean? National Ocean Service website, https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/ocean-oxygen.html. Last updated: 26 Feb 2021. 2021. 720 Nordmyr, L., Boman, A., Åström, M., and Österholm, P.: Estimation of leakage of chemical elements from boreal acid sulphur soils. Boreal Environ. Res., 11, 261-273, 2006. Nordström, E. M., Dolling, A., Skärbäck, E., Stoltz, J., Grahn, P., and Lundell, Y.: Forests for wood production and stress recovery: trade-offs in long-term forest management planning, Eur. J. Forest. Res. 134, 755-767, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-015-0887-x, 2015. 725 Nordstrom, K., Lampe, R., and Jackson, N.: Increasing the dynamism of coastal landforms by modifying shore protection methods: Examples from the eastern German Baltic Sea Coast, Environmental Conservation, 34(3), 205-214, doi:10.1017/S037689290700416X, 2007. Noyes, P. D., McElwee, M. K., Miller, H. D., Clark, B. W., Van Tiem, L. A., Walcott, K. C., Erwin, K. N., and Levin, E. D.: The toxicology of climate change: Environmental contaminants in a warming world, Environ. Int., 35, 6, 971-986, 730 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2009.02.006, 2009. Nurkse, K., Kotta, J., Orav-Kotta, H., Ojaveer, H.: A successful non-native predator, round goby, in the Baltic Sea: generalist feeding strategy, diverse diet and high prey consumption. Hydrobiologia. 777, 271-281, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-016-2795-6, 2016. O'Neil, J. M., Davis, T. W., Burford, M. A., and Gobler, C. J.: The rise of harmful cyanobacteria blooms: The potential roles 5735 of eutrophication and climate change, Harmful Algae, 14, 313-334, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2011.10.027, 2012. Oberbeckmann, S., and Labrenz, M.: Marine Microbial Assemblages on Microplastics: Diversity, Adaptation, and Role in Degradation, Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 12, 209-232, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010633, 2020. Oberbeckmann, S., Kreikemeyer, B., and Labrenz, M.: Environmental Factors Support the Formation of Specific Bacterial Assemblages on Microplastics, Front. Microbiol., 8, 2790, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02709, 2018. 740 Oesterwind, D., Bock, C., Föster, A., Gabel, M., Hensler, C., Kotterba, P., Menge, M., Myts, D., and Winkler, H. M.: Predator and prey: the role of the round goby Neogobius melanostomus in the Western Baltic. Mar. Biol. Res., 13, 188-197, https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2016.1241412, 2017. Ogonowski, M., Wenman, V., Barth, A., Hamacher-Barth, E., Danielsson, S., and Gorokhova, E.: Microplastic Intake, Its Biotic Drivers, and Hydrophobic Organic Contaminant Levels in the Baltic Herring, 745 https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00134, Front. Environ. Sci., 7. 2019. Ohman, M. C., Sigray, P., and Westerberg, H.: Offshore windmills and the effects electromagnetic fields on fish. Ambio, 36 (8), 630-633, https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2007)36[630:OWATEO]2.0.CO;2, 2007. Ojaveer, H., Galil, B. S., Lehtiniemi, M., Christoffersen, M., Clink, S., Florin, A.-B., Gruszka, P., Puntila, R., and Behrens, J.: Twenty-five years of invasion: management of the round goby Neogobius melanostomus in the Baltic Sea. Manage. 750 Biol. Invasions, 6, 4, 329-339. https://doi.org/10.3391/mbi.2015.6.4.02, 2015. Ojaveer, H., and Kotta, J.: Ecosystem impacts of the widespread non-indigenous species in the Baltic Sea: literature survey evidences major limitations in knowledge. Hydrobiologia 750, 171-185, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-2080-5, 2015. Ojaveer, H., Olenin, S., Narščius, A., Florin, A. B., Ezhova, E., Gollasch, S., Jensen K. R., Lehtiniemi M., Minchin D., 5755 Normant-Saremba M., and Strake, S.: Dynamics of biological invasions and pathways over time: a case study of a temperate coastal sea. Biol. Invasions, 19, 3, 799-813, DOI 10.1007/s10530-016-1316-x, 2017. Oliver, T. H., and Morecroft, M. D.: Interactions between climate change and land use change on biodiversity: attribution

problems, risks, and opportunities. WIREs Clim. Change, 5, 317-335, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.271, 2014.

1	Olofsson M. Suikkanen S. Kobos J. Wasmund N. and Karlson B. Basin-specific changes in filamentous evanobacteria
5760	community composition across four decades in the Baltic Sea. Harmful Algae. 91, 101685, doi:
	10 1016/j hal 2019 101685, 2020
	Omstedt, A., Gustafsson, E., and Wesslander, K.: Modelling the untake and release of carbon dioxide in the Baltic Sea
	surface water. Cont. Shelf Res. 29, 870-885. DOI: 10.1016/j.csr.2009.01.006.2009
	Omstedt A. Edman M. Claremar B. Fradin P. Gustafsson F. Humborg C. Mörth M. Rutgersson A. Schurgers
5765	Smith B. Wällstedt T. and Vurova A. Future changes of the Baltic Sea acid-base (nH) and oxygen balances. Tellus B
5705	64 10586 http://dx doi.org/10.2402/tallush.v64/0.10586.2012
	04, 15500, http://dx.doi.org/10.5402/tenus0.v0410,15500, 2012.
	densited SO. NO. and NUL in the Date: See Detaind generate iterations. Cart Shell Dec. 111, 224, 240, doi:
	deposited SO_x , NO_x , and NH_x in the barrie Sea. Fast and present situations, Cont. Shen Res., 111, 254-249, doi:
	<u>10.1016/j.cst.2015.08.024, 2015.</u>
3770	<u>36680-3, 2020.</u>
	Oppenheimer, M., Glavovic, B., Hinkel, J., van de Wal, R., Magnan, A. K., Abd-Elgawad, A., Cai, R., Cifuentes-Jara, M.,
	Deconto, R. M., Ghosh, T., Hay, J., Isla, F., Marzeion, B., Meyssignac, B., and Sebesvari, Z.: Sea Level Rise and
	Implications for Low Lying Islands, Coasts and Communities. In IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a
5775	Changing Climate; Pörtner, HO., Roberts, D. C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E.,
	Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Nicolai, M., Okem, A., Petzold, J., Rama, B., Weyer, N. M., (Eds.) 1137-1216, 2019.
	Orviku, K., Jaagus, J., Kont, A., Ratas, U., and Rivis, R.: Increasing Activity of Coastal Processes Associated with Climate
	Change in Estonia, J. Coast. Res., 19, 2, 364-375, http://www.jstor.org/stable/4299178, 2003.
	Osorio-Cano, J. D., Osorio, A. F., Peláez-Zapata, D. S.: Ecosystem management tools to study natural habitats as wave
5780	damping structures and coastal protection mechanisms, Ecol. Eng., 130, 282-295, ISSN 0925-8574,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.07.015, 2019.
	Overeem, I., Anderson, R. S., Wobus, C. W., Clow, G. D., Urban, F. E., Mattel, N.: Sea ice loss enhances wave action at the
	Arctic coast. Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, art. no. L17503, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL048681, 2011.
	Pallis, T.: Cruise Shipping and Urban Development: State of the Art of the Industry and Cruise Ports. OECD Discussion
5785	Paper No. 2015-14, 2015.
	Paluselli, A., Fauvelle, V., Galgani, F. and Sempéré, R.: Phthalate Release from Plastic Fragments and Degradation in
	Seawater, Environmental Science & Technology 53 (1), 166-175, DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.8b05083, 2019.
	Pan, Y., Yan, C., and Archer, C. L.: Precipitation reduction during Hurricane Harvey with simulated offshore wind farms,
	Environ. Res. Lett., 13 084007, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aad245, 2018.
790	Parnell, K. E., and Kofoed-Hansen, H.: Wakes from Large High-Speed Ferries in Confined Coastal Waters: Management
	Approaches with Examples from New Zealand and Denmark, Coast. Manage., 29, 3, 217-237, DOI:
	10.1080/08920750152102044, 2001.
	Parsons, G., Firestone, J., Yan, L., and Toussaint, J.: The effect of offshore wind power projects on recreational beach use on
	the east coast of the United States: Evidence from contingent-behavior data. Energ. Pol., 144, 111659,
5795	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111659, 2020.
	Pastuszak, M., Nagel, K., Grelowski, A., et al.: Nutrient dynamics in the Pomeranian Bay (southern Baltic): Impact of the
	Oder River outflow. Estuaries 26, 1238–1254, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803627, 2003.
	Paul, A. J., Achterberg, E. P., Bach, L. T., Boxhammer, T., Czerny, J., Haunost, M., Schulz, KG., Stuhr, A., and Riebesell.
	U.: No observed effect of ocean acidification on nitrogen biogeochemistry in a summer Baltic Sea plankton community.
5800	Biogeosciences, 13, 3901–3913, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-3901-2016, 2016.
1	

	Peltonen, K.: Direct Groundwater Inflow to the Baltic Sea. TemaNord, Nordic Councils of Ministers, Copenhagen, Holand, 79 pp. 2002.
	Pendleton, L., Evans, K., and Visbeck, M.: Opinion: We need a global movement to transform ocean science for a better
	world. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 117, 18, 9652-9655; DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2005485117, 2020.
5805	Peters, G. M., Wiedemann, S., Rowley, H. V., Tucker, R., Feitz, A. J., and Schulz, M.: Assessing agricultural soil
	acidification and nutrient management in life cycle assessment, Int. J. Life Cy. Assess. 16, 431,
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-011-0279-5, 2011.
	Petersen, E. L., Hasager, C. B., Courtney, M., Natarajan, A., Larsen, T. J., Bredmose, H., Larsen, G. C., Sørensen, P. E.,
	Cutululis, N. A., Erik-Clausen, N., and Buhl, T.: Offshore Wind Farms. In Handbook of Clean Energy Systems, Yan, J.
5810	(Ed.). https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118991978.hces080, 2015.
	Petersen, J. K., Hasler, B., Timmermann, K., Nielsen, P., Bruunshøj Tørring, D., Mørk Larsen, M., and Holmer, M.: Mussels
	as a tool for mitigation of nutrients in the marine environment, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 82, 1-2, 137-143, ISSN 0025-326X,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.03.006, 2014.
	Piekarek-Jankowska, H.: Zatoka Pucka jako obszar drenażu wód podziemnych. Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Gdańskiego.
5815	Gdańsk, Poland. 1994.
	Pihlainen, S., Zandersen, M., Hyytiäinen, K., Andersen, H. E., Bartosova, A., Gustafsson, B., Jabloun M., McCrackin, M.,
	Meier, H. E. M., Olesen, J. E., Saraiva, S., Swaney, D., and Thodsen, H.: Impacts of changing society and climate on
	nutrient loading to the Baltic Sea. Sci. Total Environ. 731, 138935 ISSN 0048-9697,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138935, 2020.
5820	Pihlajamäki, M., Sarkki, S., and Haapasaari, P.: Food security and safety in fisheries governance-A case study on Baltic
	herring, Mar. Pol. 97, 211-219, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.06.003, 2018.
	Pilkey, O.H., Cooper, A.G.: The Last Beach. Duke University Press Durham 256 pp, ISBN 978-0-8223-7594-4, 2014.
	Pineda, I. and Fraile, D.: Boosting offshore wind energy in the Baltic Sea, Wind Europe report, November 2019, 2019.
	Platis, A., Siedersleben, S., Bange, J., Lampert, A., Bärfuss, K., Hankers, R., Cañadillas, B., Foreman, R., Schulz-
5825	Stellenfleth, J., Djath, B., Neumann, T., and Emeis, S: First in situ evidence of wakes in the far field behind offshore
	wind farms, Sci Rep 8, 2163, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20389-y, 2018.
	Polack, S.: Cruise tourism on the Baltic Sea and implications of water quality legislation: A case study on balancing the
	interests of the cruise industry and local economies with long-term environmental sustainability. Master's Dissertation,
	School of business studies, Södertörns University, 2012.
5830	Pons-Seres de Brauwer, C., and Cohen, J. J.: Analysing the potential of citizen-financed community renewable energy to
	drive Europe's low-carbon energy transition. Renew. Sustain. Energy Reviews, 133, 110300.
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110300, 2020.
	Port of Helsinki, Publications and statistics. Available at https://www.portofhelsinki.fi/en/port-helsinki/publications-and-
	statistics. 2020.
5835	Pranzini, E., Williams, A.: Coastal Erosion and Protection in Europe. Abingdon-New York: Routledge, Taylor and Francis,
	<u>457 pp. 2013.</u>
	Prestele, R., Alexander, P., Rounsevell, M. D. A., Arneth, A., Calvin, K., Doelman, J., Eitelberg, D. A., Engström, K.,
	Fujimori, S., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Humpenöder, F., Jain, A. K., Krisztin, T., Kyle, P., Meiyappan, P., Popp, A.,
	Sands, R. D., Schaldach, R., Schüngel, J., Stehfest, E., Tabeau, A. J., Van Meijl, H., Van Vliet, J. and Verburg, B. H.:
5840	Hotspots of uncertainty in land-use and land-cover change projections: a global-scale model comparison. Glob. Change
	Biol., 22, 3967 – 3983, doi: 10.1111/gcb.13337, 2016.
	Primavera, J. H.: Overcoming the impacts of aquaculture on the coastal zone, Ocean Coast. Manage., 49, 9-10, 531-545,
	ISSN 0964-5691, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2006.06.018, 2006.

845	Pruszak, Z., and Zawadzka, E.: Vulnerability of Poland's Coast to Sea-Level Rise, Coastal Engineering Journal, 47, 2-3, 131- 155. DOI: 10.1142/S0578563405001197.2005
2045	Prvor, S., Barthelmie, R., and Shepherd, T.: The Influence of Real-World Wind Turbine Deployments on Local to Mesoscale
	Climate, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 11, 5804–5826, 2018. doi: 10.1029/2017JD028114, 2018.
	Pupienis, D., Jonuškaitė, S., Jarmalavičius, D., and Žilinskas, G.: Klaipėda port jetties impact on the Baltic Sea shoreline
	dynamics, Lithuania, J. Coast. Res. 65, 10065, 2167–2172, https://doi.org/10.2112/SI65-366.1, 2013.
50	Pupienis, D., Jarmalavicius, D., Zilinskas, G., and Fedorovic, J.: Beach nourishment experiment in Palanga, Lithuania, J.
	Coast. Res., Special Issue 70, 490-495, doi: 10.2112/SI70-083.1, 2014.
	Puttonen, I., Kohonen, T., and Mattila, J.: Factors controlling phosphorus release from sediments in coastal archipelago
	areas, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 108, 1-2, 77-86, ISSN 0025-326X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.04.059, 2016.
	Quante, M., and Colijn, F.: North Sea Region Climate Change Assessment. Regional Climate Studies, Springer, Cham. DOI
55	https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39745-0, 2016.
	Quintana, C. O., Raymond, C., Nascimento, F. J. A., et al.: Functional Performance of Three Invasive Marenzelleria Species
	Under Contrasting Ecological Conditions Within the Baltic Sea, Estuar. Coasts 41, 1766–1781,
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-018-0376-9, 2018.
	Räisänen, J.: Future Climate Change in the Baltic Sea Region and Environmental Impacts. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of
60	Climate Science. https://oxfordre.com/climatescience/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228620.001.0001/acrefore-
	<u>9780190228620- e-634, 2017.</u>
	Rakauskas, V., Pūtys, Ž., Dainys. J., Lesutienė, J., Ložys, L., and Arbačiauskas, K.: Increasing population of the invader
	round goby, Neogobius melanostomus (Actinopterygii: Perciformes: Gobiidae) and its trophic role in the Curonian
	Lagoon, SE Baltic Sea. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria 43, 95-108, DOI: 10.3750/AIP2013.43.2.02, 2013.
55	Ramacher, M. O. P., et al.: Urban population exposure to NOx emissions from local shipping in three Baltic Sea harbour
	cities - a generic approach, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 9153-9179, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-9153-2019, 2019.
	Raudsepp, U., Laanemets, J., Maljutenko, I., Hongisto, M., and Jalkanen, JP.: Impact of ship-borne nitrogen deposition on
	the Gulf of Finland ecosystem: an evaluation, Oceanologia, 55, 4, 837-857, ISSN 0078-3234,
	https://doi.org/10.5697/oc.55-4.837, 2013.
70	Raudsepp, U., Maljutenko, I., Kõuts, M., Granhag, L., Wilewska-Bien, M., Hassellöv, IM., Eriksson, M. K., Johansson, L.,
	Jalkanen, JP., Karl, M., Matthias, V., and Moldanova, J.: Shipborne nutrient dynamics and impact on the eutrophication
	in the Baltic Sea, Sci. Total Environ., 671, 189-207, ISSN 0048-9697, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.264,
	<u>2019.</u>
	Ray, W. J., and Corkum, L. D.: Habitat and site affinity of the round goby, J. Great Lakes Res. 27, 329-334,
75	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(01)70648-X, 2001.
	Reed, D. C., Slomp, C. P., Gustafsson, B. G.: Sedimentary phosphorus dynamics and the evolution of bottom-water hypoxia:
	A coupled benthic-pelagic model of a coastal system, Limnol. Oceanogr., 56, 3, 1075-1092 doi:
	<u>10.4319/lo.2011.56.3.1075, 2011.</u>
	Refsgaard, J.C., Hansen, A.L., Højberg, A.L., Olesen, J.E., Hashemi, F., Wachniew, P., Wörman, A., Bartosova, A., Stelljes,
80	N. and Chubarenko, B.: Spatially differentiated regulation: Can it save the Baltic Sea from excessive N-loads? Ambio 48,
	1278-1289, doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01195-w, 2019.
	Reid, G. K., Gurney-Smith, H. J., Marcogliese, D. J., Knowler, D., et al.: Climate change and aquaculture: considering
	biological response and resources, Aquacult. Environ. Interact. 11, 569-602, https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00332, 2019.
	Reise, K., Buschbaum, C., Büttger, H., and Wegner, K. M.: Invading oysters and native mussels: from hostile takeover to

5885 compatible bedfellows, Ecosphere 8, 9, e01949. 10.1002/ecs2.1949, 2017.

Rekolainen, S.: Regionalisation of Erosion and Nitrate Losses from Agricultural Land in Nordic Countries. Nordic Council of Ministers, ISBN 9291209686, 9789291209682. 68pp, 1997.

- Reusch, T. B. H., Dierking, J., Andersson, H. C., Bonsdorff, E., Carstensen, J., Casini, M., Czajkowski, M., Hasler, B., Hinsby, K., Hyytiäinen, K., Johannesson, K., Jomaa, S., Jormalainen, V., Kuosa, H., Kurland, S., Laikre, L., MacKenzie,
- 890 B. R., Margonski, P., Melzner, F., Oesterwind, D., Ojaveer, H., Refsgaard, J. C., Sandström, A., Schwarz, G., Tonderski, K., Winder, M., and Zandersen, M: The Baltic Sea as a time machine for the future coastal ocean, Sci. Adv. 4, 5, eaar8195 DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aar8195, 2018.
 - Reuters 2016. Up to 80,000 rainbow trout escape into Danish sea. https://www.reuters.com/article/us-denmark-fishidUSKCN12B1XD. last access: 20 April 2021.
- 895 Reyers, M., Moemken, J., and Pinto, J. G.: Future changes of wind energy potentials over Europe in a large CMIP5 multimodel ensemble, Int. J. Climatol., 36, 783-796, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4382. 2016.
 - Rhein, M., Rintoul, S. R., Aoki, S., Campos, E., Chambers, D., Feely, R. A., Gulev, S., Johnson, G. C., et al.: Observations: Ocean. In Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, Stocker, T. F., Qin, D. (Eds.), Cambridge University Press. 2013.
- 900
 - Richardson, K., Hardesty, B. D., and Wilcox, C.: Estimates of fishing gear loss rates at a global scale: A literature review and meta-analysis. Fish Fisheries 20:1218-1231, https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12407, 2019.
 - Rieger, B., Künkel, A., Coates, G. W., Reichardt, R., Dinjus, E., and Zevaco, T. A.: Synthetic Biodegradable Polymers. Springer Science & Business Media, 366 pp. ISBN 3642271545, 9783642271540, 2012.
- 905 Ripszam, M. et al.: Dissolved Organic Carbon Quality and Sorption of Organic Pollutants in the Baltic Sea in Light of Future Climate Change, Environ. Sci. Technol. doi: 10.1021/es504437s, 2015.
 - Ristaniemi, O., Eronen, M., Glückert, G., and Rantala, P.: Holocene and Recent Shoreline Changes on the Rapidly Uplifting Coast of Western Finland, J. Coast. Res. 13, 2, 397-406, http://www.jstor.org/stable/4298635. 1997.
- Roberts, N., Fyfe, R. M., Woodbridge, J., Gaillard, M.-J., Davis, B. A. S., Kaplan, J. O., Marquer, L., Mazier, F., Nielsen, A.
- 5910 B., Sugita, S., Trondman, A.-K., and Leydet, M.: Europe's lost forests: a pollen-based synthesis for the last 11,000 years. Sci. Rep., 8, 716, DOI:10.1038/s41598-017-18646-7, 2018.
 - Robinson, D. T., Di Vittorio, A., Alexander, P., Arneth, A., Barton, C. M., Brown, D. G., Kettner, A., Lemmen, C., O'Neill, B. C., Janssen, M., Pugh, T. A. M., Rabin, S. S., Rounsevell, M., Syvitski, J. P., Ullah, I., and Verburg, P. H.: Modelling feedbacks between human and natural processes in the land system, Earth Syst. Dynam., 9, 895-914,
- 5915 https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-9-895-2018, 2018.
 - Rochman, C. M., Hoh, E., Hentschel, B. T., and Kaye, S.: Long-Term Field Measurement of Sorption of Organic Contaminants to Five Types of Plastic Pellets: Implications for Plastic Marine Debris, Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 3, 1646-1654, DOI: 10.1021/es303700s, 2013.

Rolland, R. M., Parks, S. E., Hunt, K. E., Castellote, M., Corkeron, P. J., Nowacek, D. P., Wasser, S. K., and Kraus, S. D.:

- 5920 Evidence that ship noise increases stress in right whales Rosalind, Proc. Roy. Soc. B, 279, 2363-2368, doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.2429, 2012.
 - Rothäusler, E., Jormalainen, V., Gutow, L., and Thiel, M.: Low abundance of floating marine debris in the northern Baltic Sea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 149, 110522, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.110522, 2019.
- Rounsevell, M. D. A., Ewert, F., Reginster, I., Leemans, R., and Carter, T. R.: Future scenarios of European agricultural land 5925 use: II. Projecting changes in cropland and grassland, Agr., Ecosystems Environ., 107, 2-3, 117-135, ISSN 0167-8809, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2004.12.002, 2005.

Roy, S. B., and Traiteur, J. J.: Impacts of wind farms on surface air temperatures, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 42, 17899-17904, ISSN 00278424, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1000493107, 2010.

- <u>Rufí-Salís, M., Garcia-Orellana, J., Cantero, G., Castillo, J., Hierro, A., Rieradevall, J., and Bach, J.: Influence of land use</u>
 <u>changes on submarine groundwater discharge, Environ. Res. Commun. 1 031005, https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ab1695, 2019.</u>
 - Rummel, C. D., Loder, M. G. J., Fricke, N. F., Lang, T., Griebeler, E. M., Janke, M., and Gerdts, G.: Plastic ingestion by pelagic and demersal fish from the North Sea and Baltic Sea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 102, 134-141, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.043, 2016.
- 935 <u>Rusu, E.: An evaluation of the wind energy dynamics in the Baltic Sea, past and future projections, Renew. Energ., 160, 350-362 ISSN 0960-1481 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.06.152, 2020.</u>

Rutty, M., and Scott D.: Will the Mediterranean Become "Too Hot" for Tourism? A Reassessment, Tour. Hosp. Plan. Develop., 7, 3, 267-281, DOI: 10.1080/1479053X.2010.502386, 2010.

- Ryabchuk, D., Kolesov, A., Chubarenko, B., Spiridonov, M., Kurennoy, D., and Soomere, T.: Coastal erosion processes in
- 940 <u>the eastern Gulf of Finland and their links with geological and hydrometeorological factors, Boreal Environ. Res., 16</u> (Supplement A), 117–137, 2011.
 - Ryabchuk, D., Spiridonov, M., Zhamoida, V., Nesterova, E., and Sergeev, A.: Long term and short term coastal line changes of the Eastern Gulf of Finland. Problems of coastal erosion, J. Coast. Conservat., 16, 3, 233–242, doi: 10.1007/s11852-010-0105-4, 2012.
- Samoteskul, K., Firestone, J., Corbett, J., and Callahan, J.: Changing vessel routes could significantly reduce the cost of <u>future offshore wind projects. J. Environ. Manage., 141, 146–154, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.03.026, 2014.</u> Sanderson, H., Fauser, P., Thomsen, M., and Sorensen, P. B.: Screening level fish community risk assessment of chemical warfare agents in the Baltic Sea, J. Hazard. Mater., 154, 1-3, 846-857, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.10.117, 2008.
- 950 Sanderson, H., and Fauser, P.: Environmental assessments of sea dumped chemical warfare agents. Aarhus University, DCE-Danish Centre for Environment and Energy, 116 pp. Scientific Report from DCE-Danish Centre for Environment and Energy No. 174, http://dce2.au.dk/pub/SR174.pdf, 2015.
 - Sandra, M., Devriese L., De Raedemaecker F., Lonneville B., Lukic I., Altvater S., Compa Ferrer M., Deudero S., Torres H.

 B., Alomar M. C., Gin I., Vale M., Zorgno M., and Mata L. M.: Knowledge wave on marine litter from aquaculture
- sources. D2.2 Aqua-Lit project. Oostende, Belgium. 85 pp. 2019.
- Saniewska, D., Beldowska, M., Beldowski, J., Jedruch, A., Saniewski, M., and Falkowska, L.: Mercury loads into the sea associated with extreme flood. Environ. Pollut. 191, 93-100, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.04.003, 2014.
 Saniewska, D., Bełdowska, M., Bełdowski, J., Saniewski, M., Gębka, K., Szubska, M., and Wochna, A.: Impact of intense rains and flooding on mercury riverine input to the coastal zone, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 127, 593-602, ISSN 0025-326X,
- 960 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.12.058, 2018.</u> Saniewska, D., Gębka, K., Bełdowska, M., Siedlewicz, G., Bełdowski, J., and Wilman, B.: Impact of hydrotechnical works on outflow of mercury from the riparian zone to a river and input to the sea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 142, 361-376, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.03.059, 2019</u>
- Sapota, M. R.: NOBANIS-Invasive Alien Species Fact Sheet Neogobius melanostomus from: Online Database o the
 European Network on Invasive Alien Species Nobanis. (www.nobanis.org. last access: 02.09.2019), 2012.
- Sapota, M. R., and Skóra, K. E.: Spread of alien (non-indigenous) fish species Neogobius melanostomus in the Gulf of Gdansk (south Baltic), Biolog. Invasions. 7, 157-164, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-004-9035-0, 2005.
 Savchuk, O. P.: Large-scale nutrient dynamics in the Baltic Sea, 1970–2016, Front. Mar. Sci., 5, 95, doi: 10.3389/fmars.2018.00095, 2018.
- 970 Savchuk, O. P., Eilola, K., Gustaffson, B. G., Medina, M. R., and Ruoho-Airola, T.: Long-term reconstruction of nutrient loads to the Baltic Sea, 1850-2006. Baltic Nest Institute Technical Report Series Nr 6, 2012, ISBN: 978-91-86655-03-7.

http://www.balticnest.org/balticnest/research/publications/publications/longtermreconstructionofnutrientloadstothebaltics ea18502006.5.76309165137811e731db96.html. 2012.

Scarpa, G.M., Zaggia, L., Manfè, G., Lorenzetti, G., Parnell, K., Soomere, T., Rapaglia, J., and Molinaroli, E.: The effects of ship wakes in the Venice Lagoon and implications for the sustainability of shipping in coastal waters, Sci. Rep. 9, 19014,

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55238-z, 2019.

975

- Scheidat, M., Tougaard, J., Brasseur, S., Carstensen, J., van Polanen Petel T., Teilmann, J., and Reijnders, P.: Harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) and wind farms: a case study in the Dutch North Sea. Environ. Res. Lett. 6 025102, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/6/2/025102, 2011.
- 980 Schernewski, G., Neumann, T., Podsetchine, V., and Siegel, H.: Spatial impact of the Oder river plume on water quality along the south-western Baltic coast, Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health, 204, 143–55, https://doi.org/10.1078/1438-4639-00086, 2001.
- Schernewski, G., and Sterr, H.: Tourism and Environmental Quality of the German Baltic Coast: Conflict or Chance? In:

 Schernewski, G., Schiewer, U. (Eds.) Baltic Coastal Ecosystems. Central and Eastern European Development Studies.

 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-04769-9_17, 2002.
- Schernewski, G., Schumacher, J., Weisner, E., and Donges, L.: A combined coastal protection, realignment and wetland restoration scheme in the southern Baltic: planning process, public information and participation, J. Coast. Conserv. 22, 533–547 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-017-0542-4, 2018.
- Schernewski, G., Radtke, H., Hauk, R., Baresel, C., Olshammar, M., Osinski, R., and Oberbeckmann, S.: Transport and
 behavior of microplastics emissions from urban sources in the Baltic Sea. Front. Environ. Sci., 8, 579361,
- https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2020.579361, 2020.
 Schernewski, G., Radtke, H., Hauk, R., Baresel, C., Olshamma, M., and Oberbeckmann, S.: Urban microplastics emissions: effectiveness of retention measures and consequences for the Baltic Sea; Front. Mar. Sci., doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.594415, 2021.
- Solution Schmidt, C., Krauth, T., and Wagner, S.: Export of Plastic Debris by Rivers into the Sea, Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 21, 12246-12253, DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.7b02368, 2017.

Schneider, B., and Mueller J. D.: Biogeochemical transformations in the Baltic Sea. Observations through carbon dioxide glasses. Springer Oceanography. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-61699-5, 2018.

<u>Schuler, M.: Unexploded WWII Mine Found Floating Near German Offshore Wind Farm, https://gcaptain.com/wwii-mine-found-floating-near-german-offshore-wind-farm/), 2017, last access: 19 April 2021.</u>

- Schwarzer, K.: Aggregate Resources and Extraction in the Baltic Sea: An Introduction. J. Coast. Res., 165-172. http://www.jstor.org/stable/40928828. 2010.
 - Scott, D., Gössling, S., Hall, C.M.: International tourism and climate change. WIREs Clim. Change 3, 213–232. doi: 10.1002/wcc.165, 2012.
- Sectanah, B., and Fauzel, S.: Investigating the impact of climate change on the tourism sector: evidence from a sample of island economies. Tourism Review, 74, 2, 194–203, doi: 10.1108/TR-12-2017-0204, 2019.

Seitzinger, S. P.: Denitrification in freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems: Ecological and geochemical significance, Limnol. Oceanogr., 33, 4, 2, 702-724, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1988.33.4part2.0702, 1988.

 Selin, H., Zhang, Y., Dunn, R., Selin, N.E., Lau, A.K.H.: Mitigation of CO2 emissions from international shipping through

 national allocation, Env. Res. Lett., 16(4), https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abec02, 2021.

Sherman, P., and van Sebille, E.: Modeling marine surface microplastic transport to assess optimal removal locations, Environ. Res. Lett. 11 014006, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/1/014006, 2016.

	Siedersleben, S. K., Lundquist, J. K., Platis, A., Bange, J., Bärfuss, K., Lampert, A., Cañadillas, B., Neumann, T., and Emeis,
	S.: Micrometeorological impacts of offshore wind farms as seen in observations and simulations, Environ. Res. Lett., 13,
015	12, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaea0b, 2018.
	Sierra J.P.: Economic Impact of Overtopping and Adaptation Measures in Catalan Ports Due to Sea Level Rise. Water 11, 7,
	1440, https://doi.org/10.3390/w11071440, 2019.
	Silva, J. A. K., and Chock, T.: Munitions integrity and corrosion features observed during the HUMMA deep-sea munitions
	disposal site investigations, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 128, 14-24, ISSN 0967-0645,
5020	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.09.001, 2016.
	Simonsen, M., Gössling, S., and Walnum, H. J.: Cruise ship emissions in Norwegian waters: A geographical analysis. J.
	Transp. Geogr., 78, 87-97, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2019.05.014, 2019.
	Simpson, D., Bartnicki, J., Jalkanen, JP., Hansson, HC., Hertel, O., Langner, J. and Pryor, S.C.: Environmental Impacts -
	Atmospheric Chemistry. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin.
5025	Reg. Clim. St., Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006- 1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.
	Simpson, S. D., Munday, P. L., Wittenrich, M. L., Manassa, R., Dixson, D. L., Gagliano, M., and Yan H. Y.: Ocean
	acidification erodes crucial auditory behaviour in a marine fish, Biol. Lett.7917-920
	http://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2011.0293, 2011.
	Skabeikis, A., Morkūne, R., Bacevičius, E., Lesutiene, J., Morkūnas, J., Poškiene, A., and Šiaulys, A.: Effect of round goby
030	(Neogobius melanostomus) invasion on blue mussel (Mytilus edulis trossulus) population and winter diet of the long-
	tailed duck (Clangula hyemalis), Biol. Invasion. 21, 911-923, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-018-1869-y, 2019.
	Skilbrei, O. T., and Wennevik, V.: The use of catch statistics to monitor the abundance of escaped farmed Atlantic salmon
	and rainbow trout in the sea, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 63, 7, 1190-1200, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2006.05.005, 2006.
	Skóra, K. E., and Stolarski, J.: New fish species in the Gulf of Gdansk, Neogobius sp. [cf. Neogobius melanostomus (Pallas
)35	1811)]. Bulletin of the Sea Fisheries Institute, Gdynia. 1, 83, 1993.
	Skóra, K. E., and Rzeznik, J.: Observations on diet composition of Neogobius melanostomus Pallas 1811 (Gobiidae, Pisces)
	in the Gulf of Gdansk (Baltic Sea), J. Great Lakes Res. 27, 290-299, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0380-1330(01)70644-2,
	2001.
	Slott, J. M., Murray, A. B., Ashton, A. D., and Crowley, T. J.: Coastline responses to changing storm patterns, Geophys. Res.
)40	Lett., 33, L18404, doi:10.1029/2006GL027445, 2006.
	Smedberg, E., Humborg, C., Jakobsson, M., and Mörth, CM.: Landscape elements and river chemistry as affected by river
	regulation – a 3-D perspective, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 13, 1597–1606, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-13-1597-2009,
	<u>2009.</u>
	Smil, V.: Detonator of the population explosion. Nature 400, 415, https://doi.org/10.1038/22672, 1999.
045	Smilden, E., Sørum, S. H., Bachynski, E. E., Sørensen, A. J., and Amdahl, J.: Post-installation adaptation of offshore wind
	turbine controls. Wind Energ. 23, 967- 985. https://doi.org/10.1002/we.24672020, 2020.
	Smith, B., Aasa, A., Ahas, R., Blenckner, T., Callaghan, T. V., de Chazal, J., Humborg, C., Jönsson, A. M., Kellomäki, S.,
	Kull, A., Lehikoinen, E., Mander, Ü., Nõges, P., Nõges, T., Rounsevell, M., Sofiev, M., Tryjanowski, P., and Wolf, A.:
	Climate-related change in terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems. In: BACC Author Team: Assessment of Climate Change
3050	for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer-Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg. ISBN 978-3- 540-72786-6. DOI
	<u>10.1007/978-3-540-72786-6, 2008.</u>
	Smith, M.: Baltic Health Tourism: Uniqueness and Commonalities, Scand. J. Hosp. Tour., 15, 4, 357-379, DOI:
	<u>10.1080/15022250.2015.1024819, 2015.</u>
	Soares, J., Kousa, A., Kukkonen, J., Matilainen, L., Kangas, L., Kauhaniemi, M., Riikonen, K., Jalkanen, JP., Rasila, T.,
055	Hänninen, O., Koskentalo, T., Aarnio, M., Hendriks, C., and Karppinen, A.: Refinement of a model for evaluating the

6055

population exposure in an urban area	, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 5, 1855–187	2, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-1855-2014,
<u>2014.</u>		

	Sobek, A., Bejgarn, S., Rudén, C., and Breitholtz, M.: The dilemma in prioritizing chemicals for environmental analysis:
	known versus unknown hazards, Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts, 18, 8, 1042-1049, doi: 10.1039/C6EM00163G, 2016.
060	Sobotka, A., Rowicki, M., Badyda, K., and Sobotka, P.: Regulatory aspects and electricity production analysis of an offshore
	wind farm in the Baltic Sea, Renew. Energ., 170, 315-326, ISSN 0960-1481,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.01.064, 2021

Sofiev, M., Winebrake, J. J., Johansson, L., Carr, E. W., Prank, M., Soares, J., Vira, J., Kouznetsov, R., Jalkanen, J.-P., and Corbett, J. J.: Cleaner fuels for ships provide public health benefits with climate tradeoffs, Nat. Commun., 406,

6065 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02774-9, 2018.</u>

- Sokolova, M., Buchmann, K., Huwer, B., Kania, P. W., Krumme, U., Galatius, A., Hemmer-Hansen, J., and Behrens, J. W.:
 <u>Spatial patterns in infection of cod Gadus morhua with the seal-associated liver worm Contracaecum osculatum sensu</u> stricto from the Skagerrak to the central Baltic Sea. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser., 606, 105-118, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12773, 2018.
- 6070 <u>Sonntag, S., Pongratz, J., Reick, C. H., and Schmidt, H.: Reforestationin a high-CO₂ world—Higher mitigation potential than expected, lower adaptation potential than hoped for, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, doi:10.1002/2016GL068824, 2016.</u>
- Soomere, T., Kask, A., Kask, J., and Nerman, R.: Transport and distribution of bottom sediments at Pirita Beach, Est. J. Earth Sci., 56, 4, 233–254, doi: 10.3176/earth.2007.04. 2007.

Soomere, T.: Nonlinear Components of Ship Wake Waves." ASME. Appl. Mech. Rev. 60, 3, 120-138,

- Soomere, T., Parnell, K., and Didenkulova, I.: Implications of Fast-Ferry Wakes for Semi-Sheltered Beaches: A Case Study at Aegna Island, Baltic, Special Issue No. 56. Proceedings of the 10th International Coastal Symposium ICS 2009, Vol. I (2009), 128-132, J. Coast. Res., 128-132. http://www.jstor.org/stable/25737551, 2009.
- Soomere T., and Quak E. (Eds.) Preventive methods for coastal protection. Towards the use of ocean dynamics for pollution control. Springer, 442 pp, ISBN 978-3-319-00439-6, 2013.
- Soomere, T., and Eelsalu, M.: On the wave energy potential along the eastern Baltic Sea coast, Renew. Energ. 71, 221–233, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2014.05.025, 2014.
 - Soomere, T., and Viška, M.: Simulated sediment transport along the eastern coast of the Baltic Sea. J. Mar. Syst., 129, 96– 105, doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.02.001, 2014.
- Soomere, T., Männikus, R., Pindsoo, K., Kudryavtseva, N., and Eelsalu, M.: Modification of closure depths by synchronisation of severe seas and high water levels, Geo-Mar. Lett., 37, 1, 35–46, doi: 10.1007/s00367-016-0471-5, 2017.
- Southall, B. L., Bowles, A. E., Ellison, W. T., Finneran, J. J., Gentry, R. L., Greene, C. R., Kastak, D., Ketten, D.K., Miller, J. H., Nachtigall, P. E., Richardson, W. J., Thomas, J. A., and Tyack, P. L.: Marine Mammal Noise Exposure
- Criteria:Initial Scientific Recommendations, Aquat. Mamm. 33, 4, 412-522, https://doi.org/10.1080/09524622.2008.9753846, 2007.

Spilling, K., Kalle, O., Lehtoranta, J., Kremp, A., Tedesco, L., Tamelander, T., Klais, R., Peltonen, H., and Tamminen, T.: Shifting Diatom—Dinoflagellate Dominance During Spring Bloom in the Baltic Sea and its Potential Effects on Biogeochemical Cycling, Front. Mar. Sci. 5, 327 DOI:10.3389/finars.2018.00327 ISSN:2296-7745, 2018.

6095 <u>Stanković, D., Crivelli, A. J., and Snoj, A.: Rainbow Trout in Europe: Introduction, Naturalization, and Impacts, Rev. Fish.</u> <u>Sci. Aquacult., 23, 1, 39-71, DOI: 10.1080/23308249.2015.1024825, 2015.</u>

^{6075 &}lt;u>https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2730847, 2007.</u>

Stelfox, M., Hudgins, J., and Sweet, M.: A review of ghost gear entanglement amongst marine mammals, reptiles and elasmobranchs, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 111, 1–2, 6-17, ISSN 0025-326X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.06.034, 2016.

- Stelzenmüller, V., Coll, M., Mazaris, A. D., Giakoumi, S., Katsanevakis, S., Portman, M. E., Degen, R., Mackelworth, P., <u>Gimpel, A., Albano, P. G., Almpanidou, V., Claudet, J., Essl, F., Evagelopoulos, T., Heymans, J. J., Genov, T., Kark, S.,</u> <u>Micheli, F., Grazia, M., Gil Rilov, P., Rumes, B., Steenbeek, J., and Ojaveer, H.: A risk-based approach to cumulative</u> <u>effect assessments for marine management, Sci. Total Environ., 612, 1132-1140, ISSN 0048-9697,</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.289, 2018.</u>
- 5105 Stephens, S. A., Bell, R. G., and Haigh, I. D.: Spatial and temporal analysis of extreme storm-tide and skew-surge events around the coastline of New Zealand. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 20, 783–796, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-20-783-2020, 2020.
- Stigzelius, J., Laine, A., Rissanen, J., Andersin, A., and Ilus, E.: The introduction of Marenzelleria viridis (Polychaeta, Spionidae) into the Gulf of Finland and the Gulf of Bothnia (northern Baltic Sea), Ann. Zool. Fenn., 34, 3, 205-212.

 110
 http://www.jstor.org/stable/23735480, 1997.
- Støttrup, J. G., Dinesen, G. E., Janßen, H., Gillgren, C., and Schernewski, G.: Re-visiting ICM theory and practice: Lessons learned from the Baltic Sea Region, Ocean Coast. Manage., 139, 64-76, ISSN 0964-5691, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.02.002, 2017.
- Strandberg, G., and Kjellström, E.: Climate Impacts from Afforestation and Deforestation in Europe, Earth Interact., 23, 1,

 115
 1-27 https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/eint/23/1/ei-d-17-0033.1.xml, 2019.
- Strandberg, G., Kjellström, E., Poska, A., Wagner, S., Gaillard, M.-J., Trondman, A.-K., Mauri, A., Davis, B. A. S., Kaplan, J. O., Birks, H. J. B., Bjune, A. E., Fyfe, R., Giesecke, T., Kalnina, L., Kangur, M., van der Knaap, W. O., Kokfelt, U., Kuneš, P., Lata'l owa, M., Marquer, L., Mazier, F., Nielsen, A. B., Smith, B., Seppä, H., and Sugita, S.: Regional climate model simulations for Europe at 6 and 0.2 k BP: sensitivity to changes in anthropogenic deforestation, Clim. Past, 10, 661–680. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-661-2014. 2014.
- 120 661–680, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-661-2014, 2014. Stuiver, M., Soma, K., Koundouri, P., van den Burg, S., Gerritsen, A., Harkamp, T., et al.: The governance of multi-use platforms at sea for energy production and aquaculture: challenges for policy makers in European seas. Sustainability 8, 333. doi: 10.3390/su8040333, 2016.

Suursaar, Ü., Torn, K., Martin, G., Herkül, K., and Kullas, T.: Formation and species composition of stormcast beach wrack
 in the Gulf of Riga, Baltic Sea, Oceanologia, 56, 4, 673-695, ISSN 0078-3234 https://doi.org/10.5697/oc.56-4.673, 2014.

- Svanbäck, A., McCrackin, M. L., Swaney, D. P., Linefur, H., Gustafsson, B. G., Howarth, R. W., and Humborg, C.: Reducing agricultural nutrient surpluses in a large catchment – Links to livestock density, Sci. Total Environ. 648, 1549-1559, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.194, 2019.
- Szymczycha, B., Vogler, S., and Pempkowiak, J.: Nutrient fluxes via submarine groundwater discharge to the Bay of Puck,
- Southern Baltic, Sci. Total Environ. 438, 86-93, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.08.058, 2012.
- Szymczycha, B., Maciejewska, A., Winogradow, A., and Pempkowiak, J.: Could submarine groundwater discharge be a significant carbon source to the southern Baltic Sea? Oceanologia, 56, 327-347, 2014.
- Szymczycha, B., Kroeger, K. D., Pempkowiak, J.: Significance of groundwater discharge along the coast of Poland as a source of dissolved metals to the southern Baltic Sea, Mar. Pollut. Bullet., 109, 151-162,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.06.008, 2016.
 - Szymczycha, B., Kłostowska, Ż., Lengier, M., and Dzierzbicka-Głowacka, L.: Significance of nutrient fluxes via submarine groundwater discharge in the Bay of Puck, southern Baltic Sea, Oceanologia, 62, 2, ISSN 0078-3234, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceano.2019.12.004, 2020a.

Szymczycha, B., Borecka, M., Białk-Bielińska, A., Siedlewicz, G., Pazdro, K.: Submarine groundwater discharge as a

- 6140
 source of pharmaceutical and caffeine residues in coastal ecosystem: Bay of Puck, southern Baltic Sea case study,

 Science of The Total Environment, 713, 136522, doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136522, 2020b.
 - Talbot, C., and Hole, R.: Fish diets and the control of eutrophication resulting from aquaculture. J. Appl. Ichthyol., 10, 258-270, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.1994.tb00165.x, 1994.

Tamminga, M., Hengstmann, E., and Fischer, E. K.: Microplastic analysis in the South Funen Archipelago, Baltic Sea,

- 6145 <u>implementing manta trawling and bulk sampling, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 128, 601-608, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.01.066, 2018.</u>
 - Taniguchi, M., Dulai, H., Burnett, K. M., Santos, I. R., Sugimoto, R., Stieglitz, T., Kim, G., Moosdorf, N., and Burnett, W. C.: Submarine Groundwater Discharge: Updates on Its Measurement Techniques, Geophysical Drivers, Magnitudes, and Effects, Front. Environ. Sci. 7, 141, https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00141, 2019.
- 150 <u>Tena, A., and Batalla, R. J.: The sediment budget of a large river regulated by dams (The lower River Ebro, NE Spain). J. Soils Sediments, 13, 966–980, DOI 10.1007/s11368-013-0681-7, 2013.</u>
 - Terrenoire, E., Hauglustaine, D. A., Gasser, T. and Penanhoat O.: The contribution of carbon dioxide emissions from the aviation sector to future climate change, Environ. Res. Lett. 14, 084019, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab3086, 2019.
- 6155 <u>Teuchies, J., Cox, T. J. S., Van Itterbeeck, K., et al.: The impact of scrubber discharge on the water quality in estuaries and ports. Environ. Sci. Eur. 32, 103 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-020-00380-z, 2020.</u>
 - Thibodeaux, L. J. and Bierman, V. J.: The bioturbation-driven chemical release process, Environ. Sci. Technol. 253-258, ACS Publications, 2003.
- Thom, B, Rocheta, E, Steinfeld, C, Harvey, N, Pittock, J, and Cowell, P.: The role of coastal processes in the management of
 the mouth of the River Murray, Australia: Present and future challenges. River Res. Applic. 36, 656–667,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3551, 2020.
 - Thomas, H., Schiettecatte, L.-S., Suykens, K., Koné, Y. J. M., Shadwick, E. H., Prowe, A. E. F., Bozec, Y., de Baar, H. J.
 W., and Borges, A. V.: Enhanced ocean carbon storage from anaerobic alkalinity generation in coastal sediments, Biogeosciences, 6, 267–274, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-267-2009, 2009.
- 6165 <u>Thomsen, F., Lüdemann, K., Kafemann, R., and Piper, W.: Effects of Offshore Wind Farm Noise on Marine Mammals and Fish, COWRIE Ltd., Hamburg. Copied available from www.offshorewind.co.uk, 2006.</u>
 - Thomsen, J., Gutowska, M. A., Saphorster, J., Heinemann, A., Trubenbach, K., Fietzke, J., Hiebenthal, C., Eisenhauer, A., et al.: Calcifying invertebrates succeed in a naturally CO(2)-rich coastal habitat but are threatened by high levels of future acidification. Biogeosciences 7, 3879–3891, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-3879-2010, 2010.
- Tobin, I., Vautard, R., Balog, I. et al.: Assessing climate change impacts on European wind energy from ENSEMBLES highresolution climate projections. Climatic Change 128, 99–112, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014- 1291-0, 2015. Tobin, I., Jerez, S., Vautard, R., Thais, F., van Meijgaard, E., Prein, A., Déqué, M., Kotlarski, S., Fox Maule, C., Nikulin, G.,
 - Noël, T., and Teichmann, C.: Climate change impacts on the power generation potential of a European mid-century wind farms scenario. Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 3, 034013, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/034013, 2016.
- 175 <u>Toimil, A., Losada, I. J., Camus, P., and Diaz-Simal, P.: Managing coastal erosion under climate change at the regional scale. Coast. Eng., 128, 106-122, doi: 10.1016/j.coastaleng.2017.08.004, 2017.</u>
 - Tõnisson, H., Orviku, K., Jaagus, J., Suursaar, Ü., Kont, A., and Rivis, R.: Coastal damages on Saaremaa Island, Estonia, caused by the extreme storm and flooding on January 9, 2005, J. Coast. Res., 24, 3, 602-614, doi: 10.2112/06-0631.1, 2008.

6180	Tornero, V., and Hanke, G.: Chemical contaminants entering the marine environment from sea-based sources: A review with
	a focus on European seas, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 112, 1–2, 17-38, ISSN 0025-326X,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.06.091, 2016.
	Tougaard, J., and Michaelsen, M.: Effects of larger turbines for the offshore wind farm at Krieger's Flak, Sweden.
	Assessment of impact on marine mammals. Aarhus University, DCE – Danish Centre for Environment and Energy, 112
6185	pp. Scientific Report No. 286. http://dce2.au.dk/pub/SR286.pdf, 2018.
	Tranvik, L. J.: Acidification of inland waters. Ambio 50, 261-265, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-020-01441-6, 2021.
	Turner, D. R., Edman, M., Gallego-Urrea, J. A., Claremar, B., Hasselöv, IM., Omstedt, A., and Rutgersson, A.: The
	potential future contribution of shipping to acidification of the Baltic Sea. Ambio 47, 368.
	https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-017-0950-6, 2018.
6190	Tyrrell, T., Schneider, B., Charalampopoulou, A., and Riebesell, U.: Coccolithophores and calcite saturation state in the
	Baltic and Black Seas, Biogeosciences, 5, 485-494, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-485-2008, 2008.
	Ulfsbo, A., Kuliński, K., Anderson, L. G., and Turner, D. R.: Modelling organic alkalinity in the Baltic Sea using a Humic-
	Pitzer approach. Mari. Chem. 18-26 DOI: 10.1016/j.marchem.2014.10.013, 2014.
	Ulm, M., Niehüser, S., Kondziella, B., Arns, A., Jensen, J., Uliczka, K.: Field measurements in the Kiel Canal, Germany:
6195	Ship waves, drawdown and sediment transport. J. Waterw. Port Coast., 146, 4, 04020020, 2020.
	UNCTAD: Review of Maritime Transport 2019, UN, Geneva, https://unctad.org/webflyer/review-maritime-transport-2019,
	<u>2019.</u>
	Undeman, E., Gustafsson, B. G., Humborg, C., et al.: Application of a novel modeling tool with multistressor functionality
	to support management of organic contaminants in the Baltic Sea. AMBIO 44, 498-506, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-
6200	<u>015-0668-2, 2015.</u>
	Unger, P., and Palm, H. W.: Parasite risk of maricultured rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss Walbaum, 1792) in the
	Western Baltic Sea, Germany. Aquacult. Int. 25, 2, 975-989, DOI 10.1007/s10499-016-0096-8, 2017.
	United Nations: The Second World Ocean Assessment. United Nations, New York. ISBN: 978-92-1-1130-422-0, 2021.
0005	Upham, P., and Johansen, K.: A cognitive mess: Mixed feelings about wind farms on the Danish coast and the emotions of
6205	energy infrastructure opposition, Energ. Res. Soc. Sci., 66, 101489, doi: 10.1016/j.erss.2020.101489, 2020.
	Urbanyi-Popiołek, I.: Cruise industry in the Baltic Sea Region, the challenges for ports in the context of sustainable logistics
	and ecological aspects, Transportation Research Proceedia, 39, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2019.06.056, 2019.
	Moamillan London https://doi.org/10.1057/0781127260028_4_2015
6210	Macmillan, London, nups://doi.org/10.105//9/8115/209928_4, 2015.
0210	Use intervention from the bottom of the southern Paltie See on the reliaf and addiments of the seehed
	Oceanologia 56 4 857-880 ISSN 0078-3234 https://doi.org/10.5697/oc.56.4.857.2014
	Ushakov S. Stenersen D. and Finang P. M.: Methane slin from gas-fuelled shins: a comprehensive summary based on
	measurement data J Mar. Sci. Technol. 24, 4, 1308-1325. doi: 10.1007/s00773018-00622-z. 2019
6215	Ustups, D., Bergström, U., Florin, A. B., Kruze, E., and Zilniece, D., Elferts, D., Knospina, E., and Uzars, D.: Diet overlap
	between juvenile flatfish and the invasive round goby in the central Baltic Sea, J. Sea Res., 107, 1, 121-129, ISSN 1385-
	1101, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2015.06.021, 2016.
	Vahtera, E., Conley, D. J., Gustafsson, B. G., Kuosa, H., Pitkänen, H., Savchuk, O. P., Tamminen, T., and Viitasalo, M.:
	Internal ecosystem feedbacks enhance nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria blooms and complicate management in the Baltic
4220	
9220	Sea, Ambio, 36, 186–194, https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2007)36[186:IEFENC]2.0.CO;2, 2007.

Farms on Hydrodynamics and Implications for Fishes. Oceanography, 33, 4, 108-117, doi:10.2307/26965754, 2020.

van den Brink, P. J. et al.: Toward sustainable environmental quality: Priority research questions for Europe, Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 37, 9, 2281–2295, https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4205, 2018.

- van den Burg S.W.K., Röckmann, C., Banach, J.L. van Hoof, L.: Governing Risks of Multi-Use: Seaweed Aquaculture at

 Offshore Wind Farms. Front. Mar. Sci. 7, 60, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00060, 2020.
 - Vanninen, P., Östin, A., Bełdowski, J., Pedersen, E. A., Söderström, M., Szubska, M., Grabowski, M., Siedlewicz, G., Czub, M., Popiel, S., Nawała, J., Dziedzic, D., Jakacki, J., and Pączek, B.: Exposure status of sea-dumped chemical warfare agents in the Baltic Sea, Mar. Environ. Res., 161, 105112, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105112, 2020.
- Vautard, R., Thais, F., Tobin, I., Bréon, F. M., Devezeaux De Lavergne, J. G., Colette, A., Yiou, P., and Ruti, P. M.: <u>Regional climate model simulations indicate limited climatic impacts by operational and planned European wind farms.</u> <u>Nat. Commun., 5, ISSN 20411723. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4196, 2014.</u>
 - Veidemane, K., and Nikodemus, O.: Coherence between marine and land use planning: public attitudes to landscapes in the context of siting a wind park along the Latvian coast of the Baltic Sea. J. Environ. Plann. Man., 58, 6, 949-975, DOI:
- <u>10.1080/09640568.2014.903167, 2015.</u>
- Viitasalo, M., Blenckner, T., Gårdmark, A., Kaartokallio, H., Kautsky, L., Kuosa, H., Lindegren, M., Norkko, A., Olli, K., and Wikner, J.: Environmental Impacts—Marine Ecosystems. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer International Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.
- Viitasalo, M. and Bonsdorff, E.: Global climate change and the Baltic Sea ecosystem: direct and indirect effects on species, communities and ecosystem functioning. Earth System Dynamics, in preparation, 2021.
 - Vila-Costa, M., Cerro-Gálvez, E., Martínez-Varela, A., Casas G., and Dachs, J.: Anthropogenic dissolved organic carbon and marine microbiomes. ISME J 14, 2646–2648, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-0712-5, 2020.
- Viška, M., and Soomere, T.: Hindcast of sediment flow along the Curonian Spit under different wave climates. In:
- 245 Proceedings of the IEEE/OES Baltic 2012 International Symposium "Ocean: Past, Present and Future. Climate Change Research, Ocean Observation & Advanced Technologies for Regional Sustainability," May 8–11, Klaipėda, Lithuania. IEEE Conference Publications, 7 pp. doi 10.1109/BALTIC.2012.6249195, 2012.
- Vitousek, S., Barnard, P. L., and Limber, P.: Can beaches survive climate change? J. Geophys. Res.: Earth Surface, 122, 4, 1060–1067, doi: 10.1002/2017JF004308, 2017.
- 250 <u>Voltaire, L., and Koutchade, O. P.: Public acceptance of and heterogeneity in behavioral beach trip responses to offshore</u> wind farm development in Catalonia (Spain), Resour. Energy Econ., 60.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reseneeco.2020.101152, 2020.

von Storch, H.: Perceptions of an endangered Baltic Sea. Oceanologia, accepted, 2021.

- von Storch, H., Omstedt, A., Pawlak, J., and Reckermann, M.: Introduction and Summary. In: BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer International
- Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin. Regional Climate Studies, Springer International

 Publishing. ISBN 978-3-319-16006-1. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.
- von Storch, H., Geyer, B., Li, Y., Matthias, V., and Rockel, B.: Chinese lockdown as aerosol reduction experiment, Advances in Climate Change Research, ISSN 1674-9278, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accre.2021.03.003, 2021.
 Voss, M., Emeis, K.-C., Hille S., Neumann T., and Dippner J. W., Nitrogen cycle of the Baltic Sea from an
- isotopicperspective, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 19, GB3001, doi:10.1029/2004GB002338, 2005b.
- Voss, M., Liskow, I., Pastuszak, M., Rüβ, D., Schulte, U., and Dippner, J. W.: Riverine discharge into a coastal bay: A stable isotope study in the Gulf of Gdańsk, Baltic Sea, J. Mar. Syst., 57, 1–2, 127-145, ISSN 0924-7963, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2005.04.002, 2005a.

Vousdoukas, M. I., Ranasinghe, R., Mentaschi, L., Plomaritis, T. A., Athanasiou, P., Luijendijk, A., and Feyen, L.: Sandy

coastlines under threat of erosion, Nat. Clim. Change, 10, 260–263, doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0697-0, 2020.

- Wagner, C. C., Amos, H. M., Thackray, C. P., Zhang, Y., Lundgren, E. W., Forget, G., Friedman, C. L., Selin, N. E.,
 <u>Lohmann, R., and Sunderland E. M.: A global 3-D ocean model for PCBs: Benchmark compounds for understanding the</u> impacts of global change on neutral persistent organic pollutants, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 33, 469–481, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB006018, 2019.
- 6270 <u>Wagner, S., Hüffer, T., Klöckner, P., Wehrhahn, M., Hofmann, T., and Reemtsma, T.: Tire wear particles in the aquatic environment A review on generation, analysis, occurrence, fate and effects, Water Res., 139, 83-100, ISSN 0043-1354, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.03.051, 2018.</u>
 - Wahlberg, M., Westerberg, H.: Hearing in fish and their reactions to sounds from offshore wind farms, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 288:295-309, doi:10.3354/meps288295, 2005.
- Wang, C., and Prinn, R. G.: Potential climatic impacts and reliability of large-scale offshore wind farms, Environ. Res. Lett., <u>6 025101</u>, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/6/2/025101, 2011.
 - Wang, J., Liu, Q., Hou, Y., Qin, W., Lesschen, J. P., Zhang, F., and Oenema, O.: International trade of animal feed: its relationships with livestock density and N and P balances at country level. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 110, 197-211, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-017-9885-3, 2018.
- Wang, X., Broch, O. J., Forbord, S. et al.: Assimilation of inorganic nutrients from salmon (Salmo salar) farming by the macroalgae (Saccharina latissima) in an exposed coastal environment: implications for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture, J. Appl. Phycol. 26, 1869–1878, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-013-0230-1, 2014.
 - Wasmund, N., Kownacka, J., Göbel, J., Jaanus, A., Johansen, M., Jurgensone, I., Lehtinen, S., and Powilleit, M.: The Diatom/Dinoflagellate Index as an Indicator of Ecosystem Changes in the Baltic Sea 1. Principle and Handling
- Instruction, Front. Mar. Sci. 4, 22, DOI=10.3389/fmars.2017.00022 ISSN=2296-7745, 2017.
- Wasmund, N., Nausch, G., Gerth, M., Busch, S., Burmeister, C., Hansen, R., and Sadkowiak, B.: Extension of the growing season of phytoplankton in the western Baltic Sea in response to climate change. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 622, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12994, 2019.

 Weinberger, F., Paalme, T., and Wikström, S. A.: Seaweed resources of the Baltic Sea, Kattegat and German and Danish

 290
 North Sea coasts, Bot. Mar., 63, 1, 61-72, https://doi.org/10.1515/bot-2019-0019, 2020.

- Weisner, E., and Schernewski, G.: Adaptation to climate change: A combined coastal protection and re-alignment scheme in a Baltic tourism region, J. Coast. Res., 65, 1963-1968, https://doi.org/10.2112/SI65-332.1, 2013.
- Weisse, R., Dailidiene, I., Hünicke, B., Kahma, K., Madsen, K., Omstedt, A., Parnell, K., Schöne, T., Soomere, T., Zhang, W., and Zorita, E.: Sea Level Dynamics and Coastal Erosion in the Baltic Sea Region, Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss.
 [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2021-6, in review, 2021.
- Westerberg, V., Jacobsen, J. B., and Lifran, R.: The case for offshore wind farms, artificial reefs and sustainable tourism in the French mediterranean. Tourism Manage., 34, 172–183, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2012.04.008, 2013.
 Westerborn, M., Kilpi, M., and Mustonen, O.: Blue mussels, Mytilus edulis, at the edge of the range: population structure, growth and biomass along a salinity gradient in the north-eastern Baltic Sea, Mar. Biol. 140, 991–999,
- 300 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-001-0765-6, 2002.</u>
 - Whitehouse, R. J. S., Harris, J. M., Sutherland, J., and Rees, J.: The nature of scour development and scour protection at offshore windfarm foundations, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 62, 1, 73-88, doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.09.007, 2011.
 - Więckowski, M., and Cerić, D.: Evolving tourism on the Baltic Sea coast: perspectives on change in the Polish maritime borderland, Scand. J. Hosp. Tourism, 16, 1, 98-111, https://doi.org/10.1080/15022250.2016.1244598, 2016.
- Wiegleb, J., Kotterba, P., Hammer, C., Oesterwind, D.: Predation of the round goby (Neogobius melanostomus Pallas, <u>19814</u>) on Atlantic herring eggs in the Wesern Baltic Sea, Mar. Biol. Res. 14, 989-1003, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2019.1577977, 2018.</u>

	Wikner, J. and Andersson, A.: Increased freshwater discharge shifts the trophic balance in the coastal zone of the northern
	Baltic Sea, Glob. Change Biol., 18, 2509-2519, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02718.x, 2012.
310	Wilewska-Bien, M., Granhag, L., and Andersson, K.: The nutrient load from food waste generated onboard ships in the
	Baltic Sea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 105, 1, 359-366, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.03.002, 2016.
	Wilson, J. C., and Elliott, M.: The habitat-creation potential of offshore wind farms. Wind. Energ., 12, 203-212,
	https://doi.org/10.1002/we.324, 2009.
	Wiréhn, L.: Nordic agriculture under climate change: A systematic review of challenges, opportunities and adaptation
6315	strategies for crop production, Land Use Policy, 77, 63-74, ISSN 0264-8377,
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.04.059, 2018.
	Wortley, L., Hero, JM., and Howes, M.: Evaluating Ecological Restoration Success: A Review of the Literature, Restor.
	Ecol., 21, 537-543, https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.12028, 2013.
	Wörman, A., Lindström, G., Åkesson, A., and Riml, J.: Drifting runoff periodicity during the 20th century due to changing
6320	surface water volume, Hydrol. Proc., 24, 26, 3772-3784, doi:10.1002/hyp.7810. 2010.
	Wörman, A., Lindström, G., and Riml, J.: The Power of Runoff, J. Hydrol., 548, 784-793,
	dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.03.041, 2017.
	Wulff, F., Savchuk, O.P., Sokolov, A., Humborg, C. and Mörth, C.M.: Management Options and Effects on a Marine
	Ecosystem: Assessing the Future of the Baltic, AMBIO: A J. of the Human Environment, 36(2):243-249 (2007).
325	https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2007)36[243:MOAEOA]2.0.CO;2, 2007.
	Xiong, M., Sun, R., and Chen, L.: A global comparison of soil erosion associated with land use and climate type, Geoderma,
	343, 31-39, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.02.013, 2019.
	Ytreberg, E., Eriksson, M., Maljutenko, I., Jalkanen, JP., Johansson, L., Hassellöv, I. M., and Granhag L.: Environmental
	impacts of grey water discharge from ships in the Baltic Sea, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 152, 110891.
6330	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.110891, 2020.
	Zaggia, L., Lorenzetti, G., Manfé, G., Scarpa, G. M., Molinaroli, E., Parnell, K. E., Rapaglia, J. P., Gionta, M., Soomere, T.:
	Fast shoreline erosion induced by ship wakes in a coastal lagoon: Field evidence and remote sensing analysis, PLoS
	ONE, 12, 10, e0187210, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0187210, 2017.
	Zandersen, M., Hyytiäinen, K., Meier, H. E. M., Tomczak, M. T., Bauer, B., Haapasaari, P. E., Olesen, J. E., Gustafsson, B.
335	G., Refsgaard, J. C., Fridell, E., Pihlainen, S., Le Tissier, M. D. A., Kosenius, AK., and Van Vuuren, D. P.: Shared
	socio-economic pathways extended for the Baltic Sea: exploring long-term environmental problems, Reg. Environ.
	Change 19, 1073-1086, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1453-0, 2019.
	Zaucha, J.: Sea basin maritime spatial planning: A case study of the Baltic Sea region and Poland, Mar. Policy, 50, A, 34-45,
	ISSN 0308-597X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2014.05.003, 2014.
340	Zedler, J. B.: What's New in Adaptive Management and Restoration of Coasts and Estuaries? Estuar. Coasts 40, 1–21,
	https://doi.org/10.1007, 2017.
	Zeidler, R. B.: Continental shorelines: climate change and integrated coastal management, Ocean Coast Manage., 37, 1, 41-
	62, ISSN 0964-5691, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-5691(97)87434-0, 1997.
	Zhang, W., Xia, H., and Wang, B.: Numerical Calculation of the Impact of Offshore Wind Power Stations on Hydrodynamic
6345	Conditions, Adv. Water Resour. Hydraulic Engin. 1143-1150 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-89465-0_199, 2009.
	Zilinskas, G., Janušaitė, R., Jarmalavičius, D., and Pupienis, D.: The impact of Klaipėda Port entrance channel dredging on
	the dynamics of coastal zone, Lithuania, Oceanologia, 62, 4, A, 489-500, ISSN 0078-3234.
	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceano.2020.08.002, 2020.

 Zillén, L., Conley, D. L., Andrén, T., Andrén, E., and Björck, S.: Past occurrences of hypoxia in the Baltic Sea and the role
 of climate variability, environmental change and human impact, Earth Sci. Rev., 91, 1–4, 77-92, ISSN 0012-8252, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.10.001, 2008.

Zobkov, M. B., Esiukova, E. E., Zyubin, A. Y., and Samusev, I. G.: Microplastic content variation in water column: The observations employing a novel sampling tool in stratified Baltic Sea, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 138, 193-205, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.11.047, 2019.

6355