

Interactive comment on “Role of moisture transport for Central American precipitation” by Ana María Durán-Quesada et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 9 January 2017

The paper is a very good and sound discussion on moisture transport over Central America, sources of precipitation, relation to ENSO and a brief but sound description of trends. It is well written and uses sound data and methods. Figures are clear and well laid out. One consideration: when country-wide correlations are described, how is data integrated into a regional aggregate? How are internal variations evaluated? A few typos and minor considerations: Line 34: patters ==> patterns; L39: in numerical ==> ??? missing word?; L75: As follows, ==> as follows; L125: mm/day. . It is notable ==> mm/day. It is noticeable; L160: In Mexico . ==> In Mexico. L160: (E-P)-10: please, define all acronyms, even if they are obvious to most readers; L266: Central American coas ==> Central American Coast; L274: conveyor , ==> conveyor; L280: using the MEI ==>, again, please, define all acronyms; L296: complimentary ==> complementary; L391: significance was proven ==> was reached at xx level ...

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

