

## ***Interactive comment on “Role of moisture transport for Central American precipitation” by Ana María Durán-Quesada et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 9 January 2017

The paper is a very good and sound discussion on moisture transport over Central America, sources of precipitation, relation to ENSO and a brief but sound description of trends. It is well written and uses sound data and methods. Figures are clear and well laid out. One consideration: when country-wide correlations are described, how is data integrated into a regional aggregate? How are internal variations evaluated? A few typos and minor considerations: Line 34: patters ==> patterns L39: in numerical ==> ??? missing word? L75: As follows, ==> as follows: L125: mm/day. . It is notable ==> mm/day. It is noticeable L160: In Mexico . ==> In Mexico. L160: (E-P)-10: please, define all acronyms, even if they are obvious to most readers L266: Central American coas ==> Central American Coast L274: conveyor , ==> conveyor, L280: using the MEI ==>, again, please, define all acronyms L296: complimentary ==> complementary L391: significance was proven ==> was reached at xx level ...

---

C1

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss., doi:10.5194/esd-2016-66, 2016.

C2