

1 **Delaying future sea-level rise by storing water on** 2 **Antarctica**

3 **K. Frieler¹, M. Mengel¹, A. Levermann^{1,2,3}**

4 [1]{Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany }

5 [2]{Institute of Physics, Potsdam University, Potsdam, Germany }

6 [3]{Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, New York, USA }

7 Correspondence to: A. Levermann (anders.levermann@pik-potsdam.de)

8

9 **Abstract**

10 Even if greenhouse gas emissions were stopped today, sea level would continue to rise for
11 centuries, with the long-term sea-level commitment of a two-degree-warmer world
12 significantly exceeding 2m. In view of the potential implications for coastal populations and
13 ecosystems worldwide, we investigate, from an ice-dynamic perspective, the possibility to
14 delay sea-level rise by pumping ocean water onto the surface of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. We
15 find that due to wave propagation ice is discharged much faster back into the ocean than
16 would be expected from a pure advection with surface velocities. The delay time depends
17 strongly on the distance from the coastline at which the additional mass is placed and less
18 strongly on the rate of sea-level rise that is mitigated. A millennium-scale storage of at least
19 80% of the additional ice requires placing it at a distance of at least 700 km from the coast
20 line. The pumping energy required to elevate the potential energy of ocean water to mitigate
21 the currently observed 3mm yr^{-1} will exceed 7% of the current global primary energy supply.
22 At the same time, the approach may be the only way to protect entire coastlines or specific
23 regions that cannot be protected by dikes.

24

25 **1 Introduction**

26 Anthropogenic emissions of carbon into the atmosphere have increased global
27 temperatures by almost one degree during the past two centuries (IPCC, 2013). Even after a
28 complete cessation of carbon emissions, temperatures are not expected to drop significantly

1 for several centuries. Although the reduction of short-lived forcing agents has the potential to
2 reduce the near-term warming by about 0.5 degrees, global mean temperatures are not
3 projected to decline significantly, even under the strongest mitigation scenario, RCP2.6,
4 which is already accounting for reductions in black carbon, methane, and other short-lived
5 forcings (van Vuuren et al., 2011). As a consequence of the climate system's inertia and the ice
6 sheets' response, mitigating greenhouse-gas emissions in the future can reduce, but will not
7 stop, sea-level rise for centuries to come (Gillett et al., 2011; IPCC, 2013; Meehl et al., 2005;
8 Solomon et al., 2009; Wigley, 2005). Conservative estimates for this so-called sea-level
9 commitment are of the order of 2m per degree of global warming above pre-industrial
10 temperatures over a time period of two millennia (Levermann et al., 2013); estimates of sea-
11 level sensitivity to warming from paleo-records of earlier warm periods are even higher
12 (Rohling et al., 2008, 2013). In addition, there is recent evidence from observations (Rignot et
13 al., 2014) and numerical models (Favier et al., 2014; Joughin et al., 2014a) that the West
14 Antarctic Ice Sheet has entered a state of irreversible ice discharge that would cause a sea-
15 level contribution even without any additional warming. The associated long-term sea-level
16 rise is estimated to be 1.1m from the Amundsen-Sea sector, or 3.3m if the entire marine part
17 of West Antarctica was affected (Bamber et al., 2009).

18 As a consequence, global coastal adaptation to ongoing sea-level rise will be required
19 unless water is taken back out of the ocean. Such local protection may not be physically
20 possible or economically feasible everywhere. In South Florida in the USA, for example, the
21 base rock is limestone, which makes the construction of levees very difficult (Strauss et al.,
22 2014). In addition, dams may not be acceptable for some regions that rely on tourism
23 associated with natural beaches. Local protection will most likely only be done for areas
24 where valuable assets are at risk, and will not cover entire coast lines including poor areas and
25 ecosystems.

26 Here we evaluate the option of delaying global sea-level rise for three idealized
27 scenarios of linear increases over the 21st century. Mitigating the currently observed rate
28 (Cazenave and Dieng, 2014) of $\sim 3\text{mm yr}^{-1}$ is considered in comparison to the mitigation of
29 1mm yr^{-1} and a rate of 10mm yr^{-1} within the "likely range" for the end of the century under
30 the high emission scenario RCP8.5 (IPCC, 2013). Since it might be difficult to store such
31 large water masses in liquid form on land due to adverse effects on population, regional

1 ecosystems, and expected changes in the hydrological cycle, we explore whether it is possible
2 to store it as ice on Antarctica from the perspective of ice dynamics.

3 The Antarctic ice sheet is situated on the coldest continent on Earth with most of its
4 surface temperatures far below the freezing point of ocean water throughout the year. The
5 water volume equivalent to one meter of global sea-level rise would elevate the Antarctic ice
6 sheet by ~25m if distributed uniformly. The currently observed ~3mm yr⁻¹ of global average
7 sea-level rise due to thermal expansion, additional water added from glaciers and ice sheets,
8 and changes in land water storage, corresponds to about 10¹² m³ yr⁻¹ of ocean-water.
9 Antarctica's currently observed ice loss occurs near the coast (Shepherd et al., 2012), while
10 the surface in its interior is moving at a speed of less than 0.1m yr⁻¹ (Rignot et al., 2011).
11 Because the ice is continually moving, ocean water put on the ice sheet will only delay sea-
12 level rise. Here we estimate the associated delay time and its dependence on the distance from
13 the coast and the application rate.

14

15 **2 Ice sheet simulations**

16

17 Using the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM) (Bueler and Brown, 2009; Winkelmann et al.,
18 2011) we estimate the ice sheet's response to different ice addition scenarios. To this end we
19 ran the model to equilibrium in a 100ky spin-up under constant present-day atmospheric and
20 oceanic boundary conditions. Surface air temperature (Comiso, 2000) and mass balance
21 (Arthern et al., 2006) are taken from observations made available in the ALBMAP data set
22 (Le Brocq et al., 2010). We apply sub-shelf basal melting and refreezing rates from a 20th
23 century simulation of the Finite Element southern ocean model (FESOM) (Timmermann et
24 al., 2012). The modeled equilibrium ice sheet state compares well to the currently observed
25 ice sheet in terms of surface elevation and grounding line position (supplementary Fig. S2)
26 and ice velocities (supplementary Fig. S3 and S4). Total modeled ice volume deviates less
27 than 0.5% from the observed state (Fretwell et al., 2013).

28

29 In our forcing simulations we disturb this equilibrium state with 100 year-long pulses of
30 increased surface mass balance (SMB) in selected bands (see Fig. 1). The added surface mass

1 compensates a 1mm y^{-1} , 3mm y^{-1} and 10mm y^{-1} sea level rise ($\Delta\text{SMB} = \text{rate of sea level rise} *$
2 global ocean area / area of mass addition). The maximum sea level drop is therefore 1m. We
3 construct the bands of surface mass addition by drawing lines with distances of 200, 300, 400,
4 500, 600, 700, and 800 km from the coast, and use these as the center lines of 200 km wide
5 bands. The bands are limited to longitudes between 20°W and 165°E to only cover the East
6 Antarctic ice sheet because of the currently observed imbalance in West Antarctica. The rate
7 is applied for 100 years and then set to zero in order to better estimate the sea-level delay
8 time. Whether the pumping should be limited needs to be decided by society if such measure
9 is ever to be implemented.

10 By adding the ice to the surface of an equilibrium simulation we do not account for any
11 drift that might have been caused by previous variations in the boundary conditions, such as
12 the last deglaciation, or the medieval warm period or anthropogenic warming. Although a
13 possible drift within the present-day ice sheet could potentially alter the ice export as reported
14 here, it can be assumed that the drift is negligible at distances of several hundred kilometers
15 away from the coast.

16 To represent the large-scale dynamics reasonably well, we use a 12 km horizontal
17 resolution for the ice sheet simulations. Our hybrid shallow approximation ensures stress
18 transmission across the grounding line and a smooth transition between regimes of fast
19 flowing, sliding and slowly deforming bedrock-frozen ice. The grounding line can freely
20 evolve even at lower resolution due to a local interpolation of the grounding-line position,
21 which affects the basal friction and a new driving stress scheme at the grounding line. The
22 interpolation leads to reversible grounding-line dynamics consistent with Full-Stokes
23 simulations at high resolution (Feldmann et al., 2014). Although the model is capable of
24 simulating the coastal dynamics of the ice sheet within limitations, it is important to note that
25 the results obtained here are predominantly dependent on the ice flow representation in the
26 interior of the ice sheet, for which large-scale continental ice-sheet models like PISM and
27 others (Bindschadler et al., 2013; Calov et al., 2010; Greve et al., 2011; Huybrechts and
28 Wolde, 1999; Pollard and Deconto, 2009; Swingedouw et al., 2008) have been designed.

29

30 In the standard simulation we do not alter the surface air temperature during mass
31 addition. To estimate the effect of surface warming due to the latent heat release of the sea
32 water, we conduct a second set of simulations that keeps the surface temperature at the

1 freezing point of sea water ($-1.9\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) during surface mass addition. This imitates the situation
2 in which the ice surface remains in a mixed state of ice and water. Since Antarctica's inland-
3 surface temperatures are far below zero, this constitutes a strong warming signal that diffuses
4 down into the ice body and causes ice to soften and flow faster. The maximum injection of
5 latent heat occurs for the 10 mm yr^{-1} sea-level-mitigation scenario and the 800km band, which
6 has the smallest area. The corresponding addition of 3.2 m yr^{-1} liquid sea water is equivalent
7 to a latent heat injection of about 35 Wm^{-2} . A warming from -20°C to -1.9°C would increase
8 the long-wave radiative loss to the atmosphere by 70 Wm^{-2} , according to the Stefan-
9 Boltzmann law, assuming an emissivity of 0.95. If open water areas are sustained on the ice
10 sheet, a sensible-heat-dominated loss can remove heat at a rate of 100 Wm^{-2} or more as
11 observed in ocean polynyas (Launiainen and Vihma, 1994). The maximum rate of latent heat
12 injection of 35 Wm^{-2} is much smaller than the potential of the atmosphere to remove the heat.
13 Thus, keeping surface ice temperatures at freezing point underestimates the atmospheric heat
14 loss so that the simulations provide an upper bound for the induced warming of ice.

15

16 **3 Results**

17 The ratio of the volume added during the first 100 years and the volume that is lost
18 again after 1000 years depends strongly on the distance from the coast (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3).
19 Consistent with earlier studies (Huybrechts and Wolde, 1999; Winkelmann et al., 2012), an
20 ice volume equivalent to 10-15% of the added ice is already lost at the end of the forcing
21 period, when the ice is added at a distance of 200km from the coastline, while the sea-level
22 contribution is strongly delayed at a distance above 500km from the coast (Fig. 2). In order to
23 minimize the return flow of the ice into the ocean, the specific positioning of the ice addition
24 could be varied spatially making use of slow-moving ice regions. Here we apply a simplified
25 spatial distribution in order to demonstrate the main process and enable a conceptual analysis
26 of the simulations.

27 The time after which the equivalent of a certain equivalent of the added ice has been
28 discharged into the ocean is much shorter than would be expected from a mere advection of
29 the added ice mass with the surface velocities of the ice sheet (Fig. S5 of the SI). This is

1 because the ice thickness anomaly creates an imbalance between the driving stress and the
2 viscous ice flow. As a consequence, the ice transport occurs in waves from the strip of
3 perturbation to the coast (Winkelmann et al., 2012) (Fig. 1), where the ice discharged to the
4 ocean is not the same ice that was added to the ice sheet earlier. Even though the ice wave
5 also travels partially inland, it is possible that more ice is transported out of the continent than
6 was initially added. However, this ice-loss exceedance occurs only several millennia after the
7 perturbation (Fig. S5 of the SI). Whether it is directly related to the perturbation or a
8 manifestation of a localized multistability of the ice dynamics is difficult to identify, because
9 the differences between the initial and final ice topography are within the uncertainty range of
10 the model performance.

11 For perturbation areas far from the coast, the discharge rates in the sensitivity
12 simulation accounting for latent heat release (Fig. 4, thin lines) are nearly identical to the
13 response without warming (Fig. 4, thick lines) on the millennial time scale considered. Within
14 the first millennium, the latent heat release of freezing sea water only alters the discharge
15 when placed near the coast. After 2000 years, the additional warming can induce a discharge
16 exceeding 100% of the added ice in the 200-km simulations (Fig S1 of the SI).

17

18 **4 Discussion**

19 All scenarios considered here assume that the only perturbation of the ice sheet is the
20 addition of ice mass in bands of the interior of East Antarctica. At the same time, Antarctica's
21 coastal regions are out of balance in a number of regions predominantly in West, but also in
22 East Antarctica. In this study it is assumed that the addition of ice in the interior will not
23 interfere with the imbalance at the coast. This might be an over-simplification, but currently
24 available modeling studies (Favier et al., 2014; Joughin et al., 2014b; Mengel and Levermann,
25 2014) indicate that perturbations near the coast will not reach as far inland over time periods
26 of several centuries. However, a possible interference between the interior of the ice sheet and

1 its coastal regions needs further investigation, possibly with higher-resolution regional ice
2 sheet models.

3 It is possible that ice dynamic effects, which are not included in these simulations (such
4 as ice fractures or basal sliding conditions), alter the results quantitatively. However, the
5 shallow-ice approximation that dominates the ice dynamics in the model in the interior of
6 Antarctica has been shown to represent the interior ice sheet flow on multi-centennial and
7 longer time scales (Greve and Blatter, 2009).

8 We assume that it would be best to add the additional ice in the form of snow as
9 opposed to adding it as water which will then freeze. In this context it has to be noted that the
10 additional ice that is added to the ice sheet is made of sea water and thereby will have salinity.
11 The rheological effects of a “salt-ice” layer within an ice sheet are currently unknown and
12 need further investigation. While initially the “salt-ice” will be at the surface of the ice sheet,
13 the dynamic effect will be dominated by its gravitational effect which is covered by the
14 modelled ice dynamics modelled. However, since snow is falling onto the “salt-ice” layer, this
15 layer’s rheology will become relevant for the ice dynamics. At current and future snowfall
16 rates (Frieler et al., 2015) this will take several centuries.

17 The simulations conducted here suggest that pumping ocean water onto the interior of
18 the Antarctic ice sheet can impose a significant delay of future sea-level rise. However, as an
19 option to mitigate the sea-level rise to which we are already committed, a substantial energy
20 problem must be overcome. Solely in terms of throughput, mitigating sea-level rise of 3 mm
21 yr⁻¹ would require 90 of the largest pump stations currently under construction in New
22 Orleans, each assumed to pump $\sim 360 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, which corresponds to $\sim 11 \cdot 10^9 \text{ m}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Alyeska
23 Pipeline Service Company, 2013). The height of the ice sheet of about 4000m means that it
24 would require a constant power of 1275 GW to elevate the potential energy of the associated
25 ocean water. This is equivalent to $\sim 7\%$ of the global primary energy supply of the year 2012
26 (International Energy Agency, 2014). The power required for the actual pumping may even be
27 higher and reach 2300 GW under optimistic assumptions (see section S1 of the SI). It will

1 have to be generated by renewable resources to avoid the additional climate change and sea-
2 level rise associated with fossil fuels. The Antarctic continent is windy enough to support
3 such pumping using wind energy, with around 16.7 TW available in a 200km wide band
4 along the coast of East Antarctica (Archer and Jacobson, 2005) (see section S2 of the SI).
5 Around 8% of that energy would need to be extracted to compensate the potential energy
6 increase of the pumped water alone, which is equivalent to 850,000 wind-energy plants of 1.5
7 MW, running on full capacity

8 The scope of such a project is unprecedented and would require major technical
9 innovations, if possible at all. Therefore, costs cannot be reliably estimated. Based on simple
10 upscaling of the costs of the Trans Alaska Pipeline with height, length and throughput (see
11 section S1 of the SI), the costs will be orders of magnitude higher than the costs associated
12 with local adaptation measures (Hinkel et al., 2014). However, it is important to note that in
13 this study on sea-level adaptation, protection is only installed if considered economically
14 favorable. In contrast, storing water on the Antarctic Ice Sheet would offer general protection
15 for entire coast lines and poor regions that would otherwise be left unprotected. The
16 associated investment could change the mitigation costs by significantly increasing the
17 demand (thereby technical progress) for renewable energies significantly. By generating an
18 additional demand for renewable energies of the order of 10% of the global energy supply,
19 this approach offers a link between the mitigation and adaptation problem of climate change.

20 This study must be complemented by investigations on possible consequences of the
21 procedure. To name just a few, it is likely that construction of the pipelines, pump stations,
22 the energy generation, and the water extraction will induce disturbances in the coastal
23 ecosystems. It should also be investigated how the water extraction will influence the small-
24 and large-scale ocean circulation. The ice-rheological changes induced by the addition of salt
25 water should be investigated together with potential effects on the basal conditions of the ice.

1 The heat released from freezing and the pumping process itself is in the order of 10 TW
2 (latent heat) + 1TW (heat released from pumping). This corresponds to about 10% of the
3 maximum increase in latent heat transport in high northern latitudes under an SRES A1B
4 transient simulation (Held and Soden, 2006). The latent heat release is considered a major
5 contribution to the Arctic amplification of global warming. From this perspective, the
6 pumping-induced energy over Antarctica is not negligible but significantly smaller than the
7 warming induced latent heat released in northern high latitudes. Potential consequences for
8 the atmospheric and oceanic circulation need to be further explored.

9 **5 Ethical Considerations**

10 The Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty (Secretariat of the
11 Antarctic Treaty, 1991) declares a clear intention to minimize human influences on the
12 Antarctic continent. The signing parties are “Convinced that the development of a
13 comprehensive regime for the protection of the Antarctic environment and dependent and
14 associated ecosystems is in the interest of mankind as a whole;“ and “commit themselves to
15 the comprehensive protection of the Antarctic environment and dependent and associated
16 ecosystems and hereby designate Antarctica as a natural reserve, devoted to peace and
17 science.” The measures proposed here (if at all feasible) mean a major human intervention
18 putting the ecosystems of Antarctica and of the surrounding ocean at a high risk. It will add to
19 the changes by human induced climate change itself (IPCC, 2013; Rignot et al., 2008; Ritz et
20 al., 2015). Thus, the protection of global coastlines and associated natural and human would
21 not only have to be weighted against the enormous efforts but also against the loss of
22 Antarctica as a unique natural reserve.

23 Storing water on the Antarctic continent also raises questions of inter-generational
24 justice. When pumping is stopped, the additional discharge from Antarctica will increase the
25 rate of sea-level rise even beyond the warming-induced rate. In this way, the approach
26 presented here means taking out a loan on Antarctica that future generations will have to pay
27 back. In all simulations considered here, pumping ceases after 100 years, i.e. it is investigated
28 as an option to delay part of the sea-level rise we are already committed to, but not as a
29 permanent measure that may induce further responses of the ice sheet not captured here.

1 If at all feasible, the considered scenarios do not at all represent an alternative to the
2 mitigation of carbon emissions, because the method does not address any climate-change
3 impact other than sea-level rise. Furthermore, unmitigated emission might induce a sea-level
4 rise of 10 mm yr^{-1} and beyond, which increases the impacts on Antarctica and the burden for
5 future generations when mitigated by pumping of ocean waters. And, after pumping is
6 stopped, sea level will accelerate quickly towards the rate that corresponds to the warming
7 level, plus that induced by the addition of ice onto Antarctica.

8 Although a potential way to delay the committed sea-level rise from an ice dynamical
9 perspective, whether it is at all possible to locally generate the required energy poses an open
10 engineering challenge.

11

12

13

14 **Acknowledgements**

15 We thank Hans-Joachim Schellnhuber for very fruitful discussions related to the development
16 of this manuscript and particularly associated with the problem of the energy requirements.
17 We thank Hagen Koch from the Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research for the
18 support for the estimation of the actual pumping energy that may be required in comparison to
19 the pure consideration of the potential energy.

20

21

22 **References**

23 Alyeska Pipeline Service Company: FACTS, Trans Alaska Pipeline System, Anchorage,
24 Alaska. [online] Available from: www.alyeska-pipe.com, 2013.

- 1 Archer, C. L. and Jacobson, M. Z.: Evaluation of global wind power, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
2 110(D12), 1–20, doi:10.1029/2004JD005462, 2005.
- 3 Arthern, R. J., Winebrenner, D. P. and Vaughan, D. G.: Antarctic snow accumulation mapped
4 using polarization of 4.3- cm wavelength microwave emission, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*,
5 111(D6), 2006.
- 6 Bamber, J. L., Riva, R. E. M., Vermeersen, B. L. A. and LeBrocq, A. M.: Reassessment of the
7 Potential Sea-Level Rise from a Collapse of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, *Science (80-.)*,
8 324(5929), 901–903, doi:10.1126/science.1169335, 2009.
- 9 Bindshadler, R. a., Nowicki, S., Abe-OUCHI, A., Aschwanden, A., Choi, H., Fastook, J.,
10 Granzow, G., Greve, R., Gutowski, G., Herzfeld, U., Jackson, C., Johnson, J., Khroulev, C.,
11 Levermann, A., Lipscomb, W. H., Martin, M. a., Morlighem, M., Parizek, B. R., Pollard, D.,
12 Price, S. F., Ren, D., Saito, F., Sato, T., Seddik, H., Seroussi, H., Takahashi, K., Walker, R.
13 and Wang, W. L.: Ice-sheet model sensitivities to environmental forcing and their use in
14 projecting future sea level (the SeaRISE project), *J. Glaciol.*, 59(214), 195–224,
15 doi:10.3189/2013JoG12J125, 2013.
- 16 Le Brocq, A. M., Payne, A. J. and Vieli, A.: An improved Antarctic dataset for high
17 resolution numerical ice sheet models (ALBMAP v1), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 2, 247–260,
18 doi:doi:10.5194/essd-2-247-2010, 2010.
- 19 Bueler, E. and Brown, J.: The shallow shelf approximation as a sliding law in a
20 thermomechanically coupled ice sheet model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, F03008, 2009.
- 21 Calov, R., Greve, R., Abe-ouchi, A., Bueler, E., Huybrechts, P., Johnson, J. V, Pattyn, F.,
22 Pollard, D., Ritz, C., Saito, F. and Tarasov, L.: Results from the Ice-Sheet Model
23 Intercomparison Project – Heinrich Event INterCOmparison (ISMIP HEINO), , 56(197),
24 371–383, 2010.
- 25 Cazenave, A. and Dieng, H.: The rate of sea-level rise, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 4(March), 358–
26 361, doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE2159, 2014.
- 27 Comiso, J. C.: Variability and trends in Antarctic surface temperatures from in situ and
28 satellite infrared measurements, *J. Clim.*, 13(10), 1674–1696, 2000.
- 29 Favier, L., Durand, G., Cornford, S. L., Gudmundsson, G. H., Gagliardini, O., Gillet-Chaulet,
30 F., Zwinger, T., Payne, a. J. and Le Brocq, a. M.: Retreat of Pine Island Glacier controlled by
31 marine ice-sheet instability, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 4(2), 117–121, doi:10.1038/nclimate2094,
32 2014.
- 33 Feldmann, J., Albrecht, T., Khroulev, C., Pattyn, F. and Levermann, a.: Resolution-dependent
34 performance of grounding line motion in a shallow model compared with a full-Stokes model
35 according to the MISMIP3d intercomparison, *J. Glaciol.*, 60(220), 353–360,
36 doi:10.3189/2014JoG13J093, 2014.
- 37 Fretwell, P., Pritchard, H. D., Vaughan, D. G., Bamber, J. L., Barrand, N. E., Bell, R.,
38 Bianchi, C., Bingham, R. G., Blankenship, D. D., Casassa, G., Catania, G., Callens, D.,


- 1 Conway, H., Cook, A. J., Corr, H. F. J., Damaske, D., Damm, V., Ferraccioli, F., Forsberg,
2 R., Fujita, S., Gim, Y., Gogineni, P., Griggs, J. A., Hindmarsh, R. C. A., Holmlund, P., Holt,
3 J. W., Jacobel, R. W., Jenkins, A., Jokat, W., Jordan, T., King, E. C., Kohler, J., Krabill, W.,
4 Riger-Kusk, M., Langley, K. A., Leitchenkov, G., Leuschen, C., Luyendyk, B. P., Matsuoka,
5 K., Mouginot, J., Nitsche, F. O., Nogi, Y., Nost, O. A., Popov, S. V., Rignot, E., Rippin, D.
6 M., Rivera, A., Roberts, J., Ross, N., Siegert, M. J., Smith, A. M., Steinhage, D., Studinger,
7 M., Sun, B., Tinto, B. K., Welch, B. C., Wilson, D., Young, D. A., Xiangbin, C. and
8 Zirizzotti, A.: Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for Antarctica,
9 *Cryosph.*, 7, 375–393, doi:doi:10.5194/tc-7-375-2013, 2013.
- 10 Frieler, K., Clark, P. U., He, F., Buizert, C., Reese, R., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., van den Broeke,
11 M. R., Winkelmann, R. and Levermann, A.: Consistent evidence of increasing Antarctic
12 accumulation with warming, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, advance on(April), 1–5,
13 doi:10.1038/nclimate2574, 2015.
- 14 Gillett, N. ~P., Arora, V. ~K., Zickfeld, K., Marshall, S. ~J. and Merryfield, W. ~J.: Ongoing
15 climate change following a complete cessation of carbon dioxide emissions, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4,
16 83–87, doi:10.1038/ngeo1047, 2011.
- 17 Greve, R. and Blatter, H.: *Dynamics of Ice Sheets and Glaciers*, Springer., 2009.
- 18 Greve, R., Saito, F. and Abe-Ouchi, A.: Initial results of the SeaRISE numerical experiments
19 with the models SICOPOLIS and IcIES for the Greenland ice sheet, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 52(58),
20 23–30, doi:10.3189/172756411797252068, 2011.
- 21 Held, I. M. and Soden, B. J.: Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global warming
22 (vol 19, pg 5686, 2006), *J. Clim.*, 19(5), 5686–5699, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI4045.1, 2006.
- 23 Hinkel, J., Lincke, D., Vafeidis, A. T., Perrette, M., Nicholls, R. J., Tol, R. S. J., Marzeion, B.,
24 Fettweis, X., Ionescu, C. and Levermann, A.: Coastal flood damages and adaptation costs
25 under 21st century sea-level rise, *PNAS*, 111(9), 3292–3297, 2014.
- 26 Huybrechts, P. and Wolde, J. De: The dynamic response of the Greenland and Antarctic ice
27 sheets to multiple-century climatic warming, *J. Clim.*, 12 (8), 2169–2188, 1999.
- 28 International Energy Agency: *Key World Energy Statistics*, Paris, France. [online] Available
29 from: <http://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/KeyWorld2014.pdf>, 2014.
- 30 IPCC: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I*
31 *to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by
32 T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia,
33 V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and
34 New York, NY, USA., 2013.
- 35 Joughin, I., Smith, B. E. and Medley, B.: Marine ice sheet collapse potentially under way for
36 the Thwaites Glacier Basin, West Antarctica., *Science*, 344(6185), 735–8,
37 doi:10.1126/science.1249055, 2014a.

- 1 Joughin, I., Smith, B. E. and Medley, B.: Marine ice sheet collapse potentially under way for
2 the Thwaites Glacier Basin, West Antarctica., *Science*, 344(6185), 735–8,
3 doi:10.1126/science.1249055, 2014b.
- 4 Launiainen, J. and Vihma, T.: On the Surface Heat Fluxes in the Weddell Sea, in *The Polar*
5 *Oceans and Their Role in Shaping the Global Environment*, pp. 399–419, American
6 Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., 1994.
- 7 Levermann, A., Clark, P. U., Marzeion, B., Milne, G. a., Pollard, D., Radic, V. and Robinson,
8 A.: The multimillennial sea-level commitment of global warming, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 1–6,
9 doi:10.1073/pnas.1219414110, 2013.
- 10 Meehl, G. A., Washington, W. M., Collins, W. D., Arblaster, J. M., Hu, A., Buja, L. E.,
11 Strand, W. G. and Teng, H.: How Much More Global Warming and Sea Level Rise?, *Science*
12 (80-.), 307, 1769–1772, 2005.
- 13 Mengel, M. and Levermann, a: Ice plug prevents irreversible discharge from East Antarctica,
14 *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 4(May), 451–455, doi:10.1038/nclimate2226, 2014.
- 15 Pollard, D. and Deconto, R. ~M.: Modelling West Antarctic ice sheet growth and collapse
16 through the past five million years, *Nature*, 458, 329–332, 2009.
- 17 Rignot, E., Bamber, J. L., den Broeke, M. R. Van, Li, Y., Davis, C., de Berg, W. J. Van and
18 Meijgaard, E.: Recent Antarctic ice mass loss from radar interferometry and regional climate
19 modelling, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1, 106–110, 2008.
- 20 Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H. and Scheuchl, B.: Widespread , rapid
21 grounding line retreat of Pine Island , Thwaites , Smith , and Kohler glaciers , West
22 Antarctica , , 1–8, doi:10.1002/2014GL060140.Received, 2014.
- 23 Rignot, E., Mouginot, J. and Scheuchl, B.: Ice Flow of the Antarctic Ice Sheet, *Science* (80-
24), 333(6048), 1427–1430, doi:10.1126/science.1208336, 2011.
- 25 Ritz, C., Edwards, T. L., Durand, G., Payne, A. J., Peyaud, V. and Hindmarsh, R. C.:
26 Potential sea-level rise from Antarctic ice-sheet instability constrained by observations,
27 *Nature*, 528, 115–118, 2015.
- 28 Rohling, E. J., Grant, K., Hemleben, C., Siddall, M., Hoogakker, B. A. A., Bolshaw, M. and
29 Kucera, M.: High rates of sea-level rise during the last interglacial period, *Nat. Geosci.* 1(1),
30 2008.
- 31 Rohling, E. J., Haigh, I. D., Foster, G. L., Roberts, A. P. and Grant, K. M.: A geological
32 perspective on potential future sea-level rise., *Sci. Rep.*, 3, 3461, doi:10.1038/srep03461,
33 2013.
- 34 Secretariat of the Antarctic Treaty: Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic
35 Treaty, [online] Available from: http://www.ats.aq/documents/recatt/Att006_e.pdf, 1991.

- 1 Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., Geruo, A., Barletta, V. R., Bentley, M. J., Bettadpur, S., Briggs, K.
2 H., Bromwich, D. H., Forsberg, R., Galin, N., Horwath, M., Jacobs, S., Joughin, I., King, M.
3 a., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Li, J., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Luckman, A., Luthcke, S. B., McMillan,
4 M., Meister, R., Milne, G., Mouginot, J., Muir, A., Nicolas, J. P., Paden, J., Payne, a. J.,
5 Pritchard, H., Rignot, E., Rott, H., Sorensen, L. S., Scambos, T. a., Scheuchl, B., Schrama, E.
6 J. O., Smith, B., Sundal, a. V., van Angelen, J. H., van de Berg, W. J., van den Broeke, M. R.,
7 Vaughan, D. G., Velicogna, I., Wahr, J., Whitehouse, P. L., Wingham, D. J., Yi, D., Young,
8 D. and Zwally, H. J.: A Reconciled Estimate of Ice-Sheet Mass Balance, *Science* (80-.),
9 338(6111), 1183–1189, doi:10.1126/science.1228102, 2012.
- 10 Solomon, S., Plattner, G.-K., Knutti, R. and Friedlingstein, P.: Irreversible climate change due
11 to carbon dioxide emissions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 106, 1704–1709,
12 doi:10.1073/pnas.0812721106, 2009.
- 13 Strauss, B., Tebaldi, C. and Kulp, S.: FLORIDA AND THE SURGING SEA - A
14 VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT WITH PROJECTIONS FOR SEA LEVEL RISE AND
15 COASTAL FLOOD RISK, Princeton, NJ, USA., 2014.
- 16 Swingedouw, D., Fichefet, T., Hybrechts, P., Goosse, H., Driesschaert, E. and Loutre, M. F.:
17 Antarctic ice-sheet melting provides negative feedbacks on future climate warming, *Geophys.*
18 *Res. Lett.*, 35, doi:10.1029/2008GL034410, 2008.
- 19 Timmermann, R., Wang, Q. and Hellmer, H. H.: Ice-shelf basal melting in a global finite-
20 element sea-ice/ice-shelf/ocean model, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 53(60), 303–314,
21 doi:10.3189/2012AoG60A156, 2012.
- 22 Van Vuuren, D. P., Stehfest, E., den Elzen, M. G. J., Kram, T., van Vliet, J., Deetman, S.,
23 Isaac, M., Klein Goldewijk, K., Hof, A., Mendoza Beltran, A., Oostenrijk, R. and van
24 Ruijven, B.: RCP2.6: exploring the possibility to keep global mean temperature increase
25 below 2°C, *Clim. Change*, 109(1-2), 95–116, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0152-3, 2011.
- 26 Wigley, T. M. L.: The climate change commitment., *Science*, 307(5716), 1766–9,
27 doi:10.1126/science.1103934, 2005.
- 28 Winkelmann, R., Levermann, A., Martin, M. A. and Frieler, K.: Increased future ice discharge
29 from Antarctica owing to higher snowfall, *Nature*, 492(7428), 239–242,
30 doi:10.1038/nature11616, 2012.
- 31 Winkelmann, R., Martin, M. A., Haseloff, M., Albrecht, T., Bueler, E., Khroulev, C. and
32 Levermann, A.: The Potsdam Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM-PIK) Part 1: Model
33 description, *Cryosph.*, 5(3), 715–726, doi:10.5194/tc-5-715-2011, 2011.


34

35



1
 2 **Fig. 1 Bands of ice mass addition on East Antarctica and ice thickness relaxation for the**
 3 **800 km band.** Left panel: Surface velocities of the ice flow of the Antarctic ice sheet (blue
 4 shading). Grey strips indicate where ice mass was added to East Antarctica in order to delay
 5 future sea-level rise in the different simulations. The ice was added in strips of 200-km width
 6 for 100 yrs. The right panels show the ice thickness relaxation after the end of the mass
 7 addition to the 800-km band in time steps of 50 yrs for two representative sections (left panel,
 8 red lines) as anomaly to the equilibrium simulation.

9
 10




1

2 **Fig. 2 Additional ice discharge compared to mass addition for different distances from**
 3 **the coast.** The fraction of the added ice that is lost again to the ocean as a function of distance
 4 from the coast at which the additional ice was placed for the simulations without (left panel)
 5 and with (right panel) surface warming. Colours indicate the magnitude of the mass addition
 6 equivalent to 1, 3 and 10 mm yr⁻¹ sea level rise mitigation. Markers correspond to the
 7 different relaxation times: end of pulse, 500 and 1000 yrs after the pulse of mass addition
 8 ended.


9

10



1

2 **Fig. 3: Difference in ice thickness compared to the initial state.** Ice thickness gain at the
 3 end of the 100-yr-long mass addition (upper panels) and 1000 yrs after the forcing ended
 4 (lower panels). The close-to-coast simulation (left panels) has lost most of the added ice to the
 5 ocean after 1000 yrs while there is a broad ice gain in the 800-km simulation (right panels).
 6 Figures are shown for the strongest scenario of 10mm yr^{-1} of sea-level mitigation and without
 7 accounting for latent-heat release.



1

2 **Fig. 4: Response of the Antarctic Ice Sheet to a 100-yr-surface-mass addition.** Ice volume
 3 gain and relaxation (upper panels) and equivalent loss of the added snow (lower panels) for
 4 the close-to-coast (200 km, left panels) and farthest-inland (800 km, right panels) simulations
 5 for the 1, 3 and 10 mm yr⁻¹ mitigation cases (grey, light blue and dark blue colours). Thick
 6 lines indicate simulations without surface warming, thin lines with surface temperature held at
 7 sea water freezing point during the forcing (upper bound). Vertical lines indicate the pulse
 8 interval, horizontal lines in lower left panel indicate the total ice volume added.