

Interactive comment on “Ideas: a simple proposal to improve the contribution of IPCC WG1 to the assessment and communication of climate change risks” by Rowan T. Sutton

P. M. Forster

p.m.forster@leeds.ac.uk

Received and published: 26 June 2018

I like aspects of this and agree that WG1 can do a lot better and supporting the risk framing than in past reports. I also think WG1 should not try and go it alone but take input from WGII authors about which risks they care about. I also think one part of the pdf should not be focussed on in preference to other parts - the. likely range and bottom tail are just as important.

Lastly, small biases and differences in assumptions really affect the tails of the distributions. Therefore, I would strongly advise against trying to quantify the shape of these too closely.

Interactive
comment

