Responses to the comments on "Contrasting terrestrial carbon cycle responses to the two strongest El Niño events: 1997–98 and 2015–16 El Niños"

Dear Referees and Editor,

Thank you very much for your efforts to deal with our manuscript and provide constructive comments. We have tried our best to re-summarize the results, and modify this manuscript accordingly. We also have our manuscript polished by the native English-speaking expert. The following is our point-by-point reply to the comments.

Reply to Referee #1

1) Introduction: While the literature review is comprehensive and the introduction clearly describes the problem and the state of the science, the novelty of this research needs to be more clearly stated in the introduction. I suggest including a sentence explicitly stating how this research is novel compared to previous studies up front so the reader can better understand how this research is set apart from other studies.

Reply: Thanks very much for your suggestions. We have added a sentence "Therefore, it is important to have clear insight into the impacts of ENSO events on the terrestrial carbon cycle, and this is best achieved through representative case studies." in the introduction to illustrate the importance of the comparison in the impacts between 1997/98 and 2015/16 El Nino events.

2) Conclusions and Discussion: The conclusions are clearly outlined and are consistent with the interpretation of the results. However, this section seems to be more conclusion, and is lacking in discussion. This left me interested with many questions that should be added after the conclusions, such as the caveats of this study (model, datasets, etc.), implications of the research (i.e., how does this research advance our science), and what, if any, future research may be done to build on the conclusions established (i.e., additional model/data analysis, additional El Niño years analyzed, etc.). More discussion would tie the manuscript and the state of the science in better, and will give a better big picture view.

Reply: Thanks very much for your suggestions. We have added some discussions after conclusions according to your suggestions. Part of them is as below: "*It is important to keep in mind that the responses of the terrestrial carbon cycle to the El Niño events in this study were simulated using an individual DGVM (VEGAS), which, whilst highly consistent with the variations in the CGR and inversion results, carries uncertainties in terms of the regional responses because of, for example, its model structure, biological processes considered, and parameterizations. Of course, uncertainties exist in all of the state-of-the-art DGVMs. Fang et al. (2017) recently suggested that none of the 10 contemporary terrestrial biosphere models captures the ENSO-phase-dependent responses. If possible, we will quantify the inter-model uncertainties in regional responses of the terrestrial carbon cycle to El Niño events when the new round of TRENDY simulations (1901–2016) becomes available. Although we used three inversion datasets as reference for the VEGAS simulation in this study, they cover different periods. Importantly, there are also large uncertainties between the different atmospheric CO_2 inversions because of their different prescribed priors, a priori uncertainties, inverse methods, and observational datasets (Peylin et al., 2013). Future atmospheric CO_2 inversions may produce more accurate results based on more observational datasets, including surface and satellite-based observations. ...".* Details can be seen in the context.

References:

(1) Peylin, P., Law, R. M., Gurney, K. R., Chevallier, F., Jacobson, A. R., Maki, T., Niwa, Y., Patra, P. K., Peters, W., Rayner, P. J., Rödenbeck, C., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., and Zhang, X.: Global atmospheric carbon budget: results from an ensemble of atmospheric CO_2 inversions, Biogeosciences, 10, 6699-6720, 2013.

(2) Fang, Y., Michalak, A. M., Schwalm, C. R., Huntzinger, D. N., Berry, J. A., Ciais,

P., Piao, S. L., Poulter, B., Fisher, J. B., Cook, R. B., Hayes, D., Huang, M. Y., Ito, A., Jain, A., Lei, H. M., Lu, C. Q., Mao, J. F., Parazoo, N. C., Peng, S. S., Ricciuto, D. M., Shi, X. Y., Tao, B., Tian, H. Q., Wang, W. L., Wei, Y. X., and Yang, J.: Global land carbon sink response to temperature and precipitation varies with ENSO phase, Environ. Res. Lett., 12, 064007, 2017.

Technical Corrections:

1) Line 16: It is not clear what CO2 variability is being addressed. Perhaps, specify "The large interannual atmospheric CO2 variability. . ."

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified it accordingly.

2) Line 21: Same comment as above, "Mauna Loa atmospheric CO2 concentration. . ."

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified it.

3) Line 42: ". . .opposing to the cooler in. . ." would read better as "opposing the cooling in. . ."

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified.

4) Line 68: for consis- tency and clarity, the variable "Cfire" should have a written definition included like the other variables, such as "carbon flux from fire".

Reply: Thanks. We have added the definition of "Cfire" according to your suggestion in the context.

5) Line 73: ". . .involved in TRENDY project. . ." reads better as "involved in the TRENDY project. . ."

Reply: Thanks for your suggestion. We have modified.

6) Line 80: a comma is needed before "respectively", ". . . 56 and 44% respectively"

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified.

7) Line 101: ". . .in 2015-16 years" reads better as ". . .in years 2015-16"

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified.

8) Line 104: ". . .El Niños in 1997-98 years and 2015-16 years. . ." reads better as ". . .El Niños in years 1997-98 and 2015-16. . ."

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified.

9) Lines 119-120: Since more than one international project is listed, ". . .participated in the international carbon modelling project..." should read "...participated in international modelling projects. . ."

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified.

10) Line 123: "The detailed descriptions on its model structure. . ." reads better as "A detailed description of its model structure. . ."

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

11) Line 129: no space is needed before the comma after the reference in ". . .Anglia Climatic Research Unit et al., 2014) , NOAA's. . ."

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

12) Lines 149-150: Capitalize the expansion of the MACC acronym (e.g., ". . .Atmospheric Composition & Climate. . ."

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

13) Line 168: Unit (K) is needed for temperature anomaly of 2.0

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

14) Line 168: “El Niño event tends to. . .” reads better as “An El Niño event tends to. . .”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

15) Line 170: “growth rate” should be plural, “growth rates”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

16) Line 173: Remove extraneous period after Mount.

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

17) Line 173: “...during 1982-83 El Niño event” reads better as “...during the 1982-83 El Niño event”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

18) Line 315: “...tropics, opposing to composite and. . .” reads better as “...tropics, as opposed to the composite and...”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

19) Line 325: “...anomalously higher, opposing to the cooler during...” reads better as “...anomalously higher, as opposed to the cooling during...”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

20) Line 331: “...more attentions have been paid on SIF..” reads better as “...more attention has been paid to SIF”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

21) Line 338: “...increased over America, Southern South America...”. The location needs to be better described. Perhaps change, “America” to “North America”.

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

22) Line 339: “. . .but decreases” should be changed to past tense like the rest of the sentence, “. . .but de- creased”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

23) Lines 340-341: “. . .anomalies were well corresponding to simulated. . .” reads better as “. . .anomalies corresponded well to simulated. . .”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

24) Line 344: “add a comma after “disturbances for FTA,”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

25) Line 346: “Globally” should be lowercase

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

26) Line 390: “...El Niño episode, opposing to GPP...” reads better as “...El Niño episode, as opposed to GPP. . .”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

27) Line 393: The word “the” is not needed in the phrase “air temperature over the North America”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

28) Lines 395-396: “. . .higher, oppos- ing the cooler in. . .” reads better as “. . .higher, as opposed to the cooling in. . .”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

29) Line 400: “the” is needed in the phrase “. . .frequently happening in the tropics”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

30) Line 456: A period is needed after the reference for consistency

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

31) Line 539: Randerson et al. reference does not follow alphabetical order. It should be moved before Schwalm in line 531.

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

32) Line 583: “a It represents. . .” the word “It” is not needed

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

33) Line 593: MLO should be defined in the caption like the other acronyms are

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

34) Line 607: “And the arrows” reads better as “The arrows”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

35) Line 609: “And the purple” reads better as “The purple”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

36) Line 609: “denotes result” reads better as “denotes the result”

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

37) Line 613: the lat/lon coordinates for extratropical NH and tropics should be defined in the caption so the reader doesn’t have to skim through the text when looking at the figure.

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

38) Line 622: the lat/lon coordinates for extratropical NH and tropics should be defined in the caption so the reader doesn't have to skim through the text when looking at the figure.

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified accordingly.

39) Line 635: Figure 6 colorbar values are too small to read. Perhaps, include only 1 larger bar for each variable on the figure, rather than 3 small colorbars.

Reply: Thanks for your suggestions. We have tried our best to zoom in the colorbars. It looks better now.

Reply to Referee #2

(1) But my major concern regarding this paper is the data constrains they applied. The authors need to confirm their readers that atmospheric CO2 growth rate can provide constraint on a single event, and on small regional scales. The authors have shown that VEGAS is highly correlated with atmospheric CO2 growth rate, however, this does not ensure that VEGAS can capture net CO2 flux anomalies from a single event. For example, a recent study on ERL by Fang et al. found that mechanistic models can capture ENSO response fairly well when all years are considered, however, they all have some issues when considering only El Nino or La Nina years. It is ok to use VEGAS to explore the driving mechanisms; however, some caveats are needed.

Reply: Thanks very much for your suggestions. I totally agree with you that there are biases in all of the state-of-the-art model simulations (Piao et al., 2013; Sitch et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). Also, the atmospheric CO2 growth rate indeed cannot provide any constraint on regional scales. So we take some recent datasets including three inversions (MACC, CAMS, and CarbonTracker) and satellite-based observations (EVI and SIF) as reference for spatial simulations by VEGAS. Of course, uncertainties exist among inversion datasets because of their different prescribed priors, a priori uncertainties, inverse methods, and observational datasets selected (Peylin et al, 2013). Maybe future inversions can give us more accurate results with the increased surface and satellite-based CO2 observations. Accordingly, we have added some discussions after the concluding remarks to inform readers that model and datasets used all have biases (or uncertainties). There is still a long road to improve DGVMs in modelling community.

(2) I agree with the other reviewer that statistical significance tests for anomalies, composites etc are needed, which may help strengthen the paper (i.e., Figure 2,3,4 etc).

Reply: Thanks very much for your suggestions. We have made the statistical significance tests for composite anomalies based on the bootstrap estimation and Student's *t*-test. You can see them in the modified paper.

(3) I also agree with the other reviewer that it would be good to check whether seasonal evolution of climatic drivers, GPP and Respiration matter.

Reply: Thanks very much. In this paper, we mainly focus on the contrasting responses of terrestrial carbon cycle to the two extreme El Ninos (1997/98 and 2015/16) during the whole El Nino period. Also, we covered some information of seasonal evolutions in total C flux anomaly section (seen in Figure 2-4). The spatial seasonal evolutions during the El Nino events are also a good topic. Actually, we also want to present the seasonal evolutions during the 2015/16 El Nino with temperature and precipitation regional contributions by model sensitivity experiments in another paper.

(4) My other comment is about the fire emissions. The authors mentioned that FTA anomaly is 1.95 Pg C per yr during 1997-1998, while is 0.8 Pg C per yr during 2015- 2016 (that is, 1.1 Pg C per yr difference between two events). In their paper, they showed that the difference of fire emission of CO2 from GFED is 0.82 Pg C per yr between these two events, so fire emissions only can explain 70% of the difference between two ENSO events, is this correct? Is it fair to conclude that fire emission dominates the difference and thus explore why fire emission differs in the paper?

Reply: Thanks very much. But I disagree with you.

First, according to $\delta F_{TA} \cong \delta TER - \delta GPP + \delta C_{fire}$, we can get δF_{TA}=1.14 Pg C yr^{-1}, δTER=−1.14 Pg C yr^{-1}, δGPP=−1.9 Pg C yr^{-1}, and δC_{fire}=0.38 Pg C yr^{-1} between 1997/98 and 2015/16 El Ninos simulated by VEGAS, respectively. So F_{TA} difference between two events is largely determined by differences in TER and GPP. Of course, fire emissions simulated by VEGAS was underestimated in 1997/98 (Table 2).

Second, GFED fire emission datasets used here only covers the period from 1997 through 2014 (Randerson et al., 2015). So we only have the Cfire anomaly with the value of 0.82 Pg C yr^{-1} in 1997/98 without the values in 2015/16. We cannot say "the difference of fire emission of CO2 from GFED is 0.82 Pg C per yr between these two events". So It is wrong that fire emissions can explain 70% of the difference between two ENSO events. We need more up-to-date observations to quantify the difference in fire emissions between two extreme El Ninos.

Detailed comments:

(1) abstract: seems to be too long, and has two paragraphs. Better to shorten it.

Reply: Thanks for your suggestions. We have tried our best to make the abstract clear and concise.

(2) I wonder if "two strongest El Nino events" used in the title and through- out the paper is appropriate. First, two strongest events are defined only since 1980, right? So it is not in history. Second, how to define how strong an El Nino is depends on which aspects you talked about. I would probably just use two strong El Nino events or two extreme El Nino events instead to make the statement more accurate.

Reply: Thanks for your constructive suggestions. We have modified "two strongest El Nino events" into "the extreme El Nino events" throughout the paper.

(3) Explain somewhere early in the paper that positive sign of the cartbon fluxes discussed here means to the atmosphere.

Reply: Thanks for your suggestions. We have added this information in the second paragraph in Introduction as follows "Directly, land-atmosphere C flux (F_{TA}, positive sign meaning a flux into the atmosphere) is mainly attributable to the imbalance between the gross primary productivity (GPP) and terrestrial ecosystem respiration (TER)…"

(4) Introduction: There are actually more observation-based studies that argue temperature is more important driver. While many of the paper cited here in Line 78 are mostly model-based results, and models have be shown to over- estimate the role of precipitation (see, Piao et al., 2013 and Fang et al. 2017)

Reply: Thanks very much for your suggestions. We have added some paper such as Clark et al., 2003, Doughty et al., 2008 in Introduction to illustrate the observation-based evidence for temperature dominance.

(5) Introduction: line 86, here "sensitivity analysis" is not the right word and is misleading for this paper (wang et al., 2013), I think this number is the slope based on regression analysis.

Reply: Thanks very much. We have modified "sensitivity analysis" into "regression analysis" according to your suggestions.

(6) Results: Line 184-185: it is true that models can capture the general re- sponse to ENSO with a moderate correlation coefficient. However, a recent ERL study shows they have problem in capturing response to El Nino vs Response to La Nina.

Reply: Thanks very much. DGVM models can well capture the response to ENSO with significant correlation coefficients (In this paper and Figure 5 in Wang et al., 2016) in long time series on interannual time scales. We also agree that there are biases in certain El Nino or La Nina event, about which we have added some discussions. We also added Fang et al. (2017) study result in the discussion to inform that state-of-the-art DGVMs may still have some problem in capturing response to El Nino vs Response to La Nina. In this paper, we also used three inversion results as references for VEGAS simulations. The spatial anomaly of F_{TA} in VEGAS in 2015/16 is consistent with that in CarbonTracker. This consistency gives us some confidence in model simulation results.

(7) Results: line 196-197, why use the mean of CAMs and MACC?

Reply: Thanks very much. These two inversion datasets (CAMS and MACC, Chevallier, 2013) have similar results on the interannual time scales (Figure 1). So we take the mean of them as one reference dataset in the study.

(8) Figure 2c and 3d, why there appears to be two strong peaks for the inversion?

Reply: It's a good question. Comparing Figure 2c and 3d, we can know the two peaks mainly come from the tropical anomalies. We here present evolution of the spatial anomalies in CAMS and MACC during 1997/98 (Figure R.1). We can clearly see that strong positive anomalies occurred over the Indonesia, South Asia, Africa, part of Amazon, and Southern South America in tropics during the two peak periods (Aug-Oct

1997 and Mar-May 1998). In contrast, strong negative anomalies occurred over southern Africa and southern South America during the low period (Nov 1997 to Feb 1998).



Figure R.1. F_{TA} evolutions in CAMS and MACC during 1997/98 El Nino.

Reference:

(1) Chevallier, F.: On the parallelization of atmospheric inversions of CO_2 surface fluxes within a variational framework, Geosci Model Dev, 6, 783-790, 2013.

(2) Clark, D. A., Piper, S. C., Keeling, C. D., and Clark, D. B.: Tropical rain forest tree growth and atmospheric carbon dynamics linked to internnual tempreature variation during 1984-2000, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 5852-5857, 2003.

(3) Doughty, C. E., and Goulden, M. L.: Are tropical forests near a high temperature threshold?, J. Geophys. Res., 113, G00B07, 2008.

(4) Fang, Y., Michalak, A. M., Schwalm, C. R., Huntzinger, D. N., Berry, J. A., Ciais, P., Piao, S. L., Poulter, B., Fisher, J. B., Cook, R. B., Hayes, D., Huang, M. Y., Ito, A., Jain, A., Lei, H. M., Lu, C. Q., Mao, J. F., Parazoo, N. C., Peng, S. S., Ricciuto,

D. M., Shi, X. Y., Tao, B., Tian, H. Q., Wang, W. L., Wei, Y. X., and Yang, J.: Global land carbon sink response to temperature and precipitation varies with ENSO phase, Environ. Res. Lett., 12, 064007, 2017.

(5) Peylin, P., Law, R. M., Gurney, K. R., Chevallier, F., Jacobson, A. R., Maki, T., Niwa, Y., Patra, P. K., Peters, W., Rayner, P. J., Rödenbeck, C., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., and Zhang, X.: Global atmospheric carbon budget: results from an ensemble of atmospheric CO_2 inversions, Biogeosciences, 10, 6699-6720, 2013.

(6) Piao, S., Sitch, S., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Peylin, P., Wang, X., Ahlström, A., Anav, A., Canadell, J. G., Cong, N., Huntingford, C., Jung, M., Levis, S., Levy, P. E., Li, J., Lin, X., Lomas, M. R., Lu, M., Luo, Y., Ma, Y., Myneni, R. B., Poulter, B., Sun, Z., Wang, T., Viovy, N., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: Evaluation of terrestrial carbon cycle models for their response to climate variability and to CO_2 trends, Global Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/gcb.12187, 2013. 2117–2132, 2013.

(7) Randerson, J. T., van der Werf, G. R., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J. and Kasibhatla, P. S.:Global Fire Emissions Database, Version 4, (GFEDv4). ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1293, 2015.

(8) Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Gruber, N., Jones, S. D., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Ahlström, A., Doney, S. C., Graven, H., Heinze, C., Huntingford, C., Levis, S., Levy, P. E., Lomas, M., Poulter, B., Viovy, N., Zaehle, S., Zeng, N., Arneth, A., Bonan, G., Bopp, L., Canadell, J. G., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Ellis, R., Gloor, M., Peylin, P., Piao, S. L., Le Quéré, C., Smith, B., Zhu, Z., and Myneni, R.: Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide, Biogeosciences, 12, 653-679, 2015.

(9) Wang, J., Zeng, N., and Wang, M.: Interannual variability of the atmospheric CO_2 growth rate: roles of precipitation and temperature, Biogeosciences, 13, 2339-2352, 2016.

Contrasting terrestrial carbon cycle responses to the 1997/98 and 2015/16 extreme El Niño events

Jun Wang[1,2], Ning Zeng[2,3], Meirong Wang[4], Fei Jiang[1], Hengmao Wang[1], and Ziqiang Jiang[1]

[1]International Institute for Earth System Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China

[2] State Key Laboratory of Numerical Modelling for Atmospheric Sciences and Geophysical Fluid Dynamics, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Beijing, China

[3]Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science and Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

[4]Collaborative Innovation Center on Forest and Evaluation of Meteorological Disasters/Key Laboratory of Meteorological Disaster of Ministry of Education, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, China

Correspondence to: J. Wang (wangjun@nju.edu.cn)

Abstract

Large interannual atmospheric CO_2 variability is dominated by the response of the terrestrial biosphere to El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO). However, the behavior of terrestrial ecosystems differs during different El Niños in terms of patterns and biological processes. Here, we comprehensively compare two extreme El Niños (2015/16 and 1997/98) in the context of a multi-event 'composite' El Niño. We find large differences in the terrestrial carbon cycle responses, even though the two events were of similar magnitude.

More specifically, we find that the global-scale land–atmosphere carbon flux (F_{TA}) anomaly during the 1997/98 El Niño was 1.64 Pg C yr^{-1}, but half that quantity during the 2015/16 El Niño (at 0.73 Pg C yr^{-1}). Moreover, F_{TA} showed no obvious lagged

删除的内容：two strongest El Niño events: 1997-98 and 2015-16 El Niños

删除的内容：The l

删除的内容：-

删除的内容：s

删除的内容： in different El Niño events.

删除的内容：we conduct a comprehensive comparison of the two strongest El Niño events in history, namely, the recent 2015-16 event, and the earlier 1997-98 event in the context of multi-event 'composite' El Niño.

删除的内容：We analyze Mauna Loa CO_2 concentration, surface carbon fluxes from three atmospheric inversions, and a mechanistic carbon cycle model VEGAS.

删除的内容：the

删除的内容：the two El Nino events

删除的内容：are

删除的内容：We

删除的内容：-

删除的内容：in

删除的内容：-

删除的内容：95

删除的内容：⁻

删除的内容： globally

删除的内容：two times smaller

删除的内容：-

删除的内容：9

删除的内容：⁻

删除的内容：We also find that

删除的内容：had

response during the 2015/16 El Niño, in contrast to that during 1997/98. Separating the global flux by geographical regions, the fluxes in the tropics and extratropical northern hemisphere were 1.70 and −0.05 Pg C yr^{-1} during 1997/98, respectively. During 2015/16, they were 1.12 and −0.52 Pg C yr^{-1}, respectively. Analysis of the mechanism shows that, in the tropics, the widespread drier and warmer conditions caused a decrease in gross primary productivity (GPP; −0.73 Pg C yr^{-1}) and an increase in terrestrial ecosystem respiration (TER; 0.62 Pg C yr^{-1}) during the 1997/98 El Niño. In contrast, anomalously wet conditions occurred in the Sahel and East Africa during 2015/16, which caused an increase in GPP, compensating for its reduction in other tropical regions. As a result, the total 2015/16 tropical GPP and TER anomalies were −0.03 and 0.95 Pg C yr^{-1}. GPP dominance during 1997/98 and TER dominance during 2015/16 accounted for the phase difference in their F_{TA}. In the extratropical northern hemisphere, the large difference occurred because temperatures over Eurasia were warmer during the 2015/16, as compared with the cooling seen during the 1997/98 and the composite El Niño. These warmer conditions enhanced GPP and TER over Eurasia during the 2015/16 El Niño, while these fluxes were suppressed during 1997/98. The total extratropical northern hemisphere GPP and TER anomalies were 0.63 and 0.55 Pg C yr^{-1} during 1997/98, and 1.90 and 1.45 Pg C yr^{-1} during 2015/16, respectively. Additionally, wildfires played a less important role during the 2015/16 than during the 1997/98 El Niño.

删除的内容： in …uring the 2015-…16 El Niño, in contrast to that during in …997-…98 El Niño… Separating the global flux by major…geographical regions, during 1997-98,…the fluxes in the tropics and extratropical northern hemisphere were 1.7098 [... [1]]

删除的内容： 4…Pg C yr⁻… during 1997/98, respectively. During 2015-…16, these …hey were 1.1207 [... [2]]

删除的内容： 4…Pg C yr⁻…, respectively. Analysis of the mechanism shows that, in the tropics, the widespread drier and warmer conditions caused the … decrease in gross primary productivity (GPP;, [... [3]]

删除的内容： 1.11…Pg C yr⁻…) and an increase in terrestrial ecosystem respiration (TER;,…0.62.49…Pg C yr⁻…) during thein…1997/-…8 El Niño. During 2015-16, i…n contrast, anomalously wet conditions occurred in the Sahel and East Africa during 2015/16, that …hich caused an increase in GPP, compensating for its decrease …eduction in over …ther tropical regions. As a result, the total 20… [... [4]]

删除的内容： 2…39…and 0.951.23…Pg C yr^{-1-1}… GPP dominance during 1997-…98 and TER dominance during 2015-…16 accounted for the phase difference in their F_{TA}. In the extratropical northern hemisphere, the we find that temperature was warmer both in 1997-98 and 2015-16 El Niños over North America, contributing to enhancements in GPP and TER. However, …arge difference occurred because temperatures over Eurasia was …ere warmer in …uring the 2015-…16 El Niño… opposing …s compared with to …he cooler …ooling seen in …uring the 1997-…98 and the composite El Niño El Niño events… This …hese warmer conditions enhanced GPP and TER over the …urasia in …uring the 2015/-…6 El Niño, compared to their suppressions…hile these fluxes were suppressed in …uring 1997-…98 El Niño… The total extratropical northern hemisphere GPP and TER anomalies were 0.6386…and 0.5574…Pg C yr^{-1} in …uring1997-…98 El Niño … and 1.908…and 1.457…Pg C yr^{-1} in …uring 2015-…16 El Niño… respectively. Additionally, we find that …ildfires played a less important roles…in …uring the 2015/-…6 El Niño…than in …uring the 1997- [... [5]]

1 Introduction

The atmospheric CO_2 growth rate has significant interannual variability, greatly influenced by the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Bacastow, 1976; Keeling et al., 1995). This interannual variability primarily stems from terrestrial ecosystems (Bousquet et al., 2000; Zeng et al., 2005). There is also a general consensus that the tropical terrestrial ecosystems account for the terrestrial carbon variability (Cox et al., 2013; Peylin et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2013; Zeng et al., 2005). They tend to release anomalous levels of carbon flux during El Niño episodes, and take up carbon during La Niña events (Wang et al., 2016; Zeng et al., 2005). Recently, Ahlstrom et al. (2015) further suggested that ecosystems in semi-arid regions dominated the terrestrial carbon interannual variability, with a 39% contribution.

The terrestrial dominance primarily results from the drive-response mechanisms in climate variability (especially in temperature and precipitation) caused by ENSO and plant/soil physiology (Jung et al., 2017; Tian et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2016; Zeng et al., 2005). The land atmosphere carbon flux (F_{TA} – positive sign meaning a flux into the atmosphere) can mainly be attributed to the imbalance between the gross primary productivity (GPP) and terrestrial ecosystem respiration (TER) according to $F_{TA} \cong TER - GPP + C_{fire}$, where the carbon flux from wildfires (C_{fire}) is generally much smaller than the GPP or TER. Therefore, variations in each, or both, result in the changes in F_{TA}.

Based on a dynamical global vegetation model (DGVM), Zeng et al. (2005) found that net primary productivity (NPP) contributed to almost three quarters of the tropical F_{TA} interannual variability. Multi-model simulations involved in the TRENDY project and CMIP5 have consistently suggested that NPP or GPP dominate the terrestrial carbon variability (Ahlstrom et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2016; Piao et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016).

删除的内容：a
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：Further, t
删除的内容：anomalously
删除的内容：C
删除的内容：uptake
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：episodes
删除的内容：over the
删除的内容：its
删除的内容：s
删除的内容：Directly,
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：C
删除的内容：is
删除的内容：attributable
删除的内容：,
删除的内容：=
删除的内容：(
删除的内容：)
删除的内容：So
删除的内容：of them
删除的内容：variations
删除的内容：pointed
删除的内容：out
删除的内容：fourth
删除的内容：Later,
删除的内容：m
删除的内容：d

These biological process analyses suggest that precipitation variation is the dominant climate factor in controlling F_{TA} interannual variability (Ahlstrom et al., 2015; Qian et al., 2008; Tian et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2016; Zeng et al., 2005). Qian et al. (2008) calculated the contributions of tropical precipitation and temperature as 56% and 44%, respectively, based on model sensitivity experiments. Eddy covariance network observations have suggested that the interannual carbon flux variability over tropical and temperate regions is controlled by precipitation, while boreal ecosystem carbon fluxes are more affected by temperature and radiation (Jung et al., 2011). At the same time, there is a significant positive correlation between the atmospheric CO_2 growth rate and mean tropical land temperature (Anderegg et al., 2015; Cox et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014). Regression analysis indicates an anomaly of approximately 3.5 Pg C yr^{-1} in the CO_2 growth rate with a 1°C increase in tropical land temperature, whereas a weaker interannual coupling exists between the CO_2 growth rate and tropical land precipitation (Wang et al., 2013). Clark et al. (2003) and Doughty et al. (2008) also concluded, based on in-situ observations, that warming anomalies can reduce tropical tree growth and CO_2 uptake. Therefore, considering this strong emergent linear relationship, these studies (Anderegg et al., 2015; Cox et al., 2013; Clark et al., 2003; Doughty et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014) have suggested that temperature dominates the interannual variability of the F_{TA} or CO_2 growth rate. To reconcile these contradictory reports, Jung et al. (2017) showed that the temporal and spatial compensatory effects in water availability link the yearly global F_{TA} variability to temperature. Fang et al. (2017) suggested an ENSO-phase-dependent interplay between water availability and temperature in controlling the tropical terrestrial carbon cycle response to climate variability.

Apart from these long-term time series studies on the interannual F_{TA} or CO_2 growth

删除的内容：inferred
删除的内容：was
删除的内容：Quantitatively,
删除的内容：illustrated
删除的内容：the
删除的内容：were
删除的内容： the
删除的内容：C
删除的内容： the
删除的内容：was
删除的内容：C
删除的内容：were
删除的内容：subject to
删除的内容：was
删除的内容：Sensitivity
删除的内容：indicated
删除的内容：about
删除的内容：⁻
删除的内容：
删除的内容：anomaly
删除的内容：℃
删除的内容：only
删除的内容：existed
带格式的：下标
删除的内容：the
删除的内容：dominance
删除的内容：in
删除的内容： interannual variations, considering this strong emergent linear relationship.
删除的内容：Recently, in order t
删除的内容：illustrated
删除的内容：linked

rate variability, we should keep in mind that the terrestrial carbon cycle responds in a unique way in terms of its strength, spatial patterns, biological processes, to every El Niño/La Niña event (Schwalm, 2011). For example, wildfires played an important role in the F_{TA} anomalies during the 1997/98 El Niño (van der Werf et al., 2004). Therefore, it is important to have clear insight into the impacts of ENSO events on the terrestrial carbon cycle, and this is best achieved through representative case studies. Recently, one of the three extreme El Niño events in recorded history occurred in 2015/16 (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/enso/current.html). Because of the interference of the El Chichón eruption during the extreme El Niño case in 1982/83, we chose to compare in detail the response of terrestrial ecosystems in the other two extreme El Niño events, i.e., in 1997/98 and 2015/16, in the context of a multi-event 'composite' El Niño, based on the VEGAS DGVM in its near-real-time framework and inversion datasets [Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS), Monitoring Atmospheric Composition & Climate (MACC), and CarbonTracker]. The purpose is to clarify the different responses of biological processes in these two extreme events.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the mechanistic carbon cycle model used, its drivers, and reference datasets. Section 3 presents the results of the total terrestrial carbon flux anomalies and spatial patterns, along with their mechanisms. Finally, a discussion and concluding remarks are provided in Section 4.

2 Model, datasets and Methods

2.1 Mechanistic carbon cycle model and its drivers

We used the state-of-the-art VEGAS DGVM, version 2.4, in its near-real-time framework, to investigate the responses of terrestrial ecosystems to El Niño events. VEGAS has been widely used to study the terrestrial carbon cycle on its seasonal cycle,

- 删除的内容： response of
- 删除的内容： n
- 删除的内容： has its unique behaviors such as in the strength, spatial pattern, biological process, and so on
- 删除的内容： the
- 删除的内容： -
- 删除的内容： strongest
- 删除的内容： -
- 删除的内容： years
- 删除的内容： Given the disturbance of
- 删除的内容： in 1982-83 El Niño episode
- 删除的内容： we here attempt to comprehensively compare the responses of terrestrial ecosystems to the two strongest El Niños in 1997-98 and 2015-16 years in the context of multi-event 'composite' El Nino, based on DGVM VEGAS in its Near-Real Time framework,
- 删除的内容： (CAMS, MACC, and CarbonTracker) and so on
- 删除的内容： Our
- 删除的内容： distinctions in
- 删除的内容： This
- 删除的内容： about
- 删除的内容： C
- 删除的内容： s
- 删除的内容： illustrated in Sect.
- 删除的内容： and
- 删除的内容： In this study, w
- 删除的内容： Near
- 删除的内容： Real
- 删除的内容： T

interannual variability, and long-term trends (Zeng et al., 2005; Zeng et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2014). The model has also extensively participated in international carbon modelling projects, such as the Coupled Climate–Carbon Cycle Model Intercomparison Project (C^4MIP) (Friedlingstein et al., 2006), the TRENDY project (Sitch et al., 2015) and the Multi-scale Synthesis and Terrestrial Model Intercomparison Project (MsTMIP; Huntzinger et al., 2013). A detailed description of the model structure and biological processes can be found in the appendix of Zeng et al. (2005). We ran VEGAS at the 0.5°×0.5° horizontal resolution from 1901 until the end of 2016, and focused on the period from 1980 to 2016.

The climate fields used to force VEGAS were:

(1) Precipitation datasets generated by combining the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) Time-series (TS) Version 3.22 (University of East Anglia Climatic Research Unit et al., 2014), NOAA's Precipitation Reconstruction over Land (PREC/L) (Chen et al., 2002), and the NOAA–NCEP Climate Anomaly Monitoring System-Outgoing Longwave Radiation Precipitation Index (CAMS-OPI) (Janowiak and Xie, 1999).

(2) Temperature data from the CRU TS3.22 before the year 2013, and generated by combining the CRU 1981–2010 climatology and the Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) Surface Temperature Analysis (GISTEMP) (Hansen et al., 2010) after 2013.

(3) Downward shortwave radiation from the driver datasets in MsTMIP (Wei et al., 2014) before 2010, with the value of the year 2010 repeated for subsequent years.

(4) The gridded cropland and pasture land use datasets integrated from the History Database of the Global Environment (HYDE) (Klein Goldewijk et al., 2011) with an linear extrapolation in 2016.

删除的内容: And it
删除的内容:
删除的内容: participated
删除的内容: the
删除的内容: -
删除的内容:)
删除的内容: (
删除的内容: The detailed descriptions on
删除的内容: its
删除的内容: ,
删除的内容: , and so on
删除的内容: referred to
删除的内容: in
删除的内容: on
删除的内容: l
删除的内容: year
删除的内容: are as follows
删除的内容: are
删除的内容:
删除的内容: PRECipitation
删除的内容: REConstruction
删除的内容:
删除的内容: climate
删除的内容: anomaly
删除的内容: monitoring
删除的内容: system
删除的内容: outgoing
删除的内容: longwave
删除的内容: radiation
删除的内容: precipitation
删除的内容: i
删除的内容: is adopted
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: is retrieved
删除的内容: the year
删除的内容: and repeated the value of the year 2010 after it
删除的内容: Additionally,
删除的内容: t
删除的内容: are

2.2 Reference datasets

We selected a series of reference datasets to compare to the VEGAS simulation. The atmospheric CO_2 concentrations were from the monthly in-situ CO_2 datasets at the Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawaii (Keeling et al., 1976). The Niño 3.4 (120°W–170°W, 5°S–5°N) sea surface temperature anomaly (SSTA) data were from the NOAA's Extended Reconstructed Sea Surface Temperature (ERSST) dataset, version 4 (Huang et al., 2015), with a three-month running average. We compared the CAMS (1980–2015) and MACC (1980–2014) inversion results (Chevallier, 2013) and the CarbonTracker2016 (2000–2015) with the CarbonTracker near-real time results from 2016 (Peters et al., 2007) with VEGAS. The F_{TA} in CarbonTracker was calculated by the sum of the posterior biospheric flux and its imposed fire emissions. The Satellite-based fire emissions were from the Global Fire Emissions Database, Version 4 (GFEDv4) from 1997 through 2014 (Randerson et al., 2015). Owing to the high correlation between the solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) and terrestrial GPP (Guanter et al., 2014), we selected the monthly satellite SIF from the GOME2_F version 26 from 2007 to 2016 (Joiner et al., 2012). We also compared the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) from MODIS MOD13C2 (Didan, 2015) with the simulated leaf area index (LAI) anomalies.

2.4 Methods

To calculate the anomalies during the El Niño events, we first removed the long-term climatology in each dataset for getting rid of seasonal cycle signals. We then detrended them based on the linear regression, because the trend was mainly caused by long-term CO_2 fertilization and climate change. We used these detrended monthly anomalies to investigate the impacts of El Niño events on the terrestrial carbon cycle.

删除的内容：here take
删除的内容：as a
删除的内容：comparison
删除的内容：with
删除的内容：simulation of
删除的内容：are
删除的内容：anomalies
删除的内容：are
删除的内容：adopted
删除的内容：3
删除的内容：take
删除的内容：Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (
删除的内容：,
删除的内容：, Monitoring atmospheric composition & climate (
删除的内容：,
删除的内容：,
删除的内容：01
删除的内容：12
删除的内容：Near
删除的内容：Real
删除的内容：T
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：to compare
带格式的：下标
删除的内容：F
删除的内容：come
删除的内容：take
删除的内容：till
删除的内容：Another, w
删除的内容：adopt
删除的内容：to compare
带格式的：字体:(默认) Times New Roman, 粗体
删除的内容：In order to get
删除的内容： the
删除的内容：,
删除的内容：and then
删除的内容：is not caused by the interannual variability
带格式的：下标

3 Results

3.1 Total terrestrial carbon flux anomalies

Three extreme El Niño events (1982/83, 1997/98, and 2015/16) occurred from 1980 to 2016, with their maximum SSTAs above 2.0 K (Fig. 1a). An El Niño event tends to anomalously increase the atmospheric CO_2 growth rate (Fig. 1b); therefore, there are two significant anomalous increases in CO_2 growth rate that correspond to the 1997/98 and 2015/16 El Niño events, although the maximum increase in 2015/16 was slightly less than that in 1997/98. Because of the diffuse light disturbance (Mercado et al., 2009) of the Mount El Chichón eruption during the 1982/83 El Niño on the canonical coupling between the anomalies of the CO_2 growth rate anomalies and El Niño events, we mainly focused on the 1997/98 and 2015/16 El Niño events in this study. The interannual variability of the atmospheric CO_2 growth rate principally originates from the terrestrial ecosystems (Fig. 1c). The correlation coefficient between the CO_2 growth rate anomalies and the global F_{TA} simulated by VEGAS was 0.60 ($p < 0.05$). In order to evaluate the performance of the VEGAS simulation on the interannual time scale, we also present CAMS, MACC and CarbonTracker inversion results. The CAMS and MACC inversions were nearly the same, with a correlation coefficient of approximately 0.60 ($p < 0.05$) with VEGAS. From 2000 to 2016, CarbonTracker was highly correlated with VEGAS ($r = 0.67$, $p < 0.05$). These high correlation coefficients between VEGAS and the reference datasets indicate that VEGAS can capture the terrestrial carbon cycle interannual variability well.

There were 10 El Niño events from 1980 to 2016, each with a different duration and strength (Table 1). According to the definition of El Niño, these 10 events can be

删除的内容：C

删除的内容：strongest …xtreme El Niño events (1982-…83, 1997-…98, and 2015-…16) occurred from 1980 to 2016, with their maximum SST anomalies…s above 2.0 K (Fig. 1a). An El Niño event tends to make the atmospheric CO_2 growth rate anomalously increase ... [6]

删除的内容：,…so …herefore, there are two significant anomalously…increases ind…CO_2 growth rate that corresponding …orrespond to the 1997-…98 and 2015-…16 El Niño events. …Though …although the maximum increase in 2015-…16 is …as a little…lightly smaller …ess than that in 1997-…98. Owing to…ecause of the diffuse light disturbance (Mercado et al., 2009) of the eruption of …ount.…El Chichón eruption during the 1982-…83 El Niño event …n the canonical coupling between the anomalies of the CO_2 growth rate anomalies and El Niño events, we mainly focused on the 1997-…98 and 2015-…16 El Niño events in this study. The interannual variability of the atmospheric CO_2 growth rate principally originates from the terrestrial ecosystems (Fig. 1c). The correlation coefficient between the CO_2 growth rate anomalies and the global F_{TA} simulated by VEGAS is …as 0.64 …0 ($p < 0.05$). In order to evaluate the performance of the VEGAS simulation on the interannual time scale, we at the same time…lso present CAMS, MACC and CarbonTracker inversion results. We find that…he CAMS and MACC inversions are …ere nearly the same, both having the…ith a correlation coefficient of about …pproximately 0.60 ($p < 0.05$) with VEGAS. From 2000 through …o 2016, CarbonTracker is …as highly correlated with VEGAS ($r = 0.71…7$, $p < 0.05$). These high correlation coefficients between VEGAS and the reference datasets underscore …ndicate that VEGAS can well …apture the terrestrial carbon cycle interannual variability w... [7]

带格式的：下标

删除的内容：are altogether…ere 10 El Niño events from 1980 through …o 2016, each with a different duration and strength (Table 1). According to the El Niño …efinition,…of El Niño, we can find that …hese 10 El Niño ... [8]

categorized into two weak (with a 0.5 to 0.9 SSTA), three moderate (1.0 to 1.4), two strong (1.5 to 1.9), and three very strong (≥2.0) events. During the 1997/98 El Niño, the positive SSTA lasted from April 1997 to June 1998, while the positive SSTA occurred in winter 2014, and extended to June 2016 in the 2015/16 El Niño (Fig. 2a). However, every El Niño event always peaks in winter (November or December; Fig. 2a). Considering this phase-lock phenomenon in the El Niño events, we produced a composite analysis (excluding 1982/83 and 1991/92, because of the diffuse radiation disturbances) as the background responses of the terrestrial carbon cycle to El Niño events.

The evolution of the F_{TA} anomalies in VEGAS, the mean of CAMS and MACC, and CarbonTraker in the composite, 1997/98, and 2015/16 El Niño events, are closely consistent with the Mauna Loa CGR anomalies (Figs. 2b–d). The peaks of the F_{TA} and the Mauna Loa CGR anomalies in the 1997/98 and 2015/16 El Niño events were much stronger than those in the composite analysis. Importantly, there were significant terrestrial lagged responses in the composite and 1997/98 El Niño events, with the peak of the F_{TA} anomaly occurring from March to April in the El Niño decaying year (Figs. 2b and c), consistent with previous studies (Qian et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2016). However, this lagged terrestrial response disappeared in the Mauna Loa CGR, VEGAS and CarbonTracker in the 2015/16 El Niño (Fig. 2d). In June 2016, the F_{TA} anomaly of VEGAS and CarbonTracker reduced significantly (the sign changed), whereas the Mauna Loa CGR reduced only slightly (no sign change; Fig. 2d). A similar phenomenon also occurred earlier, from April to July 2015. In addition, the anomalous carbon release caused by the El Niño lasted from approximately July in the El Niño developing year to October in the El Niño decaying year (Figs. 2b–d). For simplicity, we calculated the total anomalies of all El Niño events during this period in the next

删除的内容：2
删除的内容：3
删除的内容：2
删除的内容：3
删除的内容：In
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：happened
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：) (
删除的内容：make
删除的内容：getting rid of
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：We can easily find that
删除的内容：s
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：, c, and
删除的内容：
删除的内容：P
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：are
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：But
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：Further, i
删除的内容： dropped
删除的内容：but
删除的内容：dropped
删除的内容：a little
删除的内容：d) (
删除的内容：Similar
删除的内容：we can know that t
删除的内容：C
删除的内容：lasts
删除的内容：about
删除的内容：, c, and
删除的内容：in next context during this period for
删除的内容：,

context, taking the terrestrial lagged responses into account (Wang et al., 2016).

Based on the major geographical regions, we separated global F_{TA} anomaly into the extratropical northern hemisphere (23°N–90°N), tropical regions (23°S–23°N), and extratropical southern hemisphere (60°S–23°S). Because the F_{TA} anomaly over the extratropical southern hemisphere is generally smaller, we mainly present the evolutions of the F_{TA} over the extratropical northern hemisphere and the tropical regions in Fig. 3. Comparing the global and tropical F_{TA} anomalies, the F_{TA} anomalies in the tropical regions dominated the global F_{TA} during these El Niño events (Figs. 3b, d and f), in accordance with previous conclusions (Peylin et al., 2013; Zeng et al., 2005). The F_{TA} anomalies over the extratropical northern hemisphere were nearly neutral in VEGAS for the composite and the 1997/98 El Niño events (Figs. 3a and c). However, there was clear anomalous uptake from April to September in 2016 simulated by VEGAS (Fig. 3e), compensating for the carbon release over the tropics (Fig. 3f). This anomalous uptake caused the globally negative F_{TA} anomalies that occurred from May to September in 2016 (Fig. 2d). Similar anomalous uptake also occurred over the extratropical northern hemisphere from April to July 2015. This anomalous uptake in VEGAS was to some extent consistent with the results from CarbonTracker, and accounted for the global F_{TA} reduction mentioned above during these periods. Comparing the behaviors between the Mauna Loa CGR and the F_{TA} anomalies, the Mauna Loa CGR, which originates from a tropical observatory, does not reflect the signals over the extratropical northern hemisphere in time (Figs. 2d and 3e).

Because F_{TA} mainly stems from the difference between TER and GPP, we present the TER and GPP anomalies in Fig. 4 to clearly explain the F_{TA} anomalies. Anomalously negative GPP dominated the F_{TA} anomaly in the tropics in the composite and the 1997/98 El Niño episodes, with the significant lagged responses (peak at approximately

删除的内容：According to
删除的内容：we find that
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：Additionally,
删除的内容：are
删除的内容：during
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：But
删除的内容：we find that
删除的内容：were
删除的内容：obvious
删除的内容：s
删除的内容：These
删除的内容：s
删除的内容：made
删除的内容：happened
删除的内容：earlier
删除的内容：These
删除的内容：s
删除的内容：are
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：well
删除的内容：drops
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：we can now clearly find that
删除的内容：coming
删除的内容：the
删除的内容：Fig.
删除的内容： in order to wel
删除的内容：l
删除的内容：We find that a
删除的内容：during
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：about

May of the El Niño decaying year; Figs. 4b and d). Furthermore, clear positive TER anomalies occurred from October 1997 to April 1998 (Fig. 4d), contributing to the tropical carbon release during this period (Fig. 3d). In contrast, anomalously positive TER dominated the F_{TA} anomaly in the tropics during the 2015/16 El Niño, without clear lags (Fig. 4f), accounting for the disappearance of the terrestrial F_{TA} lagged response (Fig. 2d). In the extratropical northern hemisphere, the increased GPP and TER from April to October were nearly identical in the composite and in 1998 (Figs. 4a and c), causing neutral F_{TA} anomalies (Figs. 3a and c). However, the increased GPP was stronger than the increased TER from April to July 2015 and from April to September 2016 (Fig. 4e), resulting in the anomalous uptake in F_{TA} (Figs. 2d and 3e).

We calculated the total carbon flux anomalies from July in the El Niño developing year to October in the El Niño decaying year. The composite global F_{TA} anomaly during the El Niño events in VEGAS was approximately 0.60 Pg C yr^{-1}, dominated by tropical ecosystems with 0.61 Pg C yr^{-1} (Table 2). These anomalies were comparable to the mean of the CAMS and MACC inversion results, at 0.92±0.01 globally and 0.66±0.03 Pg C yr^{-1} in the tropics. In these two extreme cases, a strong anomalous carbon release occurred during the 1997/98 El Niño, with a value of 1.64 Pg C yr^{-1}, which was less than the 2.57 Pg C yr^{-1} in the CAMS and MACC inversions; while only 0.73 Pg C yr^{-1} was released during the 2015/16 El Niño, which was comparable to the 0.82 Pg C yr^{-1} in CarbonTracker. However, the F_{TA} anomalies in the tropical regions dominated the global F_{TA} anomalies in both cases, with values of 1.70 and 1.12 Pg C yr^{-1} in VEGAS, respectively. Furthermore, anomalous carbon uptake simulated by VEGAS over the extratropical northern hemisphere cancelled out the 0.52 Pg C yr^{-1} anomalous release in the tropics during the 2015/16 El Niño, whereas it was neutral (−0.05 Pg C yr^{-1}) in the 1997/98 El Niño. The F_{TA} anomaly was relatively smaller in the extratropical

删除的内容：in…El Niño decaying year) (… Figs. 4b and d). Besides…urthermore, obvious …lear positive TER anomalies occurred from October 1997 to April 1998 (Fig. 4d), contributing to the tropical C …arbon release duringin…this period (Fig. 3d). In contrast, we find that a…nomalously positive TER dominated the F_{TA} anomaly in the tropics during the 2015/-…6 El Niño episode… without obvious …lear lags (Fig. 4f), accounting for the disappearance of the terrestrial F_{TA} lagged response (Fig. 2d). In the extratropical northern hemisphere, the increased GPP and TER from April to October in composite and 1998 …ere nearly identical in the composite and in 1998 (Figs. 4a and c), making …ausing neutral F_{TA} anomalies (Figs. 3a and c). However, But …he increased GPP was stronger than the increased TER from April to July 2015 and from April to September 2016 (Fig. 4e), resulting in the anomalous uptake in F_{TA} (Figs. 2d and Fig. ... [9]

删除的内容：Quantitatively, w…e calculated the total C …arbon flux anomalies from July in the El Niño developing year till …o October in the El Niño decaying year. The composite global F_{TA} anomaly during the El Niño events in VEGAS is …as approximatelyabout…0.71 …0 Pg C yr, dominated by tropical ecosystems with 0.74 …1 Pg C yr (Table 2). These anomalies are …ere comparable to the mean of the CAMS and MACC inversion resul ... [10]

删除的内容： in the tropics. In these two extreme cases, a very …trong anomalous C …arbon release occurred in …uring the 1997-…98 El Niño episode… with a value of 1.93 …4 Pg C yr, which was close to…ess than the 2.57 Pg C yr in the CAMS and MACC inversions, … while only 0.79 …3 Pg C yr was released during thein…2015-…16 El Niño episode… which was comparable to the 0.82 Pg C yr in CarbonTracker. But …owever, the F_{TA} anomalies in the tropical regions dominated the global F_{TA} anomalies in both cases, with respective …alues of 1.98 …0 and 1.07 …2 Pg C yr in VEGAS, respectively. Moreover…urthermore, anomalous C …arbon uptake simulated by VEGAS over the extratropical northern hemisphere cancelled out the 0.5240…Pg C yr anomalous release in the tropics in …uring the 2015-…16 El N ... [11]

删除的内容：04 …5 Pg C yr) in the 1997-…98 El Niño. And t ... [12]

southern hemisphere.

In terms of the biological processes, the GPP (−0.73 Pg C yr^{-1}) and TER (0.62 Pg C yr^{-1}) in the tropics together drove the anomalous F_{TA} during 1997/98, while the TER (0.95 Pg C yr^{-1}) mainly drove the anomalous F_{TA} during 2015/16, with a near neutral GPP of −0.03 Pg C yr^{-1} (Table 2). These data confirmed that the GPP played a more important role in the 1997/98 event, while TER was dominant during the 2015/16 El Niño. In the extratropical northern hemisphere, GPP and TER cancelled each other out. They were 0.13 and 0.08 Pg C yr^{-1} in the composite analysis, and 0.63 and 0.55 Pg C yr^{-1} in the 1997/98 El Niño, respectively, causing the near neutral F_{TA} anomaly in that region. However, the GPP and TER in the 2015/16 El Niño were much stronger than those in the composite or the 1997/98 El Niño. Importantly, the GPP (1.90 Pg C yr^{-1}) was stronger than the TER (1.45 Pg C yr^{-1}) in the 2015/16 El Niño, causing the significant carbon uptake. The F_{TA} anomaly caused by wildfires also played an important role during the 1997/98 El Niño, with a global value of 0.42 Pg C yr^{-1} in VEGAS, which was consistent with the GFED fire data product (0.82 Pg C yr^{-1}). The effect of wildfires on the F_{TA} anomaly during the 1997/98 El Niño episode has been previously suggested by van der Werf et al. (2004), whereas it was close to zero (0.05 Pg C yr^{-1}) during the 2015/16 El Niño.

3.2 Spatial features and its mechanisms

The regional responses of terrestrial ecosystems to El Niño events are inhomogeneous, principally due to the anomalies in climate variability. In the composite El Niño analysis (Fig. 5a), land consistently released carbon flux in the tropics, while there was an anomalous carbon uptake over the North America as well as the central and eastern Europe. These regional responses were generally consistent with the CAMS and

删除的内容: 1.11….73 Pg C yr⁻⋯) and TER (0.6249…Pg C yr⁻⋯) in the tropics together drove the anomalous F_{TA} in …uring 1997/-…8, while the TER (1.23….95 Pg C yr⁻⋯) partly cancelled by GPP (0.29 Pg C yr^{-1})…ainly drove the anomalous F_{TA} in …uring 2015- ... [13]

删除的内容: the … more important role in the 1997-…98 event, while TER dominance occurred…as dominant during thein…2015/-…6 El Niño episode… In the extratropical northern hemisphere, GPP and TER cancelled each other out. They had respective…ere 0.20 …3 and 0.12 …8 Pg C yr⁻⋯ in the composite analysis, and 0.86 …3 and 0.74 …5 Pg C yr⁻⋯ in the 1997/-…8 El Niño, respectively, making …ausing the nearly…neutral F_{TA} anomaly in that regionthere… But …owever, the GPP and TER in the 2015/16 El Niño were much stronger than those in the composite or the 1997/98 El Niño. Importantly, the GPP (1.80 …0 Pg C yr⁻⋯) was stronger than the TER (1.47 …5 Pg C yr⁻⋯) in the 2015-…16 El Niño, causing the significant C …arbon uptake. Additionally,…he F_{TA} anomaly caused by wildfires also played an important role in …uring the 1997/-…8 El Niño episode… with a global value ofly…0.46 …2 Pg C yr⁻⋯ in VEGAS, which was consistent with the GFED fire data product (0.82 Pg C yr⁻⋯). The effect of wildfires on the F_{TA} anomaly in …uring the 1997-…98 El Niño episode has been previously suggested by van der Werf et al. (2004). But… whereas it was close to zero (0.08 …5 Pg C yr⁻⋯) in …uring the 2015-…16 El Niño episode ... [14]

删除的内容: R…gional responses of terrestrial ecosystems to El Niño events are inhomogeneous, principally according …ue to the anomalies in climate variability. In the composite El Niño analysis (Fig. 5a), land consistently releases …eleased C …arbon flux in the tropics, while it …here was an anomalously…uptakes C flux…arbon uptake over the North America as well as the central and eastern Europe. These regional responses are ... [15]

MACC inversion results (Fig. 5d).

During the 1997/98 El Niño episode, the tropical responses were analogous to the composite results, except for stronger carbon releases. North America and central and eastern China had stronger carbon uptake, whereas Europe and Russia had stronger carbon release (Fig. 5b). However, during the 2015/16 El Niño, anomalous carbon uptake occurred over the Sahel and East Africa, compensating for the carbon release over the other tropical regions (Fig. 5c). This made the total F_{TA} anomaly in the tropics in 2015/16 less than that in 1997/98 (Figs. 3d and f; and Table 2). North America had anomalous carbon uptake, similar to that in the composite and the 1997/98 El Niño, while central and eastern Russia had anomalous carbon uptake during the 2015/16 El Niño (Fig. 5c), which was opposite to the carbon release in the composite and the 1997/98 El Niño. This opposite behavior of the boreal forests over the central and eastern Russia clearly contributed to the total uptake over the extratropical northern hemisphere (Table 2). Moreover, these regional responses during the 2015/16 El Niño were significantly consistent with the CarbonTracker result (Fig. 5f).

To better explain these regional carbon flux anomalies, we present the main climate variabilities of soil wetness (mainly caused by precipitation) and air temperature, and the biological processes of GPP and TER in Fig. 6. In the composite analyses, the soil wetness is generally reduced in the tropics (Fig. 6a), causing the widespread decrease in GPP (Fig. 6b), which has been verified by model sensitivity experiments (Qian et al., 2008). At the same time, air temperature was anomalously warmer, contributing to the increase in TER. However, the drier conditions in the semi-arid regions, such as the Sahel, South Africa, and Australia, restricted this increase in TER induced by warmer temperatures (Fig. 6d). Higher air temperatures over the North America largely enhanced the GPP and TER, while cooler conditions over the Eurasia reduced them

删除的内容: In …uring the 1997/-…8 El Niño episode, the tropical responses were analogous to the composite results, except for the …tronger carbon releases. North America and central and eastern China had stronger C …arbon uptake, whereas Europe and Russia had stronger C …arbon release (Fig. 5b). However, in …uring the 2015-…16 El Niño episode… anomalous C …arbon uptake happened …ccurred over the Sahel and east …ast Africa, compensating for the C …arbon release over the other tropical regions (Fig. 5c). It …his made the total F_{TA} anomaly in…n the …ropics in 2015-…16 smaller …ess than that in 1997-…98 (Figs. 3d and f, … and Table 2). North America had anomalous C …arbon uptake, similar to that in the composite and the 1997/-…8 El Niño, while central and eastern Russia also …ad anomalous C …arbon uptake in …uring the 2015-…16 El Niño (Fig. 5c), opposing …hich was opposite to the carbonC…release in the composite and the 1997/-…8 El Niño. This opposing …pposite behavior of the boreal forests over the central and eastern Russia clearly contributed to the total uptake over the extratropical northern hemisphere (Table 2). Moreover, we can clearly find that …hese regional responses in …uring the 2015/-…6 El Niño episode are ... [16]

删除的内容: In order to …o better make the explanations on…xplain these regional C …arbon flux anomalies, we present the main climate variabilities of soil wetness (mainly caused by precipitation) and air temperature, as well as…nd the biological processes of GPP and TER in Fig. 6. In the composite analyses, the soil wetness is generally reduced in the tropics (Fig. 6a), making …ausing the widespread decrease in GPP (Fig. 6b), which has been verified by model sensitivity experiments (Qian et al., 2008). At the same time, air temperature is …as anomalously warmer, contributing to the enhancement …ncrease in TER. Bu…owever,t…the drier conditions in the semi-arid regions, such as the Sahel, South Africa, and Australia, restricted the …his increaseenhancement…in TER induced by warmer temperatures (Fig. 6d). Higher air temperatures over the North America largely enhances …nhanced the GPP and TER, while cooler conditions over the Eurasia will ... [17]

(Figs. 6b–d). Wetter conditions over parts of North America and Eurasia also increased the GPP and TER to some extent (Fig. 6a).

Comparing the composite results (Figs. 6a–d) and the 1997/98 El Niño (Figs.6e–h), the regional patterns were almost identical, except for the difference in magnitude. In contrast, there were some differences in the 2015/16 El Niño. Over the Sahel and East Africa, the soil wetness increased due to the higher precipitation (Fig. 6i), dynamically cooling the air temperature (Fig. 6k). These wetter conditions largely benefit GPP (Fig. 6j), compensating for the reduced GPP over the other tropical regions. This caused GPP near neutral in the tropics, as compared to the composite and the 1997/98 El Niño (Table 2). Higher soil moisture also contributed to increased TER over the Sahel (Fig. 6l), contrary to that in the 1997/98 El Niño (Fig. 6h). This spatial compensation in GPP, together with the widespread increase in TER, accounted for the TER dominance in the tropics during the 2015/16 El Niño. Furthermore, the higher GPP resulted in the anomalous carbon uptake in that region (Fig. 5c), which partly compensated for the anomalous carbon release over the other tropical regions. This in part caused the smaller tropical F_{TA} during the 2015/16 El Niño compared with that during 1997/98. Another clear difference occurred over the Eurasia, with almost opposite signals during the 1997/98 and 2015/16 El Niño events. During the 2015/16 El Niño over the Eurasia, air temperature was anomalously higher compared with the cooling in the composite and during the 1997/98 El Niño (Figs. 6c, g, and k). This warmth enhanced the GPP and TER (Figs. 6j and l), as compared with the reduced levels in the composite and during the 1997/98 El Niño (Figs. 6b, d, f, and h). This phenomenon explains the stronger GPP and TER anomalies, and the anomalous carbon uptake over the whole of the extratropical northern hemisphere (Table 2).

Recently, more attention has been paid to SIF as an effective indicator of GPP (Guanter

删除的内容： to some extent benefit

删除的内容： -…8 El Niño episode …Figs.6e–h), we can easily find that …he regional patterns are …ere almost identical, except for the difference in magnitude. In contrast, there are …ere some differences in the 2015/-…6 El Niño episode… Over the Sahel and East Africa, the soil wetness increased induced by…ue to the higher more …recipitation (Fig. 6i), dynamically making the air temperature cooler…ooling the air temperature (Fig. 6k). This …hese wetter conditions largely benefit GPP (Fig. 6j), compensating for the decreased …educed GPP over the other tropical regions. It …his caused in total…PP near neutral the increased GPP …n the tropics, opposing …s compared to the composite and the 1997-…98 El Niño episode …Table 2). More …igher soil moisture also contributed to increase in …T…TER over the Sahel (Fig. 6l), contrary to that in the 1997-…98 El Niño episode…(Fig. 6h). This spatial compensation in GPP, together with the widespread increase ind…TER well… accounted for the TER dominance in the tropics during the 2015-…16 El Niño episode… Besides…urthermore, the increased …igher GPP resulted in the anomalous C …arbon uptake here …n that region (Fig. 5c), …hich partly compensated for the anomalous C …arbon release over the other tropical regions. It in some degree…his in part made …aused the smaller tropical smaller …$_{TA}$ in …uring the 2015-…16 El Niño episode than…compared with that in …uring 1997/-…8 El Niño episode… Another obvious …lear difference happened …ccurred over the Eurasia, with almost opposite signals in …uring the 1997/-…8 and 2015/-…6 El Niño episodes…vents. Air temperature d…uring the 2015/-…6 El Niño episode…over the Eurasia, air temperature was anomalously higher, opposing to…compared with the cooler …ooling during …n the composite and during the 1997/-…8 El Niño (Figs. 6c, g, and k). This warme…hr…condition …nhanced the GPP and TER (Figs. 6j and l), contrary to their…s compared with the suppressions …educed levels in the composite and during the 1997/-…8 El Niño (Figs. 6b, d, f, and h). This phenomenon explained …xplains the stronger GPP and TER anomalies, and the anomalous C ... [18]

删除的内容： s have…has been paid on …o SIF as an effective indicator for ... [19]

et al., 2014). Therefore, we compared the simulated GPP and SIF variabilities on the interannual time scale. Although noisy signals in SIF occurred, it was anomalously positive over the USA, parts of Europe, and East Africa, and negative over the Amazon and South Asia, during the 2015/16 El Niño, corresponding to increased and decreased GPP, respectively (Figs. 7a and c). The match over other regions was not significant. In addition, MODIS EVI increased anomalously over the North America, southern South America, parts of Europe, the Sahel, and East Africa, but reduced over the Amazon, northern Canada, central Africa, South Asia, and northern Australia (Fig. 7d). These EVI anomalies corresponded well with the simulated LAI anomalies (Fig. 7b). The good match between the simulated GPP (LAI) and SIF (EVI) gives us more confidence in the VEGAS simulations.

Finally, wildfires, as important disturbances for F_{TA}, always release carbon flux. Although the F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires were generally smaller than the GPP or TER anomalies, they played an important role during the 1997/98 El Niño (globally, 0.42 Pg C yr^{-1} in VEGAS and 0.82 Pg C yr^{-1} in GFED; Table 2), which is consistent with previous work (van der Werf et al., 2004). The F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires are shown in Fig. 8. The correlation coefficients between the simulated global F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires and the GFED fire data product was 0.46 (unsmoothed) and 0.63 (smoothed; Fig. 8a), confirming that VEGAS has certain capability in simulating this disturbance. During the 1997/98 El Niño, satellite-based GFED data show that the F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires mainly occurred over the tropical regions, such as the Amazon, central Africa, South Asia, and Indonesia (Fig. 8d). VEGAS also simulated the positive F_{TA} over these tropical regions (Fig. 8b). The total tropical F_{TA} anomalies caused by fires were 0.37 Pg C yr^{-1} in VEGAS and 0.72 Pg C yr^{-1} in GFED (Table 2). During the 2015/16 El Niño, wildfires also resulted in positive

删除的内容：here try to make a comparison…ompared between …he simulated GPP and SIF variabilities on the interannual time scale. AltT…ough there are …oisy signals in SIF occurred, we can find that SIF…t was anomalously positive over the USA, parts of Europe, and East Africa, and negative over the Amazon and South Asia, during the 2015/-…6 El Niño episode… corresponding to the…increased and decreased GPP, respectively (Figs. 7a and c). The correspondences…he match over the …ther regions were …as not significant. In addition, MODIS EVI anomalously …ncreased anomalously over the North America, Southern …outhern South America, parts of Europe, the Sahel, and East Africa, but decreases …educed over the Amazon, Northern …orthern Canada, central Africa, South Asia, and Northern …orthern Australia (Fig. 7d). These EVI anomalies were well …orresponding…d well to …ith the simulated LAI anomalies (Fig. 7b). These …he good correspondences [20]

删除的内容：At last

删除的内容：C …arbon flux. Though …lthough the F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires were are…generally smaller than the GPP or TER anomalies, they played an important role in …uring the 1997/-…8 El Niño episode…(gG…obally, 0.46 …2 Pg C yr⁻… in VEGAS and 0.82 Pg C yr⁻… in GFED) (… Table 2), which is consistent with the …revious study …ork (van der Werf et al., 2004). Here we show t…he F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires are shown in Fig. 8. The correlation coefficients between the simulated global F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires and the GFED fire data product are …as 0.40 …6 (unsmoothed) and 0.61 …3 (smoothed) (… Fig. 8a), confirming that VEGAS has certain capability in simulating this disturbance. In …uring the 1997-…98 El Niño episode… satellite-based GFED data showed…that the F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires mainly happened …ccurred over the tropical regions, such as the Amazon, Central …entral Africa, South Asia, and Indonesia (Fig. 8d). VEGAS also simulated the positive F_{TA} over these tropical regions (Fig. 8b). The total tropical F_{TA} anomalies caused by fires were 0.39 …7 Pg C yr⁻… in VEGAS and 0.72 Pg C yr⁻… in GFED (Table 2). In …uring the 2015/-…6 El Niño episode [21]

带格式的：非 上标/ 下标

F_{TA} anomalies over the Amazon, South Asia, and Indonesia; however, their magnitudes were smaller than those during the 1997/98 El Niño, because it was much drier during the 1997/98 event than the 2015/16 one (Figs. 6e and i). In addition, the wetter conditions over East Africa during the 2015/16 El Niño suppressed the occurrences of wildfires with the negative F_{TA} anomalies (Fig. 8c). The total tropical F_{TA} anomaly was 0.11 Pg C yr^{-1} in VEGAS (Table 2). Therefore, wildfires played a less important role during the 2015/16 event than during the 1997-98 one. The F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires over the extratropics were much weaker than those over the tropics, and the match between VEGAS and GFED was poorer (Table 2; Figs. 8b and d).

4 Conclusions and Discussion

The magnitudes and patterns of climate anomalies caused by different El Niño events differ. Therefore, the responses of terrestrial carbon cycle to different El Niño episodes remain uncertain (Schwalm, 2011). In this study, we compared in detail the impacts of two extreme El Niño events in recorded history (namely, the recent 2015/16, and earlier 1997/98 events) on the terrestrial carbon cycle in the context of a multi-event 'composite' El Niño. We used VEGAS in its near-real-time framework, along with inversion datasets. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

(1) The simulations indicated that the global-scale F_{TA} anomaly during the 2015/16 El Niño was 0.73 Pg C yr^{-1}, which was nearly two times smaller than that during the 1997/98 El Niño (1.64 Pg C yr^{-1}), and was confirmed by the inversion results. The F_{TA} had no obvious lagged response during the 2015/16 El Niño, in contrast to that during the 1997/98 El Niño. Separating the global fluxes, the fluxes in the tropics and the extratropical northern hemisphere were 1.12 and −0.52 Pg C yr^{-1} during the 2015/16 El Niño, respectively, whereas they were 1.70 and −0.05 Pg C yr^{-1}

删除的内容: , but… however, their magnitudes were smaller than those during the in…1997/-…8 El Niño episode… because it was much drier in …uring the 1997/-…8 El Niño episode…vent than in …he 2015/-…6 El Niño episode…ne (Figs. 6e and i). In addition, the wetter conditions over the…East Africa in …uring the 2015-…16 El Niño episode…depressed …uppressed the occurrences of wildfires with the negative F_{TA} anomalies (Fig. 8c). The total tropical F_{TA} anomaly in total …as 0.13 …1 Pg C yr⁻⁻ in VEGAS (Table 2). Therefore, we can find that…wildfires played a less important roles…during the in …015/-…6 event than during thein…1997-98 El Niño episode…ne. The F_{TA} anomalies caused by wildfires over the extratropics wereare…much weaker than those over the tropics, and their correspondences…he match between VEGAS and GFED are …as poorer (Table 2 and … [22]

删除的内容: s

删除的内容: Climate anomalies in…he magnitudes and patterns of climate anomalies caused by different El Niño events differare inconsistent…,…so …herefore, the responses of terrestrial ecosystems …arbon cycle remain uncertain …o different El Niño events …pisodes remain uncertain (Schwalm, 2011). In this study, w…n this study, we e comprehensively …ompared in detail the impacts of the …wo strongest …xtreme El Niño events in recorded history (, …amely, the recent 2015/-…6, and earlier 1997/-…8 events) on the terrestrial carbon cycle in the context of a multi-event 'composite' El NiñoNino on the terrestrial carbon cycle… We used,…relying on …EGAS in its Near…ear-Real …eal-Time …ime framework, along with inversion datasets and so on… The mM…in conclusions can be summarizedare drawn … [23]

删除的内容: S…mulations indicated that the global-scale F_{TA} anomaly in …uring the 2015-…16 El Niño episode …as globally ….79 …3 Pg C yr⁻⁻, which was nearly two times smaller than that in …uring the 1997-…98 El Niño (1.95 …4 Pg C yr⁻⁻), and was confirmed by the inversion results. We also find that…he F_{TA} had no obvious lagged response during the in…2015-…16 El Niño, in contrast to that in …uring the 1997-…98 El Niño. Separating the global fluxes, we find that…he fluxes in the tropics and the extratropical northern hemisphere were 1.07 … [24]

删除的内容: 4 …2 Pg C yr⁻⁻ during the 2015-…16 El Niño, episode …espectively, while these…hereas they were 1.98 … [25]

删除的内容: 04 …5 Pg C yr⁻ … [26]

during the 1997/98 event. Tropical F_{TA} anomalies dominated the global F_{TA} anomalies during both extreme El Niño events.

(2) Mechanistic analysis indicates that anomalously wet conditions occurred over the Sahel and East Africa during the 2015/16 El Niño, resulting in the increase in GPP, which compensated for the reduction in GPP over the other tropical regions. In total, this caused a near neutral GPP in the tropics (−0.03 Pg C yr^{-1}), compared with the composite analysis (−0.54 Pg C yr^{-1}) and the 1997/98 El Niño (−0.73 Pg C yr^{-1}). The spatial compensation in GPP and the widespread increase in TER (0.95 Pg C yr^{-1}) explained the dominance of TER during the 2015/16 El Niño, compared with the GPP dominance during the 1997/98 event. The different biological dominance accounted for the phase difference in the F_{TA} responses during the 1997/98 and 2015/16 El Niño events.

(3) Higher air temperatures over North America largely enhanced the GPP and TER during the 1997/98 and 2015-16 El Niño events. However, the air temperatures during the 2015/16 El Niño over the Eurasia were anomalously higher, compared with the cooling during the 1997/98 El Niño episode. These warmer conditions benefited the GPP and TER, accounting for the stronger GPP (1.90 Pg C yr^{-1}) and TER (1.45 Pg C yr^{-1}) anomalies and anomalous carbon uptake (−0.52 Pg C yr^{-1}) over the extratropical northern hemisphere during the 2015/16 El Niño.

(4) Wildfires, frequent in the tropics, played an important role in the F_{TA} anomalies during the 1997/98 El Niño episode, confirmed by the VEGAS simulation and the satellite-based GFED fire product. However, the VEGAS simulation showed that the tropical F_{TA} caused by wildfires during the 2015/16 El Niño was relatively smaller than that during the 1997/98 El Niño. This result was mainly because the tropical weather was much drier during the 1997/98 event than during the 2015-16

删除的内容：-…8 event. Tropical F_{TA} anomalies dominated the global F_{TA} anomalies in [27]

删除的内容：ter…conditions happened …ccurred over the Sahel and East Africa during the 2015-…16 El Niño episode… resulting in the increase of …n GPP, which compensated for the reduction of …n GPP over the other tropical regions. In total, It …his caused in total the … increased [28]

删除的内容：29…Pg C yr⁻…), compared witho [29]

删除的内容：80 …4 Pg C yr⁻…) and the 1997- [30]

删除的内容：1.11….73 Pg C yr⁻…). Spatial …he spatial compensation in GPP and the widespread increased …ncrease in TER (1.23….95 Pg C yr⁻…) well …xplained the TER …ominance of TER in …uring the 2015/-…6 El Niño episode… compared with theopposing to…GPP dominance in …uring the 1997-…98 event. The dD…fferent biological dominance accounted for the phase difference in the F_{TA} responses in …uring the 1997-…98 and 2015-…16 El Niño eventss [31]

删除的内容：the …orth America largely enhanced the GPP and TER both i…uring the n …997-…98 and 2015-16 El Niño events episodes… However, the air temperatures during the 2015-…16 El Niño episode…over the Eurasia was …ere anomalously higher, compared withopposing …the coolinger…in …uring the 1997-…98 El Niño episode. This …hese warmer conditions benefited the GPP and TER, well…accounting for the stronger GPP (1.80 …0 Pg C yr⁻…) and TER (1.47 …5 Pg C yr⁻…) anomalies and anomalous C [32]

删除的内容：40 …2 Pg C yr⁻…) over the extratropical northern hemisphere during the 2015/- [33]

删除的内容：ly…happening …n the tropics, played an important role in the F_{TA} anomalies during the 1997/-…8 El Niño episode, confirmed by the VEGAS simulation and the satellite-based GFED fire product. But …owever, the VEGAS simulation showed indicates…that the tropical F_{TA} caused by wildfires during the 2015-…16 El Niño episode…was relatively smaller than that during the 1997/-…8 El Niño episode… This result was mainly because the tropical weather was much drier in …uring the 1997/-…8 El Niño…vent than that in [34] one.

It is important to keep in mind that the responses of the terrestrial carbon cycle to the El Niño events in this study were simulated using an individual DGVM (VEGAS), which, whilst highly consistent with the variations in the CGR and inversion results, carries uncertainties in terms of the regional responses because of, for example, its model structure, biological processes considered, and parameterizations. Of course, uncertainties exist in all of the state-of-the-art DGVMs. Fang et al. (2017) recently suggested that none of the 10 contemporary terrestrial biosphere models captures the ENSO-phase-dependent responses. If possible, we will quantify the inter-model uncertainties in regional responses of the terrestrial carbon cycle to El Niño events when the new round of TRENDY simulations (1901–2016) becomes available. Although we used three inversion datasets as reference for the VEGAS simulation in this study, they cover different periods. Importantly, there are also large uncertainties between the different atmospheric CO_2 inversions because of their different prescribed priors, *a priori* uncertainties, inverse methods, and observational datasets (Peylin et al., 2013). Future atmospheric CO_2 inversions may produce more accurate results based on more observational datasets, including surface and satellite-based observations.

Recently, more studies have pointed out that the 1997/98 El Niño evolved following the eastern Pacific El Niño dynamics, which depends on basin-wide thermocline variations, whereas the 2015/16 event involves additionally the central Pacific El Niño dynamics that relies on the subtropical forcing (Paek et al., 2017; Palmeiro et al., 2017). Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the different impacts of the eastern and central Pacific El Niño types (Ashok et al., 2007) on the terrestrial carbon cycle in the future. This may give us an additional insight into the contrasting responses of the terrestrial carbon cycle to the 1997/98 and 2015/16 El Niño events. We believe that doing so will

删除的内容：El Niño

带格式的：正文，无项目符号或编号

带格式的：下标

带格式的：下标

contribute greatly to deepening our knowledge of present and future carbon cycle variations on the interannual time scales.

带格式的: 字体:(默认) Times New Roman

Data Availability

In this study, all the datasets can be freely accessed. The Mauna Loa monthly CO_2 records are available at https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/data.html. The ERSST4 Niño3.4 index can be accessed from http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/indices/ersst4.nino.mth.81-10.ascii. The CAMS and MACC inversions are available at http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/. The CarbonTracker datasets can be found at https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/carbontracker/. The GFEDv4 global fire emissions are downloaded at https://daac.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/dsviewer.pl?ds_id=1293. Satellite SIF datasets are retrieved from http://avdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/data/satellite/MetOp/GOME_F/MetOp-A/level3/. MODIS enhanced vegetation index (EVI) datasets are downloaded from https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_discovery/modis/modis_products_table/mod13c2_v006.

Acknowledgements:

We gratefully acknowledge the ESRL for the use of their Mauna Loa atmospheric CO_2 records and CarbonTracker datasets; NOAA for the ERSST4 ENSO index; LSCE-IPSL for the CAMS and MACC inversion datasets; the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center for the GFEDv4 global fire emissions; NASA Goddard Space Flight Center for the SIF datasets; and the Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center for the MODIS EVI datasets. This study was supported by the

删除的内容: appreciate

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: ,

National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0600204) and the Natural Science Foundation for Young Scientists of Jiangsu Province, China (Grant No. BK20160625).

References

Ahlstrom, A., Raupach, M. R., Schurgers, G., Smith, B., Arneth, A., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Canadell, J. G., Friedlingstein, P., Jain, A. K., Kato, E., Poulter, B., Sitch, S., Stocker, B. D., Viovy, N., Wang, Y. P., Wiltshire, A., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: The dominant role of semi-arid ecosystems in the trend and variability of the land CO_2 sink, Science, 348, 895-899, 2015.

Anderegg, W. R., Ballantyne, A. P., Smith, W. K., Majkut, J., Rabin, S., Beaulieu, C., Birdsey, R., Dunne, J. P., Houghton, R. A., Myneni, R. B., Pan, Y., Sarmiento, J. L., Serota, N., Shevliakova, E., Tans, P., and Pacala, S. W.: Tropical nighttime warming as a dominant driver of variability in the terrestrial carbon sink, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 112, 15591-15596, 2015.

Ashok, K., Behera, S. K., Rao, S. A., Weng, H., and Yamagata, T.: El Niño Modoki and its possible teleconnection, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C11007, 2007.

Bacastow, R. B.: Modulation of atmospheric carbon dioxide by the Southern Oscillation, Nature, 261, 116-118, 1976.

Bousquet, P., Peylin, P., Ciais, P., Le Quere, C., Friedlingstein, P., and Tans, P. P.: Regional changes in carbon dioxide fluxes of land and oceans since 1980, Science, 290, 1342-1346, 2000.

Chen, M., Xie, P., Janowiak, J. E., and Arkin, P. A.: Global Land Precipitation: A 50-yr Monthly Analysis Based on Gauge Observations, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 3, 249-266, 2002.

Chevallier, F.: On the parallelization of atmospheric inversions of CO_2 surface fluxes within a variational framework, Geosci Model Dev, 6, 783-790, 2013.

Clark, D. A., Piper, S. C., Keeling, C. D., and Clark, D. B.: Tropical rain forest tree growth and atmospheric carbon dynamics linked to internnual tempreature variation during 1984-2000, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 5852-5857, 2003.

Cox, P. M., Pearson, D., Booth, B. B., Friedlingstein, P., Huntingford, C., Jones, C. D., and Luke, C. M.: Sensitivity of tropical carbon to climate change constrained by carbon dioxide variability, Nature, 494, 341-344, 2013.

Didan, K.: MOD13C2 MODIS/Terra Vegetation Indices Monthly L3 Global 0.05Deg CMG V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD13C2.006, 2015.

Doughty, C. E., and Goulden, M. L.: Are tropical forests near a high temperature threshold?, J. Geophys. Res., 113, G00B07, 2008.

Fang, Y., Michalak, A. M., Schwalm, C. R., Huntzinger, D. N., Berry, J. A., Ciais, P., Piao, S. L., Poulter, B., Fisher, J. B., Cook, R. B., Hayes, D., Huang, M. Y., Ito, A., Jain, A., Lei, H. M., Lu, C. Q., Mao, J. F., Parazoo, N. C., Peng, S. S., Ricciuto, D. M., Shi, X. Y., Tao, B., Tian, H. Q., Wang, W. L., Wei, Y. X., and Yang, J.: Global land carbon sink response to temperature and precipitation varies with ENSO phase, Environ. Res. Lett., 12, 064007, 2017.

Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., Von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S., Eby, M., Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr, W., Lindsay, K., Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E., Schnitzler, K. G., Schnur, R., Strassmann, K., Weaver, A. J., Yoshikawa, C., and Zeng, N.: Climate-carbon cycle feedback analysis: Results from the C^4MIP model intercomparison, Journal of Climate, 19, 3337-3353, 2006.

Guanter, L., Zhang, Y. G., Jung, M., Joiner, J., Voigt, M., Berry, J. A., Frankenberg, C., Huete, A. R., Zarco-Tejada, P., Lee, J. E., Moran, M. S., Ponce-Campos, G., Beer, C., Camps-Valls, G., Buchmann, N., Gianelle, D., Klumpp, K., Cescatti, A., Baker, J. M., and Griffis, T. J.: Global and time-resolved monitoring of crop photosynthesis with chlorophyll fluorescence, PNAS, doi: 0.1073/pnas.1320008111, 2014. E1327–E1333, 2014.

Hansen, J., Ruedy, R., Sato, M., and Lo, K.: Global Surface Temperature Change, Reviews of Geophysics, 48, 2010.

Huang, B., Banzon, V. F., Freeman, E., Lawrimore, J., Liu, W., Peterson, T. C., Smith, T. M., Thorne, P. W., Woodruff, S. D., and Zhang, H.-M.: Extended Reconstructed Sea Surface Temperature Version 4 (ERSST.v4). Part I: Upgrades and Intercomparisons, Journal of Climate, 28, 911-930, 2015.

Huntzinger, D. N., Schwalm, C., Michalak, A. M., Schaefer, K., King, A. W., Wei, Y., Jacobson, A., Liu, S., Cook, R. B., Post, W. M., Berthier, G., Hayes, D., Huang, M., Ito, A., Lei, H., Lu, C., Mao, J., Peng, C. H., Peng, S., Poulter, B., Riccuito, D., Shi, X., Tian, H., Wang, W., Zeng, N., Zhao, F., and Zhu, Q.: The North American Carbon Program Multi-Scale Synthesis and Terrestrial Model Intercomparison Project – Part 1: Overview and experimental design, Geosci Model Dev, 6, 2121-2133, 2013.

Janowiak, J. E. and Xie, P.: CAMS-OPI: A Global Satellite-Rain Gauge Merged Product for Real-Time Precipitation Monitoring Applications, J. Clim., 12, 3335-3342, 1999.

Joiner, J., Yoshida, Y., Vasilkov, A. P., Middleton, E. M., Campbell, P. K. E., Yoshida, Y., Kuze, A., and Corp, L. A.: Filling-in of near-infrared solar lines by terrestrial fluorescence and other geophysical effects: simulations and space-based observations from SCIAMACHY and GOSAT, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 5, 809-829, 2012.

Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Margolis, H. A., Cescatti, A., Richardson, A. D., Arain, M. A., Arneth, A., Bernhofer, C., Bonal, D., Chen, J. Q., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N., Kiely, G., Kutsch, W., Lasslop, G., Law, B. E., Lindroth, A., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Moors, E. J., Papale, D., Sottocornola, M., Vaccari, F., and Williams, C.: Global patterns of land-atmosphere fluxes of carbon dioxide, latent heat, and sensible heat derived from eddy covariance, satellite, and meteorological observations, J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 116, 2011.

Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Schwalm, C. R., Huntingford, C., Sitch, S., Ahlstrom, A., Arneth, A., Camps-Valls, G., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Gans, F., Ichii, K., Jain, A. K., Kato, E., Papale, D., Poulter, B., Raduly, B., Rodenbeck, C., Tramontana, G., Viovy, N., Wang, Y. P., Weber, U., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: Compensatory water effects link yearly global land CO_2 sink changes to temperature, Nature, 541, 516-520, 2017.

Keeling, C. D., Bacastow, R. B., Bainbridge, A. E., Ekdahl, C. A., Guenther, P. R., Waterman, L. S., and Chin, J. F. S.: Atmospheric Carbon-Dioxide Variations at Mauna-Loa Observatory, Hawaii, Tellus, 28, 538-551, 1976.

Keeling, C. D., Whorf, T. P., Wahlen, M., and Vanderplicht, J.: Interannual Extremes in the Rate of Rise of Atmospheric Carbon-Dioxide since 1980, Nature, 375, 666-670, 1995.

Kim, J. S., Kug, J. S., Yoon, J. H., and Jeong, S. J.: Increased atmospheric CO2 growth rate during El Niño driven by reduced terrestrial productivity in the CMIP5 ESMs, Journal of Climate, 29, 8783-8805, 2016.

Klein Goldewijk, K., Beusen, A., Van Drecht, G., and De Vos, M.: The HYDE 3.1 spatially explicit database of human-induced global land-use change over the past 12,000 years, Global Ecology and Biogeography, 20, 73-86, 2011.

Mercado, L. M., Bellouin, N., Sitch, S., Boucher, O., Huntingford, C., Wild, M., and Cox, P. M.: Impact of changes in diffuse radiation on the global land carbon sink, Nature, 458, 1014-U1087, 2009.

Paek, H., Yu, J.-Y., and Qian, C.: Why were the 2015/16 and 1997/1998 extreme El Niño different?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 18848-1856, 2017.

Palmeiro, F. M., Iza, M., Barriopedro, D., Calvo, N., and Garcia-Herrera, R.: The complex behavior of El Niño winter 2015-2016, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 2902-2910, 2017.

Peters, W., Jacobson, A. R., Sweeney, C., Andrews, A. E., Conway, T. J., Masarie, K., Miller, J. B., Bruhwiler, L. M., Petron, G., Hirsch, A. I., Worthy, D. E., van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Wennberg, P. O., Krol, M. C., and Tans, P. P.: An atmospheric perspective on North American carbon dioxide exchange: CarbonTracker, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 104, 18925-18930, 2007.

Peylin, P., Law, R. M., Gurney, K. R., Chevallier, F., Jacobson, A. R., Maki, T., Niwa, Y., Patra, P. K., Peters, W., Rayner, P. J., Rödenbeck, C., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., and Zhang, X.: Global atmospheric carbon budget: results from an ensemble of atmospheric CO_2 inversions, Biogeosciences, 10, 6699-6720, 2013.

Piao, S., Sitch, S., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Peylin, P., Wang, X., Ahlström, A., Anav, A., Canadell, J. G., Cong, N., Huntingford, C., Jung, M., Levis, S., Levy, P. E., Li, J., Lin, X., Lomas, M. R., Lu, M., Luo, Y., Ma, Y., Myneni, R. B., Poulter, B., Sun, Z., Wang, T., Viovy, N., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: Evaluation of terrestrial carbon cycle models for their response to climate variability and to CO_2 trends, Global Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/gcb.12187, 2013. 2117–2132, 2013.

Qian, H., Joseph, R., and Zeng, N.: Response of the terrestrial carbon cycle to the El Nino-Southern Oscillation, Tellus Series B-Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 60, 537-550, 2008.

Randerson, J. T., van der Werf, G. R., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J. and Kasibhatla, P. S.:Global Fire Emissions Database, Version 4, (GFEDv4). ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1293, 2015.

Schwalm, C. R.: Does terrestrial drought explain global CO_2 flux anomalies induced by El Nino?, Biogeosciences, 8, 2493-2506, 2011.

Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Gruber, N., Jones, S. D., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Ahlström, A., Doney, S. C., Graven, H., Heinze, C., Huntingford, C., Levis, S., Levy, P. E., Lomas, M., Poulter, B., Viovy, N., Zaehle, S., Zeng, N., Arneth, A., Bonan, G., Bopp, L., Canadell, J. G., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Ellis, R., Gloor, M., Peylin, P., Piao, S. L., Le Quéré, C., Smith, B., Zhu, Z., and Myneni, R.: Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide, Biogeosciences, 12, 653-679, 2015.

Tian, H. Q., Melillo, J. M., Kicklighter, D. W., McGuire, A. D., Helfrich, J. V. K., Moore, B., and Vorosmarty, C. J.: Effect of interannual climate variability on carbon storage in Amazonian ecosystems, Nature, 396, 664-667, 1998.

University of East Anglia Climatic Research Unit, Harris, I.C., Jones, P.D.: CRU TS3.22: Climatic Research Unit (CRU) Time-Series (TS) Version 3.22 of High Resolution Gridded Data of Month-by-month Variation in Climate (Jan. 1901- Dec. 2013). NCAS British Atmospheric Data Centre, 2014.

van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Collatz, G. J., Giglio, L., Kasibhatla, P. S., Arellano, A. F., Jr., Olsen, S. C., and Kasischke, E. S.: Continental-scale partitioning of fire emissions during the 1997 to 2001 El Nino/La Nina period, Science, 303, 73-76, 2004.

Wang, J., Zeng, N., and Wang, M.: Interannual variability of the atmospheric CO_2 growth rate: roles of precipitation and temperature, Biogeosciences, 13, 2339-2352,

已移动(插入) [1]

删除的内容:

已上移 [1]: Randerson, J. T., van der Werf, G. R., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J. and Kasibhatla, P. S.:Global Fire Emissions Database, Version 4, (GFEDv4). ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. http://dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1293, 2015.

删除的内容:

2016.

Wang, W., Ciais, P., Nemani, R., Canadell, J. G., Piao, S., Sitch, S., White, M. A., Hashimoto, H., Milesi, C., and Myneni, R. B.: Variations in atmospheric CO_2 growth rates coupled with tropical temperature, PNAS, 110, 13061-13066, 2013.

Wang, X., Piao, S., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Myneni, R. B., Cox, P., Heimann, M., Miller, J., Peng, S., Wang, T., Yang, H., and Chen, A.: A two-fold increase of carbon cycle sensitivity to tropical temperature variations, Nature, 506, 212-215, 2014.

Wei, Y., Liu, S., Huntzinger, D. N., Michalak, A. M., Viovy, N., Post, W. M., Schwalm, C. R., Schaefer, K., Jacobson, A. R., Lu, C., Tian, H., Ricciuto, D. M., Cook, R. B., Mao, J., and Shi, X.: The North American Carbon Program Multi-scale Synthesis and Terrestrial Model Intercomparison Project – Part 2: Environmental driver data, Geosci Model Dev, 7, 2875-2893, 2014.

Zeng, N., Mariotti, A., and Wetzel, P.: Terrestrial mechanisms of interannual CO_2 variability, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 19, GB1016, 2005.

Zeng, N., Qian, H. F., Munoz, E., and Iacono, R.: How strong is carbon cycle-climate feedback under global warming?, Geophys Res Lett, 31, 2004.

Zeng, N., Zhao, F., Collatz, G. J., Kalnay, E., Salawitch, R. J., West, T. O., and Guanter, L.: Agricultural Green Revolution as a driver of increasing atmospheric CO_2 seasonal amplitude, Nature, 515, 394-397, 2014.

Tables and Figures:

Table 1 Lists of El Niño events from 1980 till 2016.

No.	El Niño Events	Duration (months)	Maximum Nino3.4 Index (℃)
1	Apr1982–Jun1983	15	2.1
2	Sep1986–Feb1988	18	1.6
3	Jun1991–Jul1992	14	1.6
4	Oct1994–Mar1995	6	1.0
5	May1997–May1998	13	2.3
6	Jun2002–Feb2003	9	1.2
7	Jul2004–Apr2005	10	0.7
8	Sep2006–Jan2007	5	0.9
9	Jul2009–Apr2010	10	1.3
10	Nov2014–May2016	19	2.3

Table 2 Carbon flux anomalies during El Niño events, calculated as the mean from July in the El Niño developing year to October in the El Niño decaying year. Flux units are in Pg C yr^{-1}.

Zones	El Niños	Inversions		VEGAS Model			
		F_{TA} (CAMS+MACC)[a]	F_{TA} (CarbonTracker)	F_{TA}	GPP	TER	C_{fi}
Global	composite[b]	0.92±0.01	–	0.60	−0.55	−0.08	0.1
	1997/98	2.57±0.04	–	1.64	−0.04	1.28	0.4
	2015/16	–	0.82	0.73	1.59	2.24	0.0
NH	composite	0.20±0.02	–	−0.06	0.13	0.08	−0.0
	1997/98	0.40±0.07	–	−0.05	0.63	0.55	0.0
	2015/16	–	0.18	−0.52	1.90	1.45	−0.0
Tropical	composite	0.66±0.03	–	0.61	−0.54	−0.07	0.1

	1997/98	2.12±0.14	–	1.70	−0.73	0.62	0.3
	2015/16	–	0.53	1.12	−0.03	0.95	0.1
	composite	0.07±0.01	–	0.05	−0.14	−0.09	0.0
SH	1997/98	0.05±0.02	–	−0.02	0.14	0.12	0.0
	2015/16	–	0.11	0.14	−0.28	−0.16	0.0

[a]represents the mean value of the CAMS and MACC inversion results with the uncertainty of their standard deviation.

[b]Composite analyses exclude the 1982/83, 1991/92, and 2015/16 El Niño events, because the former two cases were disturbed by the El Chichón and Pinatubo eruptions, and the latter is not covered by the inversion datasets.



Figure 1. Interannual variability (IAV) in the sea surface temperature anomaly (SSTA) and carbon cycle. (a) ERSST4 Niño3.4 Index (units: K) using the 3-month running

删除的内容: 1.11
删除的内容: 49
删除的内容: 39
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: 07
删除的内容: 0.29
删除的内容: 1.23
删除的内容: 13
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: 06
删除的内容: 16
删除的内容: 10
删除的内容: 01
删除的内容: 13
删除的内容: 13
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: 12
删除的内容: 31
删除的内容: 19
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: It
删除的内容: -…83, 1991-…92, and 2015-…16 El Niño events, because the former two cases are …ere dist ... [35]



删除的内容:
删除的内容: variabilities …ariability (IAV) in the sea surface temperature anomaly (SSTA) and carbon c ... [36]

averaged SSTA for the Niño 3.4 region (5°N–5°S, 120°–170°W). (b) IAV in the Mauna Loa CO_2 growth rate (CGR; units: Pg C yr^{-1}). The CGR is calculated as the difference between the monthly mean in adjacent years. The dashed line is the detrended monthly anomaly and the solid line is smoothed by the butterworth filtering. (c) IAV in the land–atmosphere carbon fluxes (F_{TA}; units: Pg C yr^{-1}). The blue and orange solid lines are the smoothed results of the MACC and CAMS inversions, respectively. The gray dashed line is the detrended anomaly and the black one is the smoothed result from the VEGAS model simulation. The green solid line is the smoothed CarbonTracker result.



Figure 2. Evolutions of the global F_{TA} along with the development of El Niño. (a) the SSTA in the composite (black), 1997/98 (blue), and 2015/16 (red) El Niño events. (b) The F_{TA} anomalies in the El Niño composite analysis. The black solid line denotes the Mauna Loa CGR; and the red and blue lines show the VEGAS and mean of the CAMS and MACC inversions, respectively. The shaded areas in (a) and (b) show the 95% confidence intervals of the variables in the composite, derived in 1000 bootstrap estimates. (c) The F_{TA} anomalies during the 1997/98 El Niño events. The arrows demonstrate the time periods during which we calculate the carbon flux anomalies

删除的内容：anomal
删除的内容：y
删除的内容：°
删除的内容：°
删除的内容：°
删除的内容：°
删除的内容：MLO
删除的内容：,
删除的内容：−
删除的内容：the
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：,
删除的内容：−
删除的内容：Blue
删除的内容：.
删除的内容：G
删除的内容：in
带格式的：字体:(默认) Times New Roman



删除的内容：
删除的内容：shows
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：illustrate t
删除的内容：shows t
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：And t
删除的内容：C

listed/presented in the table and figures. (d) The F_{TA} anomalies during the 2015/16 El Niño. The purple line denotes the result of the CarbonTracker2016 and CarbonTracker near-real-time datasets.



Figure 3. Evolutions of F_{TA} over the extratropical northern hemisphere (23°N–90°N) and tropical regions (23°S–23°N) along with the development of El Niño. (a, b) Composite results with the VEGAS simulation (red solid line) and the mean of the CAMS and MACC inversions (blue solid line). The shaded areas show the 95% confidence intervals of the variables in the composite, derived in 1000 bootstrap estimates. (c, d) The F_{TA} anomalies during the 1997/98 El Niño. (e, f) The F_{TA} anomalies in the 2015/16 El Niño with VEGAS (red solid line) and CarbonTracker (purple solid line).

删除的内容：below space
删除的内容：demonstrate t
删除的内容：-
删除的内容： events
删除的内容：And t
删除的内容：Near
删除的内容：R
删除的内容：T
带格式的：字体:(默认) Times New Roman



删除的内容：
删除的内容：–
删除的内容：show the c
删除的内容：–
删除的内容：show
删除的内容：t
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：–
删除的内容：demonstrate t
带格式的：下标
删除的内容：-



Figure 4. Evolutions of gross primary productivity (GPP, green lines) and terrestrial ecosystem respiration (TER, brown lines) over the extratropical northern hemisphere (23°N–90°N) and tropical regions (23°S–23°N) along with the development of El Niño. (a, b) El Niño composite results. The shaded areas show the 95% confidence intervals of the variables in the composite, derived in 1000 bootstrap estimates. (c, d) Results of the 1997/98 El Niño. (e, f) Results of the 2015/16 El Niño.



删除的内容:
带格式的: 字体:(默认) Times New Roman

删除的内容: –
删除的内容: show the
删除的内容: –
删除的内容: show the
删除的内容: r
删除的内容: in
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: event
删除的内容: And
删除的内容: –
删除的内容: demonstrate the r
删除的内容: in
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: event



Figure 5. Spatial F_{TA} anomalies calculated from July in the El Niño developing year to October in the El Niño decaying year (units: g C m^{-2} yr^{-1}). (a–c) Results of the composite, 1997/98, and 2015/16 El Niño events simulated by VEGAS, respectively. (d–e) The averaged results of CAMS and MACC in the composite and 1997/98 El Niños. (f) The 2015/16 El Niño F_{TA} anomaly in CarbonTracker. The stippled areas in (a) and (d) are significant above the 90% level, estimated by Student's *t*-test.



删除的内容:

带格式的: 字体:(默认) Times New Roman, 粗体

删除的内容: P… C m^{-2} yr$^{-…}$). (a–), (b), and (…) show the r…esults of the composite, 1997-…98, and 2015-…16 El Niño events simulated by VEGAS, respectively. (d–) and (…) represent t…he averaged results of CAMS and MACC in the composite and 1997-…98 El Niños. (f) shows …he … [37]



删除的内容:

带格式的: 字体:(默认) Times New Roman, 粗体



Figure 6. Anomalies of soil wetness, air temperature (units: K), GPP (g C m^{-2} yr^{-1}), and TER (g C m^{-2} yr^{-1}) from July in the El Niño developing year to October in the El

带格式的：字体:(默认) Times New Roman, 粗体

删除的内容：in

删除的内容：gross primary productivity (

删除的内容：,

删除的内容：⁻

删除的内容：⁻

删除的内容：terrestrial ecosystem respiration (

删除的内容：,

删除的内容：⁻

删除的内容：⁻

Niño decaying year in the composite, 1997/98, and 2015/16 El Niño episodes, respectively. (a–d) Results of the composite analyses. The stippled areas are significant above the 90% levels estimated by the Student's *t*-test. (e–h) Anomalies during the 1997/98 El Niño. (i–l) Anomalies during the 2015/16 El Niño.



Figure 7. Spatial anomalies in (a) the simulated GPP by VEGAS (units: g C m^{-2} yr^{-1}), (b) the simulated leaf area index (LAI, units: m^2 m^{-2}), (c) solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF, units: mW m^{-2} nm^{-1} sr^{-1}), and (d) MODIS enhanced vegetation index (EVI, $\times 10^{-2}$) from July 2015 to October 2016.

删除的内容: -
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: represent t
删除的内容: he r
删除的内容: in
删除的内容: represent the a
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: episode
删除的内容: And
删除的内容: show the a
删除的内容: -
删除的内容: episode.
带格式的: 字体:(默认) Times New Roman, 粗体



删除的内容:
删除的内容: ⁻
删除的内容: ⁻
删除的内容: ⁻
删除的内容: ⁻
删除的内容: ⁻
删除的内容: ⁻
删除的内容: ⁻



Figure 8. F_{TA} anomalies induced by wildfires. (a) Total global anomalies (Pg C yr^{-1}). The dashed gray and solid black lines represent the anomalies simulated by VEGAS, detrended and smoothed by Butterworth filtering, respectively. The dashed and solid blue lines represent the GFED results. (b) Spatial F_{TA} anomaly (g C m^{-2} yr^{-1}) during the 1997/98 El Niño in VEGAS. (c) Spatial F_{TA} anomaly during the 2015/16 El Niño in VEGAS. (d) GFED anomaly during the 1997/98 El Niño episode.

带格式的：字体:(默认) Times New Roman
带格式的：居中
删除的内容： ... [38]
带格式的：字体:(默认) Times New Roman, 粗体
删除的内容： global
删除的内容：total
删除的内容：⁻
删除的内容：detrended and smoothed by butterworth filtering
删除的内容：spatial
删除的内容：⁻
删除的内容：⁻
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：episode
删除的内容：spatial
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：-
删除的内容：episode
删除的内容：in
删除的内容：-