The second response to the reviewers' questions and critical remarks made to our manuscript

Trends and regime shifts in climatic conditions and river runoff in Estonia during 1951–2015

by Jaak Jaagus, Mait Sepp, Toomas Tamm, Arvo Järvet and Kiira Mõisja

We thank the reviewers very much for their comments and recommendations. Reviewer 2 accepted the improved version of our manuscript but Reviewer 1 made several critical remarks and strong recommendations suggesting major revisions. As the Editor asked also major revisions we strictly followed the instructions made by Reviewer 1. In the following, we answer to the questions and respond to every critical comment. Our response is typed in blue colour. We also add the Word file of the improved manuscript where all our modifications are indicated in the Track Changes regime.

REVIEWER 1

The paper is focused much better now, but there are still serious problems.

RESPONSE OF THE AUTHORS

I definitely refuse to accept the sentence in the response of the authors: „Continuous monitoring of climatic changes gives us valuable information about possible changes in the future." It is absolutely impossible to predict anything in the future on the basis of the trends calculated from the data in the past. Fortunately, I did not find this sentence in the text.

We agree with this suggestion and apologise for our sentence, which was written incorrectly. Indeed, predictions are impossible and extrapolation of trend lines is meaningless.

COMMENTS ON THE PAPER

I do not agree with the authors that showing trends and regime shifts in their graphical form is necessary. I have seen such drawings for years. These pictures only demonstrate the differences in the two methods and have no substantial value.

The authors have added Table 3 that gives a comprehensive overview on the regime shifts in the time series of large-scale circulation indices. Therefore, Figure 2 is superfluous, as it does not contain additional information in comparison with Tables 2 and 3.

We omitted Figure 2.

I strongly recommend to add a table showing trends and regime shifts for snow cover. Then also Figures 3 and 4 could be removed. The more that the principles of choosing the examples to demonstrate trends and shifts are not clear.

This table was added (Table 5).

Figure 3 shows temperature and snow cover time series in Tartu. The text says: "The highest (temperature) shift was recorded in Tartu." There is a contradiction between the sentence in the response: „We tried to select the most typical examples."

Even worse is the situation for Figure 4 that shows an upward shift in precipitation at Türi in winter. According to Table 4, it is not typical for the whole Estonia. Thus, presenting something that is exceptional looks like an artificial attempt to add one more point to the demonstration of a general regime shift in 1989.

We deleted Figures 3 and 4.

Figure 5 presents one case from those that are shown in Figure 6. Duplication should not be encouraged.

Figure 5 is omitted.

As a result, when the authors confess that "the main result of our paper is really a repetition of the results of the previous studies", they should avoid putting stress on examples and should try to show the results in a wider picture.

The authors say in the response: "We would like to emphasise that when Lehmann et al (2011) analysed climate variability in the regional scale then we have analysed trends and regime shifts in local scale, i.e. in Estonia." In the discussion of the paper they write: "We suppose that our findings are relevant for much wider territory in the Baltic Sea region." There is an evident contradiction in these statements that should be clarified. I recommend to stress the locality, as the paper by Lehmann et al is widely known and charismatic.

We agree with the reviewer. We omitted the sentences in the introduction and discussion, which try to expand our results to a wider territory.

MINOR REMARKS

Page 2, line 8 – The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) strongly affect Northern Hemisphere… Should be affects.

Corrected.

Page 4, line 19 – The results indicated an increase… which could leave to drought… - Should be lead?

Corrected. Thank you for these remarks.

RECOMMENDATION

To better show the necessity to analyse a longer time series and soften critics on repetitions, one could add a clear statement that the method of Rodionov does not give reliable results for the end of the time series. Therefore, adding 15 years permits one to be sure that the regime shifts at the end of the 1980s have really taken place.

We thank the reviewer for the good idea. We put it into the section of discussion.

REVIEWER 2

General comment

After revision, quality of the article has improved significantly and the article can be published.

Technical corrections

I still suppose that in all cases the term "positive trend" should be used instead of "increasing trend".

We replaced "positive trends" with "increasing trends" and "negative trends" with "decreasing trends".

Trends and regime shifts in climatic conditions and river runoff in Estonia during 1951–2015

Jaak Jaagus[1], Mait Sepp[1], Toomas Tamm[2], Arvo Järvet[1], Kiira Mõisja[1]

[1]Department of Geography, University of Tartu, Tartu, 51014, Estonia
[2]Department of Water Management, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu, 51014, Estonia

Correspondence to: Jaak Jaagus (jaak.jaagus@ut.ee)

Abstract. Time series of monthly, seasonal and annual mean air temperature, precipitation, snow cover duration and specific runoff of rivers in Estonia are analysed for detecting of trends and regime shifts during 1951–2015. Trend analysis is realised using the Mann-Kendall test and regime shifts are detected with the Rodionov test (Sequential T-test Analysis of Regime Shifts). The results from Estonia are related to trends and regime shifts in time series of indices of large-scale atmospheric circulation. Annual mean air temperature has significantly increased at ~~the~~ all 12 stations by 0.3–0.4 K per decade. The warming trend was detected in all seasons but with the higher magnitude in spring and winter. Snow cover duration has decreased in Estonia by 3–4 days per decade. Changes in precipitation are not clear and uniform due to their very high spatial and temporal variability. The most significant increase in precipitation was observed during the cold half-year, from November to March and also in June. Time series of specific runoff measured at 21 stations has had significant seasonal changes during the study period. Winter values have increased by 0.4–0.9 l/s per km^2 per decade while stronger changes are typical for western Estonia and weaker changes for eastern Estonia. At the same time, specific runoff in April and May have notably decreased indicating the shift of the runoff maximum to the earlier time, i.e. from April to March. Air temperature, precipitation, snow cover duration and specific runoff of rivers are highly correlated in winter determined by the large-scale atmospheric circulation. Correlation coefficients between the AO and NAO indices reflecting the intensity of westerlies, and the studied variables were 0.5–0.8. The main result of the analysis of regime shifts was the detection of coherent shifts for air temperature, snow cover duration and specific runoff in the late 1980s, mostly since the winter 1988/1989, that are, in turn, synchronous with the shifts in winter circulation. For example, runoff abruptly increased in January, February and March but decreased in April. Regime shifts in annual specific runoff correspond to the alternation of wet and dry periods. A dry period started since 1964 or 1963, a wet period since 1978 and the next dry period since the beginning of the 21st century.

1 Introduction

Different methods of trend analysis are usually applied for the detection of climate changes. For example, using regression analysis climate warming in the Baltic Sea region including Estonia is estimated to be faster than the increase of the global

mean temperature (Jaagus, 2006; BACC, 2008; 2015). Annual mean air temperature has increased by 0.11 K per decade in the northern part of the Baltic Sea basin, and by 0.08 K per decade in its southern part during 1871–2011 (BACC, 2015). The most significant warming was observed in spring and winter. The most dramatic changes in the Baltic Sea region have taken place just during the last decades (Lehmann et al., 2011).

5   The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) strongly affects ~~Northern Hemisphere~~ surface temperatures in the Northern Hemisphere with patterns often reported to be similar to the global warming trend (e.g. Hurrell 1995, Rodwell et al. 1999). Also, several studies show the evidences for ongoing intensification of the global water cycle, including increasing river runoff (Labat et al. 2004, Huntington 2006). However, it is known, that trend analysis, especially linear trends, have certain limitations. Linear regression analysis disregards the internal variability of the time series. At the same time, those internal

10   alterations provide a lot of important information on climate changes.

In addition to linear trends, there could be also abrupt changes, i.e. jumps or breaks, which divide a time series into parts with different statistical properties, called regime shifts. Initially, the term regime shift was used in marine ecology and was inspired by changes in climate of the North Pacific (Kerr, 1992) and salmon production around 1977 (Mantua et al., 1997; Rodionov, 2004). It means a large, abrupt and persistent change in the structure and function of a (natural) system (Biggs et

15   al., 2009). In oceanography and climatology, a regime shift is characterized by an abrupt transition from one quasi-steady climatic state to another, and its transition period is much shorter than the lengths of the individual periods of each climatic state. The semantics and the essence of regime shifts are described in details by Overland et al. (2008). There are several concepts of the definition of regimes (Rodionov, 2005; Overland et al., 2008). Here we used only the concept of displacement, i.e. the inspection of time series over relatively short periods where there can be sequential multi-year intervals

20   with mean values in each interval that are statistically different, relative to their within regime variance.

As there are several definitions and methods to detect regime shifts, there are also numerous regime shifts in climate variables detected by different authors. For example, Swanson and Tsonis (2009) identified climatic regime shifts in global mean temperature in 1910–1920, 1938–1945, 1976–1981 and 2001–2002. A regime shift in the North Atlantic was observed in 1920s and 1930s (Drinkwater, 2006). However, a number of studies have detected regime shifts in many parameters in

25   late 1980s, especially since 1989. For example, analysing wintertime sea-surface temperatures over the Northern Hemisphere during the 20th century six regime shifts were detected, one of them in 1988/1989 (Yasunaka and Hanawa, 2002). An abrupt warming over the Northern Hemisphere in late 1980s was examined by many authors (Rodionov and Overland, 2005; Tsunoda et al., 2008; Lo and Hsu, 2010; Kim et al., 2015). Oceanographic, climatological and biological time series from the Northern Pacific and the Bering Sea revealed regime shifts in 1977, 1989 and 1998 (Overland et al.,

30   2008). The shift of 1989 in that region was analysed also by Hare and Mantua (2000). Regime shifts in late 1980s have been detected also in the Arctic sea-level pressure (Walsh et al., 1996), intensification of upper air polar vortex (Tanaka et al., 1996) and a decadal-scale atmospheric circulation (Watanabe and Nitta, 1999). This change is explained by a northward expansion of the Hadley cell, a poleward expansion and intensification of the Ferrel cell and a collapse of the polar cell (Kim

et al., 2015). A thorough analysis of the regime shifts in 1980s and their global impact on the biosphere was conducted by Reid et al. (2016).

There are also many evidences of regime shifts in the North Atlantic and European region in late 1980s. For example, a regime shift on this period was reported from the Mediterranean Sea based on ecological, hydrological and climatic variables (Conversi et al., 2010). In the review article on regime shifts in marine ecosystems many studies are referred where the shift since 1989 in the Northern Atlantic was found (DeYoung et al., 2004). Using long time series of winter Baltic climate index (WIBIX) based on monthly values of the first principal component of winter anomalies (January – March) of NAO index, sea level anomalies on Landsort (Sweden) and maximum Baltic ice cover, Hagen and Feistel (2005) determined the alternation of two climate regimes over the Baltic Sea. The last period of mild winters started at 1988 (Hagen and Feistel, 2005). In marine ecosystems of the Baltic Sea a regime shift has been observed in late 1980s. The phytoplankton biomass increased, the composition of phyto- and zooplankton communities changed conspicuously and the growing season was extended (Alheit et al., 2005; Möllmann et al., 2009; Dippner et al., 2012).

As the Baltic Sea is situated in the transition area of Atlantic marine and Eurasian continental climate systems and influenced by air masses from arctic to subtropical origin, the climatic conditions here are characterized by high spatio-temporal variations (BACC, 2008; Rutgersson et al., 2014). Thus, the Baltic Sea region poses a great challenge for the detection of trends and regime shifts in the climatic, hydrological and ecological variables.

Estonia is located in the central part of the Baltic Sea region in the eastern coast of the sea (Figure 1). ~~We suppose the climatic and hydrological changes here are more or less typical for much a wider territory in the central part of the eastern Baltic Sea basin.~~ As in the whole northern Europe, air temperature has increased in Estonia during the period of instrumental meteorological observations (Jaagus, 1998). The highest warming was detected during the second half of the 20th century when statistically significant trends revealed for annual and spring mean temperature (Jaagus, 2006). Trend values for annual temperature were 0.2–0.3 K per decade, and for spring and winter temperature even 0.4–0.6 K per decade. In the majority of the studied stations annual and winter precipitation has also increased significantly in Estonia. It was demonstrated that these climatic changes have been closely related to changes in the large-scale atmospheric circulation, precisely, to the intensification of westerly circulation over the Atlantic/European sector in winter (Jaagus, 2006). It will be important to monitor if these trends have continued also in the beginning of the 21st century.

Several studies have shown regime shifts in climate variables over the Gulf of Finland and in Estonia. An increase in zonal circulation since 1987 was detected in February (Keevallik and Soomere, 2008). Similar shift at the end of the 1980s was found in the time series of cumulative wind stress calculated for December–January using data from Utö (Elken et al., 2014). Dynamics of wave properties of the Baltic Sea were analysed by Soomere and Räämet (2014), which were simulated using the WAM model. They were related to annual mean components of air flow of the adjusted geostrophic wind. A shift in simultaneity in annual mean wave length between 8 stations in the eastern coast of the Baltic Sea was detected since 1988 (Soomere et al., 2015). It was related to the observed shifts in the annual mean zonal and meridional components of air-flow of the adjusted geostrophic wind. During the period 1981–2010 an upward shift in average airflow speed over the Gulf of

Finland area in January was detected in 1988 and a downward return shift in 1994 (Keevallik and Soomere, 2014). Based on the data of two stations (Vilsandi and Tiirikoja, Estonia) abrupt increase was observed in the zonal component of average air flow from January to March, in air temperature for January and February and in precipitation for February (Keevallik, 2011). However, the precipitation regime in the eastern Baltic region can be characterised by a very high spatial and temporal variability and thus there are few reliable trends in time series of precipitation (Jaagus et al., 2010; 2016).

Long-term fluctuations in climatic parameters are reflected in the dynamics of river runoff that has relatively short residence time. River runoff in Estonia is characterized by a significant seasonal and interannual variability. It is mostly caused by precipitation variations and snow conditions during the winter season and the melting period in spring. Runoff regime is affected also by hydrographical and hydrogeological characteristics where we can find relatively large differences in Estonian catchment areas. Variability and trends in river discharge in the Baltic countries is analysed by many authors (Järvet, 1998; Reihan et al., 2006; 2007; 2012; Kriauciuniene et al., 2012). They found that increasing trends of river runoff were characteristic only in winter season during the 20th century. At the same time, runoff fluctuations with the period of ca 30 years are dominating in the time series for Estonia. Using the water balance model WATBAL changes in river runoff in Estonia were modelled for the case of continuous climate warming (Jaagus et al., 1998). The results indicated an increase of runoff in winter and decrease in spring, which could lead to drought conditions in the end of spring and beginning of summer.

The objective of this study is a joint analysis of changes, i.e. trends and regime shifts in main climatic (temperature, precipitation, snow cover duration) and hydrologic (river runoff) variables and in indices of large-scale atmospheric circulation to detect coherent changes. The study period 1951–2015 was chosen as it is the period when the highest number of stations with continuous time series is available in Estonia. The main idea and novelty of this study in comparison with the previous ones was to realise an integrated analysis of trends and regime shifts in time series of many inter-related and indicative variables of the climate system starting from the indices of the large-scale atmospheric circulation and ending with specific runoff of rivers.

2 Data and methods

Annual, seasonal and monthly mean air temperature and precipitation at 12 stations in Estonia (Figure 1) for 1951–2015 have been used for the analysis. No significant relocations of the measuring sites have been taken place at these stations except two stations. In 1980, the Tallinn station was moved from Ülemiste (airport) to Harku ca 12 km west. Thereby, the local placement on the limestone plateau several kilometres from the sea coast remained nearly the same. Since 1997 the Tartu station has moved from Ülenurme to Tõravere by 15 km southwest. Analysis of time series did not revealed inhomogeneities due to these displacements (Sits and Post, 2006; Keevallik and Vint, 2012). Adding of the wetting correction to the measured precipitation at all stations since 1966 was the only important source of inhomogeneity that is not eliminated from the time series. The wetting correction takes about ten per cent of monthly precipitation, which is difficult to

detect. In addition, time series of snow cover duration at five stations are analysed to better describe winter weather conditions.

For generalization of the results, time series of spatial mean temperature and precipitation were also analysed. Mean temperature for Estonia was calculated by averaging the values of the 12 stations. Spatial mean precipitation for Estonia was calculated by averaging the gridded values, which were interpolated using all available precipitation data (Jaagus, 1992).

We used specific runoff of rivers (in litres per second per square kilometre) because it allows comparing observed data from river basins of different size and assessing results of statistical analyses. Monthly, seasonal and annual specific runoff values in 1951–2015 have been used from all 21 stations with various catchment areas, hydrological and hydrogeological conditions where the long series of measurements is available in Estonia (Figure 1). Seasons were defined by three months as usual in climatological studies: spring (MAM), summer (JJA), autumn (SON) and winter (DJF).. Hydrological parameters of these river basins are presented in Table 1.

Large-scale atmospheric circulation is an important factor influencing on local weather conditions. In this study, changes in circulation are analysed using annual, seasonal and monthly values of Arctic Oscillation (AO) index, several North Atlantic Oscillation indices and teleconnection indices provided by the NOAA Climate Prediction Center. The AO index reflects the intensity of the circumpolar air vortex (Thompson and Wallace, 1998). North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) describes the intensity of westerlies over the Atlantic/European sector (Hurrell, 1995). We have used several NAO indices: NAOG using sea-level pressure (SLP) data from Gibraltar and Stykkisholmur/Reykjavik (Jones et al., 1997), NAOL using data from Lisbon and Reykjavik/Stykkisholmur (Hurrell, 1995) and NAOPC, which are calculated using the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of SLP fields (Hurrell and Deser, 2009).

Teleconnection patterns have been defined as a result of PCA of SLP fields over the Northern Hemisphere (Barnston and Livezey, 1987). Here we use only these teleconnection patterns, which represent atmospheric circulation inover the Baltic Sea region. Monthly values are presented and updated by the NOAA Climate Prediction Centre (http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/teledoc/telecontents.shtml). The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAOT), East Atlantic (EA) and Polar/Eurasia (POL) patterns describe mostly zonal circulation while the East Atlantic/West Russia (EAWR) and Scandinavia (SCA) patterns describe the meridional circulation.

Figure 1: Location map of Estonia with meteorological and hydrological stations, and annual mean specific runoff of studied river basins.

Table 1: The list of hydrological stations used in this study, their catchment areas and characteristics of annual mean specific runoff (l/s per km^2).

The Mann-Kendall test is used to detect trends in time series (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1975). The reason for selecting the test is that the consistency with normal (Gaussian) distribution in case of climatic and hydrological parameters is usually not

fulfilled. The trend slope is calculated using the Sen's method (Sen, 1968). Trends are expressed in changes per decade. They are considered statistically significant on the p<0.05 level.

Correlation coefficients are used for expressing the strength and direction of statistical relationship between time series of different climatic and hydrological variables. As the length of the time series in this case is 65 years then the critical value of
5 statistical significance on p<0.05 level is r = 0.245 and on 0.01 level r = 0.318. All correlation coefficients presented in this study are statistically significant at least on the p<0.05 level. .

The STARS (Sequential T-test Analysis of Regime Shifts) method, known also as the Rodionov test (Rodionov, 2004; Rodionov and Overland, 2005) was used for the detection of regime shifts in time series. The identification of a regime shift is based on calculating the regime shift index (RSI), which represents a cumulative sum of normalized deviations of the
10 time-series values from the hypothetical mean level for the new regime. This is the level for which the difference with the mean level for the previous regime is statistically significant according to Student's t test. If the RSI remains positive during a time period equal to the cut-off length, a shift is declared (Rodionov, 2004). Here, we used Excel macro developed by S. N. Rodionov and is downloadable from the Bering Sea Climate website http://www.beringclimate.noaa.gov/regimes/. As in case of many statistical methods, the STARS method has no strict rules for the selection of input parameters. The choice
15 depends on the purpose of the study. For example, if there is a need to detect short-term variations in the time series, then loose parameters can be used – high Huber´s weight parameter (HWP), short cut-off length (l) and low significance level of the t-test (p). Our task was to study general changes in atmospheric circulation, climatic and hydrological variables. Therefore we used a relatively conservative set of input parameters – l = 10 years, p = 0.05, HWP = 1. HWP determines the weight of outliers in calculation of mean values before and after the shift. No pre-whitening methods were used.
20 The output of the test is a year, when a regime shift occur (or years of shifts, if the time series contain multiple statistically significant shifts), i.e. the first year of the new level. The shift is expressed in RSI, which represents a relative magnitude of the shift. The higher the value of RSI the more abrupt and statistically reliable the shift is. However, RSI does not present the shift in terms, for example, of degrees of Celsiusin centigrades or mmillimetres of precipitation. Thus, as one output of the test is the difference between the weighted means that is used as a shift value for describing the magnitude of the shift.
25 One of the peculiarities of the STARS method is that it tends to find regime shifts in the end of time series. This characteristic of the method has been considered as an advantage that allows "to process data in real time, signalling the emergence of a potential shift and measuring changing confidence in the evidence for a shift as new data arrive" (Rodionov and Overland, 2005). Also, at the formal point of view, those RSI shifts are statistically reliable. However, it is understandable that in reality those shifts in the last years are meaningless and do not represent any substantial changes. Thus
30 we have ignored shifts that occurred in last 5 years of the analysed time series.

3 Results

3.1 Indices of large-scale atmospheric circulation

As large-scale atmospheric circulation has close relationships with air temperature and precipitation in Estonia (Jaagus, 2006), circulation indices were analysed as the main factors inducing climate variability. Statistically significant trends in the indices of ~~the~~ large-scale atmospheric circulation are comparatively rare (Table 2). The most remarkable feature is the significant increase of different NAO indices in winter ~~(Figure 2)~~. It means that negative NAO indices have become to occur less and positive anomalies more during the study period indicating the tendency of intensification of westerly circulation over the Atlantic/European sector. ~~Positive~~Increasing trends in the NAO indices were observed in January, February and March while ~~negative~~decreasing trends were found in June and October. AO index has a statistically significant increasing trend only in March, November, spring and annually. It is interesting that the EA index can be characterized by an increasing tendency throughout a year. The EAWR, SCA and POL teleconnection indices have some and mostly ~~negative~~ decreasing trends in single months (Table 2).

Table 2: Trend values of monthly, annual and seasonal indices of large-scale atmospheric circulation. Statistically significant trends on p<0.05 are typed in bold.

~~**Figure 2:** Time series of NAOPC indexices in winter (DJF) during 1951–2015, itstheir regime shifts since 1989 (wide lines) and linear trends (dashed lines): a) NAOPC in winter; b) NAOL in February and c) NAOG in summer..~~

The AO index and NAO indices for winter demonstrate a statistically significant positive regime shift since the winter 1988/1989 (Table 3~~, Figure 2a~~) reflecting an abrupt intensification of the westerly circulation. The upward shift year 1989 was detected for the following indices: AO – in February, winter and annual time series, NAOL – February, NAOG – winter, NAOPC – February, March, winter, spring, annual; NAOT – February, winter. In the case of the NAOT the shift year was 1988. Return shifts for the NAO indices appeared mostly in February since 2004 ~~(Figure 2b)~~. A negative regime shift was found for summer NAO index since 2007 ~~(Figure 2c)~~. The EA teleconnection index has significant upward regime shifts in many months and in all seasons except autumn (Table 3) corresponding to their ~~positive~~increasing trends (Table 2) but at different years: from 1970 to 2009. Other teleconnection indices had few regime shifts (not shown in Table 3).

Table 3: Years of statistically significant upward (+) and downward (-) shifts in time series of the circulation indices from different months and seasons.

3.2 Surface air temperature

Results of the Mann-Kendall test show a large warming in Estonia during the period 1951–2015. Annual mean air temperature has significantly increased at the studied stations by 2.0–2.5 K for the whole period or by 0.3–0.4 K per decade. Statistically significant warming has been detected also for all seasons while the trend values are higher than the annual mean in winter ~~(Figure 3)~~ and spring~~,~~ and lower in summer and autumn. Among single months, statistically significant

trends during the study period were detected in March, April, May, July, August, September and November (Table 4). No trends were observed in June and October. Notable warming in January, February and December was mostly insignificant due to a very high temporal variability of air temperature in winter.

Table 4: Trend values, shift years and shift values of spatially averaged monthly, annual and seasonal mean air temperature and precipitation in Estonia during 1951–2015. Statistically significant trends on $p<0.05$ are typed in bold. A significant downward regime shift for temperature was detected only in January since 1966 by -2.5 K.

~~**Figure 3:** Time series of winter (DJF) mean air temperature (blue) and snow cover duration (red), their regime shifts since the winter 1988/1989 (wide lines) and linear trends (dashed lines).~~

Upward regime shifts are typical for air temperature (Table 4). Winter mean temperature has abruptly increased since 1988/1989 by 1.9–2.7 K at different stations. The highest shift value was recorded in Tartu ~~(Figure 3)~~. Generally, the trends and regime shifts at the coastal stations in the western Estonia are weaker than in the inland stations of the eastern Estonia. For example, the changes by trend of annual, winter and spring temperature during the 65 years were in coastal stations – Vilsandi 2.2, 2.4 and 3.0, Ristna 2.0, 2.1 and 2.5, Tallinn 1.9. 2.4. 3.2 – and in inland stations of eastern Estonia – Võru 2.4, 2.4 and 3.4, Tartu 2.5, 2.9 and 3.7, Tiirikoja 2.3, 3.1 and 3.7 K, correspondingly. The values of regime shift in winter mean temperature since 1989 were the following; Vilsandi 1.9, Ristna 1.9, Tallinn 2.2, Võru 2.4, Tartu 2.7, Tiirikoja 2.7 K.

Similar upward shift of even higher magnitude was found for monthly mean temperature in February while some stations in the southern Estonia had a downward shift since 2005. Stations in the continental part of Estonia had a downward shift of monthly mean temperature in January by 2.3–2.7 K since 1966 following the upward shift by 4.1–4.7 K since 1988. Statistically significant regime shifts in March and April temperature were found in two different years. In March, the upward shift by 3.2–3.9 K revealed since 1966 in the eastern half of the country and by 1.9–2.3 K since 1989 in the coastal region. In April, the shift to the higher temperature by 1.5–2.1 K occurred in 1989 in the southern regions and the western coast while in the northern Estonia it happened since 1999 by 1.7–1.9 K. Time series of spatial mean temperature in Estonia has a shift in March since 1966 and in April since 1989 (Table 3̶4).

Regime shifts in air temperature for the warm half-year were detected more than ten years later than for the cold half-year. Mean temperature in July had a jump by 2.0–2.4 K since 2001 (since 1999 in the island stations Vilsandi and Kihnu), in August the shift was since 2002 (since 1996 in Vilsandi and Kihnu) by 1.3–1.7 K and in September since 2004 by 1.5–1.7 K. Mean temperature in spring has upward regime shifts in different years – since 1966, 1982 and 1989. Summer temperature shifted since 1999, 2001 or 2010 at different stations and autumn temperature since 2005. Annual mean temperature has a statistically significant increase by 1.2–1.5 K in 1988 (or 1989).

Interannual fluctuations in time series of air temperature are statistically related to the variations in the indices of large-scale atmospheric circulation. The indices describing the intensity of westerly circulation (AO and NAO indices) are highly

correlated with winter temperature. Correlation coefficient is usually 0.6–0.7 or even higher. For example, correlations between winter mean temperature in Tartu and Ristna with the AO index in winter wasere 0.710 and 0.755, and with NAOPC 0.765 and 0.800, correspondingly. Higher correlation revealed clearly in the coastal zone.

3.3 Snow cover duration

The warming in winter is closely related to the decrease in snow cover duration. The trend values were 3-4.0 days per decade in the five stations in Estonia (Table 5). Pärnu, 3.6 in Tallinn, 3.4 in Tartu (Figure 3), 3.3 in Võru and 3.0 days per decade in Vilsandi. The change was statistically significant on $p<0.05$ level only in the two first stations. The low significance can be explained by a very high year-to-year variability of snow cover duration.

Time series of snow cover duration at all studied stations in the continental part of Estonia have a statistically significant negative shift by 16–20 days since the winter 1988/89 (Figure 3). An exception was detected in Vilsandi that is located on the westernmost island under the direct influence of the Baltic Sea. A downward shift in snow cover duration by 31.9 days was observed in Vilsandi since 1988/1989 followed by an upward shift by 32.4 days detected since 2009/2010.

Correlation between winter mean temperature and snow cover duration is lower (r = -0.65 -0.75) in the inland regions of Estonia and much higher in the coast of the Baltic Sea (r = -0.88 in Vilsandi). Similar spatial differences appear in correlation coefficients between snow cover duration and indices describing the intensity of westerly circulation (AO, NAO indices) in winter. Their values are between -0.5 and -0.7.

Table 5: Trend values, years of regime shifts and corresponding shift values of snow cover duration. Statistically significant trends on $p<0.05$ are typed in bold.

3.4 Precipitation

Precipitation has even higher spatial and temporal variability than snow cover duration. Generally, correlations between precipitation and other climatic parameters are comparatively weaker. Trend values for stations are quite different. There are no months or seasons when a statistically significant trend was observed in all stations. Increasing trends in monthly precipitation up to 5 mm per decade were detected during the cold half-year (from November to March) and also in June (Table 4, Figure 4). Winter season has received significantly more precipitation with the exception in Vilsandi. The majority of stations have experienced also a significant increase in annual precipitation by 15–30 mm per decade. Mostly no changes have been observed during the warm half-year (except June). An insignificant decrease could be noticed at some stations in April, July and September. Generally, there is no correlation between air temperature and precipitation in Estonia but a weak positive correlation (r = 0.30–0.35) could be found in winter and a negative correlation of the same magnitude appears in May, June and August.

Due to the extremely high temporal variability, regime shifts in time series of precipitation are not so clearly expressed as in case of temperature (Table 4). There have been detected several significant shifts up and down, which express, first of all, multi-annual fluctuations of precipitation and not so much abrupt climatic changes. Upward shifts by more than even 100 mm for annual precipitation were detected in many stations during 1977–1986. They reflect the start of the period of higher precipitation since 1977. Similar shifts for winter precipitation were observed later, starting with 1980/1981 and 1981/1982 in three stations, and ending with 1988/1989 and 1989/1990 in four of 12 stations~~, for example at Türi (Figure 4)~~. Positive regime shifts for monthly precipitation revealed in all stations in the 1980s during the cold season from November to March. In many cases they followed by return shifts in 2003 (February) and 1996 (March). Downward shifts in September precipitation were detected in many stations mostly since 1998. Significant upward shifts since 1966 in spring (7 stations) and autumn precipitation (2 stations) can be explained by the added wetting correction to every measured precipitation.

3.5 Specific runoff of rivers

The trend analysis of specific runoff of rivers demonstrated mostly an increase in annual values while statistically significant trend was detected only in five stations (Table ~~5~~6). At the same time, increasing trends revealed at all stations (except Vasknarva that is naturally regulated by Lake Peipsi) in winter season and in three first months of a year (Table 6). Trend values of specific runoff at single stations were quite different but mostly between 0.4–0.9 l/s per km^2 per decade while lower values are obtained from the eastern Estonia and higher values from the western Estonia. The time series of winter runoff in Emajõgi river measured in Tartu ~~(Figure 5)~~ describes most generally the interannual variations because its catchment area takes 17 per cent of the total territory of Estonia. The highest increase was detected in Uue-Lõve river basin on Saaremaa Island where the trend value of winter mean specific runoff was 1.1 l/s per km^2 per decade. Vasknarva station is an exception due to its location in the outflow from the Lake Peipsi to Narva River that has a runoff regime very different from other rivers. It has a large area of river basin buffered by the large lake. A significant increasing trend revealed there only in February and March.

During the other months few significant trends were detected. In southern Estonia (Õhne, Väike-Emajõgi and Piigaste rivers) runoff has increased also in June and in Võhandu river (Räpina station) in July. They are related to the increase of precipitation in June. Mostly negative changes are typical for spring. In April, which is the most common month for spring flood to occur, the specific runoff has decreased by up to 1 l/s per km^2 per decade but this trend was statistically significant only ~~in~~for Õhne and Kasari rivers. The decrease in May was significant in central and northern Estonia, in 8 stations of 21. It is related to the shift of the spring runoff maximum caused by snow melt to the earlier time, i.e. to March. Annual mean specific runoff has significantly increased in some rivers of southern Estonia (in rivers Emajõgi, Väike-Emajõgi, Õhne and

Halliste) by 0.2–0.3 l/s per km^2 per decade, and in Uue-Lõve river in West-Estonian archipelago by 0.47 l/s per km^2 per decade.

Relationship between climatic variables and runoff in Estonia is well exposed particularly in winter. Higher temperature, precipitation and lower snow cover duration in winter are related to higher winter runoff. Correlations between temperature and runoff in January, February and March are up to 0.7, between precipitation and runoff up to 0.6 and between snow cover duration and runoff up to -0.6. At the same time, correlation between snow cover duration and specific runoff in April is strongly positive (r = 0.5–0.6).

Table ~~5~~6: Trend values, years of regime shifts and corresponding shift values of annual mean specific runoff. Statistically significant trends on p<0.05 are typed in bold.

Table ~~6~~7: Trend values of mean monthly, annual and seasonal specific runoff averaged over the 21 stations in Estonia. Statistically significant trends on p<0.05 level are typed in bold. Shift years, mean shift values and numbers of stations with statistically significant regime shifts in specific runoff.

~~**Figure 5:** Time series of specific runoff (l/s per km2) in winter at Tartu station on Emajõgi River during 1951–2015, its regime shift since 1987/1988 (wide line) and linear trend (dashed line).~~

Figure ~~6~~2: Regime shifts in winter (DJF) specific runoff of rivers in 14 stations where a statistically significant shift was detected in 1987/1988 ~~and~~or 1988/1989.

Time series of annual mean runoff describe long-term alternation of wet and dry periods in Estonia (Table ~~5~~6). Downward shift in 1963 or 1964 followed by upward shift since 1978 or 1981 mark the dry period in the middle of the whole study period. Some stations and some months show a decreasing runoff again in the beginning of the 21st century.

Regime shifts in winter temperature in Estonia have caused shifts in specific runoff of rivers (Table ~~6~~7
). Warmer winters are naturally related to higher runoff in winter and earlier maximum in spring after the snow melt that is typical for Estonia. Usually it has been observed in April but during the last decades it has shifted to March. As a consequence, runoff in March has a positive shift and that causes the negative shift in April. In 14 from 21 stations upward regime shifts in runoff appeared since the winters 1988/1989 or 1987/1988 (Figure ~~6~~2). The same shift was present also in January, February and March. The consistent upward regime shifts in specific runoff appeared in 19 from 21 stations since March 1989, which has been the most prominent change despite the high variability in hydrological and hydrogeological conditions in watersheds analysed in this study. The increase of runoff in March is closely related to the decrease in April since 1989 with the return shift in the end of the time series since 2009 or 2010 (Figure ~~7~~3). During the other months there were practically no significant regime shifts in specific runoff.

Figure ~~7~~3: Time series of specific runoff (l/s per km2) in March (blue) and April (red) at Riisa station on Halliste ~~R~~river during 1951–2015 and their regime shifts of opposite sign since 1989 and 2009 (wide lines).

4 Discussion

It is rather natural that the elements of the climate system – air temperature, precipitation, snow cover, river runoff and indices of the large-scale atmospheric circulation – have close relationships. Changes in some parameters will induce corresponding changes in other parameters. We analysed correlations between them, similarities in their trends and regime
10 shifts in Estonia. Such kind of local-scale integrated analysis of trends and regime shifts in time series of many inter-related and indicative variables of the climate system starting is innovative enabling to detect general regularities of a long-term environmental change. ~~We suppose that our findings are relevant for much wider territory in the Baltic Sea region.~~

The character of the large-scale atmospheric circulation is the main factor causing a very high interannual variability of weather conditions in the region. The highest role of circulation can be observed during the cold season when the role of
15 solar radiation is negligible due to high latitude of the study region. The Atlantic Ocean is virtually the only source of warm air for northern Europe in winter. Winter weather conditions in Estonia are largely determined by the intensity of westerly circulation over the Atlantic/European sector causing the advection of comparatively warm and moist air from the ocean. In case of weakening of westerlies the influence of the ocean decreases and weather is formed under the influence of a cold and dry continental air mass.

20 The AO and NAO indices are appropriate variables for describing the intensity of westerlies. They have high correlations with winter climatic and hydrological parameters in Estonia. First of all, they determine temperature conditions in winter. Snow cover duration is directly dependent on temperature while precipitation has more indirect relationships. Higher temperature in winter is caused by cyclonic weather situation. It is related to cloudy, windy and rainy (snowy) conditions with higher precipitation. We detected increasing trends and upward regime shifts in AO and NAO indices in winter months
25 and for the winter season as a whole. It reflects the intensification of the westerly circulation that abruptly occurred since the winter 1988/1989.

Changes in large-scale atmospheric circulation, trends as well as regime shifts, naturally have caused changes in other parameters. For example, the intensification of westerly circulation in winter during 1951–2015 has induced a significant increase of winter and spring temperature, winter precipitation and river runoff, and a decrease in snow cover duration. It is
30 expressed by linear trends and even better by coherent regime shifts since the late 1980s. The shift year 1989 was found for the majority of time series analysed in this study. This result lies in a good concordance with the previous investigations in the same region (Keevallik and Soomere, 2008; 2014; Elken et al., 2014; Soomere et al., 2015).

The results of the trend analysis confirm the fact that climate warming in the Baltic Sea region has been faster than the global mean (BACC, 2015). Trend values 0.3–0.4°C per decade for annual mean temperature and the maximum more than 0.6 °C in March show the existence of a very rapid change during 1951–2015. In comparison with the previous analysis (Jaagus, 2006) the warming trend has intensified during the last 15 years annually as well as monthly and seasonally. The only exception is spring. The trend in March and spring mean temperature in Estonia during 1951–2015 is much lower than in 1951–2000. At the same time, mean temperature in summer and autumn had no trend in 1951–2000 (Jaagus, 2006) but a statistically significant trend appeared when we used the updated time series (Table 4).

In the majority of cases with significant trends, there was also detected a regime shift. It allows to assume that the climate change is not a monotone process but consists of abrupt changes. Several return shifts, i.e. shifts of an opposite direction were also found. In these cases the initial regime was more or less re-established. The shift value of about 2°C for winter temperature is practically the same as the total change by trend. It means that the whole winter warming during 1951–2015 can be described by the regime shift since 1988/1989.

It is important to emphasise differences between the coastal zone and the continental part of Estonia. The winter warming has been higher in the continent and lower near the coast of the Baltic Sea. It can be explained by the thermal inertia of the sea and by the fact that in the case of stronger westerly circulation the advection of mild and moist maritime air mass into the continental parts of Estonia causes more substantial change in weather conditions than in the coastal zone. At the same time correlation between the circulation indices and mean temperature is much higher in the coast.

Snow cover duration has a highly negative correlation with air temperature in winter. Therefore, the decrease by 3-4 days per decade as well as the downward regime shift by 16-20 days since the winter 1988/1989 follow the changes in temperature during the study period. The trend in snow cover duration in Estonia has not changed in comparison with the results of the previous study (Jaagus, 2006). Snow cover duration has continued to decrease using the updated time series.

Various results of the analysis of trends and regime shifts for precipitation can be explained by its extremely high spatial and temporal variability. Mostly positiveincreasing trends appeared in case of winter months. It is natural because higher winter temperature in Estonia is related to cyclonic weather conditions that are also illustrated with higher cloudiness, wind speed and precipitation. The results of the trend analysis are in line with the previous study. Only exception is November when precipitation has significantly increased during the longer period (Table 4). Regime shifts in precipitation are not coherent in the stations. They reflect long-term fluctuations. For example, the start of a rainy period in the end of the 1970s and in the beginning of 1980s is characterised by an upward shift in annual precipitation detected in many stations. Similar regime shifts were found also for the three Baltic countries (Jaagus et al., 2016).

Annual mean runoff has not revealed any strong trends or shifts in spite of the increased precipitation and temperature. However, there are clear seasonal changes found in several earlier studies and confirmed in the current one. Specific runoff has significantly increased for the winter season and in all winter months separately from December to March. The highest increase has occurred in western Estonia. As the most important change in the hydrological regime of rivers, the maximum spring runoff has moved from May and April to March due to milder winters and earlier snowmelt. It could be considered as

a logical consequence of climate warming that is projected in Estonia for the end of this century (Jaagus et al., 1998; Jaagus and Mändla, 2014).

Despite the comparatively small territory, there were found quite obvious spatial differences in regime shifts in Estonia. The Vasknarva station on Narva River reflects runoff fluctuations on much wider area than the territory of Estonia buffered by Lake Peipsi. Therefore, they are not well comparable with shifts in other stations. There were detected much less statistically significant regime shifts in specific runoff in the northern Estonia (Jägala, Valgejõgi, Kunda and Purtse rivers) than in the other parts of Estonia. Upward shifts revealed there only in March since 1989. Rivers in northern Estonia are also characterised by strong decreasing trends in specific runoff in May.

The second group consists of Vihterpalu, Leivajõgi and Keila Rivers in north-western Estonia where shifts were detected also in January, February and winter as a whole. Rivers in western Estonia (Kasari, Pärnu, Lõve) have the strongest regime shifts. Kasari and Lõve rivers have extremely high values of the shifts, which exceed 11 l/s per km2 in January and February. Positive shifts at these stations revealed also in annual runoff. Two other groups of rivers were distinguished in central Estonia (Pedja, Põltsamaa, Navesti) and southern Estonia (Võhandu, Piigaste, Väike-Emajõgi, Õhne, Halliste). Both groups can be characterised by positive regime shifts in January and March and negative shift in April since the end of the 1980s, but in southern Estonia there are shifts also in February and June.

One peculiarity of the STARS method (Rodionov test) is the fact that it does not give reliable results for the end of the time series. Therefore, using the updated time series permits us to confirm that the regime shifts at the end of the 1980s have really taken place.

5 Conclusions

The main result of this study is the detection of coherent regime shifts in many climatic and hydrological parameters in Estonia that mainly occurred since the winter 1988/89. This significant change was caused by an abrupt intensification of westerlies described by the AO and NAO indices, which has brought bigger amount of warm air from the North Atlantic to the Baltic Sea region. As a consequence, winter air temperature has increased significantly and the duration of snow cover has decreased. Due to the thermal inertia mild winters are followed by early and warmer springs. The warming trend has been mentioned throughout a year but it is the highest in winter and spring. The shift value of about 2°C for winter temperature and up to 20 days for snow cover duration detected in the end of the 1980s is nearly similar to the total change by trend in 1951–2015.

Precipitation can be described by a moderate increase observed during the cold season (from November to March) and June, and by various regime shifts. Annual precipitation has shift years since 1977 at some stations and winter precipitation since the end of 1980s.

Changes in climatic parameters were reflected in specific runoff of rivers. Winter runoff has increased significantly and especially in March. Runoff maximum caused by snowmelt has shifted from April to March and runoff in April has decreased. There were few changes in specific runoff during the warm half-year.

Acknowledgements

This study was done using the financial support of the European Regional Development Fund (project EstKliima of the Environmental Protection and −Technology Programme No 3.2.0802.11-0043), the EU JPI WATER project IMDROFLOOD and the institutional research grant IUT2-16 of the Estonian Research Council.

References

Alheit, J., Möllmann, C., Dutz, J., Kornilovs, G., Loewe, P., Mohrholz, V. and Wasmund, N.: Synchronous ecological regime shifts in the central Baltic and the North Sea in the late 1980s. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 62, 1205–1215, 2005.

BACC, 2008. Assessment of climate change for the Baltic Sea basin. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 473 pp.

BACC, 2015. Second assessment of climate change for the Baltic Sea basin. Springer, Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London, 501 pp.

Barnston, A. G. and Livezey, R. E.: Classification, seasonality and persistence of low-frequency atmospheric circulation patterns. Monthly Weather Review, 115, 1083–1126, 1987.

Biggs, R., Carpenter, S. R. and Brock, W.A.: Turning back from the brink: Detecting an impending regime shift in time to avert it. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106, 826–831, 2009.

Conversi, A., Fonda Umani, S., Peluso, T., Molinero, J. C., Santojanni, A. and Edwards, M.: The Mediterranean Sea regime shift at the end of the 1980s, and intriguing parallelisms with other European basins. Plos One, 5, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0010633, 2010.

DeYoung, B., Harris, R., Alheit, J., Beaugrand, G., Mantua, N. and Shannon, L.: Detecting regime shifts in the ocean: data considerations. Progress in Oceanography, 60, 143–164, 2004.

Dippner, J. W., Möller, C. and Hänninen, J.: Regime shifts in North Sea and Baltic Sea: A comparison. Journal of Marine Systems, 105–108, 115–122, 2012.

Drinkwater, K. F.: The regime shift of the 1920s and 1930s in the North Atlantic. Progress in Oceanography, 68, 134–151, 2006.

Elken, J., Raudsepp, U., Laanemets, J., Passenko, J., Maljutenko, I., Pärn, O. and Keevallik, S.: Increased frequency of wintertime stratification collapse events in the Gulf of Finland since the 1990s. Journal of Marine Systems, 129, 47–55, 2014.

Hagen, E. and Feistel, R.: Climatic turning points and regime shifts in the Baltic Sea region: the Baltic winter index (WIBIX) 1659–2002. Boreal Environment Research, 10, 211–224, 2005.

Hare, S. R. and Mantua, N. J.: Empirical evidence for North Pacific regime shifts in 1977 and 1989. Progress in Oceanography, 47, 103–145, 2000.

5 Huntington, T. G.: Evidence for intensification of the global water cycle: review and synthesis. Journal of Hydrology, 1, 83–95, 2006.

Hurrell, J. W.: Decadal trends in the North Atlantic oscillation: regional temperatures and precipitation. Science, 269, 676–679, 1995.

Hurrell, J. W. and Deser, C.: North Atlantic climate variability: The role of the North Atlantic Oscillation. Journal of Marine
10 Systems, 78, 28–41, 2009.

Jaagus, J.: Periodicity of precipitation in Estonia. Estonia. Man and Nature, 6, 43–53, 1992.

Jaagus, J.: Climatic fluctuations and trends in Estonia in the 20th century and possible climate change scenarios. Climate change studies in Estonia (Eds. T. Kallaste, P. Kuldna). Tallinn, Stockholm Environment Institute Tallinn Centre, pp. 7–12, 1998.

15 Jaagus, J.: Climatic changes in Estonia during the second half of the 20th century in relationship with changes in large-scale atmospheric circulation. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 83, 77–88, 2006.

Jaagus, J., Briede, A., Rimkus, E., Remm, K.: Precipitation pattern in the Baltic countries under the influence of large-scale atmospheric circulation and local landscape factors. International Journal of Climatology, 30, 705–720, 2010.

Jaagus, J., Briede, A., Rimkus, E., Sepp, M.: Changes in precipitation regime in the Baltic countries in 1966–2015.
20 Theoretical and Applied Climatology, DOI: 10.1007/s00704-016-1990-8, 2016.

Jaagus, J., Järvet, A. and Roosaare, J.: Modelling the climate change impact on river runoff in Estonia. Climate change studies in Estonia (Eds. T. Kallaste, P. Kuldna). Tallinn, Stockholm Environment Institute Tallinn Centre, pp. 117–126, 1998.

Jaagus, J. and Mändla, K.: Climate change scenarios for Estonia based on climate models from the IPCC Fourth Assessment
25 Report. Estonian Journal of Earth Sciences, 63, 166–180, 2014.

Jones, P. D., Jónsson, T. and Wheeler, D.: Extension to the North Atlantic Oscillation using early instrumental pressure observations from Gibraltar and South-West Iceland. International Journal of Climatology, 17, 1433–1450, 1997.

Järvet, A.: Long-term changes in time series of water balance elements. In: Country Case Study in Climate Change Impacts and Adaptation Assessments in the Republic of Estonia. Report to the UNEP/GEF Project N.: GF/2200-96-45, 69−71, 1998.

30 Keevallik, S.: Shifts in meteorological regime of the late winter and early spring in Estonia during recent decades. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 105, 209–215, 2011.

Keevallik, S. and Soomere, T.: Shifts in early spring wind regime in North-East Europe (1955-2007). Climate of the Past, 4, 147–152, 2008.

Keevallik, S. and Soomere, T.: Regime shifts in the surface-level average air flow over the Gulf of Finland during 1981–2010. Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences, 63, 428–437, 2014.

Keevallik, S. and Vint, K.: Influence of changes in the station location and measurement routine on the homogeneity of the temperature, wind speed and precipitation time series. Estonian Journal of Engineering, 18, 302–313, 2012.

5   Kendall, M. G.: 1975. Rank Correlation Methods. Griffin: London.

Kerr, R.A.: Unmasking a shifty climate system, Science, 255, 1508–1510, 1992.

Kim, Y.-H., Kim, M.-K., Lau, W. K. M., Kim, K.-M. and Cho, C.-H.: Possible mechanism of abrupt jump in winter surface air temperature in the late 1980s over the Northern Hemisphere. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmosphere, 120, 12474–12485, 2015.

10   Kriaučiuniene, J., Meilutyte-Barauskiene, D., Reihan, A., Koltsova, T., Lizuma, L. and Sarauskiene, D.: Variability in temperature, precipitation and river discharge in the Baltic States. Boreal Environment Research, 17, 150–162, 2012.

Labat, D., Goddéris, Y., Probst, J.L. and Guyot, J. L.: Evidence for global runoff increase related to climate warming. Advances in Water Resources, 27, 631–642, 2004.

Lehmann, A., Getzlaff, K. and Harlaß, J.: Detailed assessment of climate variability in the Baltic Sea area for the period 15   1958 to 2009. Climate Research, 46, 185–196, 2011.

Lo, T. and Hsu, H.: Change in the dominant decadal patterns and the late 1980s abrupt warming in the extratropical Northern Hemisphere. Atmospheric Science Letters, 11, 210–2015, 2010.

Mann, H. B.: Nonparametric tests against trend. Econometrica, 13, 245–259, 1945.

Mantua, N., Hare S., Zhang Y., Wallace J., and Francis R.: A Pacific interdecadal climate oscillation with impacts on salmon 20   production. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 78, 1069–1079, 1997.

Möllmann, C., Diekmann, R., Müller-Karulis, B., Kornilovs, G., Plikshs, M. and Axe, P.: Reorganization of a large marine ecosystem due to atmospheric and anthropogenic pressure: a discontinuous regime shift in the Central Baltic Sea. Global Change Biology, 15, 1377–1393, 2009.

Overland, J. E., Rodionov, S., Minobe, S. and Bond, N.: North Pacific regime shifts: Definitions, issue and recent transitions. 25   Progress in Oceanography, 77, 92–102, 2008.

Reihan, A., Kolcova, T., Kriauciuniene, J., Meilutyte-Barauskiene, D. and Järvet, A.: Changes in river runoff in Baltic States in 20th century. Nordic Hydrology Programme Report, 49: Nordic Water 2006 – The XXIV Nordic Hydrological Conference; Denmark; 6-9 August, 601–608, 2006.

Reihan, A., Koltsova, T., Kriauciuniene, J., Lizuma, L. and Meilutyte-Barauskiene, D.: Changes in water discharges of the 30   Baltic States rivers in the 20th century and its relation to climate change. Nordic Hydrology, 38, 401–412, 2007.

Reihan, A., Kriauciuniene, J., Meilutyte-Barauskiene, D., Kolcova, T.: Temporal variation of spring flood in rivers of the Baltic States. Hydrology Research, 43, 301–314, 2012.

Reid, P. C., Hari, R. E., Beaugrand, G., Livingstone, D. M., Marty, C., Straile, D., Barichivich, J., Goberville, E., Adrian, R., Aono, Y., Brown, R., Foster, J., Groisman, P., Hélaouët, P., Hsu, H.-H., Kirby, R., Knight, J., Kraberg, A., Li, J., Lo, T.-T.,

Myneni, R. B., North, R. P., Pounds, J. A., Sparks, T., Stübi, R., Tian, Y., Wiltshire, K. H., Xiao, D. and Zhu, Z.: Global impacts of the 1980s regime shift. Global Change Biology, 22, 682–703, 2016.

Reihan, A., Koltsova, T., Kriauciuniene, J., Lizuma, L. and Meilutyte-Barauskiene, D.: Changes in water discharges of the Baltic states rivers in the 20th century and its relation to climate change. Hydrology Research, 38, 401–412, 2007.

5   Rodionov, S. N.: A sequential algorithm for testing climate regime shifts. Geophysical Research Letters, 31:L09204, doi:10.1029/2004GL019448, 2004.

Rodionov, S. N.: A brief overview of the regime shift detection methods, in large-scale disturbances (regime shifts) and recovery in aquatic ecosystems: Challenges for Management Towards Sustainability, edited by V. Velikova, and N. Chipev, U.N. Educ., Sci. and Cult. Organ., Paris, 17–24, 2005.

10 Rodionov, S. N. and Overland, J. E.: Application of a sequential regime shift detection method to the Bering Sea ecosystem. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 62, 328–332, 2005.

Rodwell, M. J., Rowell, D. P. and Folland, C. K.: Oceanic forcing of the wintertime North Atlantic oscillation and European climate. Nature, 398, 320–323, 1999.

Rutgersson, A., Jaagus, J., Schenk, F. and Stendel, M.: Observed changes and variability of atmospheric parameters in the
15 Baltic Sea region during the last 200 years. Climate Research, 61, 177–190, 2014.

Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau. International Journal of American Statistical Association, 63, 1379–1389, 1968.

Sits, M. and Post, P.: Comparison of air temperatures measured at three Tartu meteorological stations. Publicationes Geophysicales Universitatis Tartuensis 50, 205–214, 2006.

20 Soomere, T., Bishop, S.R., Viška, M. and Räämet, A.: An abrupt change in winds that may radically affect the coasts and deep sections of the Baltic Sea. Climate Research, 62, 163–171, 2015.

Soomere, T. and Räämet, A.: Decadal changes in the Baltic Sea wave heights. Journal of Marine Systems, 129, 86–95, 2014.

Swanson, K. L. and Tsonis, A. A.: Has the climate recently shifted? Geophys. Res. Lett. 36, L06711. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008GL037022, 2009.

25 Tanaka, H. L., Kanohgi, R. and Yasunari, T.: Recent abrupt intensification of the northern polar vertex since 1988. Journal of Meteorological Society of Japan, 74, 947–954, 1996.

Thompson, D. W. and Wallace, J.M. The Arctic Oscillation signature in the wintertime geopotential height and temperature fields. Geophysical Research Letters, 25, 1297–1300, 1998.

Tsunoda, T., Kawahata, H., Suzuki, A., Minoshima, K. and Shikazono, N.: East Asian Monsoon to El Niño/Southern
30 Oscillation: a shift in the winter climate of Ishigaki Island accompanying the 1988/1989 regime shift, based on instrumental and coral records. Geophysical Research Letters, 35, L13708. DOI: 10.1029/2008GL033539, 2008.

Walsh, J. E., Chapman, W. L. and Shy, T. L.: Recent decrease of sea level pressure in the central Arctic. Journal of Climate, 9, 480–486, 1996.

Watanabe, M. and Nitta, T.: Decadal changes in the atmospheric circulation and associated surface climate variations in the Northern Hemisphere winter. Journal of Climate, 12, 494–510, 1999.

Yasunaka, S. and Hanawa, K.: Regime shifts found in the Northern Hemisphere SST field. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan, 80, 119–135, 2002.

Table 1: The list of hydrological stations used in this study, their catchment areas and characteristics of annual mean specific runoff (l/s per km^2).

River	Station	Area, km^2	Annual mean	St. deviation	Annual maximum	Annual minimum
Narva	Vasknarva	47800	6.85	1.83	10.88 (1990)	3.37 (1973)
Emajõgi	Tartu	7840	7.44	1.89	11.32 (1990)	3.71 (1996)
Pedja	Tõrve	776	7.85	2.19	13.25 (1981)	3.92 (1996)
Põltsamaa	Pajusi	1030	9.23	2.49	15.03 (1962)	4.38 (2006)
Piigaste	Piigaste	11.5	8.94	2.71	16.04 (1978)	4.01 (1965)
Võhandu	Räpina	1130	7.28	1.73	11.43 (1990)	4.17 (1996)
Väike-Emajõgi	Tõlliste	1050	7.90	1.97	14.31 (1978)	4.28 (1996)
Õhne	Tõrva	269	8.87	2.50	18.41 (1978)	4.74 (1965)
Halliste	Riisa	1880	9.27	2.66	16.50 (1978)	4.13 (1996)
Navesti	Aesoo	1008	9.13	2.77	17.22 (1981)	4.06 (1996)
Pärnu	Tahkuse	2080	9.92	2.81	18.16 (1981)	5.40 (1964)
Pärnu	Oore	5160	9.62	2.76	17.05 (1981)	4.95 (1996)
Lõve	Uue-Lõve	134	10.65	3.34	19.52 (2012)	5.40 (1976)
Kasari	Kasari	2640	9.62	2.79	15.74 (2012)	5.02 (2003)
Vihterpalu	Vihterpalu	474	9.29	2.84	19.19 (2012)	3.59 (2003)
Keila	Keila	635	9.78	3.02	16.83 (2012)	4.77 (2006)
Leivajõgi	Pajupea	83	8.12	2.54	14.26 (1981)	3.63 (2006)
Jägala	Kehra	903	8.23	2.41	15.86 (1981)	3.37 (1996)
Valgejõgi	Vanaküla	404	8.65	2.34	15.51 (1981)	4.12 (2006)
Kunda	Sämi	406	10.77	2.66	17.44 (1962)	5.45 (2006)
Purtse	Lüganuse	784	8.35	2.65	15.66 (1981)	3.08 (1964)

Table 2: Trend values of monthly, annual and seasonal indices of large-scale atmospheric circulation. Statistically significant trends on p<0.05 are typed in bold.

	AO	NAOL	NAOG	NAOPC	NAOT	EA	EAWR	SCA	POL
Jan	1,12	**1,95**	1,13	**1,40**	**1,02**	**2,03**	-0,04	0,09	**-1,19**
Feb	1,16	**1,85**	1,25	**1,36**	**1,23**	0,86	0,41	0,37	-0,99
Mar	**1,18**	**1,82**	1,05	**1,63**	**1,58**	1,04	-0,22	-0,24	**-1,09**
Apr	0,44	-0,11	-0,41	0,26	0,46	**1,42**	0,61	-0,75	-0,20
May	0,61	0,62	0,02	0,40	-0,21	0,90	-0,68	-0,46	-0,08
Jun	-0,11	**-1,60**	**-1,84**	-0,49	-0,75	0,53	**-1,52**	**-1,00**	0,35
Jul	-0,18	-0,76	-1,09	-0,40	-0,66	**1,65**	-0,42	0,26	0,65
Aug	0,24	0,29	-0,48	-0,07	-0,03	**1,76**	-0,96	-0,77	**1,13**
Sep	0,32	-0,54	**-1,86**	-0,06	0,10	0,59	-0,85	0,09	0,23
Oct	-0,50	-1,47	**-1,69**	**-1,16**	**-1,52**	1,39	**-0,85**	-0,66	-0,48
Nov	**1,10**	1,08	0,14	0,72	0,59	1,62	0,17	-0,56	-0,11
Dec	0,53	-0,14	0,81	0,57	0,84	**1,81**	-0,11	-0,36	**-0,95**
Year	**0,43**	0,42	-0,26	0,38	0,25	**1,18**	-0,35	**-0,32**	**-0,35**
Spring	**0,69**	0,55	0,06	**0,75**	**0,64**	**0,97**	-0,11	**-0,51**	**-0,74**
Summer	0,00	-0,60	**-1,25**	-0,27	-0,49	**1,33**	**-0,91**	-0,39	0,58
Autumn	0,27	-0,25	**-0,91**	-0,19	-0,24	**1,04**	**-0,56**	-0,39	0,12
Winter	0,79	**1,13**	0,84	**1,11**	**0,99**	**1,50**	0,06	0,02	**-1,00**

Table 3: Years of statistically significant upward (+) and downward (-) shifts in time series of the circulation indices from different months and seasons..

	AO +	AO -	NAOL +	NAOL -	NAOG +	NAOG -	NAOPC +	NAOPC -	NAOT +	NAOT -	EA +	EA -
Jan			1983						1983	1963	1970	
Feb	1989		1989	2004			1989	2004	1988	2004		
Mar							1989		1982			
Apr					1990	1971					1983	
May	1961											
Jun		2009				2007		2007	1964	2007		
Jul				1962						2008	1998	
Aug	1991	2002									2009	
Sep	2003				2003	1993						
Oct				1965							1980	
Nov												
Dec											1977	
Year	1989						1989				1977	
Spring							1989		1986	2007	2001	
Summer		2009				2007		2007		2007	1998	
Autumn				1965								1988
Winter	1989				1989		1989		1988		1987	

Table 4: Trend values, shift years and shift values of spatially averaged monthly, annual and seasonal mean air temperature and precipitation in Estonia during 1951–2015. Statistically significant trends on $p<0.05$ are typed in bold. A significant downward regime shift for temperature was detected only in January since 1966 by -2.5 K.

	Temperature			Precipitation				
	Trend (K per decade)	Upward shift year	Shift value (K)	Trend (mm per decade)	Upward shift year	Shift value (mm)	Downward shift year	Shift value (mm)
Jan	0.37	1988	4.0	**2.0**				
Feb	0.54	1989	2.3	1.0	1995	24.0	2003	-21.7
Mar	**0.62**	1966	3.4	**1.3**	1988	23.4	1996	-18.6
Apr	**0.43**	1989	1.9	-0.3				
May	**0.34**			0.7				
Jun	0.06			**3.0**				
Jul	**0.35**	2001	2.2	-0.5				
Aug	**0.27**	2002	1.4	1.1				
Sep	**0.27**	2004	1.6	-1.7			1998	-18.9
Oct	0.05			1.6				
Nov	**0.28**			**1.9**	1969	19.2		
Dec	0.36			1.3	2009	20.2		
Year	**0.33**	1988	1.4	**12.7**	1977	85.5		
Spring	**0.48**	1983	1.5	1.1	1966	19.2		
Summer	**0.25**	1999	1.2	-0.4				
Autumn	**0.26**	2005	1.6	3.3	1977	32.1		
Winter	**0.39**	1989	2.3	**4.7**				

Table 5: Trend values, years of regime shifts and corresponding shift values of snow cover duration. Statistically significant trends on p<0.05 are typed in bold.

Station	Trend values	Shift years	Shift values
Pärnu	**-4.0**	1989	-16.2
Tallinn	**-3.6**	1989	-16.6
Tartu	-3.4	1989	-20.2
Vilsandi	-3.0	1989, 2010	-31.9, 32.4
Võru	-3.3	1989	-16.8

Table 6: Trend values, years of regime shifts and corresponding shift values of annual mean specific runoff. Statistically significant trends on p<0.05 are typed in bold.

Station	Trend values	Shift years	Shift values
Vasknarva	0.05	1964, 1978, 2000	-2.70, 3.43, -1.46
Tartu	**0.19**	1964, 1978	-2.10, 2.85
Tõrve	0.07	1963	-0.86
Pajusi	0.00	1964	-1.49
Piigaste	0.17	1963, 1978	-3.53, 3.90
Räpina	0.10	1964, 1978	-2.00, 2.64
Tõlliste	**0.16**	1964, 1981	-1.88, 2.35
Tõrva	**0.34**	1981	2.61
Riisa	**0.26**		
Aesoo	0.20		
Tahkuse	0.23	1981	2.82
Oore	0.22	1981	2.40
Uue-Lõve	**0.47**	1978	3.71
Kasari	0.21	1984, 1996	2.82, -1.90
Vihterpalu	0.16		
Keila	0.22		
Pajupea	0.06	1984	1.37
Kehra	0.11		
Vanaküla	0.10		
Sämi	0,15		
Lüganuse	0,11		

Table 67: Trend values of mean monthly, annual and seasonal specific runoff averaged over the 21 stations in Estonia. Statistically significant trends on p<0.05 level are typed in bold. Shift years, mean shift values and numbers of stations with statistically significant regime shifts in specific runoff.

		Upward shift			Downward shift		
	Trend	Year	No. of stations	Value	Year	No. of stations	Value
Jan	**0.78**	1988	14	7.1			
Feb	**0.41**	1989	10	6.6	2003	4	-8.7
Mar	**0.68**	1989	19	7.0	2003, 2009	6	-7.9
Apr	-0.50	2009, 2010	14	11.2	1989	8	-11.8
May	-0.31						
Jun	0.08						
Jul	0.04						
Aug	0.02						
Sep	-0.01						
Oct	-0.08				1964	3	-3.5
Nov	0.13	1977	3	7.7	1992	3	-6.4
Dec	0.29	2003	6	8.8	1963	3	-3.2
Year	0.17	1978, 1981	9	2.9	1964	8	-2.0
Spring	-0.13	2010	5	4.7	1963	4	-4.4
Summer	0.05						
Autumn	-0.02						
Winter	**0.65**	1988, 1989	14	5.0			

Figure 1: Location map of Estonia with meteorological and hydrological stations, and annual mean specific runoff of studied river basins.

Figure 2: ~~Time series of NAO indices during 1951–2015, their regime shifts (wide lines) and linear trends (dashed lines): a) NAOPC in winter; b) NAOL in February and c) NAOG in summer.~~

Figure 3: ~~Time series of winter (DJF) mean air temperature (blue) and snow cover duration (red), their regime shifts since the winter 1988/1989 (wide lines) and linear trends (dashed lines).~~

Figure 4: Time series of winter precipitation (DJF) in Türi during 1951–2015 (blue line), its regime shift since 1989 (wide black line) and linear trend (dashed line).

Figure 5: Time series of specific runoff (l/s per km2) in winter at Tartu station on Emajõgi River during 1951–2015, its regime shift since 1987/1988 (wide line) and linear trend (dashed line).

Figure 6~~6~~2: Regime shifts in winter (DJF) specific runoff of rivers in 14 stations where a statistically significant shift was detected in 1987/1988 ~~and~~or 1988/1989.

Figure ~~7~~3: Time series of specific runoff (l/s per km^2) in March (blue) and April (red) at Riisa station on Halliste River during 1951–2015 and their regime shifts of opposite sign since 1989 and 2009 (wide lines).