



- 1 Fractional governing equations of transient groundwater flow in unconfined
- 2 aquifers with multi-fractional dimensions in fractional time
- 3

4 M. Levent Kavvas¹, Tongbi Tu^{2,3}, Ali Ercan², and James Polsinelli¹

- 5 ¹Hydrologic Research Laboratory, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering,
- 6 University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA.
- 7 ²J. Amorocho Hydraulics Laboratory (JAHL), Department of Civil and Environmental
- 8 Engineering, University of California Davis, CA, 95616, USA
- 9 ³Now at Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California,
- **10** Berkeley, CA 94720.
- 11

12 Correspondence to: M. Levent Kavvas (mlkavvas@ucdavis.edu)

13 Abstract: In this study, a dimensionally-consistent governing equation of transient unconfined 14 groundwater flow in fractional time and multi-fractional space is developed. First, a fractional 15 continuity equation for transient unconfined groundwater flow is developed in fractional time and 16 space. For the equation of groundwater motion within a multi-fractional multi-dimensional 17 unconfined aquifer, a previously-developed dimensionally consistent equation for water flux in 18 unsaturated/saturated porous media is combined with the Dupuit approximation to obtain an 19 equation for groundwater motion in multi-fractional space in unconfined aquifers. Combining the 20 fractional continuity and groundwater motion equations, the fractional governing equation of 21 transient unconfined aquifer flow is then obtained. Finally, a numerical application to an 22 unconfined aquifer groundwater flow problem is presented to show the skills of the proposed 23 fractional governing equation.

24 1. Introduction

One way to obtain non-Fickian behavior in solute transport is by treating the underlying flow
field to have long-range dependence in time (Kim et al., 2015; Kavvas et al., 2015). As shown by





27 Ercan and Kavvas (2014, and 2017), such dependence in time can be modeled by a time-fractional 28 governing equation of the specified flow field. Flow velocity correlation and distribution in 29 fractured media, which can be modeled by Continuous Time Random Walk models (Metzler and 30 Klafter, 2000), may also result in non-Fickian transport (Kang et al., 2015). Long-range 31 dependence in time reported in groundwater level fluctuations (e.g., Li and Zhang, 2007; Yu et al., 32 2016; Tu et al., 2017; and the references therein) and anisotropy in aquifer medium necessitates 33 time- and space-fractional operators in the governing equations of groundwater flow (Kavvas et 34 al., 2017a).

35 Reporting that conventional geometries cannot characterize groundwater flow in many 36 fractured rock aquifers (Black et al., 1986), and the observed drawdown tends to be underestimated 37 in early times and overestimated at later times by the conventional radial groundwater flow model 38 (Van Tonder et al., 2001), Cloot and Botha (2006) developed a fractional governing equation for 39 radial groundwater flow in integer time and fractional space in a uniform homogeneous aquifer. 40 They used the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative form in the model formulation. Atangana 41 and Bildik (2013), Atangana (2014), and Atangana and Vermeulen (2014) then reformulated the 42 fractional radial groundwater flow model of Cloot and Botha (2006) by the Caputo differentiation 43 framework, and reported better performance. Compared to the Riemann-Liouville derivative 44 approach, the Caputo framework has a fundamental advantage of being able to accommodate 45 physically-interpretable real-life initial and boundary conditions (Podlubny, 1998). Atangana and 46 Baleanu (2014) presented a new radial groundwater flow model in fractional time based on a new 47 fractional derivative definition, "conformable derivative" (Khalil et al., 2014). Most recently, Su 48 (2017) proposed a time-space fractional Boussinesq equation and he claimed this fractional 49 equation is a general groundwater flow equation and can be applied to groundwater flow in both 50 confined and unconfined aquifers. However, all of the aforementioned studies only presented the 51 formulated fractional governing groundwater flow equations and no detailed derivations of these 52 governing equations from the fundamental conservation principles were provided.

53 Wheatcraft and Meerschaert (2008) derived the groundwater flow continuity equation in the 54 fractional form by using the fractional Taylor series approximation. They further removed the 55 linearity / piecewise linearity restriction for the flux and the infinitesimal control volume 56 restriction. When developing the fractional continuity equation, the groundwater flow process was 57 considered in fractional space but in integer time by Wheatcraft and Meerschaert (2008). They





further assumed the same fractional power in every direction of the fractional porous media space.
Furthermore, only the mass conservation was considered in their derivation, but not the fractional water flux equation. Mehdinejadiani et al. (2013) expanded the approach of Wheatcraft and Meerschaert (2008) to the derivation of a governing equation of groundwater flow in an unconfined aquifer in fractional space but in integer time. In their derivation, they used the conventional Darcy formulation for the water flux with integer spatial derivative while utilizing fractional spatial derivatives in their continuity equation.

65 Olsen et al. (2016) pointed out that the derivations in Wheatcraft and Meerschaert (2008) and 66 Mehdinejadiani et al. (2013) utilized the fractional Taylor series, as formulated by Odibat and 67 Shawagfeh (2007), which utilized local Caputo derivatives. In order to expand the local Caputo 68 derivatives in the above-mentioned studies, Olsen et al. (2016) utilized the fractional mean value 69 theorem from Diethelm (2012) to develop a continuity equation of groundwater flow with left and 70 right fractional nonlocal Caputo derivatives in fractional space but in integer time. Olsen et al. 71 (2016) did not address the water flux formulation in fractional space, and, hence, did not develop 72 a complete governing equation of groundwater flow. They also did not address the multifractional 73 spatial derivatives in order to address anisotropy within an aquifer. Around that time, Kavvas et 74 al. (2017a) utilized the mean value formulation from Usero (2007), Odibat and Shawagfeh (2007) 75 and Li et al. (2009) to derive a complete governing equation of transient groundwater flow in a 76 confined, anisotropic aquifer with fractional time and multi-fractional space derivatives which 77 addressed not only the continuity but also the water flux (motion) in fractional time-space and the 78 effect of a sink/source term. By employing the above-mentioned fractional mean value 79 formulations, Kavvas et al. (2017a) developed the governing equation of confined groundwater 80 flow in fractional time-space in non-local form.

81 Unconfined groundwater flow is the fundamental component of the watershed runoff 82 baseflow since it is the fundamental contributor to the network streamflow within a watershed 83 during dry periods. As such, the behavior of unconfined groundwater flow is key to the physically-84 based understanding of the long memory in watershed runoff. Meanwhile, as will be seen in the 85 following derivation of its governing equation, unconfined aguifer groundwater flow is uniquely 86 different from the confined aquifer groundwater flow. The fundamental differences between the 87 two aguifer flows is that while the flow in a confined aguifer is linear and compressible, the flow 88 in an unconfined aquifer is nonlinear and incompressible due to the unconfined aquifer being





89	phreatic, its top surface boundary being open to the atmosphere. Accordingly, hydrologists have
90	developed unique governing equations of unconfined aquifer groundwater flow (Bear, 1979;
91	Freeze and Cherry, 1979). Starting with the next section, first the continuity equation of transient
92	unconfined groundwater flow within an anisotropic heterogeneous aquifer under a time-space
93	varying sink/source will be developed in fractional time and fractional space. Then, this fractional
94	continuity equation will be combined with a fractional groundwater motion equation to obtain a
95	transient groundwater flow equation in fractional time-multifractional space within an anisotropic,
96	heterogeneous unconfined aquifer.
97	Analogous to the traditional governing groundwater flow equations, as outlined by Freeze
98	and Cherry (1979) and Bear (1979), the fractional unconfined groundwater flow equations must
99	have specific features (Kavvas et al., 2017a):
100	i. In order for the governing equation to be prognostic, the form of the equation must be known
101	completely from the outset.
102	ii. The fractional governing equations must be dimensionally consistent and be purely
103	differential equations, containing only differential operators without difference operators.
104	iii. As the fractional derivative powers go to integer values, the fractional unconfined
105	groundwater flow equations must converge to the corresponding conventional integer-order
106	governing equations.
107	Within this framework, the governing equations of unconfined groundwater flow in fractional
108	time and fractional space will be developed in the following.
109	2. Derivation of the Continuity Equation for Transient Unconfined Groundwater Flow in a
110	Heterogeneous Anisotropic Multi-Fractional Medium in Fractional Time
111	To β -order the Caputo fractional derivative $D_{\alpha}^{k\beta}f(x)$ of a function $f(x)$ may be defined as
112	(Odibat and Shawaofeh 2007: Podlubny 1998: Usero 2007 Li et al. 2009)
113	(culou and Shuwagion, 2007, 1 outdony, 1990, 05010, 2007, El et al., 2007),
	$-\beta = (1 - cx \hat{f})(\hat{c})$
114	$D_a^r f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_a^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{(x-\zeta)^\beta} d\zeta \qquad 0 < \beta < 1, x \ge a . \tag{1}$

115

116 It was shown in Kavvas et al. (2017b) that one can obtain a β_{x_i} -order approximation (i=1,2) 117 to a function $f(x_i)$ around $x_i - \Delta x_i$ as





118

119
$$f(x_i) = f(x_i - \Delta x_i) + \frac{(\Delta x_i)^{\beta x_i}}{\Gamma(\beta_{x_i} + 1)} D_{x_i - \Delta x_i}^{\beta_{x_i}} f(x_i) \quad ; i=1,2.$$
(2)

120 In Equation (2), an analytical relationship between Δx_i and $(\Delta x_i)^{\beta_{x_i}}$ (i=1,2) that will be universally 121 applicable throughout the modelling domain is possible when the lower limit in the above Caputo 122 derivative in equation (2) is taken as zero (that is, $\Delta x_i = x_i$) for $f(x_i) = x_i$ (Kavvas et al. 2017b).

123 Under the Dupuit approximation of horizontal flow streamlines (very small water table 124 gradient) (Bear, 1979), the net mass flux through the control volume of an unconfined aquifer with 125 a flat bottom confining layer, as depicted in Figure 1, that also has a sink/source mass flux 126 $\rho q_v \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2$, can be formulated as

128
$$\left[\rho Q_{x_1}(x_1, x_2; t) - \rho Q_{x_1}(x_1 - \Delta x_1, x_2; t) \right] \Delta x_2 + \left[\rho Q_{x_2}(x_1, x_2; t) - \rho Q_{x_2}(x_1, x_2 - \Delta x_2; t) \right] \Delta x_1 - \rho q_v \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2$$
(3)

130

131 where Q_{x_i} is the discharge across a vertical plane of unit width in i-th direction, i = 1,2, ρ is the 132 fluid density, and q_v is the source/sink (recharge/leakage) per unit horizontal area. Then by 133 combining equation (2) with equation (3) with $\Delta x_i = x_i$ (i=1,2), and expressing the resulting 134 Caputo derivative $D_0^{\beta_{x_i}} f(x_i)$ by $\frac{\partial^{\beta_{x_i}} f(x_i)}{(\partial x_i)^{\beta_{x_i}}}$, (i=1,2) for convenience, yields the net mass flux 135 through the control volume in Figure 1 to the orders of $(\Delta x_1)^{\beta_{x_1}}$ and $(\Delta x_2)^{\beta_{x_2}}$, as

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_{x_1}+1)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\beta_{x_1}} \left(\rho Q_{x_1}(x_1, x_2; t)\right) (\Delta x_1)^{\beta_{x_1}} \Delta x_2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_{x_2}+1)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\beta_{x_2}} \left(\rho Q_{x_2}(x_1, x_2; t)\right) \Delta x_1 (\Delta x_2)^{\beta_{x_2}} - \rho q_v \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where different powers for fractional space derivatives are utilized in different directions due tothe anisotropy in the flow medium.

138

139 Kavvas et al. (2017b) have shown that to β_{x_i} -order fractional increments in space in the i-th 140 direction, i=1,2,





 $\langle \mathbf{0} \rangle$

$$(\Delta x_i)^{\beta_{x_i}} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta_{x_i}+1)\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_i})}{x_i^{1-\beta_{x_i}}} \,\Delta x_i \qquad , i=1,2.$$
⁽⁵⁾

141 Combining equations (5) and (4) yields for the net mass outflow through the control volume 142 in Figure 1 as (to the order of $(\Delta x_i)^{\beta_{x_i}}$, i=1,2),

$$\frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_1})}{x_1^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\beta_{x_1}} \left(\rho Q_{x_1}(\bar{x};t)\right) \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2 +$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_2})}{x_2^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\beta_{x_2}} \left(\rho Q_{x_2}(\bar{x};t)\right) \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2 - \rho q_v \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2, \quad \bar{x} = (x_1, x_2).$$
(6)

143 Denoting the water volume within the control volume in Figure 1 by V_w and using the concept 144 of specific yield (effective porosity) S_v of a phreatic aquifer (Bear and Verruijt, 1987)

145
$$S_y = \frac{\Delta V_w}{\Delta h} \frac{1}{\Delta x_1 \Delta x_2} \qquad , \tag{7}$$

146 where ΔV_w is the change in water volume in the control volume per change Δh in the hydraulic 147 head (the elevation of the phreatic surface (water table) above the flat bottom of the aquifer), the 148 time rate of change of mass within the control volume in Figure 1 may be written as (Bear and 149 Verruijt, 1987)

$$\frac{S_{y}(\rho h(\bar{x};t) - \rho h(\bar{x};t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t} \Delta x_{1} \Delta x_{2}$$
(8)

which can then be expressed in terms of the approximation (2) with respect to the time dimensionas,

152

153
$$\frac{S_y}{\Delta t} \left[\frac{\Delta t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{\alpha} (\rho h) \right] \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2 \qquad (9)$$

154

155 To α -order fractional increments in time (Kavvas et al. 2017b)

$$(\Delta t)^{\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{t^{1-\alpha}} \Delta t \qquad (10)$$

156 Substituting equation (10) into equation (9), one can obtain the time rate of change of mass in the

157 control volume, as shown in Figure 1;





$$S_{y} \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{t^{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{\alpha} (\rho h) \,\Delta x_{1} \Delta x_{2} \,. \tag{11}$$

1

159
160 As the time rate of change of mass within the control volume, as shown in Figure 1, must be
161 inversely proportional to the net mass flux passing through the control volume, one may combine
162 Equations (6) and (11) to obtain
163
164
$$\left[\frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_1})}{x_1^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\beta_{x_1}} \left(\rho Q_{x_1}(\bar{x};t)\right) + \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_2})}{x_2^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\beta_{x_2}} \left(\rho Q_{x_2}(\bar{x};t)\right) - \rho q_v\right] \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2 =$$
165
$$-S_y \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{t^{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{\alpha} (\rho h) \Delta x_1 \Delta x_2 \qquad (12)$$
166
167
$$\frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_1})}{x_1^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\beta_{x_1}} \left(\rho Q_{x_1}(\bar{x};t)\right) + \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_2})}{x_2^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\beta_{x_2}} \left(\rho Q_{x_2}(\bar{x};t)\right) - \rho q_v = -S_y \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{t^{1-\alpha}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{\alpha} (\rho h) \qquad (13)$$
168 for $0 < \alpha, \beta_{x_1}, \beta_{x_2} < 1$, $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2)$.
169 Within the framework of fluid incompressibility in the unconfined aquifer, equation (13)
170 reduces further to
171
172
$$\frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_1})}{x_1^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\beta_{x_1}} \left(Q_{x_1}(\bar{x};t)\right) + \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_2})}{x_2^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\beta_{x_2}} \left(Q_{x_2}(\bar{x};t)\right) - q_v = -S_y \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{t^{1-\alpha}}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha}h}{(\partial t)^{\alpha}}$$
173
174
$$\frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_1})}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{x_1^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\beta_{x_1}} \left(Q_{x_1}(\bar{x};t)\right) + \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_2})}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{x_2^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\beta_{x_2}} \left(Q_{x_2}(\bar{x};t)\right) - \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} q_v$$
175
$$= -S_y \frac{\partial^{\alpha}h}{(\partial t)^{\alpha}}$$
176 (14)
177 for $0 < \alpha, \beta_{x_1}, \beta_{x_2} < 1$, $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2,)$ as the time-space fractional continuity equation of transient
178 groundwater flow in an anisotropic unconfined aquifer with multi-fractional dimensions and in
179 fractional time.

Performing a dimensional analysis of Equation (14) yields 180

$$\frac{L}{T^{\alpha}} = \frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{L^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} \frac{1}{L^{\beta_{x_1}}} \frac{L^2}{T} = \frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{L^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \frac{1}{L^{\beta_{x_2}}} \frac{L^2}{T} = \frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{1} \frac{L}{T} = \frac{1}{L^{1-\beta_z}} \frac{1}{L^{\beta_z}} \frac{L}{T} = \frac{L}{T^{\alpha}}$$
(15)





where L denotes length and T denotes time. Hence, the left-hand and right-hand sides of the
continuity equation (14) for transient groundwater flow in an unconfined aquifer in multifractional space and fractional time are consistent as shown in equation (15).

For $n-1 < \alpha$, $\beta_{x_i} < n$ where n is any positive integer, as α and $\beta_{x_i} \rightarrow n$, the Caputo fractional derivative of a function f(y) to order α or β_{x_i} (i = 1, 2) yields the standard n-th derivative of the function f(y) (Podlubny, 1998). When α and $\beta_{x_i} \rightarrow 1$ (i = 1, 2), the continuity equation (14) becomes the conventional continuity equation for transient groundwater flow in an unconfined aquifer:

$$-S_{\mathcal{Y}}\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Big(Q_{x_1}(\bar{x};t) \Big) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \Big(Q_{x_2}(\bar{x};t) \Big) - q_{\mathcal{V}} .$$
⁽¹⁶⁾

189 3. Motion Equation (Specific Discharge) in Fractional Multi-Dimensional Unconfined190 Aquifers

191 Recently, Kavvas et al., (2017a, 2017b) derived a governing equation for water flux

192 (specific discharge), q_{x_i} , (i = 1, 2, 3) in a saturated or unsaturated porous medium with fractional

193 dimensions in the form,

$$q_i(\bar{x},t) = -K_{s,x_i}(\bar{x}) \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_i})}{x_i^{1-\beta_{x_i}}} \frac{\partial^{\beta_{x_i}}h}{(\partial x_i)^{\beta_{x_i}}}, i = 1,2,3; \quad \bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3).$$
(17)

where $K_{s,x_i}(\bar{x})$ is the saturated hydraulic conductivity in the i-th spatial direction (i=1,2,3). Meanwhile, under the Dupuit approximation of essentially horizontal unconfined aquifer flow (water table slope very small) (Bear, 1979), referring to Figure 1, the discharge per unit width in the i-th direction (i = 1,2) can be expressed as

199
$$Q_{x_i}(\bar{x}, t) = hq_i(\bar{x}, t), \ i = 1, 2 \quad ; \quad \bar{x} = (x_1, x_2,).$$
 (18)
200

201 Then combining equations (18) and (17) results in

202

203
$$Q_{x_i}(\bar{x},t) = -K_{s,x_i}(\bar{x}) \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_i})}{x_i^{1-\beta_{x_i}}} h \frac{\partial^{\beta_{x_i}}h}{(\partial x_i)^{\beta_{x_i}}} , i = 1,2; \ \bar{x} = (x_1, x_2,)$$
(19)

~





as the governing equation of groundwater motion within an unconfined aquifer with a flat bottom
confining layer. In equation (19) h is the unconfined aquifer thickness or the phreatic surface
elevation above the bottom confining layer.

208 A dimensional analysis on equation (19) yields L^2/T for the units of both the left-hand-side 209 (LHS) and the RHS of the equation, establishing its dimensional consistency.

- Applying the above-mentioned result of Podlubny (1998) on the convergence of a fractional
- 211 derivative to a corresponding integer derivative for $\beta_{x_i} \rightarrow 1$ (i = 1, 2) reduces the fractional motion
- equation (19) for unconfined groundwater flow to the conventional equation (Bear, 1979):

$$Q_{x_i}(\bar{x},t) = -K_{s,x_i}(\bar{x})h\frac{\partial h(\bar{x},t)}{\partial x_i}, i = 1,2$$
(20)

for the case of integer spatial dimensions. As such, the fractional motion equation (19) for unconfined groundwater flow in fractional spatial dimensions is consistent with the conventional motion equation for the integer spatial dimensions.

4. The Complete Equation for Transient Unconfined Groundwater Flow in Multi-Fractional Space and Fractional Time

Combining the fractional motion equation (19) of groundwater flow in an unconfined aquiferwith the fractional continuity equation (14) of unconfined groundwater flow results in the equation,

220

$$221 \qquad S_y \ \frac{\partial^{\alpha} h}{(\partial t)^{\alpha}} = \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_1})}{x_1^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\beta_{x_1}} \left(K_{s,x_1}(\bar{x}) \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{x_1^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_1})}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \ h \frac{\partial^{\beta_{x_1}} h}{(\partial x_1)^{\beta_{x_1}}}\right) +$$

222
$$\frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_2})}{x_2^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\beta_{x_2}} \left(K_{s,x_2}(\bar{x}) \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{x_2^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta_{x_2})}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} h \frac{\partial^{\beta_{x_2}} h}{(\partial x_2)^{\beta_{x_2}}}\right) + \frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} q_{\nu}$$
(21)

223

for $0 < \alpha, \beta_{x_1}, \beta_{x_2} < 1, \bar{x} = (x_1, x_2,)$ as the time-space fractional governing equation of transient unconfined groundwater flow in an anisotropic medium.

226 Performing a dimensional analysis of Equation (21) yields

$$\frac{L}{T^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{L^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} \frac{1}{L^{\beta_{x_1}}} \frac{L}{T} \frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{L^{1-\beta_{x_1}}} L \frac{L}{L^{\beta_{x_1}}} = \frac{1}{L^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \frac{1}{L^{\beta_{x_2}}} \frac{L}{T} \frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{L^{1-\beta_{x_2}}} \frac{L^2}{L^{\beta_{x_2}}} = \frac{T^{1-\alpha}}{1} \frac{L}{T} = \frac{L}{T^{\alpha}}$$
(22)





where L denotes length and T denotes time. Hence, the left-hand and right-hand sides of the
governing equation (21) for transient groundwater flow in an unconfined aquifer in multifractional space and fractional time are consistent.

230 Specializing the above-discussed result of Podlubny (1998) to n = 1, for α and $\beta_{x_i} \rightarrow 1$ (i = 231 1, 2) reduces the governing fractional equation (21) to the conventional governing equation for 232 transient groundwater flow in an unconfined aquifer (Bear, 1979):

233
$$S_{y}\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \left(K_{s,x_{1}}(\bar{x})h\frac{\partial h(\bar{x},t)}{\partial x_{1}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \left(K_{s,x_{2}}(\bar{x})h\frac{\partial h(\bar{x},t)}{\partial x_{2}} \right) + q_{v}$$
(23)

234

235 5. Numerical application

236

237 To demonstrate the skills of the proposed fractional governing equation of unconfined aquifer 238 groundwater flow, a numerical application is performed using the proposed fractional governing 239 equation to the physical setting of an example from Wang and Anderson (1995), as depicted in 240 Figure 2. The numerical problem of seepage through a dam under a sudden change in the water 241 surface elevation at the downstream section of the dam is modified based on seepage through a 242 dam, Page 53 and Problem 4.4 (a), Page 89 in Wang and Anderson (1995), as shown in Figure 2. 243 The water seepage through the dam's body may be interpreted as one-dimensional groundwater 244 flow through an unconfined aquifer. The unconfined flow system locates the top boundary of the 245 saturated zone in an earthen dam and the bottom of the dam rests on impermeable rock. For this 246 example, the unconfined aquifer length L is 100 m. The initial water level in the upstream and 247 downstream sections of the dam and through the dam's body is 16 m. Then immediately after the 248 initial time, the water level at the downstream section of the dam is suddenly dropped to 11 m and 249 remains as 11 m afterwards. The unconfined aquifer parameters, storage coefficient and hydraulic 250 conductivity, are S = 0.2, K=0.002 m/min respectively. The analytical solution for this problem at 251 the steady-state is:

252
$$h = \sqrt{\frac{h_2^2 - h_1^2}{L}x + h_1^2}$$
 (24)

where *h* is the depth of the unconfined groundwater surface from the bottom layer; *L* is the aquifer length; *x* is the distance from the upstream location of the dam body, and h_1 and h_2 are as shown in Figure 2.





256 In Figure 3(a) the normalized groundwater head h/h_1 at location x=L/2 through time under 257 different fractional power values is shown. Meanwhile, Figure 3(b) shows the normalized 258 groundwater head h/h1 at the time instance t=15000 min as function of location throughout the 259 dam's body, and the analytical solution of the standard governing equation of unconfined 260 groundwater flow when $\beta_x = \alpha = 1$ at the steady state. The considered fractional derivative 261 powers in space and time are $\beta_x = \alpha = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0$. As can be seen from Figure 3(a), the 262 hydraulic head recession in time slows down with the decrease of $\beta_x = \alpha$ from 1. The hydraulic 263 heads in Figure 3(a) have heavier tails as orders of time and space fractional derivatives decrease 264 from 1 towards 0.7. Meanwhile, Figure 3(b) shows that the numerical solution of the governing 265 fractional equation at $\beta_x = \alpha = 1.0$ and at a very long time after the initial condition, matches 266 perfectly the steady state analytical solution (24) of the standard equation (23) with the specified 267 initial/boundary conditions.

268 6. Discussion

269 From the standard governing equation (23) of unconfined groundwater flow in integer time-270 space the saturated hydraulic conductivity may be interpreted as a diffusion coefficient for the 271 nonlinear diffusion of groundwater in an unconfined aquifer. The basic difference between 272 confined and unconfined groundwater flow is that the former can be interpreted as a linear 273 diffusion of groundwater while the latter is a nonlinear diffusion of groundwater within an aquifer. 274 Similar to saturated hydraulic conductivities in equation (26) in Kavvas et al., (2017a) for the 275 fractional confined aquifer groundwater flow, the saturated hydraulic conductivities in equation 276 (21) above, which governs fractional unconfined aquifer groundwater flow, are modulated by the ratios of fractional time to fractional space, $\frac{t^{1-\alpha}}{x_i^{1-\beta}x_i}$, i= 1,2. In other words, the confined and 277 278 unconfined groundwater diffusion in fractional time-space is modulated by the above fractional 279 time-space ratios.

Numerical application demonstrated that as the powers of the space and time fractional derivatives decrease from 1, the recession rate of the nondimensional groundwater hydraulic heads slows down when compared to the case by the conventional governing equation (i.e., with integer order derivatives). This behavior also indicates the modulation of the nonlinear diffusion of the groundwater by the fractional powers of time and space.





285 As mentioned in the Introduction section, unconfined groundwater flow is the fundamental 286 component of the watershed runoff baseflow since it is the fundamental contributor to the network 287 streamflow within a watershed during dry periods. As such, the behavior of unconfined 288 groundwater flow is key to the physically-based understanding of the long memory in watershed 289 runoff. As seen from the numerical example in Figure 3, the powers of the fractional derivatives 290 in time and space can modulate the speed of the groundwater table evolution. Hence, they can 291 modulate the memory of the unconfined aquifer flow, which, in turn, can modulate the memory of 292 the watershed baseflow. Meanwhile, the Caputo derivative, as defined in its special form $D_0^{\beta_{x_i}} f(x_i)$ in space in this study, was shown by Kavvas and Ercan (2017) to be a nonlocal quantity 293 294 where the effect of the boundary conditions on the groundwater flow within the flow domain can 295 have long spatial memories with the decrease in the powers of the spatial fractional derivatives 296 from unity. Similarly, it was shown by Kavvas et al. (2017a) that the Caputo derivative in time, 297 $D_0^{\alpha} f(t)$, as defined in this study, is nonlocal in time, and can carry the effect of initial conditions 298 on the groundwater flow for long times as the power in the time fractional derivative decreases 299 from 1. Therefore, the fractional governing equation of unconfined groundwater flow in fractional 300 time and multi-fractional space has the potential to describe the long memory characteristics of 301 baseflow within a watershed. This important topic shall be explored in the near future.

302

303 7. Conclusion

304

305 A dimensionally-consistent fractional governing equation of transient unconfined aquifer 306 groundwater flow was derived within fractional differentiation framework. After developing a 307 fractional continuity equation, a previously-developed dimensionally consistent equation for water 308 flux in unsaturated/saturated porous media was combined with the Dupuit approximation to obtain 309 an equation for groundwater motion in multi-fractional space in unconfined aquifers. Combining 310 the fractional continuity and motion equations, the governing equation of transient unconfined 311 aquifer groundwater flow in a multi-fractional medium in fractional time was then obtained. To 312 demonstrate the skills of the proposed fractional governing equation of unconfined aquifer 313 groundwater flow, a numerical application was presented. As demonstrated in the numerical 314 application results, the orders of the fractional space and time derivatives modulate the speed of 315 groundwater table evolution, slowing the process with decrease in the powers of the fractional





316	derivatives from 1. It is also shown that the proposed dimensionally consistent fractional governing
317	equations approach to the corresponding conventional equations as the fractional orders of the
318	derivatives go to 1.
319	
320	Data availability.
321	The data used in this article can be accessed by contacting the corresponding author.
322	
323	Competing interests.
324	The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
325	
326	References
327	Atangana, A.: Drawdown in prolate spheroidal-spherical coordinates obtained via Green's
328	function and perturbation methods, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical
329	Simulation, 19, 1259-1269, 2014.
330	Atangana, A. and Baleanu, D.: Modelling the advancement of the impurities and the melted
331	oxygen concentration within the scope of fractional calculus, International Journal of Non-
332	Linear Mechanics, 67, 278-284, 2014.
333	Atangana, A. and Bildik, N.: The use of fractional order derivative to predict the groundwater
334	flow, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 543026, 2013.
335	Atangana, A. and Vermeulen, P.: Analytical solutions of a space-time fractional derivative of
336	groundwater flow equation, 381753, 2014.
337	Bear, J.: Groundwater hydraulics, McGraw, New York, 1979.
338	Bear, J. and Verruijt, A.: Modeling Groundwater Flow and Pollution, Reidel Pub. Co., Dordrecht,
339	Holland, 1987.
340	Black, J. H., Barker, J. A., and Noy, D. J.: Crosshole investigations-the method, theory and analysis
341	of crosshole sinusoidal pressure tests in fissured rock, Stripa Proj., Int. Rep, 86-03, 1986.
342	Cloot, A. and Botha, J.: A generalised groundwater flow equation using the concept of non-integer
343	order derivatives, Water SA, 32, 1-7, 2006.
344	Diethelm K. The mean value theorems and a Nagumo-type uniqueness theorem for Caputo's
345	fractional calculus, Fract Calc Appl Anal; doi:10.2478/s13540-012-0022-3, 15(2): 304-13,
346	2012.





- 347 Ercan, A. and Kavvas, M. L.: Fractional governing equations of diffusion wave and kinematic
 348 wave open-channel flow in fractional time-space. II. Numerical simulations, Journal of
 349 Hydrologic Engineering, 20, 04014097, 2014.
- Ercan, A. and Kavvas, M. L.: Time-space fractional governing equations of one-dimensional
 unsteady open channel flow process: Numerical solution and exploration, Hydrological
 Processes, 31(16), 2961-2971, 2017.
- 353 Freeze, R. A. and Cherry, J. A.: *Groundwater*, Prentice-Hall, 1979.
- Kang, P. K., Le Borgne, T., Dentz, M., Bour, O., and Juanes, R.: Impact of velocity correlation
 and distribution on transport in fractured media: Field evidence and theoretical model, Water
 Resources Research, 51, 940-959, 2015.
- 357 Kavvas, M.L., Kim, S., and Ercan, A.: Fractional ensemble average governing equations of
 358 transport by time-space nonstationary stochastic fractional advective velocity and fractional
 359 dispersion. I: Theory, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 20(2), 04014039, 2015.
- 360 Kavvas, M.L. and Ercan, A.: Time-Space Fractional Governing Equations of Unsteady Open
 361 Channel Flow, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 22(2), 04016052, 2016.
- 362 Kavvas and A. Ercan: Closure to discussion on 'Time-Space Fractional Governing Equations of
 363 Unsteady Open Channel Flow' by M.L. Kavvas and A. Ercan, J. of Hydrologic Engineering,
 364 Vol. 22, No. 9, 2017.
- Kavvas, M.L., Tu, T., Ercan, A., and Polsinelli, J.: Fractional Governing Equations of Transient
 Groundwater Flow in Confined Aquifers with Multi-Fractional Dimensions in Fractional
 Time, Earth Syst. Dynam., 8, 921-929, 2017a.
- 368 Kavvas, M. L., Ercan, A., and Polsinelli, J.: Governing equations of transient soil water flow and
 369 soil water flux in multi dimensional fractional anisotropic media and fractional time,
 370 Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 1547-1557, 2017b.
- Khalil, R., Al Horani, M., Yousef, A., and Sababheh, M.: A new definition of fractional derivative,
 Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 264, 65-70, 2014.
- Kim, S., Kavvas, M., and Ercan, A.: Fractional ensemble average governing equations of transport
 by time-space nonstationary stochastic fractional advective velocity and fractional
 dispersion. II: Numerical investigation, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 20(2),
 04014040, 2015.





- Li, M.-F., Ren, J.-R., and Zhu, T.: Series expansion in fractional calculus and fractional differential
 equations, arXiv preprint arXiv:0910.4819, 2009.
- Li, Z. and Zhang, Y.-K.: Quantifying fractal dynamics of groundwater systems with detrended
 fluctuation analysis, Journal of Hydrology, 336, 139-146, 2007.
- Mehdinejadiani, B., Jafari, H., Baleanu, D.: Derivation of a fractional boussinesq equation for
 modelling unconfined groundwater, Eur Phys J–Spec Top, 222(8):1805–12, 2013.
- 383 Metzler, R. and Klafter, J.: The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics
 384 approach, Physics reports, 339, 1-77, 2000.
- Odibat, Z. M. and Shawagfeh, N. T.: Generalized Taylor's formula, Applied Mathematics and
 Computation, 186, 286-293, 2007.
- Olsen, J.S., J. Mortensen, and A. S. Telyakovskiy: A two-sided fractional conservation of mass
 equation, *Adv. Water Resour.*, *91*, 117–121, doi: 10.1016/j.advwatres.2016.03.007; 2016.
- Podlubny, I.: Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional
 differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications, Academic
 press, 1998.
- 392 Sidle, R. C., Nilsson, B., Hansen, M., and Fredericia, J.: Spatially varying hydraulic and solute
 393 transport characteristics of a fractured till determined by field tracer tests, Funen, Denmark,
 394 Water Resources Research, 34, 2515-2527, 1998.
- Sudicky, E., Cherry, J., and Frind, E.: Migration of contaminants in groundwater at a landfill: A
 case study: 4. A natural-gradient dispersion test, Journal of Hydrology, 63, 81-108, 1983.
- Tu, T., Ercan, A., and Kavvas, M. L.: Fractal Scaling Analysis of Groundwater Dynamics in
 Confined Aquifers, Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss., 8, 931-949, 2017.
- Usero, D.: Fractional Taylor series for Caputo fractional derivatives. Construction of numerical
 schemes, URL http://www. mat. ucm. es/deptos/ma/inv/prepub/new/2007-10. pdf, 2007.
- 401 Van Tonder, G., Botha, J., Chiang, W.-H., Kunstmann, H., and Xu, Y.: Estimation of the
 402 sustainable yields of boreholes in fractured rock formations, Journal of Hydrology, 241, 70403 90, 2001.
- Wang, H. F. and Anderson, M. P.: *Introduction to groundwater modeling: finite difference and finite element methods*, Academic Press, 1995.
- Wheatcraft, S. W. and Meerschaert, M. M.: Fractional conservation of mass, Advances in Water
 Resources, 31, 1377-1381, 2008.





- 408 Yu, X., Ghasemizadeh, R., Padilla, I. Y., Kaeli, D., and Alshawabkeh, A.: Patterns of temporal
- scaling of groundwater level fluctuation, Journal of Hydrology, 536, 485-495, 2016.
- 410 Zhang, Y., Benson, D. A., and Reeves, D. M.: Time and space nonlocalities underlying fractional-
- 411 derivative models: Distinction and literature review of field applications, Advances in Water
- 412 Resources, 32, 561-581, 2009.
- 413
- 414







416 Figure 1. The mass flux through the control volume of an unconfined aquifer.

417

415

418







421



422

Figure 2. The sketch of the problem of the water seepage through a dam's body as an unconfinedgroundwater flow

425







Figure 3. (a) The normalized groundwater head h/h_1 at x=L/2 through time under different fractional derivative powers; (b) The normalized groundwater head h/h_1 at t=15000 min through length of the aquifer (through the body of the dam) and the analytical solution of the standard governing equation of unconfined groundwater flow when $\beta_x = \alpha = 1$ at the steady state.