

5



Impact of environmental changes and land-management practices on wheat production in India

- Shilpa Gahlot¹, Tzu-Shun Lin², Atul K Jain², Somnath Baidya Roy¹, Vinay K Sehgal³,
 Rajkumar Dhakar³
- ¹Centre for Atmospheric Science, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, 110016
 ⁷India,
- ⁸ ²Department of Atmospheric Science, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, 61801 USA,
- ³Department of Agricultural Physics, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi,
 110012, India
- 11 Correspondence to: Somnath Baidya Roy (drsbr@iitd.ac.in)

Abstract. Spring wheat is a major food crop that is a staple for a large number of people in 12 India and the world. To address the issue of food security, it is essential to understand how 13 productivity of spring wheat changes with changes in environmental conditions and 14 agricultural management practices. The goal of this study is to quantify the role of different 15 environmental factors and management practices on wheat production in India in recent years 16 17 (1980 to 2016). Elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration ([CO₂]) and climate change are identified as two major factors that represent changes in the environment. The addition of 18 nitrogen fertilizers and irrigation practices are the two land-management factors considered in 19 this study. To study the effects of these factors on wheat growth and production, we 20 developed crop growth processes for spring wheat in India and implemented them in the 21 Integrated Science Assessment Model (ISAM), a state-of-the-art land model. The model is 22 able to capture site-level observed crop leaf area index (LAI) and country scale production. 23 Numerical experiments are conducted with the model to quantify the effect of each factor on 24 wheat production on a country scale for India. Our results show that elevated [CO₂] levels, 25 water availability through irrigation and nitrogen fertilizers have led to an increase in annual 26 wheat production at 0.68, 0.24 and 0.31 Mt/yr, respectively, averaged over the time period 27







1980-2016. However, elevated temperatures have reduced the total wheat production at a rate 28 of 0.37 Mt/yr during the study period. Overall, the [CO₂], irrigation, fertilizers, and 29 temperature forcings have led to 39%, 15%, 20% and -16% changes in countrywide 30 31 production, respectively. The magnitudes of these factors spatially vary across the country 32 thereby affecting production at regional scales. Results show that favourable growing season temperatures, moderate to high fertilizer application, high availability of irrigation facilities, 33 34 and moderate water demand make the Indo-Gangetic plain the most productive region while the arid northwest region is the least productive due to high temperatures and lack of 35 irrigation facilities to meet the high water demand. 36

37

38 **1 Introduction**

39 Wheat is a major food crop, ranked third in India and fourth in the world in terms of its production (FAOSTAT, 2019). Wheat can be of two main types: winter and spring wheat. 40 Winter wheat undergoes a 30-40 day long vernalization period induced by below-freezing 41 temperatures and hence has a longer growing season of 180-250 days. In contrast, spring 42 43 wheat, which does not undergo vernalization, has a growing season of 100-130 days (FAO Statistic, 2014). In India, spring wheat is sown during October-November and harvested 44 during February-April (Sacks et al. 2010). It is grown in widely divergent climatic conditions 45 across the country where different environmental factors like temperature, water availability, 46 and [CO2] may affect growth and yield. Ideally, a daily average temperature range of 20-47 25°C is ideal for wheat growth (MOA, 2016). Studies have reported heat stress in wheat for 48 temperatures between 25 to 35°C (Deryng et al. 2014) during the grain development stages. 49 Beyond the temperatures of 35° C, wheat fails to survive. High temperatures are terminal to 50 51 wheat yield specifically in the flowering and grain filling stages during the second half of the growing season (Farooq et al. 2011). Increasing temperature change and heat stress events in 52







the recent decades and their impacts on wheat crop growth processes are extensively studied 53 (Lobell et al. 2012; Asseng et al. 2015; Farooq et al. 2011; Ortiz et al. 2008). Another 54 environmental factor that has been widely studied is the impact of increasing $[CO_2]$. The 55 56 resulting CO₂ fertilization effect is found to promote crop growth (Dubey et al., 2015). Apart 57 from environmental factors, management practices including nitrogen fertilizer application and irrigation also significantly affect wheat production (Myers et al. 2017; Luo et al. 2009; 58 59 Leaky et al. 2009). Because wheat is grown in the non-monsoon months, it is a high irrigation crop with almost 94% of the wheat fields in India equipped for irrigation (MAFW, 2017). 60 Studies that cover the impact of land management practices of irrigation and addition of 61 nitrogen input on crop production aid in giving an overall understanding of the scope of 62 improvement in planting and managing the crop to enhance production (Tack et al. 2017). 63

64 Even though India is the third largest wheat producer in the world, domestic production is barely sufficient to meet the country's demand for food and livestock feed (USDA, 2018). 65 Data from different sources report a relatively poor yield of wheat in India as compared to 66 other countries (FAOSTAT 2019). Hence, there is an urgent need to address this yield gap by 67 developing better land-management practices under different environmental conditions (Luo 68 et al. 2009; Zhao et al. 2014; Stratonovitch et al. 2015). A key first step to achieve this goal is 69 to understand the processes involved in interactions of the crop with its environment and the 70 71 factors responsible for impacting crop growth.

72

Dynamic Growth Vegetation Models (DGVMs) are well-established tools to study global climate-vegetation systems. Implementation of crop-specific parameterization and processes in DGVMs provides us with a better framework to assess and represent the role of agriculture in climate-vegetation systems (Bondeau et al., 2007, Song et al., 2013). This helps in better estimation of biogeochemical and biogeophysical processes, improves the representation of







feedback mechanisms as well as prediction of yield and production. Multiple process-based
models with crop-specific representations are being used recently (e.g., Drewniak et al.,
2012; Lu et al., 2017; Lokupitiya et al., 2009; Bondaeu et al., 2007; Song et al., 2013) instead
of standalone crop-models for this purpose.

This study explores the effects of environmental drivers and management practices on spring 82 83 wheat in India using the land model ISAM (Song et al., 2013 and 2014). The specific objectives of this study are: (1) to implement a dynamic spring wheat growth module in 84 ISAM, and (2) to study the effect of environmental factors (elevated [CO₂] and climate 85 change, including temperature and precipitation change) and land-management practices 86 87 (irrigation and nitrogen fertilizers) on production of spring wheat in India for the 1980-2016 period using ISAM. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that evaluates the 88 impacts of multiple environmental factors and land management practices on spring wheat in 89 India at a country level by implementing spring wheat specific processes in a land-surface 90 model. 91

92 **2. Methods**

93 2.1 Study design

The study is designed as follows. First, field data on crop physiology is collected at an experimental spring wheat field site. Next, the spring wheat model is developed and implemented in ISAM. The model is run at site-scale for calibration and evaluation with the site data. Next, the model is run for the entire country driven by gridded driver data and evaluated with country-scale wheat production data. Finally, numerical experiments are conducted to estimate the effects of various environmental factors and land-management practices on spring wheat production. Details of each step are described below.

101

102





103 **2.2 Site Data**

Field data on spring wheat growth is required to develop, calibrate and evaluate the spring 104 wheat model. Such data is not readily available in the public domain. Hence, a field campaign 105 is conducted during two growing seasons, 2014-15 and 2015-16. Leaf area index (LAI) is 106 107 measured for 2014-15 and LAI and aboveground biomass at different growth stages are measured for the growing season 2015-16 at a wheat experimental site. The site is located at 108 109 28°40'N, 77°12'E in the Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI) campus in New Delhi, which is a subtropical, semi-arid region. The crop was sown on 18th November 2014 and 110 20th November 2015. It reached physiological maturity on 30th March in both years. The 111 wheat field is irrigated with unlimited amount to ensure that the water stress to the crop is 112 minimal. Mimicking local farming practices, whenever the soil is perceived to be dry, water 113 114 is added till the top layers are near saturated. These led to 4 irrigation episodes in 2014-15 and 5 in 2015-16. Total nitrogen fertilizer of 120kgN/ha is being added to the crop in three 115 batches of 60, 30 and 30 kgN/ha in a span of 60 days from planting day. 116

117

The LAI is measured at the weekly interval with Li-Cor LAI-2000 plant canopy analyzer that measures gap fraction at five zenith angles using hemispherical images from a fisheye camera. LAI is estimated by comparing one above-canopy and three below-canopy measurements. The observed LAI is actually an average of multiple (at least five) LAI observations at different locations in each plot.

123

For measuring above ground biomass, plant samples from 50 cm row length are cut just above the soil surface. Then, different plant organs like leaves, stem, and spike (after anthesis) portions of plant sample are separated out. These are initially dried in the shade and later dried at 65°C in an oven for 72 hours till the weight stabilizes. Finally, the weight of dried plant samples were measured using an electric balance. To measure yield, two samples





- of mature wheat crops are harvested from 1×1 m² area in each plot and allowed to air dry. The total weight of grains and straw in each plot is recorded with the help of a spring balance. After thrashing and winnowing by mechanical thrasher, grains are weighed to estimate grain yield and thousand-grain weight.
- 133

134 **2.3 Model Description**

135 2.3.1 Dynamic C3 crop model in ISAM

ISAM is a well-established land model that has been used for a wide range of applications 136 (Barman et al. 2014a, 2014b; Gahlot et al. 2017; Song et al. 2013, 2015, 2016). ISAM 137 simulates water, energy, carbon, and nitrogen fluxes at a one-hour time step with 0.5°X0.5° 138 spatial resolution. ISAM has vegetation-specific growth processes for all major plant-139 140 functional types implemented in the model to better capture seasonality for each. Song et al. (2013) have developed a soybean and maize model for ISAM. Because soybean and wheat 141 are both C3 crops, the dynamic C3 crop model framework from the soybean model is used as 142 a foundation to build a spring-wheat model for this study. The model structure, phenological 143 stages, carbon and nitrogen allocation processes, parameters and performance are extensively 144 described and evaluated in various studies (Song et al. 2013, 2015, 2016). 145

146

147 2.3.2 Development and implementation of spring wheat processes in ISAM

The spring wheat processes in ISAM are implemented using the C3 crop framework (Song et al. 2013). For this purpose, C3 crop specific equations and parameters are update. The model equations are available in Song et al. (2013). A brief description is given in the online supplement and the revised parameters are available in Table S1. Some of the parameter values are collected from literature while the rest are estimated during model calibration.

153





ISAM accounts for dynamical planting (Song et al., 2013). This unique feature of ISAM is 154 quite important for modelling wheat in India because in India wheat is grown in different 155 climatic conditions (Ortiz et al. 2008) and in multiple cropping systems. In the rain-156 dependent, tropical central parts of India, wheat is planted early; in eastern parts of India 157 158 where rice is harvested before the wheat is planted on the same field, wheat is planted late; and timely sown in the northern and western parts of India (Table S2). ISAM uses different 159 160 conditions based on a 7-day average of air temperature and 30-day total precipitation to dynamically calculate the planting day. Observed wheat planting and harvest dates (Sacks et 161 al. 2010) are used to calibrate the planting time and harvest time criteria in the model along 162 with other state-level and regional datasets (NFSM). This allows for correct simulation of the 163 observed spatial variability of the planting date. 164

165

The heat stress effect is implement to account for the observed negative effects of high temperatures on grains (Asseng et al. 2015; Farooq et al. 2011) during the reproductive stage of the phenology (Zhao et al. 2007). To include these effects, net carbon available for allocation to grains decreases as daily average temperatures increase from 25° to 35°C in the flowering and grain filling stages (Table S3, Eq. A1-A3). This limits the growth of a plant. Beyond daily average temperatures of 35°C, the grains fail to develop.

172

173 **2.4 Site-scale simulations for calibration and validation**

The spring wheat model is calibrated at site level using LAI and aboveground biomass data collected at the IARI site for the 2015-16 growing season using the protocol described in Song et al. (2013) and validated using LAI data for the 2014-15 growing season. ISAM can be configured to run for a single point. Using this capability, ISAM is run at site-scale to simulate spring wheat growth observed at the IARI site. The model is spun-up by recycling the climate driver, $[CO_2]$ (Meinshausen et al., 2011) and airborne nitrogen deposition





(Lamarque et al. 2011) data for 2015-16 until the soil temperature, soil moisture, the soil 180 181 carbon pool and the soil nitrogen pool reaches a steady state. Then, the above ground biomass carbon (leaves + stem + grain) is calibrated using aboveground biomass (Fig. 1a), nitrogen 182 183 fertilizer amount added, sowing date and harvest date for the 2015-16 growing season. Next, 184 phenology-dependent carbon allocation fractions for leaves, stem, and grain are calibrated, using the LAI data (Fig. 1b), duration, and heat unit index requirement for each growth stage. 185 186 The model is evaluated by comparing simulated and observed LAI for the 2014-15 growing 187 season.

188

189 **2.5 Gridded Data for country-scale simulations**

Driver data for environmental and anthropogenic forcings are required to conduct ISAM 190 simulations. ISAM is driven by 0.5°X0.5° climate data from Climate Research Unit (CRU)-191 National Centre for Environment Prediction reanalysis (Viovy et al. 2018) with 6-hourly 192 mean surface air temperature, specific humidity, incoming shortwave and long-wave 193 radiations, wind speed and precipitation that are interpolated to hourly values. Annual [CO₂] 194 195 data is taken from the Global Carbon Project Budget 2017 (Le Quéré et al. 2017). Spatiallyexplicit annual nitrogen fertilizer data for wheat from 1901-2005 is created by combining 196 197 nitrogen fertilizer data from Ren et al. 2015 and Mueller et al. 2012 (Table S3: Eq. A4-A5).

198

Gridded data for the wheat harvested area, N application, and irrigation are required as model input to estimate actual wheat production for India in recent years (1980-2016). For this purpose, an annual spatially-explicit gridded wheat harvested area dataset for India is created by combining spatially-explicit wheat area from Monfreda et al. (2008) for the mean value over the time-period 1997-2003 (ca 2000) and non-gridded state-specific annual wheat harvested area from the Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture And Farmers Welfare, India (MAFW, 2017) (Eq. A6, A7, A8). Annual Area Equipped for







Irrigation (AEI) dataset is created by linear-interpolation of decadal data from Siebert et al. 206 207 (2015) (Eq. A9).

208

209 2.6 Country-scale simulations

210 Country-scale simulations are conducted after model calibration and evaluation. First, we spin up the model for the year 1901 by repeating the climate forcing data of CRU-NCEP 211 212 (Harris et al., 2014; Viovy, 2016) for the period 1901-1920, and fixed year (1901) data for [CO₂] of 296.8 ppm (Meinshausen et al., 2011) and data for airborne nitrogen deposition 213 (Lamarque et al. 2011), and zero amount of nitrogen fertilizer and irrigation, until the soil 214 temperature, soil moisture and the soil carbon and nitrogen pools reach a steady state at 215 approximately 1901 levels. Details of the spin-up process are described in detail in Gahlot et 216 217 al. 2017. After the model spin-up, numerical experiments are conducted as transient runs from 1901 to 2016. To estimate the effects of external forcings, country-scale runs are 218 conducted over wheat-growing regions in India by varying different input forcings (Table 1). 219 Control run (S_{CON}) represents the model run from 1901 to 2016 with time-varying annual 220 [CO₂], climate data, annual grid-specific nitrogen fertilizer, and full irrigation to fulfil the 221 water needs of the crop. Four additional simulations are conducted by assigning a constant 222 223 value to each input forcing one at a time. For instance, in S_{CO2}, all input variables (temperature, nitrogen, and irrigation) are the same as in the S_{CON} case except [CO₂] that is 224 225 held constant at 1901 level. The difference in model simulations from S_{CON} and S_{CO2} then gives the effect of elevated $[CO_2]$ on wheat crop growth processes. Here we present the 226 227 results only for the recent decades (1980 to 2016).

- 228
- 229

Model performance at the country-scale is evaluated by comparing the model simulated total wheat production at the country level with FAOSTAT 2019 and the Directorate of 230

231

9

Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture And Farmers Welfare (MAFW, 2017) data.





232	The production for each grid cell is an area-weighted sum of production from irrigated and
233	rainfed area fractions (Equation A10).
234	
235	To study the spatial variation in production, the wheat-growing regions of India are divided
236	into spring wheat environments (SWE) based on the mega-environment concept (Chowdhury
237	et al., 2019). For this purpose, we divide the wheat-growing regions of India into 5 SWEs
238	(Fig 2) based on temperature, precipitation, and area equipped for irrigation (Table 2).
239	
240	3. Results
241	3.1 Spring wheat model evaluation
242	The simulated magnitude and intra-seasonal variability in LAI for 2014-2016 compared well
243	with the experimental wheat site at IARI, New Delhi (Fig. 1c).
244	
245	Spatial distribution of model estimated wheat production at a country scale is compared well,
246	including the highly productive Indo-Gangetic plains, with the data from Monfreda et al.
247	2008 for the year 2000 (Fig. 3). ISAM simulated country scale wheat production for 1980-
248	2014 also compares well with production data from FAOSTAT (2019) and MAFW (2017)
249	datasets (Fig. 4) with correlation coefficients of 0.92 and 0.91 respectively with the two
250	datasets. However, the model estimated production is slightly higher than both observed
251	datasets. This may be attributed to the fact that the model is calibrated to the high-yielding
252	wheat cultivars grown in recent years (2015-16). Hence, the model is a valid tool to study
253	interactions of wheat with its environment for recent years.
254	
255	3.2 Effects of environmental and anthropogenic forcings at country scale
256	In this study we examine the effects of 2 environmental factors ($[CO_2]$ and temperature

- ıy, $([CO_2]$ an np
- change) and 2 land management practices (nitrogen fertilizer and water available) on the 257
 - 10





production of spring wheat. The impact of these factors is quantified as the difference between the control and the experimental simulations (Eq. A11) described in Table 1. Results show that during the 1980-2016 period, [CO₂], nitrogen fertilizers and water available through irrigation have a positive impact on wheat production but the impact of temperature is negative (Fig. 5) due to reasons detailed below. The effects of [CO₂], temperature change, addition of nitrogen fertilizers and irrigation show a trend of 0.68, -0.37, 0.31 and 0.24Mt/yr over the period 1980-2016, respectively (Table 3).

265

CO₂ fertilization is the most dominant factor that has contributed to increase in wheat 266 production over India. Annual average [CO₂] worldwide has increased from 337.7 ppm in 267 1980 to 404.3 ppm in 2016. This increase in levels of [CO₂] at the rate of 1.82 ppm/yr has 268 269 promoted growth in wheat as elevated $[CO_2]$ levels are known to enhance photosynthetic CO_2 fixation and have a positive impact on most C3 plants (Allen et al. 1996; Leakey et al. 2009; 270 Myers et al. 2017). Our results show that for every ppm rise in [CO₂] level total wheat 271 production of the country has increased by 0.37 Mt (Fig. 6a; Table 3). This amounts to an 272 approximate 39% increase in production compared to the 1980-84 period due to increased 273 [CO₂] levels. A positive correlation coefficient of 0.93 between annual wheat production and 274 275 annual CO₂ concentration confirms a positive impact of CO₂ on wheat production. Other studies based on multiple approaches including experiments have also shown an increase in 276 277 yield and growth of C3 crops under high [CO₂] conditions (Leakey et al. 2009; Dubey et al. 2015). 278

279

Nitrogen fertilizers are added to the farmland to reduce nutrient stress to the crop. The use of nitrogen fertilizers is important in the Indian context due to 2 reasons. First, India is a tropical country where higher temperatures and precipitation cause loss of nitrogen from the soil due to denitrification. Second, crop nitrogen demand is high because multiple cropping is widely





practiced. The average amount of nitrogen fertilizer added per unit area shows a positive trend of 2.66kgN/ha/yr during 1980-2016. This implies an increase in total wheat production at the rate of 0.10Mt for every kgN/ha added to the farm (Fig. 6c; Table 3). This amounts to an approximate 20% increase in production compared to the 1980-84 period due to increased fertilizer application.

289

290 Irrigation is a key factor for spring wheat in India where 93.6% of the wheat area is equipped 291 for irrigation (MAFW 2017), most of irrigated area being concentrated in the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Unfortunately, data on the actual amount of water used for irrigation water is not 292 available. Hence, in the S_{CON} simulation, we consider every grid cell is 100% irrigated so that 293 the crops do not undergo water stress at any point in the growing season. This is to say that 294 295 irrigation water required in the model is dependent on water demand of the crop. With this condition, our results show that with all the regions 100% irrigated, wheat production shows 296 a positive trend during 1980-2016. Overall, there is an approximate 15% increase in 297 production compared to the 1980-84 period due to increased irrigation. 298

299

The average air temperature for the wheat-growing season months (October-March) during 300 301 the study period (1980 to 2016) has shown an increase at the rate of 0.026°C/yr. Higher temperature during second half of the growing season is specifically known to produce 302 303 smaller grains and low grain numbers (Stratonovitch et al. 2015; Deryng et al. 2014). Our results have shown a decrease of 8.38 Mt (~10% reduction) of wheat per degree Celsius 304 305 increase in average growing season temperature (Fig. 6b). This is higher than the global estimate of 6% reduction per degree Celsius rise in mean temperature (Asseng et al. 2015). 306 Studies have reported that wheat-growing regions in low-latitudes are more susceptible to 307 rising temperatures (Tack et al. 2017; Rosenzweig et al. 2014) since optimum temperatures in 308 these regions have already been reached. Overall, there is an approximate 16% reduction in 309





310 production compared to the 1980-84 period due to rise in average growing season 311 temperatures.

312

In the presence of all input forcings (S_{CON}), the trend of wheat production in India remains positive at 1.17 Mt/year from 1980 to 2016.

315

316 **3.3 Effect of environmental and anthropogenic forcings at the regional scale**

317 It is clear that environmental and management factors significantly affect wheat production at a country scale. It is important to understand how these factors can affect production for 318 different regions. For this purpose, the results of the control simulation (S_{CON}) with all the 319 forcings are analysed for each of the SWEs shown in Fig. 2. A SWE is representative of 320 321 similar climatic and environmental conditions regionally in which wheat is grown. One SWE differs from the other in terms of different temperature range, precipitation received and 322 irrigation availability. The S_{CON} case is analysed to ensure that the input factors are fully 323 implemented in the model-estimated production and their effect can be studied effectively. 324 One important thing to note is that irrigation in the model is calculated as the excess water 325 demand required by the crop to grow in no-water-stress conditions. Hence, the 326 S_{CON} calculates irrigation as the ideal case scenario assuming that all the water demand of the 327 crop is met. Overall, this analysis will identify the factors (environmental conditions and land 328 management practices) that predominantly drive the wheat production range in a given SWE. 329

330

The results of this regional analysis are presented in Fig. 7 showing scatterplots of production as a function of various drivers for each wheat-growing grid cell in the model. A similar plot showing the relationship between production, AEI and wheat area is presented in Fig. 8.

334 Together, these two figures allow us to understand how different environmental factors and







management practices can affect production in different SWEs. Atmospheric CO_2 is omitted from this analysis because it is assumed to spatially uniform.

337

338 The Indo-Gangetic plain region (SWE1) is the best-suited environment for growing spring 339 wheat in India due to favourable growing season temperatures (Fig. 7a), moderate to high fertilizer application (Fig. 7b), high availability of irrigation facilities (Fig. 8b), and moderate 340 341 water demand (Fig. 7c). Hence, SWE1 is the major contributor to the annual total wheat production of India. Low temperatures (Fig. 7a) in the Himalayan foothills region (SWE2) 342 result in the limited production of wheat in this region. High-rainfall in growing season 343 months is helpful and hence, limited amount of water is required for irrigation (Fig. 7c) in 344 this area. The arid north-western India region (SWE3) is very low in production due to the 345 346 high temperatures (Fig. 7a) coupled with lack of irrigation facilities (Fig. 8b) needed to mitigate the high water demand created by low precipitation. SWE4 in the central and north-347 eastern India is also low in production due to high temperatures during growing season (Fig. 348 7a) even though the water demand is low (Fig. 7c) due to moderate rainfall. SWE5 areas in 349 the south-central India have limited wheat production because of limited irrigation facilities 350 (Fig. 8b) despite favourable temperature conditions. 351

352

Wheat production is directly proportional to area on which wheat is cultivated in a given 353 354 region/SWE (Fig. 8a). Fig 8b shows that wheat production is, in fact, positively correlated to AEI at the grid level. Since production in this analysis is derived from the S_{CON} case and no 355 356 AEI data is used in its calculation, it is interesting to see such a strong correlation between wheat production and AEI at grid level that are two independent datasets. This can be 357 explained by Fig. 8c that clearly indicates that availability of irrigation (high AEI) is a major 358 factor that drives area on which wheat is cultivated in a grid cell. Wheat, being a non-359 monsoon crop, is highly dependent on availability of irrigation in a region. For regions with 360





high growing season temperatures, additional water stress is induced in the crop along with heat stress that limits crop production. Hence, availability of favourable temperatures is crucial to ideal growing conditions for wheat. If irrigation can be made available in these regions, like in SWE 5, wheat cultivation area and wheat production can significantly grow in the years to come.

366

367 4. Conclusions and Discussions

This study explores the effects of environmental drivers and management practices on spring 368 wheat in India using the land model ISAM. For this purpose, we build a dynamic spring 369 wheat growth processes for ISAM where (i) we parameterize and calibrate the equations in 370 the C3 crop model framework available in ISAM, (ii) develop new equations for dynamic 371 372 planting time and heat stress, (iii) collect field data to calibrate and evaluate the model at site scale and (iv) develop gridded datasets of wheat cultivated area, irrigation and nitrogen 373 fertilizer data to conduct country-scale simulations. The model is able to simulate the spatio-374 temporal pattern of spring wheat production at the country-scale. This evaluation implies that 375 the model can serve as a simulation tool to conduct numerical experiments to understand the 376 behaviour of spring wheat. 377

378

In order to quantitatively study the role of environmental and anthropogenic factors, we 379 380 conducted a series of numerical experiments by switching off one factor at a time. Results show that the increase in CO_2 has a positive impact on wheat production due to the CO_2 381 fertilization effect. Atmospheric CO2 concentration has increased at 1.82 ppm/yr and 382 production has increased at a rate of 0.37 Mt per ppm rise in [CO₂] since the 1980s that 383 translates to a 39% increase in countrywide production. This is consistent with observational 384 studies such as Kimball (2016) that show an increase in yield of C3 grain crops due to 385 elevated [CO₂]. 386





387

Application of nitrogen fertilizer has increased at 2.66 kgN/ha/yr leading to increased production of spring wheat at the rate of 0.10Mt for every kgN/ha added that is equivalent to a 20% increase in countrywide production. Nitrogen deficiency is very high in India because of high consumption due to multiple cropping and nitrogen loss due to denitrification of the soil aided by the tropical climate. Nitrogen fertilizer contributes to increased production by mitigating this nutrient deficiency.

394

Our model results suggest irrigation increase could have led to an increase in production of 395 spring wheat at a rate of 0.44 Mt per 1000 mm of water added implying a 15% increase in 396 countrywide production. Irrigation appears to be the most important factor controlling 397 398 production across all the spring wheat environments. We note here that in our experiments irrigation is equivalent to 'no water stress'. This approach seems to be the best option because 399 data on actual water use in irrigation is not available. In grid cells that are equipped for 400 irrigation, we set the water stress term to zero. In reality, water stress may not go to zero in 401 some areas where water or power availability is limited. In these areas, the model 402 underestimates the simulated effect of irrigation on productivity. 403

404

Average growing season temperatures have increased by 0.026 °C/yr leading to a productivity loss of 8.38 Mt (~10%) per degree Celsius rise in temperature that is equivalent to a 16% decrease in countrywide production. Crop heat stress is a major reason behind this loss. The optimum temperature for wheat is 25° C in the reproductive stage. Heat stress effect triggers in the model when the canopy air temperature higher than 25° C and lesser than 35° C reduce grain filling and negatively impact the growth of storage organs. The observed 10% reduction rate in production is higher than the global average of 6% (Asseng et al. 2015)





because the growing season temperatures in India are already near the upper limit of theoptimal range.

414

415 The regional-scale analysis shows that the SWE1 is the best environment for growing spring 416 wheat in India due to favorable growing season temperatures, moderate water demand, and availability of irrigation facilities. Hence, this region is the main contributor to the annual 417 418 total wheat production of India. Northwestern India (SWE3) covering the states of Rajasthan 419 and Gujarat is the least productive region due to high growing season temperatures coupled with a lack of irrigation facilities needed to mitigate the high water demand created by low 420 precipitation. Studies have concluded that in order to improve and represent crop growth 421 processes in the models and to increase certainty in model-based assessments, there is a need 422 423 for more focused regional-scale studies (Koehler et al. 2013; Maiorano et al. 2017). This study is an attempt to work in similar direction with focus on wheat in India. 424

425

Apart from advancing our understanding of spring wheat growth processes, the crop model can also contribute to real-world decision-making. For example, our results show that wheat production in India has steadily increased at a rate of 1.17 Mt/year from 1980 to 2016. This implies that the negative effect of rising temperatures was offset by positive contributions from other drivers. Our model can be used to conduct experiments to identify optimal solutions to future scenarios. Furthermore, it will likely provide better estimates of terrestrial carbon fluxes.

433

There is scope for improving the crop model and the modelling framework. The processes involved in CO₂ fertilization need improvement to match the FACE studies. The addition of new processes accounting for the effects of pests and multiple cropping will make the simulations more representative of the Indian situation. Better data will also improve the





438	fidelity of the simulations. A key bottleneck in simulating crop growth at regional-to-global			
439	scales is the lack of irrigation water use datasets. To the best of our knowledge, large-scale			
440	observation-based datasets of water used in irrigation do not exist even though there are			
441	numerous datasets for irrigated areas and areas equipped for irrigation (e.g., Zohaib et al.,			
442	2019). The development of irrigation water use datasets will reduce the uncertainty in			
443	simulating the effect of water stress on crop production. Equipped with these improvements,			
444	ISAM can become an indispensable tool for informing policy on food security and climate			
445	change adaptation.			
446				
447	Code and data availability			
448	ISAM model code is available upon request.			
449				
450	Electronic supplement			
451	Electronic supplment has been submitted seperately.			
452				
453	Author contribution			
454	SG, AKJ and SBR conceptualized the study; SG, TSL and AKJ designed the numerical			
455	experiments and generated the input datasets; SG conducted the numerical experiments and			
456	analyzed the outputs; VKS and RD collected the field observations; SG, AKJ and SBR wrote			
457	the paper.			
458				
459	Competing interests			
460	The authors declare no competing interests.			





461	References				
462	Allen L. H. Jr., Baker J. T. & Boote K. J.: The CO2 fertilization effect: higher carbohydrate				
463	production and retention as biomass and seed yield, FAO Corporate Document				
464	Repository: Global climate change and agricultural production, Direct and indirect effects				
465	of changing hydrological, pedological and plant physiological processes, 1996.				
466	http://www.fao.org/docrep/w5183e/w5183e06.htm				
467	Asseng, S., Ewert, F., Martre, P., Rötter, R. P., Lobell, D. B., Cammarano, D., & Reynolds,				
468	M. P.: Rising temperatures reduce global wheat production, Nature Climate Change, 5(2),				
469	143, 2015.				
470	Ball, J. T., Woodrow, I. E., & Berry, J. A.: A model predicting stomatal conductance and its				
471	contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental				
472	conditions, Progress in photosynthesis research (pp. 221-224), Springer, Dordrecht, 1987.				
473	Barman, R., Jain, A. K., & Liang, M.: Climate-driven uncertainties in modeling terrestrial				
474	gross primary production: A site level to global-scale analysis, Global Change Biology,				
475	20(5), 1394–1411, 2014a. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12474				
476	Barman, R., Jain, A. K., & Liang, M.: Climate-driven uncertainties in modeling terrestrial				
477	energy and water fluxes: A site-level to globalscale analysis, Global Change Biology,				
478	20(6), 1885-1900, 2014b. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12473				
479	Chen, J. M., & Black, T. A.: Defining leaf area index for non flat leaves, Plant, Cell &				
480	Environment, 15(4), 421-429, 1992.				
481	Chowdhury, D., Bharadwaj, A. & Sehgal, V. K.: Mega-Environment Concept in Agriculture:				
482	A Review, Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 8(1), 2147-2152, 2019.				
483	Deryng, D., Conway, D., Ramankutty, N., Price, J., & Warren, R.: Global crop yield response				
484	to extreme heat stress under multiple climate change futures, Environmental Research				
485	Letters, 9(3), 034011, 2014.				





486	Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture, India.					
487	http://eands.dacnet.nic.in/					
488	Drewniak, B., Song, J., Prell, J., Kotamarthi, V. R., and Jacob, R: Modeling agriculture in the					
489	Community Land Model, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 495-515. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-					
490	6-495-2013, 2013.					
491	Dubey, S. K., Tripathi, S. K., & Pranuthi, G.: Effect of Elevated CO2 on Wheat Crop:					
492	Mechanism and Impact, Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and					
493	Technology, 45(21), 2283-2304, 2015.					
494	FAOSTAT online database. http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC accessed 15 March					
495	2019. FAO statistic. http://www.fao.org/land-water/databases-and-software/crop-					
496	information/wheat/en/ accessed 15 th November 2018, 2014.					
497	Farooq, M., Bramley, H., Palta, J. A., & Siddique, K. H.: Heat stress in wheat during					
498	reproductive and grain-filling phases, Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 30(6), 491-507,					
499	2011.					
500	Farquhar, G. V., von Caemmerer, S. V., & Berry, J. A.: A biochemical model of					
501	photosynthetic CO ₂ assimilation in leaves of C3 species. Planta, 149(1), 78-90, 1980.					
502	Gahlot, S., Shu, S., Jain, A. K., & Baidya Roy, S.: Estimating trends and variation of net					
503	biome productivity in India for 1980-2012 using a land surface model, Geophysical					
504	Research Letters, 44, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075777					
505	Gill, K. K., Babuta, R., Kaur, N., Kaur, P., & Sandhu, S. S.: Thermal requirement of wheat					
506	crop in different agroclimatic regions of Punjab under climate change					
507	scenarios, Mausam, 65(3), 417-424, 2014.					
508	Jonckheere, I., Fleck, S., Nackaerts, K., Muys, B., Coppin, P., Weiss, M., & Baret, F.:					
509	Review of methods for in situ leaf area index determination: Part I, Theories, sensors and					
510	hemispherical photography, Agricultural and forest meteorology, 121(1-2), 19-35, 2004.					





511	Kimball, B. A. (2016). Crop responses to elevated CO2 and interactions with H2O, N, and
512	temperature. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 31, 36-43. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2016.03.006
513	Koehler, A. K., Challinor, A. J., Hawkins, E., & Asseng, S., Influences of increasing
514	temperature on Indian wheat: quantifying limits to predictability, Environmental Research
515	Letters, 8(3), 034016, 2013.
516	Le Quéré, C., Andrew, R. M., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Pongratz, J., Manning, A. C., &
517	Boden, T. A.: Global carbon budget 2017, Earth System Science Data Discussions, 1-79,
518	2017.
519	Leakey, A. D., Ainsworth, E. A., Bernacchi, C. J., Rogers, A., Long, S. P., & Ort, D. R.:
520	Elevated CO ₂ effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons
521	from FACE, Journal of experimental botany, 60(10), 2859-2876, 2009.
522	Lobell, D. B., Sibley, A., & Ortiz-Monasterio, J. I.: Extreme heat effects on wheat senescence
523	in India, Nature Climate Change, 2(3), 186, 2012.
524	Lokupitiya, E., Denning, S., Paustian, K., Baker, I., Schaefer, K., Verma, S., & Fischer,
525	M.: Incorporation of crop phenology in Simple Biosphere Model (SiBcrop) to improve
526	land-atmosphere carbon exchanges from croplands, Biogeosciences, 6(6), 969-986, 2009.
527	Luo, Q., Bellotti, W., Williams, M., & Wang, E.: Adaptation to climate change of wheat
528	growing in South Australia: analysis of management and breeding strategies, Agriculture,
529	ecosystems & environment, 129(1-3), 261-267, 2009.
530	MAFW: District-wise Crop Production Statistics, Directorate of Economics and Statistics,
531	Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. https://aps.dac.gov.in/APY/Index.htm
532	accessed 14 th November 2018.
533	MAFW: Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2016, Directorate of Economics and Statistics,
534	Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, PDES-256 (E), 500-2017 - (DSK-III),
535	2017. Retrieved from https://eands.dacnet.nic.in/PDF/Glance-2016.pdf





- MOA: Status Paper on Wheat, Directorate of Wheat Development, Ministry of Agriculture, 536 of Agriculture Co-operation, 537 Department and U.P., India, 2016. https://www.nfsm.gov.in/StatusPaper/Wheat2016.pdf 538 Maiorano, A., Martre, P., Asseng, S., Ewert, F., Müller, C., Rötter, R. P., ... & Alderman, P. 539 540 D.: Crop model improvement reduces the uncertainty of the response to temperature of multi-model ensembles, Field crops research, 202, 5-20, 2017. 541 542 Monfreda, C., N. Ramankutty, and J. A. Foley: Farming the planet: 2. Geographic distribution of crop areas, yields, physiological types, and net primary production in the 543 2008. 2000, Biogeochemical 22, GB1022, 544 year Global Cycles, doi:10.1029/2007GB002947. 545 Mueller, N. D., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., Ray, D. K., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. A.: 546 547 Closing yield gaps through nutrient and water management, Nature, 490(7419), 254, 2012. 548 Myers, S. S., Smith, M. R., Guth, S., Golden, C. D., Vaitla, B., Mueller, N. D., ... & Huybers, 549 P.: Climate change and global food systems: potential impacts on food security and 550 undernutrition. Annual review of public health, 38, 259-277, 2017. 551 NFSM, Crop Calendar by National Food Security Mission (NFSM), Ministry of Agriculture 552 and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. https://nfsm.gov.in/nfmis/rpt/ 553 calenderreport.aspx accessed 5th January 2018. 554 555 Ortiz, R., Sayre, K. D., Govaerts, B., Gupta, R., Subbarao, G. V., Ban, T., ... & Reynolds, M.: wheat beat heat?, Agriculture, & Climate change: can the Ecosystems 556 557 Environment, 126(1-2), 46-58, 2008. Rajaram, S., Van Ginkel, M., & Fischer, R. A.: CIMMYT's wheat breeding mega-558 environments (ME), Proceedings of the 8th International wheat genetic symposium, 559 1101-1106, 1993. 560
 - 22





561	Rosenzweig, C., Elliott, J., Deryng, D., Ruane, A. C., Müller, C., Arneth, A., & Neumann,				
562	K.: Assessing agricultural risks of climate change in the 21st century in a global gridded				
563	crop model intercomparison, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(9),				
564	3268-3273, 2014.				
565	Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau, Journal of the				
566	American statistical association, 63(324), 1379-1389, 1968.				
567	Sacks, W. J., Deryng, D., Foley, J. A., & Ramankutty, N.: Crop planting dates: an analysis of				
568	global patterns, Global Ecology and Biogeography, 19(5), 607-620, 2010.				
569	Siebert, S., Kummu, M., Porkka, M., Döll, P., Ramankutty, N., and Scanlon, B. R.: A global				
570	data set of the extent of irrigated land from 1900 to 2005, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 19,				
571	1521-1545, 2015. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-1521-2015				
572	Song, Y., Jain, A. K., and McIsaac, G. F.: Implementation of dynamic crop growth processes				
573	into a land surface model: evaluation of energy, water and carbon fluxes under corn and				
574	soybean rotation, Biogeosciences, 10, 8039-8066, 2013.				
575	https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-8039-2013				
576	Song, Y., Jain, A. K., Landuyt, W., Kheshgi, H. S., & Khanna, M.: Estimates of biomass				
577	yield for perennial bioenergy grasses in the USA, BioEnergy Research, 8(2), 688-715,				
578	2015.				
579	Song, Y., Cervarich, M., Jain, A. K., Kheshgi, H. S., Landuyt, W., & Cai, X.: The interplay				
580	between bioenergy grass production and water resources in the United States of America,				
581	Environmental science & technology, 50(6), 3010-3019, 2016.				
582	Stratonovitch, P., & Semenov, M. A.: Heat tolerance around flowering in wheat identified as				
583	a key trait for increased yield potential in Europe under climate change. Journal of				
584	experimental botany, 66(12), 3599-3609, 2015.				
585	Tack, J., Barkley, A., & Hendricks, N.: Irrigation offsets wheat yield reductions from				
586	warming temperatures. Environmental Research Letters, 12(11), 114027, 2017.				





587	USDA: India Grain and Feed Annual 2018, Global Agriculture Information Network Report				
588	Number IN8027, USDA Foreign Agriculture Service, 16 March 2018, Retrieved from				
589	https://gain.fas.usda.gov/Recent%20GAIN%20Publications/Grain%20and%20Feed%20A				
590	nnual_New%20Delhi_India_3-16-2018.pdf				
591	Viovy, N.: CRUNCEP Version 7 - Atmospheric Forcing Data for the Community Land				
592	Model, Research Data Archive at the National Center for Atmospheric Research,				
593	Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, 2018.				
594	http://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds314.3/ accessed 20th June 2016.				
595	Xiaolin R. Weitzel, M., O'Neill, B., Lawrence, P., Meiyappan, P., Levis, S., Balistreri, E. J.,				
596	& Dalton, M .: Avoided economic impacts of climate change on agriculture: Integrating a				
597	land surface model (CLM) with a global economic model (iPETS), Working Papers				
598	2015-11, Colorado School of Mines, Division of Economics and Business, 2013.				
599	Zhao, G., Bryan, B. A., & Song, X.: Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis of the APSIM-wheat				
600	model: Interactions between cultivar, environmental, and management				
601	parameters. Ecological Modelling, 279, 1-11, 2014.				
602	Zohaib, M., Kim, H., & Choi, M.: Detecting global irrigated areas using satellite and				
603	reanalysis products, Sci. Total Environment., 677, 679-691, 2019.				
604					







validated with site-measured data for growing season 2014-15. The red dots are site-611

measured values and the black lines are ISAM simulated values. 612







Figure 2: Classification of wheat growing areas into spring wheat environments (SWE) inIndia.











Figure 3: Wheat production (X 10⁴ tonnes) averaged for 1997-2003 (a) simulated by ISAM
and (b) observed M3 dataset (Monfreda et al. 2008).









Figure 4: Scatter plots of the ISAM simulated wheat production (Mt) compared to (a) FAOSTAT (2019) and (b) the Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, India (MAFW, 2017) datasets from 1980 to 2014. The Pearson's correlation coefficients are (a) 0.92 and (b) 0.91.









627 628

Figure 5: Impact (S_{CON} - $S_{<factor>}$) of different environmental factors (atmospheric CO₂ and changing temperature) and land management practices (nitrogen fertilizer and water availability) on production for 1980 to 2016.





632



Figure 6: Plots of change in annual wheat production from 1980 to 2016 (S_{CON}-S_{<factor>}) with
annual (a) atmospheric CO₂, (b) average growing season temperature, (c) average nitrogen
fertilizer and (d) water demand. The black line shows Sen's slope (Sen, 1968).









Figure 7: Scatter plots of grid-specific average wheat production from 1980 to 2016 with 639 temporal average of input forcings (a) growing season temperature (b) nitrogen fertilizer and 640 641 (c) irrigation for different SWEs.









Figure 8: Scatter plots for gridded wheat production with the wheat area and Area Equipped

645 for Irrigation (AEI) for different SWEs.





646 Table 1: Description of numerical experiments conducted with ISAM wheat model from

647 **1901 to 2016.**

Numerical	CO ₂	Temperature	Nitrogen fertilizers	Irrigation
Experiments				
Control	Annual values from	6 hourly CRU-NCEP	Grid-cell specific	Hourly values to
(S _{CON})	Global Carbon Project		fertilizer amount	ensure no water stress
	Budget 2017		(Source: this study)	
S _{CO2}	Fixed at 1901 level	Same as in CTRL	Same as in CTRL	Same as in CTRL
S _{TEMP}	Same as in CTRL	No temperature change*	Same as in CTRL	Same as in CTRL
S _{N_FERT}	Same as in CTRL	Same as in CTRL	No fertilizer	Same as in CTRL
S _{WATER}	Same as in CTRL	Same as in CTRL	Same as in CTRL	No Irrigation
				+
				No precipitation
				change*
S _{IRRI}	Same as in CTRL	Same as in CTRL	Same as in CTRL	No Irrigation

648

*Data for years 1901-1930 is recycled to represent stable (no change) conditions





Spring	Description	Geographic	Average	Average	Fraction of grid
Wheat		location	growing season	Growing Season	Area Equipped
Environment			temperature	Precipitation	for Irrigation
(SWE)			(°C)	(mm)	(AEI)
SWE1	Irrigated, moderate	Indo-	17-22	30-150	>=30%
	rainfall, favourable	Gangetic			
	temperature	Plains			
SWE2	Non-irrigated, high	Himalayan	<18	>120	<30%
	rainfall, low	Belt			
	temperature				
SWE3	Non-irrigated, low	North-west	19-24	<42	<30%
	rainfall, moderate to	India			
	high temperature				
SWE4	Non-irrigated,	Central and	>21	>40	<30%
	moderate rainfall, high	southern parts			
	temperature	of India			
SWE5	Non-irrigated,	Central parts	17-22	>40	<30%
	moderate rainfall,	of India			
	favourable				
	temperature				

650 Table 2: Characteristics of different spring wheat environments (SWE) in India.

651





Table 3: Temporal variations of different input forcings and their impacts on annual

653 wheat production in India during the study period (1980-2016).

Input Forcing (i)	Rate of change of <i>i</i> in	Rate of change in annual	Change in annual wheat
	study period	wheat production	production per unit
			change in <i>i</i>
Elevated atmospheric CO ₂	1.82 ppm/yr	0.68 Mt/yr	0.37 Mt/ppm
level			
Average growing season	0.026 °C/yr	-0.37 Mt/yr	-8.38 Mt/°C
temperature*			
Average water demand	442.50 mm/yr	0.24 Mt/yr	0.44 Mt/1000mm
Average nitrogen fertilizer	2.66 kgN/ha/yr**	0.31 Mt/yr	0.10 Mt/kgN/ha
per unit area			

654

- 655 *October to March
- 656 **Data available from 1980-2005