

# ***Interactive comment on “Regional scaling of annual mean precipitation and water availability with global temperature change” by Peter Greve et al.***

**Peter Greve et al.**

greve@iiasa.ac.at

Received and published: 12 September 2017

Dear reviewer,

thank you very much for the positive evaluation of the manuscript and your comments, which will help to improve the article. We will address all your comments in detail in our final response and focus on the major issues in this comment.

Regarding the question why we omit regions with  $P-E < 0$ : Since we focus on global land, we omit locations where  $P-E < 0$ , since such conditions are generally not present over land at yearly or longer time scales. However, in order to also represent the

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



scaling over oceans, we will reproduce Figure 2 as a supplementary figure for oceans only. We will now further mention throughout the manuscript that our main focus is on global land areas.

The reviewer asks for a more appropriate way to visualize the regression slopes and their uncertainty: In all  $dP$  versus  $T$  plots the main assumption is that  $P$  is known in case global mean temperature  $dT=0$ . We understand that this might be unrealistic. However, we focus on the relative changes in  $P$  with changes in  $T$  and our approach provides an option to illustrate the uncertainty distribution as a function of temperature change. The violin plot nicely illustrates the uncertainty distribution basically for  $dT=1K$ , whereas the  $dP$  vs.  $dT$  plots illustrate the uncertainty distribution for every  $dT$  between  $0K$  and  $6K$ , which, in our assessment, makes it easier to assess probabilities/risks as a function of  $dT$ .

Regarding potential changes in the variance of  $P$ : If the variance increases over time, the uncertainty of the sensitivity coefficient (estimated through resampling residuals) consequently also increases. However, this will not necessarily influence the decomposition of the uncertainties unless changes in precipitation variability are different between scenarios or models.

We will further adress all minor corrections and typos in the final response. Thank you!

---

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-2017-62>, 2017.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

