

Interactive comment on “ESD Reviews: mechanisms, evidence, and impacts of climate tipping elements” by Seaver Wang and Zeke Hausfather

Hunter Cutting

huntercutting@gmail.com

Received and published: 5 May 2020

Dear Anders Levermann:

Thank you for your response.

You state that your process is "elitist in a good way," but you fail to establish that.

You characterize my comments as "perfectly legitimate" yet you also find that my comments must be ignored lest they constitute "public dispute on climate change in an EGU peer-reviewed journal." Your logic here is self-contradicting (in the first instance) and circular (in the 2nd).

C1

Simply stating that something must be so is not the same thing as establishing it.

Further, ignoring "perfectly legitimate" comments is a disservice to the science.

I appreciate the time you have taken to share your views.

I understand my comments are not welcome here. So, I will not comment further in this thread (understanding you may comment again) or elsewhere on this platform.

Thank you for your attention here.

Best regards,

Hunter Cutting

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2020-16>, 2020.

C2