

Mode: Similarity Report ▼

paper text:

The Potential of using Remote Sensing data

to estimate Air-Sea CO₂ exchange in the

37

Baltic Sea. Gaëlle Parard^{1,3}, Anna Rutgersson¹, Sindu Raj Parampil¹, and Anastase Alexandre Charantonis² ¹Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, ²École nationale supérieure d'informatique pour l'industrie et l'entreprise, Evry, France ³AGO-GHER-MARE,

University of Liège, Allée du Six Aout, 17, Sart Tilman, Liège 4000, Belgium

11

Correspondence to: Gaëlle Parard (parard.gaelle@gmail.com) Abstract. In this article, we present the first climatological map

of air-sea CO₂ flux over the Baltic Sea,

9

based on remote-sensing data: satellite imaging derived estimates of pCO₂ using self-organizing maps classifications along with class-specific linear regressions (SOMLO methodology) and remote-sensed wind estimates. The estimates have a spatial resolution of 4-km both in latitude and longitude and a monthly temporal resolution from 1998 to 2011. The CO₂ fluxes are estimated using two types of wind products, i.e. reanalysis winds and satellite wind products, the higher-resolution wind product generally leading to higher-amplitude fluxes estimations. Furthermore, the CO₂ fluxes were also estimated using two methods: the method of Wanninkhof et al. (2013) and the method of Rutgersson and Smedman (2009), i.e. reanalysis winds and satellite wind products, the higher-resolution wind product generally resulting in higher-amplitude fluxes. The seasonal variation in fluxes reflects the seasonal variation in pCO₂ and

is similar over the whole Baltic Sea, with high winter CO₂ emissions and

1

high cCO₂ uptakes. All basins

act as a source for the atmosphere,

14

with a higher degree of emission in the southern regions (mean source

of 1.6 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for the South Basin and

27

0.9 for the Central Basin) than in the northern regions (mean source of 0.1

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and the coastal areas act as a larger sink

19

(annual uptake of -4.2

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) than does the open sea (-4 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹). In this

23

study, we find that the Baltic Sea acts as

a small source of 1.2 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹

7

on average and that annual uptake has increased from 1998 to 2012. ir-sea CO₂ flux, Baltic Sea, neural method, climatology. 1

Introduction From the early 2000 and onwards, there has been a more active attempt

to investigate, understand, and quantify the global carbon cycle by the

4

scientific community,

since the greenhouse gas carbon dioxide (CO₂) plays a key role in controlling Earth's climate.

5

The oceanic uptake of anthropogenic CO₂ helps regulate atmospheric CO₂ through air–sea exchange. Coastal and marginal seas represent nutrient-rich areas with strong biological activity and are influenced by various anthropogenic factors. As the oceans take up a major part of the anthropogenic emissions of CO₂, many oceanic regions are experiencing ongoing acidification. There are still major uncertainties in assessing the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic CO₂ during 2005–2014 it was estimated to 2.6 GtC yr⁻¹, an estimated 26% of the total anthropogenic CO₂ emissions (Le Quéré et al., 2015). One reason

for this uncertainty is the lack of reliable information on the coastal seas, which have so far barely been considered in the oceanic and global carbon budgets. The coastal

5

ocean's role in terms of carbon export and relative productivity is disproportionately large in respect to its total surface area, when compared with the open ocean (Bourgeois et al., 2016). As the annual amplitude of air-sea pCO₂ difference is significantly larger in coastal regions than open ocean (Rödenbeck et al., 2013), the variability of the exchange is high. Various methods, both direct and indirect, are

used to determine the air-sea flux

39

of CO₂ (FCO₂)

(e.g. Smith et al., 1996; McGillis et al., 2001; Krasakopoulou et al.,

13

2009). Both direct and indirect measures of FCO₂ were used in this study

(McGillis et al., 2001; Rutgersson and Smedman,

11

2009; Gutiérrez-Loza and Ocampo-Torres, 2016). Other studies have calculated FCO₂

across ocean basins using climate databases (Takahashi et al., 2002)

3

or biogeochemical numerical models (Lenton et al., 2013; Arruda et al., 2015). These calculations, however, have failed to provide outputs covering the global coastlines. This is primarily due to the sparseness of the temporal and spatial data-sets (such as pCO₂ of the surface ocean or wind fields). The wide range of values of in situ coastal FCO₂ entails even wider uncertainties in global estimates of FCO₂, as there is the potential to under- or overestimate FCO₂ when performing a spatio-temporal integration

(Wollast, 1991; Takahashi et al., 2009; Ribas-Ribas et al., 2011). A better comprehension of the

3

local processes controlling FCO₂ along each coastal setting of continental margins will therefore lead to a better constrained set of global FCO₂ estimates. Since the year 2000, many different FCO₂ estimates and measurements have been

reported for various near-shore, coastal, and inner-shelf environments.

3

These range from

-5.1 to 5.1 mol m⁻² y⁻¹ for continental shelves (Bates, 2006)

3

and from

3.9 to 76 mol m⁻² y⁻¹ for coastal embayments and estuaries (Koné et al., 2009; Frankignoulle et al., 1998).

3

The spread of these values is a result of the heterogeneous and

coupled biogeochemical processes in near-shore and coastal systems (Laruelle et al., 2010). It is necessary to

3

increase our comprehension of the

ocean carbon cycle and the air-sea exchange of CO₂ along the continental margins (Alin et al., 2012),

3

due to their high social and ecological impact (Vargas et al., 2012).

High biological activity causes high CO₂ fluxes between the coastal and marginal seas and between the atmosphere and adjacent open oceans, respectively. Considering their combined surface area, coastal seas

5

may contribute disproportionately

to the open-ocean storage of CO₂ (Thomas et al., 2004) via a mechanism called the continental shelf pump (Tsunogai et al., 1999).

4

In recent

years, detailed field studies of CO₂ fluxes have been initiated in a few areas, such as the East China Sea, North-west European Shelf, Baltic Sea, and North Sea (Chen and Wang, 1999; Thomas et al., 1999; Thomas and Schneider, 1999; Frankignoulle and Borges, 2001; Borges and Frankignoulle, 2002; Borges et al., 2003; Thomas et al.,

4

2003, 2004; Omstedt et al., 2014). However,

only limited information is available on a global scale about these CO₂ fluxes (Liu et al., 2000a, b; Cai et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2003;

4

Omstedt et al., 2009; Norman et al., 2013b). 30 The

Baltic Sea is a semi-enclosed sea in Northern Europe

1

(Meier et al., 2014) which

has been relatively **well studied** (e.g. Omstedt et al., 2004; Hjalmarsson et al., 2008; Backer and Leppänen, 2008; Wesslander, 2011) and **monitored, and**

1

can be used in developing

new methods for monitoring coastal seas.

1

It is characterized by river runoff (Bergstrom, 1994) as well as by the importance upwelling variability (Norman et al., 2013a;

Myrberg and Andrejev, 2003; Lehmann and Myrberg, 2008;

1

Sproson and Sahlée, 2014). In the Baltic Sea, (Siegel and Gerth, 2012) shows that decomposition of organic matter and 35 biological production controlled the biogeochemical processes. They are controlled by nutrient and carbon distribution in the water column,

as well as light availability. **In the Baltic sea,** the former **factors are** affected **by**

8

physical constraints such as the stratification of the water, the salinity and temperature profiles as well as the sea currents. In recent years, the Baltic Sea has also been paid more attention as a coastal system affecting both the uptake/release of anthropogenic CO₂ and the natural CO₂ cycle ((Thomas and Schneider, 1999; Lansøet al., 2015)). Between 1994 and 2008 direct CO₂ measurements from a cargo ship has been mesured at monthly resolution

The net annual air–sea exchange of CO₂ in **the central Baltic Sea and the Kattegat varied both** regionally and **inter-annually.**

2

In the examined period, the Kattegat sea was, on average, a sink of CO₂ while the

East Gotland and Bornholm seas **were sources. The**

2

air–sea exchange of CO₂ and **gas transfer velocity** interannual variations **were**

2

more pronounced in winter periods than in the summer periods. This indicates the interannual

variability in the annual net flux

2

is mainly controlled by the winter conditions (Wesslander et al., 2010). The balance between mineralization and production, as well as the depth of the mixed-layer in the different oceanic zones examined were shown to be the main drivers of their respective sink / source distributions (Wesslander et al., 2010). In the

central Baltic Sea, where the mixed-layer depth is 60 m, CO₂-enriched water mixes with water **up**

2

to the surface in winter. **The central Baltic Sea also receives large amounts of organic material from river water inflow;** this **may** give rise **to a heterotrophic system,**

2

making the central Baltic a net CO₂ source.

This is not the case in the **Kattegat, which is highly influenced by oceanic conditions.** In **this**

2

study, the

air sea CO₂ flux is **estimated,** with **the** ocean-surface pCO₂ **in the**

22

Baltic Sea estimate from satellite-data derived products in (Parard et al., 2015, 2016) where

we used the self-organizing multiple linear output (SOMLO) method **(Sasse et al., 2013).** **The**

1

outputs of the method

have a horizontal resolution of 4 km and cover the period

1

from 1998 to 2011. Previous studies of the net uptake or release of CO₂ in the Baltic Sea have produced a wide range of results, with net exchange varying between -3.6 and +2.9 mol CO₂ m⁻² y⁻¹ in different time periods between 1994 and 2009 (Norman et al., 2013b). The goal of the present study is to develop an air-sea CO₂ flux climatology based on remote-sensing products with a monthly time resolution and 4° spatial resolution. In addition, we will further describe the processes and air-sea fluxes of CO₂ from 1998 to 2011 in the entire

Baltic Sea. The study is structured in four sections. Section 2 presents the data and method used in this work. Section 3 presents the

1

wind products used to estimate the exchange (based on satellite data and reanalysis data). In Section 4, we analyze the wind products' quality, as well as various aspects of the estimated fluxes, and in Section 5 we present our conclusions. 2 Data and method 2.1 Wind products In this study We used wind products to calculate the transfer velocity, based on a meso-scale reanalysis product. 30 The wind product is based on a meso-scale modeling reanalysis product. A reanalysis is a combination of measurements and a model in which the available data are assimilated into a high-quality modeling system. The reanalysis used here is from the

Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI) with the

8

High-Resolution-Limited Area Model (HIRLAM) geometry (22-km horizontal grid spacing and 60 levels in the vertical; the model top is at 10 hPa) (Soci et al., 2011). HIRLAM is downscaled and dynamically adapted to a higher resolution (5-km grid) with a simplified HIRLAM called the Dynamic Adaptation Model (DYNAM). The observations of 10-m winds assimilated into the system are from four databases: the Integrated Surface Database Station History (ISH) database maintained by NOAA's National Climatic Data Center (NCDC), the MARS archive at ECMWF, the European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D) used as input for E-OBS version 6.0, and the national climate databases of SMHI and Météo France (MF). The temporal resolution is of 6 hours. In the following, this product will be referred to as SMHIp. The method requires for the explicative data to stay coherent in terms of resolution, and as such we chose a temporal and spatial resolution of monthly, 4 x 4 km pCO₂ pixels. 2.2 Calculation of CO₂ flux The flux of CO₂ (FCO₂) from sea to air (positive value) or air to sea (negative value) is often calculated using the

difference in 10 the partial pressure of CO₂ between the surface water and the atmosphere (ΔpCO₂). Here, the

18

atmospheric pCO₂

was estimated using the method from Rutgersson et al. (2009)

1

and the sea-surface pCO₂ concentrations are reconstructed with the SOMLO methodology (Sasse et al., 2013), as done

by Parard et al. (2015, 2016). The SOMLO methodology combines two statistical approaches: self-organizing maps (SOMs) (Kohonen, 1990) and linear regression.

1

15 In addition, the exchange efficiency was required, which was expressed

in terms of a transfer velocity, k. The

11

flux was then calculated according to: $F_{CO_2} = kK_0 \Delta p_{CO_2}$ (1) where K_0 is the salinity- and temperature-dependent solubility constant (Weiss et al., 1982). The gas transfer velocity was computed using the parameterization from (Wanninkhof et al., 2009): $k = 660 (3 + 0.1U + 0.064U^2 + 0.011U^3) \sqrt{Sc}$

where U is the wind velocity

11

at a reference height of 10 m and Sc is the

11

solubility-dependent Schmidt number. Daily values of k were computed with a 6-h frequency for SMHI; Eq. 2 is valid for all wind speed ranges. This method will be defined as Method 1. We compare the results with another method to compute the transfer velocity k from Rutgersson and Smedman (2009) $k = 0.24 * U^2 + (3022 * w - 20)$ (3) where w is the water-side convection this is estimated from the model used in Norman et al. (2013b). This method will be defined as Method 2. 3 Results 3.1 Analysis of the wind products 3.1.1 Validation of the wind product To validate our wind product, we compare the SMHI product with one based on remote-sensing data at daily scale 10 m wind 5 data are reprocessed QuikSCAT (QSCAT) and ASCAT data (Bentamy and Croizé-Fillon, 2013) with a spatial resolution of 25x 25 km here called SATp. The two products are quite coherent when compared to all the station data used here, though SMHI seems better, having a higher average correlation coefficient, i.e. $R = 0.84$ versus 0.67 for the remote sensing data wind (we chose not to show here). This is to be expected, as SATp has a much coarser spatial resolution (25 km) than SMHI does (5 km). In the following we decided to use the SMHI product to compute the transfer velocity. 10 The wind product SMHI used here to compute the air-sea CO_2 flux was compared with wind-tower data available from 24 stations in the Baltic Sea, including data from the Östergarnsholm measurement site Högström (2008); Rutgersson et al. (2008). Here, a micro-meteorological tower, situated at 57.42°N, 18.99°E, has been running since 1995, making high-quality wind speed measurements at five heights. To validate the satellite data, we used measurements made 12 m above mean sea level in the 1995–2002 and 2005–2009 periods. In addition, we validated the winds using synoptic station data from SMHI for 15 21 sites along the coast of Sweden. The wind product SMHI agree quite well with the station data (Table 1). Most of the synoptic stations are very close to the coast, so there might be a bias due to land influence. The correlation coefficient (R) is quite high (0.66–0.91). The root-mean-square differences (RMSDs) is given in Table 1. The SMHI have a quite high average correlation coefficient, i.e. $R = 0.84$ (Table 1). This is to be expected given that the 20 spatial resolution is quite high for SMHI (5 km). We increase the resolution of the wind products by means of linear interpolation to compute the air-sea CO_2 flux. This was done to provide coherency between our datasets. 3.1.2 Wind

variability over the Baltic Sea. We examine the

8

annual and monthly mean wind speeds and wind variability

for the entire Baltic Sea (Figs. 1) for the

8

twelve 25 month during 13 years from 1998 to 2011. Fig. 1 shows the wind speed in colors and the annual wind variability in contours at the seasonal time scale. The mean winds are higher in the

Central Basin (CB) than the Gulf of Bothnia (GB),

1

i.e. about 7–7

.4 m s⁻¹ versus 5 –6 m s⁻¹.

32

The wind pattern agrees qualitatively with those in previous studies. In terms of variability, the wind can vary by as much as 1.5–2.1 m s⁻¹ in both CB and 1.4–1.9 m s⁻¹ in GB. On the monthly scale, high mean winds (8–9 m s⁻¹) are seen in the Baltic Sea from November to February (Fig. 1). Of the four regions, CB experiences the highest winds in 30 winter months. March and September are transition months with winds generally between 7 and 8 m s⁻¹. May and June are the months when the winds are generally low, 4–5 m s⁻¹. The largest variability in the winds, as represented by the contours (Figure 1), is observable from September to December. The variability remains strong from December to February (1.2–2.4 m s⁻¹) in all the basins, while the lowest variability is observed in July (< 0.8 m s⁻¹).

Air-sea CO₂ flux estimation and variability 5 The air-sea CO₂ flux estimations are shown in

9

Figure 2, fluxes are computed using the SMHlp wind data and figures represent the time period from 1998 to 2011. Figures 2 and 3 show the seasonal cycle, we observed the same patterns reflecting the surface pCO₂ partial pressure (the air-sea difference in partial pressure) previously seen in (Parard et al., 2014). April to August represents an uptake and October to February an outgassing. The interannual variability is slightly larger during the spring possibly indicating the large interannual variability on the onset of biological activities. Spatial differences are larger 10 during the biologically active region, in April the northern basins are source areas and southern basins represents an uptake of the atmospheric CO₂. There are also spatial differences within the different basins, in particular between coastal areas and open sea (also discussed later). Transfer velocity is largest in the southern basin and during winter following the wind-speed pattern. In Figure 3 the annual mean concentrations are shown. The flux displays high seasonal and spatial variability,

ranging from –11 to 27 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. On average from 1998 to 2011, the

13

entire Baltic

Sea acts as a sink of –1.

2

2

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ 15 (Figure 2). The values estimated from the

14

remote sensing products are in agreement with those from other studies, indicating that the Baltic Sea can be a small source on average or a small sink of CO₂. Most previous research results concerning the carbon budget cover shorter periods, indicating a range between -1.16 and 2.9 mol m⁻² y⁻¹ (Wesslander, 2011; Kulinski and Pempkowiak, 2012, e.g.), though the maximum values reported in these studies are all found in the same one or two years (Algesten et al., 2006). Half of the studies demonstrate that Baltic Sea or certain basins of it act as sources, while the others 20 demonstrate that it acts as a sink for the atmosphere (Norman et al., 2013a). The

Baltic Sea is divided into four regions; the

1

annual mean values for transfer velocity, pCO₂ and fluxes for these four regions are presented in Fig 2. During all the study period, the Central Basin acts as a source except for 4 years 2003,2004, 2009 and 2010 with a lower value in 2009 (-0.8

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹); the Gulf of Finland acts as a

20

source of the same order of magnitude as the Central Basin 25 with 4 years as a sink 2005,2007,2008 and 2009 with a lower value in 2009

(-0.8 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹); while the South Basin acts as a

19

source in all the years except 2010 with a low sink

(-0.01 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) as the Gulf of

30

Bothnian with a lower sink in 2009 (-0

.4 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹); The interannual variability is the same order

6

of magnitude for all the basins but the largest variability is seen in the Gulf of Bothnia, acting as a source up to 2008 (>1.7

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and a smaller source afterwards (< 0.8 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹).

7

The seasonal cycle do not show different patterns for the different basins, the southern basins (SB and 30 CB) show a larger outgassing during summer. The seasonal cycle is smaller for the northernmost basin (GB) (Figure 3). Between 1998 and 2011, the

annual air-sea CO₂ flux in the Baltic Sea is

20

always positive (Figure 3) but we observed higher flux before 2003 and after 2007. The four basins display a decrease in the flux from 1998 to 2011 (Figure 3). The decrease is larger in the Gulf of Bothnia, after 2008 the value are less than the half than the value before. A smaller decrease is observed

in the Gulf of Finland. A decreasing trend

8

can be explained by transfer velocity or $p\text{CO}_2$, but the decreasing pattern in the flux is not really reflected in the annual values of these parameters. The trend can also be explained changes in seasonal distribution of parameters. The seasonal cycle shows a shift in time when comparing the first five years (1998 to 2002) compared to the last five years (2007 to 2011) in (Figure 4). In all the basins the uptake is larger and April and May for the later period, the differences is particularly large in the basins most influenced by ice cover (GB and GF). There is also an indication in GB and GF for a reduced outgassing in early winter. As the data is not entirely homogeneous (different satellite products are used in the beginning and ending of the studied period) one should not draw too far conclusions from the suggested trend. It could, however, be related to the higher $p\text{CO}_2$ concentrations in the atmosphere due to anthropogenic emissions, the corresponding increase in CO_2 concentration in the atmosphere during this period is $23.7 \mu\text{atm}$. As the trend to a large extent is explained by an earlier onset of spring-time uptake differences in temperature and ice cover might be a more likely explanation. The

coastal region is defined by a distance of 0.5° in latitude and longitude from the coast. Farther than

1

0.5° in latitude and longitude from the closest coast

1

is defined as the open sea. The CO_2 flux from the

coastal region is lower in winter and higher in

1

summer than it is from the open sea (Fig. 5). The average difference in CO_2 flux is -0.5

$\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ with a variability of

6

between -5.5 and 2.5

$\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$. The higher difference ($-1.6 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$)

17

is observed in 2007 with a lower value for coastal region. The

air-sea CO2 fluxes are lower for all the year in the

21

coastal region. Annually, there are three periods when we observe a greater difference, i.e. February–March, June–July, and October (Fig. 5). The biological activity is one of an explanation

of the lower air-sea CO2 in the coastal region in

21

March–April and October compare to the open ocean

region. The biological activity is higher along the

1

coast at these times (Schneider, 2011) due to upwelling near the coast (Omstedt et al., 2009; Norman et al., 2013a); this has the effect of reducing the CO2 emitted to the atmosphere. In the coastal region we observed a change in the sink between the first five years between 1998 and 2002 and the last five years between 2007 and 2011 (Figure 6), The lower

air-sea CO2 flux are observed during the last years and the

9

the minimum

of the air-sea CO2 flux is in April and May. It is

31

correlate with the observation in the Figure 4. The sink increase in April from -2.9

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ and in May from -1 .8 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. The

14

monthly difference is small compared with that observed at the seasonal scale, though we may be underestimating the effect of the upwelling at the monthly scale. A review of Baltic Sea upwelling (Lehmann and Myrberg, 2008) demonstrates that the typical upwelling lasts from several days to one month at a horizontal scale of 10–20 km offshore. It is therefore possible that the effect of the upwelling may be underestimated. 3.2.2 Uncertainty analysis The difference between the phase before 2003 and after 2007 could be explained by the repartition of the data used to calculate our results. In order to understand if this repartition of the initial data is responsible for the phase difference, we studied the representation of the data along the different years for each neuron of the SOM maps in each basin (Figure 7). For the three first basins (Figure 7,a.,b.,c.), all the years are present at least in part, even if some classes seem to be solely composed from data measured before 2002, in particular in the Southern regions (the blue trend color classes). In the Gulf of Finland there is no data before 2008 so the results that we show can be affected by this lack of data, yet is coherent with the other basins. The distribution of the data is well spread (Figure 7,e.,f.,g.,h.) throughout the classes. Two tests were performed in order

to estimate the error on the air-sea CO₂ flux. ON

33

with SATp wind product and one with the air-sea flux estimations method Rutgersson et al. (2009) describe in eq. . This results are presented in Figure 8. The two air-sea CO₂ flux estimations are computed using the two sets of wind data, the SMHlp and SATp datasets. The CO₂ flux computed using SMHlp wind data is available from 1998 to 2011 and using SATp wind data from 2000 to 2011. We compared the two products from 2000 and 2011. the two flux estimation from the wind product have the order of magnitude. Nevertheless, the seasonal cycle from air-sea CO₂ flux using SATp product is larger,

with lower value in summer and higher in winter. we observe the maximum difference in

1

January (when the flux using SMHlp winds is higher) and in September (when the flux using SATp winds is higher). The monthly variability of the flux using SMHlp winds is 8.7-11

.4 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ versus 3. 4-13.4 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹

25

using SATp winds. High variability in January using the SATp wind product can be explained by the lack of satellite data during for this month. In addition, there are also interannual variations. In most years, the Baltic Sea acts as a sink: using the SMHlp winds, the exchange ranges from -2.9 to 0.6

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ with an average of -1.6 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹; using the

10

SATp winds, the annual uptake is larger, being between -3.9 and 0.3

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ with an average for 2000-2011 of -2.1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. The

10

trend is the same for both products, with a decrease in the flux and an increase in the absorption of pCO₂ from the atmosphere. The average difference between the wind from satellite and the wind from SMHI give a value of 0.98 m s⁻² and have an influence of 0.34

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ on the air-sea CO₂ flux.

16

Our method to recompute the pCO₂ give a root mean square between 19.5 and 38.5 μatm in function of the bassin, this has an effect on the

air-sea CO₂ flux of -1.2 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. The two methods to compute the

6

air-sea CO₂ flux have been used, one from

22

(Wanninkhof et al., 2009) where the results are described above, the second from Rutgersson et al. (2009). The second one used the water-side convection from a model Norman (2013). The mean difference between the two products are

1.2 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. The higher difference is observed

7

in 1999 (3.2

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and in 2006 (2.6 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹). The

16

difference from the coefficient exchange is 0.088. At seasonal scale the difference on the two methods are higher in spring and summer (April to August) range between 4

mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in April and 10 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in June. In winter, the

6

difference is

between 0. 2 and 2. 0 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹.

6

3.2.3

Air-sea CO₂ flux climatology 25 The climatology of the

9

flux displays high seasonal and spatial variability,

ranging from -13. to 10 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. On average from 1998 to 2011, the

13

entire Baltic Sea acts is a source of 1

.2 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (1.4 mmol m⁻² y⁻¹

26

using method from Rutgersson et al. (2009) and

a source of $-1.5 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$

7

using SATp winds) (Fig. 9). The values observed are in agreement with those from other studies, indicating that the Baltic Sea can be a small source on average or a small sink of CO₂. Most previous research results concerning the carbon budget cover shorter periods, indicating a range between -1.16 and $2.9 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ (e.g. Wesslander et al., 2010; Kulinski and Pempkowiak, 2012), though the maximum values reported in these studies are all found in the same one or two years Algesten et al. (2006). Half of the studies demonstrate that Baltic Sea or certain basins of it act as sources, while the others demonstrate that it acts as a sink for the atmosphere (Norman et al., 2013a). 4 Discussion and Conclusions Canadell (2003) explain that it is really challenging to estimate precisely the variation of the pCO₂ over marginal seas. This is due to several aspects but

mainly due to the lack of data in space and

7

time.

Remote sensing using applicable algorithms could certainly be an important approach, complementing

1

ship-board observations as well as in situ buoy and wind-tower measurements. Using our method, we present the first estimated

CO₂ flux climatology based on remote sensing for the

9

Baltic Sea. This gives an estimated annual mean

air-sea CO₂ flux of $1.2 \pm 0.8 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ and a seasonal variability of

24

between -13 to 10

$\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$. The interannual variability is an order of magnitude lower,

10

being

between 0.01 and $3.1917 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$.

29

Several studies have estimated the

air-sea CO₂ fluxes in the Baltic Sea over the

18

last decade; most of these examine specific regions, but only a few treat the entire Baltic Sea. Kulinski and Pempkowiak (2012) demonstrate that the Baltic Sea 10 was

a source of CO₂ for the atmosphere

38

between 2002 and 2008, but they use data from several time periods and sources. Using a biogeochemical model covering the 1960–2009 period, Norman et al. Norman et al. (2013b) suggest

that the entire Baltic Sea acts as a net sink of

2

between -0.22 and -0.17 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹, in

15

agreement with our

value of -0.6 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹. In the

15

Central Basin, Schneider et al. (2014) demonstrate that in four selected years (i.e. 2003,2004, 2009, and 2010), the surface water acts as a sink for the atmosphere, as found in our study, the value of the uptake rates

ranging between -0.04 and $15 -0.3$ mol m⁻² yr⁻¹.

12

One study explain that the rates is the one which explain the enhance carbon in the sediments (Schneider et al., 2014). Our study of 2005, 2008, and 2009 finds an uptake value

between -0.9 and -1.0 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹,

12

slightly higher than that reported Schneider et al. (2014), who use boat-line data. This could be because of the spatial resolution of our product, which includes the entire Central Basin. Our mean value for the Central Basin indicates that it is a sink for the atmosphere. This is in contrast to the findings of Wesslander et al. (2010), who demonstrate that, for a slightly different period (i.e. 1994 to 20 2008), the Central Basin acts as a source for the atmosphere of 1.64

mol m⁻² yr⁻¹. In the Gulf of Finland, we found the

17

lowest source of CO₂ from the atmosphere

(0.2 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹),

12

which ranges

between -0.3 to 0.9 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹.

12

These lowest value are observed in 2005 and 2007 to 2009: during this period it is actually a sink for the atmosphere. The gulf of Bothnia is a sink in 2009 in our study but this value decreases from 1998 to 2009. This flux has

a value of 0.5 mmol m⁻² yr⁻¹ in 2002, lower than the

28

value of 2.9

mol m⁻² yr⁻¹ from Algesten et al. (Algesten et al., 2006).

3

25 This estimation is based on a few days of measurements from a few stations in the Gulf of Bothnia. Our results indicating a small source are in agreement with those of the study demonstrating a larger sink in the Bothnian Sea (-0.73

mol m⁻² yr⁻¹) and a smaller source

15

in Bothnian Bay (0.14

mol m⁻² yr⁻¹) between 1999 and

36

2009; this finding could explain why the entire Gulf of Bothnia region is a small sink or small source on average. Using remote sensing data to compute the FCO₂ gives good spatial and temporal resolutions compared with those of measurements from ships or wind-towers. The satellite data give information on pCO₂ variability and on FCO₂. The first estimates of Baltic Sea air-sea exchange based on remote-sensing products display reasonably good agreement with previous estimates and indicate a negative trend, with annual uptake changing from 0.6 to -2.8 mol

m⁻² yr⁻¹) over the 1998-2007 period. After 2007, the

34

decrease is smaller and the flux remains quite stable at around -2

.8 mol m⁻² yr⁻¹). The

35

pCO₂ flux product depends on the wind product and on the CO₂ product but also on the water convection. For winds, the higher-resolution product gives larger flux amplitudes, and for pCO₂, chlorophyll and CDOM are essential inputs. The air-sea CO₂ flux is sensitive to different parameters as wind product

in the Baltic Sea and the northern Baltic Sea. In the Gulf of Bothnia, the

8

wind plays affect the inter-annual variation in

air-sea CO₂ flux which **is** higher than **in the**

9

other basins. On average, the Central Basin near the South Basin is the region with the highest uptake of CO₂. The coastal region has a slightly higher uptake than does the open-sea region. Acknowledgements. We thank Dr. Tiit Kutser and Dr. Melissa Chierici for their help. We would also like to show our gratitude to the Prof. Sylvie Thiria for sharing their pearls of wisdom with us during the course of this research. This research was supported by

Swedish National Space Board (grant **no. 120/11:3**).

1

References Algesten, G., Brydsten, L., Jonsson, P., Kortelainen, P., Löfgren, S., Rahm, L., Räike, A., Sobek, S., Tranvik, L., and Wikner, J.: Organic carbon budget for the Gulf of Bothnia, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 63, 155–161, 2006. Alin, S. R., Feely, R. A., Dickson, A. G., Hernández-Ayón, J. M., Juranek, L. W., Ohman, M. D., and Goericke, R.: Robust empirical relationships for estimating the carbonate system in the southern California System and application to CalCOFI hydrographic cruise data (2005–2011), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, C05 033, 2012. Arruda, R., Calil, P. H., Bianchi, A. A., Doney, S. C., Gruber, N., Lima, I., and Turi, G.: Air-sea CO₂ fluxes and the controls on ocean surface pCO₂ seasonal variability in the coastal and open-ocean southwestern Atlantic Ocean: a modeling study, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 5793–5809, 2015. Backer, H. and Leppänen, J.-M. . M.: The HELCOM system of a vision, strategic goals and ecological objectives: implementing an ecosystem approach to the management of human activities in the Baltic Sea, *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 18, 321– 334, doi:HELCOM, 2008. Bates, N. R.: Air-sea CO₂ fluxes and the continental shelf pump of carbon in the Chukchi Sea adjacent to the Arctic Ocean, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* (1978–2012), 111, 2006. 15 Bentamy, A. and Croizé-Fillon, D.: Reprocessing Daily QuikSCAT Surface Wind Fields., Tech. rep., Ifremer, Brest, 2013. Bergstrom, S.: River runoff to the Baltic Sea: 1950-1990, *Ambio*, 23, 280–287, 1994. Borges, A. V. and Frankignoulle, M.: Distribution and air-water exchange of carbon dioxide in the Scheldt plume off the Belgian coast, *Biogeochemistry*, 59, 41–67, 2002. Borges, A. V., Djenidi, S., Lacroix, G., Théate, J., Delille, B., and Frankignoulle, M.: Atmospheric CO₂ flux from mangrove surrounding 20 waters, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 2003. Bourgeois, T., Orr, James, C., Resplandy, L., Ethé, C., Gehlen, M., and Bopp, L.: Coastal-ocean uptake of anthropogenic carbon, *Biogeo- sciences Discussion*, doi:10.5194/bg-2016-57, 2016, 2016. Cai, W.-J. . J., Wang, Z. A., and Wang, Y.: The role of marsh-dominated heterotrophic continental margins in transport of CO₂ between the atmosphere, the land-

sea interface and the ocean, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 2003. 25 Canadell, J. G.: Global Carbon Project: The Science Framework and Implementation, Global Carbon Project, 2003. Chen, C.-T. A. . T. A. and Wang, S.-L. . L.: Carbon, alkalinity and nutrient budgets on the East China Sea continental shelf, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* (1978–2012), 104, 20 675–20 686, 1999. Chen, C.-T. A. . T. A., Liu, K.-K. . K., and Macdonald, R.: Continental margin exchanges, in: *Ocean biogeochemistry*, pp. 53–97, Springer, 2003. 30 Frankignoulle, M. and Borges, A. V.: European continental shelf as a significant sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 15, 569–576, 2001. Frankignoulle, M., Abril, G., Borges, A., Bourge, I., Canon, C., Delille, B., Libert, E., and Theate, J. M.: Carbon dioxide emission from european estuaries, *Science*, 282, 434–6, 1998. Gutiérrez-Loza, L. and Ocampo-Torres, F. J.: Air-sea CO₂ fluxes measured by eddy covariance in a coastal station in Baja California, México, 35 in: *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, vol. 35, p. 012012, IOP Publishing, 2016. Hjalmarsson, S., Wesslander, K., Anderson, L. G., Omstedt, A., Perttilä, M., and Mintrop, L.: Distribution, long-term development and mass balance calculation of total alkalinity in the Baltic Sea, *Continental Shelf Research*, 28, 593–601, 2008. Högström, U.: Momentum fluxes and wind gradients in the marine boundary layer—a multi-platform study, *Boreal environment research*, 13, 475–502, 2008. Kohonen, T.: The self-organizing map, *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 78, 1464–1480, 1990. Koné, Y. J.-M. . M., Abril, G., Kouadio, K. N., Delille, B., and Borges, A. V.: Seasonal variability of carbon dioxide in the rivers and lagoons of Ivory Coast (West Africa), *Estuaries and Coasts*, 32, 246–260, 2009. Krasakopoulou, E., Rapsomanikis, S., Papadopoulou, A., and Papathanassiou, E.: Partial pressure and air–sea CO₂ flux in the Aegean Sea during February 2006, *Continental Shelf Research*, 29, 1477–1488, 2009. Kulinski, K. and Pempkowiak, J.: Carbon cycling in the Baltic Sea, vol. 6, Springer, 2012. Lansø, A. S., Bendtsen, J., Christensen, J. H., Sørensen, L. L., Chen, H., Meijer, H. A. J., and Geels, C.: Sensitivity of the air–sea CO₂ exchange in the Baltic Sea and Danish inner waters to atmospheric short-term variability, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 2753–2772, 2015. Laruelle, G. G., Dürr, H. H., Slomp, C. P., and Borges, A. V.: Evaluation of sinks and sources of CO₂ in the global coastal ocean using a spatially-explicit typology of estuaries and continental shelves, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37, doi:10.1029/2010GL043691, 2010. Le Quéré, C., Moriarty, R., Andrew, R. M., Canadell, J. G., Sitch, S., Korsbakken, J. I., Friedlingstein, P., Peters, G. P., Andres, R. J., and Boden, T. A.: Global Carbon Budget 2015, *Earth System Science Data*, 7, 349–396, 2015. 15 Lehmann, A. and Myrberg, K.: Upwelling in the Baltic Sea a review, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 74, S3–S12, 2008. Lenton, A., Tilbrook, B., Law, R., Bakker, D., Doney, S. C., Gruber, N., Hoppema, M., Ishii, M., Lovenduski, N. S., and Matear, R. J.: Sea-air CO₂ fluxes in the Southern Ocean for the period 1990–2009, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 4037–4054, doi:10.5194/bg-10-4037-2013, 2013, 2013. Liu, K. K., Iseki, K., and Chao, S. Y.: Continental margin carbon fluxes, *The changing ocean carbon cycle: a midterm synthesis of the Joint Global Ocean Flux Study*, 5, 187, 2000a. 20 Liu, K.-K. . K., Atkinson, L., Chen, C. T. A., Gao, S., Hall, J., Macdonald, R. W., McManus, L. T., and Quinones, R.: Exploring continental margin carbon fluxes on a global scale, *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 81, 641–644, 2000b. McGillis, W. R., Edson, J. B., Ware, J. D., Dacey, J. W., Hare, J. E., Fairall, C. W., and Wanninkhof, R.: Carbon dioxide flux techniques performed during GasEx-98, *Marine Chemistry*, 75, 267–280, 2001. Meier, H. E. M., Rutgersson, A., and Reckermann, M.: An Earth System Science Program for the Baltic Sea Region, *Eos, Transactions 25 American Geophysical Union*, 95, 109–110, 2014. Myrberg, K. and Andrejev, O.: Main upwelling regions in the Baltic Sea—a statistical analysis based on three-dimensional modelling, *Boreal Environment Research*, 8, 97–112, 2003. Norman, M.: Air-Sea Fluxes of CO₂: Analysis Methods and Impact on Carbon Budget, 2013. Norman, M., Raj Parampil, S., Rutgersson, A., and Sahlée, E.: Influence of coastal upwelling on the air-sea gas exchange of CO₂ in a Baltic 30 Sea Basin, *Tellus B*, 65, 2013a. Norman, M., Rutgersson, A., and Sahlée, E.: Impact of improved air–sea gas transfer velocity on fluxes and water chemistry in a Baltic Sea model, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 111, 175–188, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.10.013, 2013b. Omstedt, A., Elken, J., Lehmann, A., and Piechura, J.: Knowledge of the Baltic Sea physics gained during the BALTEX and related pro- grammes, *Progress in Oceanography*, 63, 1–28, 2004. 35 Omstedt, A., Gustafsson, E., and Wesslander, K.: Modelling the uptake and release of carbon dioxide in the Baltic Sea surface water, *Continental Shelf Research*, 29, 870–885, 2009. Omstedt, A., Humborg, C., Pempkowiak, J., Perttilä, M., Rutgersson, A., Schneider, B., and Smith, B.: Biogeochemical control of the coupled CO₂–O₂ system of the Baltic Sea: A review of the results of Baltic-C, *Ambio*, 43, 49–59, 2014. Parard, G., Charantonis, A. A., and Rutgersson, A.: Remote sensing algorithm for sea surface CO₂ in the Baltic Sea, *Biogeoscience Discuss.*, 11, 12 255–12 294, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-12255-2014, 2014. Parard, G., Charantonis, A. A., and Rutgersson, A.: Remote sensing the sea

surface CO₂ of the Baltic Sea using the SOMLO methodology, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 3369–3384, 2015. Parard, G., Charantonis, A. A., and Rutgersson, A.: Using satellite data to estimate partial pressure of CO₂ in the Baltic Sea, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 121, 1002–1015, 2016. Ribas-Ribas, M., Gómez-Parra, A., and Forja, J. M.: Air–sea CO₂ fluxes in the north-eastern shelf of the Gulf of Cádiz (southwest Iberian Peninsula), *Marine Chemistry*, 123, 56–66, 2011. Rödenbeck, C., Keeling, R. F., Bakker, D. C. E., Metzl, N., Olsen, A., Sabine, C., and Heimann, M.: Global surface-ocean pCO₂ and seaair CO₂ flux variability from an observation-driven ocean mixed-layer scheme, *Ocean Science*, 9, 193–216, doi:10.5194/os-9-193-2013, 2013. Rutgersson, A. and Smedman, A.: Enhanced airsea CO₂ transfer due to water-side convection, *Journal of Marine System*, 80, 125–134, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.11.004, 2009. Rutgersson, A., Norman, M., Schneider, B., Pettersson, H., and Sahlée, E.: The annual cycle of carbon dioxide and parameters influencing the air–sea carbon exchange in the Baltic Proper, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 74, 381–394, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2008.02.005, 2008. Rutgersson, A., Norman, M., and Aström, G.: Atmospheric CO₂ variation over the Baltic Sea and the impact on air-sea exchange, *Boreal environment research*, 14, 238–249, 2009. Sasse, T. P., McNeil, B. I., and Abramowitz, G.: A novel method for diagnosing seasonal to inter-annual surface ocean carbon dynamics from bottle data using neural networks, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 4319–4340, 2013. 20 Schneider, B.: The CO₂ system of the Baltic Sea: Biogeochemical control and impact of anthropogenic CO₂, in: *Global Change and Baltic Coastal Zones*, pp. 33–49, Springer, 2011. Schneider, B., Güllow, W., Sadkowiak, B., and Rehder, G.: Detecting sinks and sources of CO₂ and CH₄ by ferrybox-based measurements in the Baltic Sea: Three case studies, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 140, 13–25, 2014. Siegel, H. and Gerth, M.: Baltic Sea environment fact sheet Sea Surface Temperature in the Baltic Sea in 2011, HELCOM Baltic Sea 25 Environment Fact Sheets [online], <http://www.helcom.fi/baltic-sea-trends/environment-fact-sheets/>, 2012. Smith, S. D., Fairall, C. W., Geernaert, G. L., and Hasse, L.: Air-sea fluxes: 25 years of progress, *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 78, 247–290, 1996. Soci, C., Landelius, T., Bazile, E., Undén, P., Mahfouf, J. F., Martin, E., and Besson, F.: EURO4M Project–REPORT, 2011. Sproson, D. and Sahlée, E.: Modelling the impact of Baltic Sea upwelling on the atmospheric boundary layer, *Tellus A*, 66, 2014. 30 Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Sweeney, C., Poisson, A., Metzl, N., Tilbrook, B., Bates, N., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A., Sabine, C., et al.: Global sea-air CO₂ flux based on climatological surface ocean pCO₂, and seasonal biological and temperature effects, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 49, 1601–1622, 2002. Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Wanninkhof, R., Sweeney, C., Feely, R. A., Chipman, D. W., Hales, B., Friederich, G., Chavez, F., Sabine, C., Watson, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Schuster, U., Metzl, N., Yoshikawa-Inoue, H., Ishii, M., Midorikawa, T., Nojiri, Y., Körtzinger, A., 35 Steinhoff, T., Hoppema, M., Olafsson, J., Arnarson, T. S., Tilbrook, B., Johannessen, T., Olsen, A. O., Tilbrook, B., Bellerby, R., Wong, C. S., Delille, B., Bates, N. R. R., and De Baar, H. J. W.: Climatological mean and decadal change in surface ocean pCO₂, and net sea–air CO₂ flux over the global oceans, *Deep-Sea Research Part II*, 56, 554–577, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.12.009, 2009. Thomas, H. and Schneider, B.: The seasonal cycle of carbon dioxide in Baltic Sea surface waters, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 22, 53–67, doi:10.1016/S0924-7963(99)00030-5, 1999. Thomas, H., Ittekkot, V., Osterroht, C., and Schneider, B.: Preferential recycling of nutrients the ocean’s way to increase new production and to pass nutrient limitation?, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 44, 1999. Thomas, H., Pempkowiak, J., Wulff, F., and Nagel, K.: Autotrophy, nitrogen accumulation and nitrogen limitation in the Baltic Sea: A paradox or a buffer for eutrophication?(DOI 10.1029/2003GL017937), *GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS*, 30, OCE–8, 2003. Thomas, H., Bozec, Y., Elkalay, K., and de Baar, H. J. W.: Enhanced open ocean storage of CO₂ from shelf sea pumping., *Science*, 304, 1005–8, doi:10.1126/science.1095491, 2004. Tsunogai, S., Watanabe, S., and Sato, T.: Is there a continental shelf pump for the absorption of atmospheric CO₂?, *Tellus B*, 51, 701–712, 10 1999. Vargas, R., Loescher, H. W., Arredondo, T., Huber-Sannwald, E., Lara-Lara, R., and Yépez, E. A.: Opportunities for advancing carbon cycle science in Mexico: Toward a continental scale understanding, *Environmental Science & Policy*, 21, 84–93, 2012. Wanninkhof, R., Asher, W. E., Ho, D. T., Sweeney, C., and McGillis, W. R.: Advances in quantifying air-sea gas exchange and environmental forcing., *Ann Rev Mar Sci*, 1, 213–44, doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163742, 2009. 15 Wanninkhof, R., Park, G.-H. H., Takahashi, T., Sweeney, C., Feely, R., Nojiri, Y., Gruber, N., Doney, S. C., McKinley, G. A., and Lenton, A.: Global ocean carbon uptake: magnitude, variability and trends, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 10 961–11 012, doi:10.1007/s10236-010-0337-8, 2013. Weiss, R. F., Jahnke, R. A., and Keeling, C. D.: Seasonal effects of temperature and salinity on the partial pressure of CO₂ in seawater, *Nature*, 300, 511–513, 1982. 20 Wesslander, K.: The

carbon dioxide system in the Baltic Sea surface waters, Ph.D. thesis, University of Gothenburg, 2011. Wesslander, K., Omstedt, A., and Schneider, B.: Inter-annual variation of the air-sea CO₂ balance in the southern Baltic Sea and the Kattegat, Continental Shelf Research, 30, 1511–1521, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2010.05.014, 2010. Wollast, R.: The coastal organic carbon cycle: fluxes, sources and sinks, Ocean margin processes in global change, pp. 365–381, 1991. Table 1. RMS, bias, and correlation coefficients for in situ data from SMHI, Östergarnsholm wind-tower, and satellite products. Tower SMHIp Bias RMS R TOTAL 0.67 2.49 0.84 ÖSTERGARNSHOLM 2.42 3.15 0.74 FALSTERBO 1.70 2.27 0.86 HELSINGBORG -0.88 1.65 0.85 HANÖ 3.64 4.07 0.88 ÖLAND SÖDRA 0.62 1.70 0.86 HOBURG -1.05 1.91 0.88 NIDINGEN A 3.68 4.17 0.85 VINGA 3.33 3.84 0.88 ÖLAND NORRA -0.29 1.52 0.87 VISBY -1.88 2.56 0.87 MASESKAR 3.82 4.29 0.91 NORDKOSTER 2.87 3.30 0.88 HARSTENA -0.33 1.45 0.86 LANDSORT 1.73 2.41 0.83 GOTSKA -1.60 2.20 0.91 SVENSKA HÖGARNA 1.57 2.31 0.8 ÖRSKÄR 1.07 2.02 0.86 KUGGÖREN -0.52 1.90 0.79 BRÄMÖN 0.29 1.86 0.78 SKAGSUDDE -0.37 1.78 0.79 HOLMOGADD -0.60 1.85 0.82 HOLMÖN -0.75 2.13 0.78 BJURÖKLUBB 0.13 2.16 0.75 LULEÅAIRPORT -2.32 3.17 0.68

Figure 1. Monthly mean wind speed (indicated by colour bar) and annual variability (indicated by contours). Figure 2. Evolution annual of the a.) Transfert velocity based on Wanninkhof et al. (2009). b.) PCO₂ and c.) air-sea CO₂ flux based on the SMHIp wind product for each bassin. Figure 3. Evolution annual of the a.) Transfert velocity based on Wanninkhof et al. (2009). b.) PCO₂ and c.) air-sea CO₂ flux based on the SMHIp wind product for each bassin. Figure 4. Seasonal cycle of air-sea CO₂ flux for a) Gulf of Bothnia, b) Central Baltic c) Gulf of Finland and d) Southern Baltic. Solid lines represent the average for the full period (1998 to 2011), dotted lines with markers are for the first 5 years (1998-2002) and dashed lines are for the last five years (2007 to 2011). Figure 5. Average, 1998–2011, a) of the air–sea CO₂ flux and b) of the difference between the coastal region and open sea. Figure 6. Seasonal cycle of air-sea CO₂ flux for Baltic Sea. Solid line represent the average for the pull period (1998–2011), dotted linewith marker is for the first 5 years (1998-2002) and dashed line is for the last fiver year (2007 to 2011). Figure 7. a.,b.c. and d. are the distribution of the years of each data in each class for each basin SOM e.,f.,g. and h. are the percentage of the total data present in each class of the different basins' SOM. The size of the circles in the top figures is also representative of the percentage of the total data present in each class of the different basins' SOM. Figure 8. The air-sea CO₂ flux estimate evolution with method 1 and the SATp product (Blue); method 2 and the SMHIp product (Red); method 1 and the SMHIp product (Yellow). a. for a year b. in average for all the year. Figure 9. Temporal evolution of the air–sea CO₂ flux between 1998 and 2011 based on SMHIp data. 5 10 15 20 5 10 15 20 25 5 10 15 20 25 5 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 30 5 5 10 5 5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

sources:

- 1 216 words / 4% - Crossref [Parard, Gaëlle, Anastase Charantonis, and Anna Rutgersson. "Using satellite data to estimate partial pressure of CO2 in the Baltic Sea : PARTIAL PRESSURE OF CO2 VARIABILITY", Journal of Geophysical Research Biogeosciences, 2016.](#)
- 2 107 words / 2% - Crossref [Wesslander, K.. "Inter-annual and seasonal variations in the air-sea CO"2 balance in the central Baltic Sea and the Kattegat", Continental Shelf Research, 20100815](#)
- 3 105 words / 2% - Internet from 14-Apr-2016 12:00AM [udel.edu](#)
- 4 103 words / 2% - Crossref [H. Thomas. "The carbon budget of the North Sea", Biogeosciences Discussions, 08/17/2004](#)
- 5 63 words / 1% - Internet from 16-Mar-2016 12:00AM [www.biogeosciences.net](#)

- 6 53 words / 1% - Internet from 17-Mar-2016 12:00AM
www.biogeosciences.net
- 7 48 words / 1% - Crossref
[FERIAL LOUANCHI. "Modelled and observed sea surface fCO₂ in the southern ocean: a comparative study", *Tellus B*, 4/1999](#)
- 8 48 words / 1% - Crossref
[Regional Climate Studies, 2015.](#)
- 9 47 words / 1% - Crossref
[Dong, Fang, Yangchun Li, Bin Wang, Wenyu Huang, Yanyan Shi, and Wenhao Dong. "Global Air–Sea CO₂ Flux in 22 CMIP5 Models: Multiyear Mean and Interannual Variability*", *Journal of Climate*, 2016.](#)
- 10 39 words / 1% - Crossref
[Black, K.S.. "An autonomous benthic lander:", *Continental Shelf Research*, 200105/06](#)
- 11 36 words / 1% - Crossref
[Ocean-Atmosphere Interactions of Gases and Particles, 2014.](#)
- 12 35 words / 1% - Crossref
[Alberto V Borges. "Net ecosystem production and carbon dioxide fluxes in the Scheldt estuarine plume", *BMC Ecology*, 2008](#)
- 13 30 words / 1% - Internet from 21-Dec-2015 12:00AM
oceanrep.geomar.de
- 14 29 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Alberto V. Borges, Cédric Morana, Steven Bouillon, Pierre Servais, Jean-Pierre Descy, François Darchambeau. "Carbon Cycling of Lake Kivu \(East Africa\): Net Autotrophy in the Epilimnion and Emission of CO₂ to the Atmosphere Sustained by Geogenic Inputs", *PLoS ONE*, 2014](#)
- 15 28 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[N. GYPENS. "Effect of eutrophication on air-sea CO₂ fluxes in the coastal Southern North Sea: a model study of the past 50 years", *Global Change Biology*, 04/2009](#)
- 16 22 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[H.E. Laika. "Interannual properties of the CO₂ system in the Southern Ocean south of Australia", *Antarctic Science*, 08/12/2009](#)
- 17 21 words / < 1% match - Internet from 08-Jan-2016 12:00AM
www.biogeosciences.net
- 18 21 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[SHIN-ICHIRO NAKAOKA. "Temporal and spatial variations of oceanic pCO₂ and air-sea CO₂ flux in the Greenland Sea and the Barents Sea", *Tellus B*, 4/2006](#)
- 19 20 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Alfonso Mucci. "CO₂ fluxes across the air-sea interface in the southeastern Beaufort Sea: Ice-free period", *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 04/01/2010](#)

- 20 17 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Mercedes Paz. "Seasonal variability of surface fCO₂ in the Strait of Gibraltar", Aquatic Sciences, 03/2009](#)
- 21 16 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[ANTOINE CORBIÈRE. "Interannual and decadal variability of the oceanic carbon sink in the North Atlantic subpolar gyre", Tellus B, 4/2007](#)
- 22 14 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Midorikawa, T.. "Estimation of seasonal net community production and air-sea CO₂ flux based on the carbon budget above the temperature minimum layer in the western subarctic North Pacific", Deep-Sea Research Part I, 200202](#)
- 23 14 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Wang, Xiujun, Raghu Murtugudde, Eric Hackert, Jing Wang, and Jim Beauchamp. "Seasonal to decadal variations of sea surface pCO₂ and sea-air CO₂ flux in the equatorial oceans over 1984-2013: A basin-scale comparison of the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans : CO₂ flux in the equatorial oceans", Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 2015.](#)
- 24 13 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Quay, P.. "Surface layer carbon budget for the subtropical N. Pacific: \$\delta^{13}C\$ constraints at station ALOHA", Deep-Sea Research Part I, 200309](#)
- 25 12 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Semiletov, I.P.. "Carbonate chemistry dynamics and carbon dioxide fluxes across the atmosphere-ice-water interfaces in the Arctic Ocean: Pacific sector of the Arctic", Journal of Marine Systems, 200706](#)
- 26 10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Feb-2017 12:00AM
[hal.upmc.fr](#)
- 27 10 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Muller, B.. "Influence of organic carbon decomposition on calcite dissolution in surficial sediments of a freshwater lake", Water Research, 200311](#)
- 28 9 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Gazeau, F.. "The European coastal zone: characterization and first assessment of ecosystem metabolism", Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 200408](#)
- 29 9 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[C. Dumousseaud. "Contrasting effects of temperature and winter mixing on the seasonal and inter-annual variability of the carbonate system in the Northeast Atlantic Ocean", Biogeosciences, 05/11/2010](#)
- 30 9 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Reisdorph, S. C., and J. T. Mathis. "Assessing net community production in a glaciated Alaska fjord", Biogeosciences Discussions, 2014.](#)
- 31 8 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Olsen, A.. "Interannual variability in the wintertime air-sea flux of carbon dioxide in the northern North Atlantic, 1981-2001", Deep-Sea Research Part I, 200310/11](#)
- 32 8 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Xiaomeng Wang. "Late autumn to spring changes in the inorganic and organic carbon dissolved in the water column at Scholaert Channel, West Antarctica", Antarctic Science, 11/24/2009](#)

33

8 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Murata, A.. "Summertime CO₂ sinks in shelf and slope waters of the western Arctic Ocean", Continental Shelf Research, 200305](#)

34

8 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Zhang, Jia-Zhong, and Charles J. Fischer. "Carbon dynamics of Florida Bay: spatiotemporal patterns and biological control", Environmental Science & Technology, 2014.](#)

35

7 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Chen, C.-T. A., T.-H. Huang, Y.-C. Chen, Y. Bai, X. He, and Y. Kang. "<i>Review article</i> "Air-sea exchanges of CO₂ in world's coastal seas", Biogeosciences Discussions, 2013.](#)

36

6 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Shim, J.. "Seasonal variations in pCO₂ and its controlling factors in surface seawater of the northern East China Sea", Continental Shelf Research, 20071201](#)

37

6 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Hull, T., N. Greenwood, J. Kaiser, and M. Johnson. "Uncertainty and sensitivity in optode-based shelf-sea net community production estimates", Biogeosciences Discussions, 2015.](#)

38

6 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Huertas, I.E.. "Temporal patterns of carbon dioxide in relation to hydrological conditions and primary production in the northeastern shelf of the Gulf of Cadiz \(SW Spain\)", Deep-Sea Research Part II, 200606](#)

39

6 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[N. R. Bates. "Air-sea CO₂ fluxes on the Bering Sea shelf", Biogeosciences Discussions, 10/05/2010](#)