

Interactive comment on “ESD Reviews: mechanisms, evidence, and impacts of climate tipping elements” by Seaver Wang and Zeke Hausfather

Anders Levermann (Editor)

anders.levermann@pik-potsdam.de

Received and published: 5 May 2020

Dear Hunter Cutting,

I am sorry to disappoint you and am grateful for your contribution. We have to draw the line somewhere, especially in an open review process which has to remain a peer-review. I understand that this can be considered elitist, but in this sense it is a elitist in a good way. You are free to comment and address the author’s directly. All emails are openly available. I hope you understand that even though your comments were perfectly legitimate, we can not provide a platform for a public dispute on climate change in an EGU journal peer-review. This is not a science chat room. We have to protect

the authors and other reviewers. The scientific debate is open and free, especially with the many available channels of communication these days, but the journals have to be a peer-review world.

I hope you understand. Best wishes, Anders

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2020-16>, 2020.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

