Structure and functioning of the acid-base system in the Baltic Sea.
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Abstract

The marine acid-base system is relatively well understood for oceanic waters. Its structure and functioning is less obvious for the coastal and shelf seas due to a number of regionally specific anomalies. In this review article we collect and integrate existing knowledge on the acid-base system in the Baltic Sea. Hydrographical and biogeochemical characteristics of the Baltic Sea, as manifested in horizontal and vertical salinity gradients, permanent stratification of the water column, eutrophication, high organic matter concentrations and high anthropogenic pressure, makes the acid-base system complex. We summarize in this study the general knowledge on the marine acid-base system as well as describe the peculiarities identified and reported for the Baltic Sea specifically. In this context we discuss issues such as: dissociation constants in brackish water, different chemical alkalinity models including contributions by organic acid-base systems, long term changes of total alkalinity, anomalies of borate alkalinity and the acid-base effects of biomass production and mineralization. Finally, we identify research gaps and specify bottlenecks concerning the Baltic Sea acid-base system.
1. Introduction

The acid-base system controlling the seawater pH is formed by a number of chemical substances having acid-base properties (Dickson et al., 2007). The importance of each of these substances (structure of the acid-base system) depends on both concentrations of individual constituents and their dissociation constants. The control by physical conditions (temperature, salinity) and biogeochemical processes (e.g. biomass production and mineralization) as well as interrelationships between individual components determine the functioning of the acid-base system. The understanding of the structure and functioning of the acid-base system is necessary to investigate important issues that shape the Baltic Sea ecosystem and that are of interest in present-day chemical oceanography like: ocean acidification, calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) formation/dissolution and carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) exchange through the air-sea interface.

The steady increase of atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations leads to enhanced dissolution of CO$_2$ in the ocean. Since CO$_2$ dissolved in seawater forms the diprotic carbonic acid, hydrogen ions are released. Although the major fraction of the hydrogen ions are taken up by carbonate ions (a buffering reaction), a significant fraction stays in the water column and thus causes a decrease in pH. This phenomenon is known in the scientific literature as “ocean acidification” although seawater does not really become acidic but only moves from its alkaline character towards the acidic regime (Riebesell et al., 2010). Ocean acidification has been recognized as one of the greatest threats for marine ecosystems not only by the scientific community (e.g. Bates et al., 2014; Zeebe, 2012), but also in European Union (EU) legislation. EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008) explicitly points out that the EU Member States should put more attention to ocean acidification, and emphasizes the necessity to include measurements of pH and of the CO$_2$ partial pressure (pCO$_2$) as descriptors for the environmental status of marine regions.

There is a general belief that the magnitude of ocean acidification can sufficiently be quantified from the atmospheric pCO$_2$ levels and the CO$_2$ exchange between seawater and the atmosphere (Zeebe, 2012; Riebesell et al., 2010; Caldeira and Wicket, 2003). This is approximately true for oceanic waters. However, it is not the case for coastal seas because several other processes are influencing the seawater pH such as: CaCO$_3$ formation and/or dissolution, eutrophication or oligotrophication, total alkalinity (A$_T$) consumption and production, weathering, contribution by organic substances and terrestrial inputs. The general structure and functioning of the acid-base system in seawater are relatively well identified, however still some aspects contain a lot of gaps and/or shortcomings, which can lead to wrong conclusions and
questionable predictions of the future pH development (Orr et al., 2015; Koeve and Oschlies, 2012; Hunt et al., 2011; Dickson et al., 2007; Cai et al; 1998).

Since the CO₂ system is an integral part of the ocean acid-base system, it is impossible to understand the CO₂ system and to assess processes such as the CO₂ gas exchange or CaCO₃ dissolution/formation, without a clear idea about the structure and functioning of the whole acid-base system. The saturation states of calcite and aragonite are of crucial importance for organisms forming their exoskeletons from CaCO₃. Although pelagic calcifying organisms do not occur in the Baltic Sea this aspect is still relevant as some benthic organisms can also build CaCO₃ into their shells (Tyrrell et al., 2008). Moreover, calcifying processes not only depend on the acid-base system structure but in turn can modify it by decreasing the concentration of carbonate ions (CO₃²⁻) and thus the alkalinity.

Carbon dioxide is a major component of the global carbon cycle and transport of CO₂ links all Earth’s compartments. It is believed that the world oceans absorb about 25 % of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions. However, there is still a debate on the role that the shelf seas play in this context (Le Quéré, 2016). The direction and magnitude of the CO₂ exchange through the air-sea interface depends largely on the pCO₂ difference between seawater and the atmosphere. The level of seawater pCO₂ is mainly controlled by the structure of the acid-base system that is influenced by the combined effect of biological activity (biomass production vs. mineralization), CO₂ exchange with the atmosphere and temperature (Emerson and Hedges, 2008).

In this context the Baltic Sea can be considered as a very complex ecosystem. On one hand the low buffer capacity (Beldowski et al., 2010) makes the seawater vulnerable to acidification in most regions of the Baltic Sea, on the other hand the sea is exposed to various anthropogenic influences which have the potential to change the acid-base system and thus also seawater pH and all pH-related processes. This makes the Baltic Sea different from the oceans for which the CO₂ system as part of the acid-base system has been well characterized during the last decades (Bates et al., 2014; Riebesell et al., 2010; Dickson et al., 2007). Considerable research effort was also undertaken in recent years to investigate the Baltic Sea CO₂ system and its peculiarities. However, this was done from different perspectives and resulted in specific problem-oriented and divers knowledge. Therefore, the goal of this review article is to collect and integrate the existing knowledge on the structure and functioning of the acid-base system in the Baltic Sea, to point out the research gaps and thus also to address challenges for the future research in this field.
2. The standard acid-base model for ocean water

Four measurable variables exist to describe the acid-base system of seawater. These are: pCO₂, pH, total inorganic carbon concentration (Cₜ, known also as DIC) and total alkalinity (Aₜ). Cₜ is the total concentration of all inorganic carbon forms present in seawater (Eq. 1), where [CO₂*] represents the sum of molecular dissolved CO₂ and undissociated carbonic acid (H₂CO₃):

\[ C_T = [CO_2^*] + [HCO_3^-] + [CO_3^{2-}] \]  

(1)

Measurements of Cₜ are usually based on acidification of the sample and coulometric or infrared detection of the extracted CO₂ (Dickson et al., 2007). Aₜ is defined as the excess of proton acceptors (bases formed from weak acids with a dissociation constant of K≤10⁻⁴.⁵ at 25 °C) over proton donors (acids with K>10⁻⁴.⁵) and expressed as the hydrogen ion equivalent in one kilogram of sample (Dickson, 1981):

\[ A_T = [HCO_3^-] + 2[CO_3^{2-}] + [B(OH)_4^-] + [OH^-] + [HPO_4^{2-}] + 2[PO_4^{3-}] + [SiO(OH)_3] + [NH_3] + [HS^-] + [minor bases]-[H^+]-[HSO_4^-]-[HF]-[H_3PO_4]-[minor acids] \]  

(2)

Aₜ can be determined by open- or closed-cell acidimetric titration. For both Cₜ and Aₜ certified reference materials are provided by the Andrew Dickson laboratory, UC San Diego, USA (Dickson et al., 2007). pH measurements in seawater are usually calibrated against TRIS buffer. Its characteristics is well known at salinities of 20-35 (Mosley et al., 2004; DelValls and Dickson, 1998). The efforts to improve the TRIS buffer characteristics for lower salinities are ongoing within the BONUS PINBAL project (https://www.io-warnemuende.de/pinbal-home.html). The use of TRIS buffer helps to calibrate the systems for pH measurements but does not fully guarantee the quality of the pH results as reference materials in seawater matrix still do not exist for pH measurements. In many cases pH is still measured potentiometrically on the NBS scale although spectrophotometric measurements on the total scale (pHₜₒₜ), which takes into account also HSO₄⁻ ions, are currently state of the art in the field of chemical oceanography. The concentration of CO₂* in seawater is obtained from the partial pressure of CO₂ in air in equilibrium with seawater. According to the standard operating procedure (Dickson et al., 2007), measurements of pCO₂ require continuous flow of water, which makes this parameter difficult to measure in discrete samples.

All four variables are interacting and control the pH as described by a set of equilibrium constants and mass balance equations. In general the interrelationships between these four
parameters facilitate the calculation of any two variables, when the two others are known, e.g. through measurements, and when the dissociation constants of the involved acid-base reactions are known for the respective temperature and salinity. This fact is used in biogeochemical models for simulations of the marine CO\textsubscript{2} system. All biogeochemical models are based on the transport and transformations of A\textsubscript{T} and C\textsubscript{T}, because these variables are independent of temperature and pressure and behave conservatively with respect to mixing (mass conservation). Moreover, straightforward techniques for sampling and analysis for A\textsubscript{T} and C\textsubscript{T} exist and are supported by the availability of reference materials (Dickson et al., 2007). Hence, these two variables are very well suited for CO\textsubscript{2} system studies. However, the use of A\textsubscript{T} and C\textsubscript{T} for the CO\textsubscript{2} system studies is not free from limitations. To obtain high accuracy for the calculation of pH and pCO\textsubscript{2} from measured or modelled A\textsubscript{T} and C\textsubscript{T}, all dissociation constants and total concentrations of all non-CO\textsubscript{2} components of the acid-base system must be known. This requirement is approximately fulfilled with regard to ocean studies where the total concentrations of non-CO\textsubscript{2} acid-base components are either negligible or can be approximated as a function of salinity (Riebesell et al., 2010). However, this issue is more critical for coastal and shelf regions, where the biogeochemical composition of seawater shows regional peculiarities (e.g. Kuliński 2014; Hernandez-Ayon et al., 2007; Cai et al., 1998). This is the case for the Baltic Sea, which shows various unique biogeochemical characteristics.

3. Peculiarities of the Baltic Sea acid-base system

3.1. Hydrographic setting

The Baltic Sea is one of the largest brackish ecosystems in the world. This is caused by both limited inflows of saline oceanic water through the shallow and narrow Danish Straits and high river runoff. The drainage basin of the Baltic Sea is almost four times larger than the area of the sea itself, while the mean annual freshwater supply (428 km\textsuperscript{3}) constitutes about 2 % of the Baltic Sea water volume (22·10\textsuperscript{3} km\textsuperscript{3}). The specific features of the Baltic Sea hydrology cause clear horizontal and vertical salinity gradients. Salinity in the surface layer fluctuate from 2 in the northern Bothnian Bay to >20 observed in the Kattegat. Dense and highly saline water, which irregularly enters the Baltic Sea, sinks and moves along the sea bottom. This deep water is separated from the brackish surface water layer by a permanent halocline located at a depth of 60-70 m, which is at the same time the maximum mixed layer depth in the Baltic Sea (Lass and Matthäus, 2008; Winsor et al., 2001). The stratification limits ventilation of the deep water.
masses. Simultaneously, the deeper water layers are supplied with large amounts of particulate organic matter that either originated from terrestrial sources or derived from the biomass production in the surface under the influence of high nutrient concentrations (eutrophication). Some fraction of the sedimentary organic matter mineralizes releasing CO\textsubscript{2} and consuming oxidants, which leads to hypoxia or even anoxia in the bottom waters (HELCOM, 2009).

Fig. 1. Map of the Baltic Sea showing its division into natural basins and sub-basins (modified after Kuliński and Pempkowiak, 2011).

3.2. Dissociation constants in brackish water

If any variables of the marine CO\textsubscript{2} system are to be used for biogeochemical studies, it is advisable to measure these directly and not to derive it from the measurements of other variables of the CO\textsubscript{2} system (Dickson et al., 2007). However, sometimes direct measurements may be prevented by technical reasons or routine analytical methods do not exist. The latter
refers for example to the determination of \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) ion concentrations which are important for the assessment of the state of the \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) saturation and thus for the dissolution or formation of \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) shells. In this case, calculations on the basis of other known variables such as \( C_T, A_T, p\text{CO}_2 \) or \( \text{pH} \) are indispensable and require knowledge about the equilibrium constants of the \( \text{CO}_2 \) system. Here we examine the availability of the necessary constants for low salinity brackish water. Three fundamental equations characterize the equilibria of the marine \( \text{CO}_2 \) system. The first refers to the solubility of gaseous \( \text{CO}_2 \) in seawater:

\[
[\text{CO}_2^*] = k_0 * f\text{CO}_2
\]  

(3)

The solubility constant, \( k_0 \), relates the concentration of \( \text{CO}_2^* \) in seawater to the \( \text{CO}_2 \) fugacity, \( f\text{CO}_2 \). In contrast to the \( \text{CO}_2 \) partial pressure, \( p\text{CO}_2 \), the fugacity accounts for the non-ideal behaviour of \( \text{CO}_2 \) at atmospheric conditions. It differs only slightly from the \( p\text{CO}_2 \) and in many cases can be replaced by the \( p\text{CO}_2 \). The solubility and thus \( k_0 \) decreases with increasing temperature and salinity and vice versa. Studies by Weiss (1974) that describe \( k_0 \) as a function of temperature and salinity have received widespread acceptance and are almost exclusively used in chemical oceanography. The situation is somewhat more complicated for the determination of the dissociation constants for carbonic acid because it is a diprotic acid. Using again \( \text{CO}_2^* \) as variable, the two dissociation equilibria are given by:

\[
K_1 = [H^+]\left[H\text{CO}_3^-\right]/[\text{CO}_2^*] \\
K_2 = [H^+]\left[\text{CO}_3^{2-}\right]/[\text{HCO}_3^-]
\]  

(4)  

(5)

In these formulas the terms for the hydrogen ions are given in concentration units which include \( \text{HSO}_4^- \) ion concentration (Dickson, 1984).

Systematic studies concerning the dissociation constants of carbonic acid in seawater were already performed during the twenties and thirties of the last century. One of the leading scientists in this field was Kurt Buch from the former Finnish Institute for Marine Research, whose research was mainly related to the Baltic Sea, and whose laboratory studies on the \( \text{CO}_2 \) system focused on brackish water with salinities down to zero. The results of his lab work and of field studies performed during 1927 to 1936 are summarized in Buch (1945). The presented dissociation constants must be considered as “hybrid” constants because the hydrogen ions are represented by the hydrogen ion activity whereas the \( \text{CO}_2 \) constituents refer to concentrations. With the increasing awareness of the importance of the oceans for the uptake of anthropogenic \( \text{CO}_2 \) and thus for climate change scenarios, large-scale measurement programmes concerning
the state of the marine CO₂ system on an oceanic scale were performed. These efforts were accompanied by numerous laboratory studies aimed at the determination of improved dissociation constants. All these constants referred to the total hydrogen concentration scale that includes the contribution by HSO₄⁻ (Dickson, 1984). However, the validity of most of the constants was confined to salinities that are encountered in ocean water. Exceptions are the constants suggested by Roy et al. (1993), which were determined for salinities down to values of 5 and could be used accordingly for brackish water. However, with regard to research in the Baltic Sea where large areas, e.g. in the Gulf of Bothnia, have surface water salinities less than 5, the situation was unsatisfactory. It took until 2006 when Millero et al. (2006) published dissociation constants that covered the salinity range from 0 to 50 and that were consistent with the constants for fresh water. An update of these constants was performed in 2010 (Millero, 2010) and since then this set of dissociation constants is state of the art for CO₂ research in brackish waters. The salinity and the temperature dependency of K₁ and K₂ are presented in Fig. 2a and 2b, respectively.

A direct comparison between the dissociation constants that were used in the past for brackish water is difficult because the constants by Buch (1945) are based on a pH scale that is based on the hydrogen ion activity. Since it is difficult to convert the activities to total hydrogen ion concentrations, we use the ratio K₁/K₂ for a comparison. This ratio is obtained by dividing the equilibrium equations for the first dissociation step (Eq. 4) through that of the second dissociation step (Eq. 5):

\[
\frac{K_1}{K_2} = \frac{[HCO_3^-]^2}{[CO_2^+] \times [CO_3^{2-}]} \tag{6}
\]

Eq. 6 shows that K₁/K₂ is not connected to the hydrogen ion concentration and is thus not affected by the choice of the pH scale. Hence it is suited as an indicator for the quality of the early dissociation constants determined by Buch (1945). We used the logarithmic notation, log(K₁/K₂) which is equivalent to pK₁ – pK₂, for a comparison of the dissociation constants suggested by Buch (1945), Millero (2010) and Roy et al. (1993). The differences Δlog(K₁/K₂) referring to Millero (2010) and Buch (1945), and Millero (2010) and Roy et al. (1993) indicated as M-B and M-R, respectively, are shown as a function of salinity in Fig. 2c.

At salinities >7, M-R is less than 0.03, but the differences increase rapidly at lower salinities and amount to 0.06 and 0.10 already at S = 5.4 and S = 3.6, respectively. Such differences in log(K₁/K₂) are equivalent to a temperature change of 1 °C. The deviations of the Buch (1945) data from those of Millero (2010) (M-B in Fig. 2c) ranged below 0.10 at salinities below 20.
This is a surprisingly small difference in view of the limited technical capabilities that were available to Buch and his co-workers in the twenties and thirties of the last century.
Fig. 2. Dissociation constants (a) pK$_1$ and (b) pK$_2$ as a function of salinity calculated according to Millero (2010) for three different temperatures: 0, 10 and 20 °C; (c) differences in log(K$_1$/K$_2$) between the dissociation constants obtained from Millero (2010) and Roy et al. (1993) (M-R, blue dots) and between Millero (2010) and Buch (1945) (M-B, purple dots) at different salinities.

When alkalinity is also used for the calculation of any variables of the CO$_2$ system, the contributions of non-CO$_2$ acid-base components to A$_T$ (Eq. 2), which are generally low, must be taken into account. This requires knowledge about the concentration of the individual acid-base pairs and about the corresponding dissociation constants. In the deep basins of the central Baltic Sea, ammonia and sulphide anions are released during organic matter mineralization at anoxic conditions (see Section 3.4.2). Both these constituents are strong proton acceptors forming mainly HS$^-$ and NH$_4^+$. Since their concentrations may be on the order of several tens and up to a few hundred $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ (Nausch et al., 2008), respectively, they have a strong effect on alkalinity and pH. Therefore, any calculations concerning the CO$_2$ system at anoxic conditions must account for the dissociation equilibria of H$_2$S-HS$^-$ (Millero et al., 1988) and NH$_4^+$-NH$_3$ (Clegg and Whitfield, 1995; Johansson and Wedborg, 1980). The concentrations of S$^{2-}$ at the pH of anoxic water are extremely small and can be neglected.

3.3. The central role of alkalinity

3.3.1. Regional A$_T$ vs. S distribution, consequences for pH distribution pattern

There are several different A$_T$ vs. S regimes in the Baltic Sea (Fig. 3, Beldowski et al., 2010). They reflect different A$_T$ concentrations in the respective rivers, which can be deduced from extrapolation of regional A$_T$ vs. S relationships to zero salinity. Low alkalinities are observed in rivers entering the Gulf of Bothnia whereas rivers from south-eastern part of the Baltic catchment, as shown by the A$_T$ vs. S plot for the Gulf of Riga (Fig. 3), are rich in alkalinity. These differences are a consequence of the geological conditions and weathering processes in the respective catchment areas. As a result lower alkalinities and lower mean pH are observed in the Gulf of Bothnia and Finland, whereas higher mean alkalinities and thus somewhat higher pH are found in the Gulf of Riga and also in the Gdansk Bay (Kulinski et al., 2014; Beldowski et al., 2010; Hjalmarsson et al., 2008).
The central Baltic Sea acts as a mixing chamber for the different water masses, including water originating from the North Sea. This results in alkalinity in the surface water of the Baltic Proper (salinity around 7) of about 1600-1700 µmol kg⁻¹.

An important, but still not well investigated, aspect of the A_T supply to the Baltic Sea is the A_T seasonality in river water. As A_T is one of the variables used in biogeochemical models to study the CO₂ system, well described spatial and temporal variability of A_T loads could improve the characterization of the A_T distribution in the Baltic Sea and thus increase the accuracy of the modelled pH and pCO₂. This could be achieved by evaluation of the A_T monitoring data which are regularly collected by some Baltic Sea states within the framework of the HELCOM monitoring program. In some countries such as Sweden the monitoring data are publicly accessible, in others still some limitations exist.

A_T can also enter the Baltic Sea by submarine groundwater discharge (SGD). The chemical composition of groundwater, as in river water, depends to some extent on the geological structure of the catchment through which the water flows. Thus, SGD entering the Baltic Sea along the continental part of the coast can be rich in A_T. Szymczycha et al. (2014) noticed significant C_T concentrations (5400 µmol kg⁻¹ on average) in SGD seeping to the southern
Baltic along the Polish coast. Although there might be some contribution from CO$_2$ to this high
C$_T$, it is very likely that SGD can play, at least locally, an important role for the A$_T$ budget.
However, direct measurements of A$_T$ concentrations and loads in SGD have not been reported
in the Baltic Sea so far.

At equilibrium with the atmospheric CO$_2$, A$_T$ controls C$_T$ and thus pH. Hence, pH may be
depicted as a function of A$_T$ and pCO$_2$. A sensitivity study performed by Omstedt et al., (2010)
indicates that the pH of the Baltic Sea surface water that is at equilibrium with the atmosphere
(pCO$_2$ of ca. 400 µatm at 0 °C) can vary between 7.7 and 8.3 depending on the A$_T$ (Fig. 4).
This range is significantly higher than that observed in the open ocean, where A$_T$ oscillates
only in a narrow range: 2170-2460 µmol kg$^{-1}$ (Riebesell et al., 2010). The reason for that is the
high spatial variability of A$_T$ in the surface Baltic Sea waters, from low A$_T$ (below 1000 µmol
kg$^{-1}$) observed in the Bothnian Bay to the A$_T$-rich (more than 3000 µmol kg$^{-1}$) estuaries of the
large continental rivers. The diagram presented in Fig. 4 also shows that higher A$_T$ reduces
shifts in seawater pH caused by changes in pCO$_2$. 
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Fig. 4. Distribution of pH$_{\text{tot}}$ as a function of A$_T$ and pCO$_2$ at salinity of 7.5 and temperature of 0 °C (modified after Omstedt et al., 2010). The A$_T$ range represents findings by Beldowski et al. (2010). SSW is an abbreviation for surface seawater.

3.3.2. Long-term A$_T$ changes, consequences for ocean acidification

Long-term high resolution pH measurements at station BATS (Bermuda Atlantic Time Series) in the sub-tropical North Atlantic have shown that ocean acidification occurs at a rate that is thermodynamically consistent with the increase of the atmospheric CO$_2$ (Bates, 2014). This gives reason to expect that the progression of ocean acidification can be assessed on the basis of CO$_2$ emission scenarios and the modelling of the effect on the atmospheric CO$_2$. It also implies that changes in alkalinity will not occur in the future. On time scales of decades this
may be a reasonable assumption for the oceans which react very slowly to internal or external changes. However, the situation is different for the Baltic Sea that is under the immediate influence of natural and anthropogenic processes on land. The first hints of increasing alkalinity in the surface water of the central Baltic Sea were reported by Schneider et al. (2015) who argued that this may have considerably mitigated the acidification due to increasing atmospheric CO$_2$. Müller et al. (2016) took up this idea and performed a thorough and comprehensive statistical analysis of all alkalinity data that were available since the start of CO$_2$ research in the Baltic Sea at the beginning of the last century. The authors focused on high-quality data measured after 1995 and detected a distinct $A_T$ trend that showed a clear regional gradient. The highest trend was found in the Gulf of Bothnia (7.0 µmol kg$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$), followed by 3.4 µmol kg$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ in the central Baltic, whereas no trend could be detected in the Kattegat. Müller et al. (2016) estimated that the changes in $A_T$ have reduced the acidification effect in the central Baltic Sea by about 50% and that the Gulf of Bothnia was not subjected at all to acidification during the last 20 years. Several reasons for the increasing $A_T$ are discussed by Müller et al. (2016), but a major player could not be identified and, hence, it remains unclear whether the trend will continue in the future.

3.3.3. Effect of organic alkalinity

The Baltic Sea water contains 3-5 times more organic matter than open ocean waters. In the surface water of the open Baltic Sea, concentrations of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) range from about 260 to about 480 µmol C L$^{-1}$, while those in the surface water (top 100 m) of the Atlantic Ocean are much lower and range between 50 and 80 µmol C L$^{-1}$ (Hoikkala et al., 2015; Carlson et al., 2010). This is a result of both high inputs of terrestrial organic matter and eutrophication driven by nutrient supply from land (Hoikkala et al., 2015; Kuliński and Pempkowiak, 2011; Kuliński et al., 2011). Organic substances contain functional groups, some of which (carboxylic, phenolic, amines) have acidic character and can dissociate in seawater releasing protons (H$^+$). This contributes to pH decrease. However, as most of these groups are believed to act as weak acids ($pK_a$ > 4.5), their dissociation releases also an equivalent of organic anions being strong bases. This, according to Eq. 2, causes no change in $A_T$ concentration since an equivalent amount of protons have been released. It affects the internal structure of $A_T$ by changing the contributions of different $A_T$ components according to their individual dissociation constants (Cai et al., 1998; Hunt et al., 2011; Kuliński et al., 2014). The lower the $pK_a$ of an organic acid added to the system, the greater are the shifts in the internal $A_T$.
distribution. As a consequence bases forming the acid-base system are partially protonated and their concentration decreases while the concentration of the corresponding undissociated acid is increasing. In case of the carbonate alkalinity this means a reduction of \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) and an increase of \( \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \) and thus of the pCO\(_2\).

Kulinski et al. (2014) estimated the organic alkalinity (\( A_{\text{org}} \)) in the Baltic Sea as an excess alkalinity calculated from the difference between measured \( A_T \) and that calculated from \( C_T \) and pH or pCO\(_2\). They found \( A_{\text{org}} \) of 25-35 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) in the surface Baltic Sea water (from the Arkona Basin to the Bothnian Bay) without any clear spatial distribution pattern. This \( A_{\text{org}} \) contribution amounted from 1.5 % to 3.5 % of the measured \( A_T \) values. Even higher values of more than 50 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) were found by Hammer et al. (2017) in the Baltic Sea surface mixed layer during the spring phytoplankton bloom. They reported also that \( A_{\text{org}} \) decreases with depth and approaches zero below the permanent halocline due to pH decrease.

In sensitivity studies Kulinski et al. (2014) showed for the Baltic Sea that calculations concerning the CO\(_2\) system that are using measured \( A_T \) may lead to significant errors if \( A_{\text{org}} \) is ignored. Highest deviations between calculated and observed values were found when \( A_T \) was used together with \( C_T \) for computations of pCO\(_2\) and pH. The pCO\(_2\) values obtained in that way were 27-56 % lower than the measured ones, while pH was overestimated by more than 0.4 units. These results are especially important as this combination (\( A_T \) and \( C_T \)) is used in biogeochemical models (Edman and Omstedt, 2013; Kuznetsov and Neumann, 2013; Omstedt et al., 2012 and 2009) because they are conservative variables. This means that they are independent of pressure and temperature and follow the law of conservation of mass during mixing, which are prerequisites for variables transported in models. The first attempts to include an \( A_{\text{org}} \) parametrisation in biogeochemical models have been made by Gustafsson et al., (2015) and Omstedt et al. (2015). However, the sensitivity of the models with regard to the inclusion/negligence of \( A_{\text{org}} \) has not yet been reported.

The source of the calculation errors related to ignoring \( A_{\text{org}} \) is due to the fact that measurements include all inorganic and organic contributors, while subsequent calculations using the standard chemical \( A_T \) model and respective software, interpret the measured \( A_T \) only as inorganic alkalinity. Including organic substances into the \( A_T \) model is, however, challenging as there are number of organic substances having acidic functional groups and, according to the \( A_T \) definition, for each of them the information on concentration and dissociation constants must be available. Accounting for \( A_{\text{org}} \) in the chemical \( A_T \) model is less important for oceanic research because the low concentrations of dissolved organic matter (DOM) in the oceans cause
only minor effects. As a first approximation of the acid-base properties of DOM for the Baltic Sea water, Kuliński et al. (2014) proposed to use one single bulk dissociation constant, $pK_{\text{DOM}}$, and relate $A_{\text{org}}$ to the concentration of dissolved organic carbon (DOC), a commonly measured parameter. According to their studies, slightly corrected by Ulfsbo et al. (2015), $pK_{\text{DOM}}$ in the Baltic Sea amounts to 7.34. They also estimated experimentally that 12% of DOC acts as a carrier for acidic functional groups in the Baltic Sea DOM. The model studies by Ulfsbo et al. (2015) showed that this experimentally derived share fits to the share estimated from the hypothetical structure of the fulvic acids. They also suggested that the method proposed by Kuliński et al. (2014) is the best available approach for representing organic alkalinity in biogeochemical models at the current state of knowledge. They also showed that organic matter (as represented by the fulvic acids) contains also some functional groups having $pK_a$ already below 4.5. According to the definition (Dickson, 1981) they should be considered as strong acids. In experimental studies Hammer et al. (2017) also found that humic and/or fulvic substances are more acidic than the bulk DOM naturally occurring in the Baltic Sea. This may also be a source of uncertainty in the $A_T$ measurements as the determination of the titration end-point requires to titrate the samples through this pH region.

3.3.4. Role of borate alkalinity

The carbonate system plays a central role in the marine acid-base system. Thus, studies on seawater pH and buffering capacity focus usually on the carbon species. Less attention is paid to boron, though borates are, after bicarbonates and carbonates, the third most abundant constituent of seawater $A_T$ (Eq. 2). Boron exists in seawater in form of weak boric acid, B(OH)$_3$, and the anion, B(OH)$_4^-$. The high $pK_a$ (8.60 at salinity 35 and temperature 25 °C) means that at seawater pH of about 8 the undissociated boric acid predominates. The boron concentration, $B_T$, in seawater is approximated as a function of salinity or, for the historical data, as a function of chlorinity ($S = 1.80655 \times \text{Cl}$). First measurements of boron concentrations in the Baltic Sea were reported by Buch (1945), who found that $B_T$ [mg kg$^{-1}$] = 0.133 * S. This finding was confirmed recently by Lee et al. (2010) for oceanic waters. Another relationship linking $B_T$ and $S$ and often used in acid-base system studies is that by Uppström (1974), who reported that $B_T$[mg kg$^{-1}$] = 0.128 * S. All these formulas suggest a fixed $B_T$/S ratio which is only the case if the river water that enters the Baltic Sea contains no boron. However, the studies by Kremling (1970, 1972) indicated that this is not the case and that a $B_T$ concentration anomaly exists in the Baltic Sea, where the experimentally determined $B_T$ vs. $S$ relationship
yielded a river water $B_T$ (anomaly term) of $0.15 - 0.20$ mg kg$^{-1}$ at $S = 0$. This anomaly is not included in the chemical $A_T$ model commonly used for numerical simulations of the CO$_2$ system and, similar to the effects of ignoring $A_{org}$, may lead to wrong conclusions. This can be especially critical at low salinities, where the effect of the anomaly is the largest.

3.4. Modulation of the acid-base system by organic matter production/mineralization (concurrent $A_T$ and $C_T$ changes)

3.4.1. Biomass production

In the case that pH changes refer to equilibrium with the atmosphere, it may be meaningful to consider the pH at a given alkalinity as a function of pCO$_2$, because the atmospheric CO$_2$ is then the only driver for any pH changes. However, when assessing pH changes as the consequence of internal biogeochemical transformations, it is more appropriate to consider $A_T$ and $C_T$ as the controls for pH and pCO$_2$. Biomass production primarily alters $C_T$ because phytoplankton (but also other plants) consume CO$_2$ in the course of photosynthesis (Fig. 5). As a consequence it increases the pH and lowers the pCO$_2$ in the upper water layers and causes a pCO$_2$ disequilibrium between seawater and the atmosphere. This leads to CO$_2$ uptake by the seawater and thus counteracts the effect of biomass production and dampens the pH increase. However, the CO$_2$ exchange through the air-sea interface is much slower than the effects of biological processes. Therefore, the Baltic Sea water is in almost permanent pCO$_2$ disequilibrium with the atmosphere throughout the year. In spring and summer seawater is undersaturated with respect to atmospheric CO$_2$ with two characteristic pCO$_2$ minima and two pH maxima (Fig. 5) which reflect the spring bloom and the mid-summer nitrogen fixation period. In autumn and winter the Baltic Sea surface water pCO$_2$ increases over the atmospheric values as a consequence of less active production in the upper water column and transport of deeper CO$_2$-enriched water to the surface by mixing (Fig. 5; Schneider, 2011).

Another way in which biomass production influences seawater pH and pCO$_2$ is related to nitrate consumption. As phytoplankton assimilate nitrate for growth an equivalent of H$^+$ is also transported to the cells to keep the charge balance neutral. According to Eq. 2 this increases the seawater $A_T$ and thus raises the pH during biomass production (Brewer and Goldman, 1976). This assimilation also decreases the pCO$_2$ and therefore reinforces the drop in pCO$_2$ by biomass production. Some small $A_T$ changes can be also induced by phosphate consumption.
However, due to low phosphate concentration the effect is negligible (Wolf-Gladrow et al., 2007).

Biological production also may consume CO$_3^{2-}$ ions in the calcification processes. This causes $A_T$ reduction and in consequence pCO$_2$ increase and also pH decrease. However, this mechanism has been recognized as less important in the Baltic Sea. According to studies by Tyrrell et al. (2008) the Baltic Sea surface water is undersaturated in winter with respect to both aragonite and calcite which are biogenic modifications of CaCO$_3$. This possibly prevents the growth of calcifying plankton and is the reason for the absence of coccolithophores in the Baltic Sea.

![Graph showing pCO$_2$ and pH seasonality](image)

**Fig. 5.** Typical seasonality of pCO$_2$ in surface water (blue line) and the atmosphere (green line) in the Eastern Gotland Sea modified after Schneider (2011) and presented together with pH calculated from the shown pCO$_2$ data and the mean $A_T$ value.

### 3.4.2. Remineralization

In contrast to biomass production, CO$_2$ is released during the remineralization processes and causes an increase in C$_T$. Consequently, this leads to a pH decrease and pCO$_2$ increase. Some fraction of organic matter produced in situ undergoes remineralization in the upper water layers. Particulate organic matter (POM) may be mineralized directly or via prior release of dissolved organic matter (DOM). In the Baltic Sea terrigenous organic matter entering the sea from rivers constitutes an important part of the organic matter. Kuliński and Pempkowiak
(2011) reported that the Baltic Sea receives annually 340 Gmol of organic carbon from land. The model studies by Gustafsson et al. (2014a) suggested that as much as 39.5% of terrigenous organic carbon that enters the Baltic Sea undergoes mineralization without distinguishing between mineralization pathways. This makes it difficult to estimate all the consequences of that terrestrial organic carbon loss for the Baltic Sea acid-base system. The incubation experiments performed by Kuliński et al. (2016) indicated that ca. 20% of terrestrial dissolved organic carbon and 34% of DOC present in the Baltic Sea is bioavailable. It is important to mention here that the latter result refers likely to the mixture of terrestrial and marine DOC.

Whereas the $A_T$ in surface water is mainly controlled by mixing of different water masses, the deep water $A_T$ distribution depends additionally on the organic matter transformations by various redox processes (Brenner et al., 2016; Krumins et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 2009; Schulz and Zabel, 2006). A certain fraction of the organic matter produced in the euphotic zone is exported to deeper water layers and to surface sediments, where it undergoes mineralization, produces CO$_2$, and changes the alkalinity. The change in $A_T$ depends on the oxidant that is required for the mineralization and may cover a wide range. Therefore, it is not possible to predict the change of pH or pCO$_2$ during the mineralization process without knowledge of the oxidant. In the presence of oxygen, mineralization takes place according to Eq. 7 which is reversing the bulk photosynthesis reaction. However, in sediments and in deep water layers of some basins of the central Baltic, where longer periods (years) of stagnation occur, oxygen may be entirely depleted. Organic matter can then be mineralized in a certain thermodynamically controlled sequence by other oxidants (Schulz and Zabel, 2006). First, manganese dioxide takes over the role of oxygen (Eq. 8), followed by denitrification where nitrate acts as oxidant (Eq. 9). After nitrate is consumed, the mineralization process may be continued by iron (III) oxide (Eq. 10) before sulphate oxidizes the organic matter and generates hydrogen sulphide (Eq. 11). In the Baltic Sea these processes may take place in the water column where a pelagic redoxcline (an interface between oxic and anoxic conditions) can develop during longer periods of stagnation. Only the final mineralization, that is an internal oxidation and generates methane (methanogenesis, Eq. 12) after the sulphate concentration has approached zero, is confined to deeper sediment layers only. Except oxic mineralization, all other processes are connected with a simultaneous $A_T$ increase caused either by release of strong bases (S$^2$) or by consumption of H$^+$ (see Eq. 2) (Ulfsson et al., 2011; Schneider et al., 2015; Lukawska-Matuszewska, 2016). The change in $A_T$ ($\Delta A_T$) for different mineralization
pathways is given in moles A_T per 106 moles of released CO_2 (Eqs. 7 – 12). It shows large
differences and is highest when the insoluble oxides of iron (III) and manganese (IV) are
reduced during the mineralization process. As a consequence of the higher A_T level, the pCO_2
increase and pH decrease by increasing C_T during the mineralization is mitigated or may even
be reversed. In the eastern Gotland Sea these mechanisms stabilize the pH in the deep, anoxic
water layers at level of about 7 (Hammer et al., 2017).

(\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{106}(\text{NH}_3)_{16}(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4) + 138\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 106\text{CO}_2 + 16\text{H}^+ + 16\text{NO}_3^- + 122\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \quad (7)

Oxic mineralization, \Delta A_T = -16

(\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{106}(\text{NH}_3)_{16}(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4) + 236\text{MnO}_2 + 472\text{H}^+ \rightarrow

236\text{Mn}^{2+} + 106\text{CO}_2 + 8\text{N}_2 + 366\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \quad (8)

Manganese reduction, \Delta A_T = +472

(\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{106}(\text{NH}_3)_{16}(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4) + 84.8\text{H}^+ + 84.8\text{NO}_3^- \rightarrow

106\text{CO}_2 + 42.4\text{N}_2 + 16\text{NH}_3 + 148.4\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \quad (9)

Denitrification, \Delta A_T = +100.8

(\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{106}(\text{NH}_3)_{16}(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4) + 212\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 848\text{H}^+ \rightarrow

424\text{Fe}^{2+} + 106\text{CO}_2 + 16\text{NH}_3 + 530\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \quad (10)

Iron reduction, \Delta A_T = +864

(\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{106}(\text{NH}_3)_{16}(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4) + 53\text{SO}_4^{2-} \rightarrow

106\text{CO}_2 + 16\text{NH}_3 + 53\text{S}^{2-} + 106\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \quad (11)

Sulphate reduction, \Delta A_T = +122

(\text{CH}_2\text{O})_{106}(\text{NH}_3)_{16}(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4) \rightarrow 53\text{CO}_2 + 53\text{CH}_4 + 16\text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \quad (12)

Methanogenesis, \Delta A_T = +16
Generally, benthic processes linked to early diagenesis of organic matter can be an important source for $A_T$ to the water column (Brenner et al., 2016). This corresponds to the findings by Gustafsson et al. (2014b), who found in a model study that external sinks and sources of $A_T$ in the Baltic Sea are imbalanced and cannot reproduce the observed $A_T$ inventory, and that an internal $A_T$ source must exist in the Baltic Sea. However, it must be taken into account that alkalinity released from sediments as sulphide or ammonia only influence the acid-base system locally. Upon contact with oxic water most of the $A_T$ generating processes – except denitrification - are reversed and do not constitute a permanent $A_T$ source. Some minor increase of $A_T$ can be also expected due to the burial of iron (II) minerals like pyrite or vivianite (Reed et al., 2016).

4. Challenges for future research.

The general knowledge of the marine acid-base system was gained mainly from the oceanic research. It has been integrated and documented in the form of a guide (Dickson et al., 2007) and included in different software packages (Orr et al., 2015), that allow for computations of two out of the four acid-base system parameters ($pCO_2$, $pH$, $A_T$, $C_T$), when the other two are known (e.g. by measurements). Although this works nicely for the open ocean waters, problems appear when that knowledge is used for studying the acid-base system related processes in coastal and shelf seas. This uncovers research gaps and bottlenecks but also define challenges for marine biogeochemists working in these regions.

In this context the Baltic Sea can be considered as a model experimental field, where strong horizontal and vertical salinity gradients, permanent stratification of the water column, eutrophication, high organic matter concentrations and high anthropogenic pressure make the acid-base system even more tangled. The challenges are related to analytical methods, interpretation of the data and parametrization of the acid-base system related processes for the use in numerical models. Great effort has recently been made to adapt spectrophotometric $pH$ measurements based on m-cresol purple to the Baltic Sea conditions. This was done by Hammer et al. (2014) and was recently improved within a framework of the BONUS PINBAL project. Further progress can be expected if $pH$ reference materials for brackish water are made available in the future. For the $pCO_2$ measurements the state-of-the-art method that is based on measuring the $CO_2$ content in air equilibrated with the seawater is commonly used (e.g. Schneider et al., 2014). Due to a relatively long response time, this method may, however, not be fast enough to resolve steep horizontal $pCO_2$ gradients in the vicinities of river mouths. The
development of accurate and precise sensors for pCO$_2$, like optodes, could improve our understanding of the CO$_2$ system in the transition area from the coast to the open sea. Additionally, it would facilitate pCO$_2$ measurements in discrete samples, which are highly desired for investigations of the organic matter mineralization processes in the water column, but difficult to obtain with common underway measurement techniques. The determination of A$_T$ by titration with an acid (HCl) allows a high accuracy (+/-2 µmol kg$^{-1}$) for ocean water. This is difficult to achieve for Baltic Sea water because the detection of the endpoint of the A$_T$ titration is more difficult due to the influence of organic acid-base constituents. The studies by Ulfsbo et al. (2015) showed that fulvic and humic acids, substances widely spread in the Baltic Sea, have relatively high concentrations of acid-base constituents, which have pK$_a$ values close to the pK$_a$ of carbonic acid and may thus impede the correct detection of the titration endpoint. C$_T$ measurements are less critical since reference materials exist and the analytical procedure is relatively straightforward. However, development of high accuracy underway methods for C$_T$ (but also A$_T$) would improve the horizontal coverage of the data.

Interpretations of the acid-base system data require appropriate parameterization of the related processes and chemical reactions. With regard to the Baltic Sea some peculiarities of the composition of the acid-base system must be taken into account which are neglectable in ocean waters. An example is the influence of DOM on the acid-base system. In the oceans it is ignored due to low DOM concentrations but in the Baltic Sea A$_{org}$ becomes a noticeable component of A$_T$ (Kuliński et al., 2014). The challenge remains to include the contribution by DOM to the chemical A$_T$ model. The approach suggested by Kuliński et al. (2014) to use the so called bulk dissociation constant, K$_{DOM}$, is only a first approximation, as K$_{DOM}$ has no real thermodynamic meaning. It also does not allow for distinguishing the influence of individual substances. Respective improvements would require substantial progress in analytical techniques, as the characterization of the structure and composition of DOM is still far from being satisfactory (Nebbioso and Piccolo, 2013). Problems also arise due to the ion anomalies observed in the Baltic Sea (Kremling 1970, 1972) that are not taken into account in the A$_T$ model. An example is boron, whose concentration is usually approximated by the assumption of a constant boron/salinity ratio. However, the function linking both these parameters does not account for river water as a source of boron. Furthermore, common software for CO$_2$ system calculation also omits seawater constituents that are generated at anoxic conditions. These are mainly the acid-base systems: H$_2$S-HS$^-$/S$^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$-NH$_3$ which require consideration in computational models. All these shortcomings may cause uncertainties in any calculations of the CO$_2$ system.
including those in biogeochemical models when $A_T$ is used as a controlling variable. It is also recommended to perform sensitivity studies in order to estimate the quantitative importance of inadequate chemical characterization of $A_T$.

Furthermore, we promote the implementation of the acid-base system (or marine CO$_2$ system) into biogeochemical models. This includes the simulation of surface water CO$_2$ partial pressure, pCO$_2$. The pCO$_2$ is an ideal validation variable because on the one hand it can easily be measured with high spatiotemporal resolution (e.g. on Voluntary Observing Ships, VOS), and on the other hand it reflects the biogeochemical transformations such as biomass production. Hence, agreement between simulated and measured pCO$_2$ data give an indication of the quality of the biogeochemical process parameterization. Or, vice versa, unsatisfactory agreement gives reason to rethink the description of the respective processes in the model.

The latter aspect was the focus of several model studies which initially failed to reproduce the observed pCO$_2$ draw down during spring and summer (Fig. 5). This indicated that the traditional parameterization of the biomass production that is based on the Redfield (1963) C/N/P ratios and on nutrient concentrations at the start of the productive period, did not reflect the reality. Omstedt et al. (2009) solved the problem by including a fractional nutrient release into their model. This meant that nutrients that have been used for production are partly released from the POM and reused for new production. In another study Kuznetsov et al. (2011) introduced an additional cyanobacteria group and flexible C/N/P ratios into their model in order to achieve approximate agreement between the simulated and measured pCO$_2$. A further study by Gustafsson et al. (2014a) investigated the changes in the simulated CO$_2$ air-sea flux after including organic alkalinity and changes in external inputs of carbon, alkalinity and nutrients.

Modelling the CO$_2$ system was also used to simulate the vertical distribution of total CO$_2$ and alkalinity in stagnant waters of the deep basins (Edman and Omstedt, 2013) and to assess the importance of internal alkalinity generation (Gustafsson et al., 2014b). Finally, model calculations were used to estimate future changes in the Baltic Sea CO$_2$ system and in particular in the development of the ocean acidification effect (Omstedt et al., 2012; Kuznetzov and Neumann, 2013). Both simulations indicated that the increasing atmospheric CO$_2$ will mainly control long-term changes in pH, of course, not taking into account the recently reported current increase in alkalinity (see Chapter 3.3.2; Müller et al., 2016).

5. **Summary and conclusions**
With our review we have proposed that the Baltic Sea acid-base system cannot be explained by dissolved CO$_2$ and a minor contribution of boric acid. Whereas acid-base components other than CO$_2$ and borate may be ignored in ocean waters, this is not the case in the Baltic Sea and, presumably, other marginal and semi-enclosed seas, which in a similar way are strongly impacted by high biomass production and natural and anthropogenic processes in connected catchment areas as well. The complexity of the Baltic Sea acid-base system is displayed in the formulation of the alkalinity (Eq. 2), which is the central variable for the characterization of the acid-base properties of seawater. The peculiarities of the Baltic Sea alkalinity system are either caused by specific internal processes related to intense production/mineralization of organic matter, or to the immediate effect of riverine input of acidic substances. This riverine input refers mostly to dissolved organic matter which may strongly affect the composition of the alkalinity in the Baltic Sea surface water (Kuliński et al., 2014), but also to boric acid (borate) which in ocean water is linked to salinity by a constant ratio, but may show distinct deviations from this ratio in some of the Baltic Sea estuaries because of boron input by river water.

Internal biogeochemical processes such as organic matter production or mineralization also have the potential to affect the acid-base system in the Baltic Sea. Alkalinity changes by organic matter production caused by the removal of H$^+$ during the uptake of nitrate are of minor importance. This may be different if the production is associated with calcification, which reduces the alkalinity, but the abundance of calcifying plankton in the Baltic Sea is restricted to the high-salinity Kattegat region. Although the effect of organic matter production on alkalinity is minor, it is the major control for the seasonal modulation of the acid-base properties such as pH and pCO$_2$.

In contrast, organic matter mineralization taking place at anoxic conditions in the Baltic Sea deep basins causes changes in the acid base system which are specific for marine systems with a pelagic redoxcline. Anoxic mineralization of organic matter generates large amounts of alkalinity by the formation of sulphide ions and the release of ammonia. This reduces the increase of the pCO$_2$ in the deep water and stabilizes the pH at a value close to 7. Although these processes are reversed upon re-oxidation during a deep water renewal event and do not affect the acid-base system of the Baltic Sea as a whole, they do influence the deep water redox chemistry which is partly controlled by the pH. On the other hand the existence of a pelagic redoxcline has another more far-reaching importance. It is the medium for intense
denitrification, which increases the alkalinity not only locally, but may affect the alkalinity budget of the entire Baltic Sea proper (Gustafsson et al., 2014).

Our compilation of the major features of the Baltic Sea acid-base system indicates that an exact quantitative treatment of its properties is difficult to achieve. This refers to both the biogeochemical modelling and the interpretation of measured alkalinity in terms of the calculation of individual alkalinity contributions. An example is the calculation of the carbonate alkalinity that is necessary for the full characterization of the CO₂ system based on measurements of alkalinity together with another variable. This requires knowledge of the dissociation constants and the determination of the total concentrations of the individual compounds with acid-base properties. Regarding inorganic alkalinity contributions, it may be realistic to obtain this information. But in view of our limited knowledge concerning the composition of the dissolved organic matter, it is currently impossible to specify and characterize the contributions of individual organic acid-base compounds to the alkalinity. Hence we conclude that this is one of the greatest challenges for more adequate comprehensive physico-chemical characterization of the acid-base system in the Baltic Sea and likely in other coastal seas. Another important bottleneck, especially for the biogeochemical modelling, is also an insufficient knowledge on short and long term development of alkalinity loads from land caused by processes occurring in the catchment.
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