

Interactive comment on “ESD Reviews: mechanisms, evidence, and impacts of climate tipping elements” by Seaver Wang and Zeke Hausfather

Axel Kleidon

axel.kleidon@bgc-jena.mpg.de

Received and published: 24 August 2020

With this post I would like to clarify that Earth System Dynamics (ESD) as well as EGU publications and Copernicus Publications in general encourage an open, transparent, and inclusive scientific peer-review process, irrespective of the academic qualification of the commenter.

The comments in the forum should be fair, objective, and constructive. They should be comprehensive and be representative of a scientific review, that is, collect multiple points of comments and critiques on the manuscript in order to assess its validity and merits, as well as to identify potential points where the manuscript can be improved and

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



strengthened. The contributions in the discussion forum should not, however, be used as a chat-like forum. Such quick notes could be exchanged with the corresponding authors directly by e-mail, with the contact information provided in the manuscript.

Axel Kleidon ESD Co-Chief Editor

This note was coordinated with Martin Rasmussen (Copernicus) and Katja Fennel (chair, EGU Publications Committee)

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2020-16>, 2020.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

