# Climate change increases riverine carbon outgassing while export to the ocean remains uncertain

- 3
- 4 F. Langerwisch<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Walz<sup>3</sup>, A. Rammig<sup>1,4</sup>, B. Tietjen<sup>5,2</sup>, K. Thonicke<sup>1,2</sup>, W. Cramer<sup>6,2</sup>
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> Earth System Analysis, Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), P.O. Box 60 12
- 6 03, Telegraphenberg A62, D-14412 Potsdam, Germany
- <sup>7</sup><sup>2</sup> Berlin-Brandenburg Institute of Advanced Biodiversity Research (BBIB), 14195 Berlin,
- 8 Germany
- 9 <sup>3</sup> Institute of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-
- 10 25, D-14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany
- <sup>4</sup>TUM School of Life Sciences Weihenstephan, Land Surface-Atmosphere Interactions,
- 12 Technical University Munich, Hans-Carl-von-Carlowitz-Platz 2, 85354 Freising, Germany
- <sup>5</sup> Biodiversity Ecological Modelling, Institute of Biology, Freie Universität Berlin,
- 14 Altensteinstr. 6, D-14195 Berlin, Germany
- <sup>6</sup> Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie marine et continentale (IMBE), Aix
- 16 Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, Avignon Université, Technopôle Arbois-Méditerranée, Bât.
- 17 Villemin BP 80, F-13545 Aix-en-Provence cedex 04, France

18

19 Correspondence to: F. Langerwisch (langerwisch@pik-potsdam.de)

## 21 Abstract

22 Any regular interaction of land and river during flooding affects carbon pools within the 23 terrestrial system, riverine carbon, and carbon exported from the system. In the Amazon basin 24 carbon fluxes are considerably influenced by annual flooding during which terrigenous organic 25 material is imported to the river. The Amazon basin represents therefore an excellent example of 26 a tightly coupled terrestrial-riverine system. The processes of generation, conversion, and 27 transport of organic carbon in such a coupled terrigenous-riverine system strongly interact and 28 are climate-sensitive, yet their functioning is rarely considered in Earth system models and their 29 response to climate change is still largely unknown. To quantify regional and global carbon 30 budgets and climate change effects on carbon pools and carbon fluxes it is important to account 31 for the coupling between the land, the river, the ocean and the atmosphere. We developed the 32 riverine carbon model RivCM, which is directly coupled to the well-established dynamic 33 vegetation and hydrology model LPJmL in order to account for this large scale coupling. We 34 evaluate RivCM with observational data and show that some of the values are reproduced quite 35 well by the model while we see large deviations for other variables. This is mainly caused by 36 some simplifications we assumed. Our evaluation shows that it is possible to reproduce large 37 scale carbon transport across a river system however with large uncertainties. Acknowledging 38 these uncertainties, we estimate the potential changes in riverine carbon by applying RivCM for 39 climate forcing from five climate models and three CO<sub>2</sub> emission scenarios (SRES). We find that 40 climate change causes a doubling of riverine organic carbon in the Southern and Western basin 41 while reducing it by 20% in the Eastern and Northern parts. In contrast, the amount of riverine 42 inorganic carbon shows a 2- to 3-fold increase in the entire basin, independent of the SRES 43 scenario. The export of carbon to the atmosphere increases as well with an average of about 44 30%. In contrast, changes in future export of organic carbon to the Atlantic Ocean depend on the 45 SRES scenario and are projected to either decrease by about 8.9% (SRES A1B) or increase by 46 about 9.1% (SRES A2). Such changes in the terrigenous-riverine system could have local and 47 regional impacts on the carbon budget of the whole Amazon basin and parts of the Atlantic 48 Ocean. Changes in the riverine carbon could lead to a shift in the riverine nutrient supply and 49 pH, while changes in the exported carbon to the ocean leads to changes in the supply of organic 50 material that acts as a food source in the Atlantic. At larger scales the increased outgassing of 51  $CO_2$  could turn the Amazon basin from a sink of carbon to a considerable source. Therefore we 52 propose that the coupling of terrestrial and riverine carbon budget should be included in 53 subsequent analysis of the future regional carbon budget.

## 55 **1 Introduction**

56 Research on the effects of climate and land use change on terrestrial and riverine systems has 57 been extensively conducted. Results show how changes in temperature and precipitation will affect the species composition in forest ecosystems (Fearnside, 2004; Huntingford et al., 2013; 58 59 Nepstad et al., 2007) as well as discharge and flooding patterns of rivers (Coe et al., 2011; 60 Panday et al., 2015; Zulkafli et al., 2016). However, the consequences for a coupled terrestrial-61 riverine system have been elaborated on in less detail and mostly focusing on estimations under the current climate (Johnson et al., 2006; Cole et al., 2000; Richey et al., 2002; Neu et al., 2011; 62 63 Abril et al., 2014). Here we want to deepen the understanding of consequences of climate change 64 on riverine carbon fluxes, which are fuelled by vegetation, and on the export of carbon from the terrestrial part to the atmosphere and the ocean. We aim to understand how much the basin-wide 65 carbon balance is influenced by these interactions. 66

In this study we focus on the coupled terrestrial-riverine system on the Amazon basin. In this 67 region the Amazon River and in particular, the annually recurring flooding of parts of the forests, 68 69 shape the manifold Amazonian ecosystems. The flooding is most decisive for the coupling of 70 terrestrial and aquatic processes by transferring organic material from the terrestrial ecosystems 71 in the river (Hedges et al., 2000). The water rises with an amplitude of only some centimetres in 72 small tributaries to up to 15 meters in the main stem (Junk, 1985). In central Amazonia about 73 16% of the area is flooded during high water, while only 4% are flooded permanently (Richey et 74 al., 2002). During flooding, deposited litter and soil carbon which originates from terrestrial 75 vegetation is one source of organic material imported into the river system. The input of 76 terrigenous organic material affects the riverine system enormously on a local scale (Melack and 77 Forsberg, 2001; Waterloo et al., 2006). It acts, for instance, as fertilizer and food source 78 (Anderson et al., 2011; Horn et al., 2011), and is a modifier of habitats and interacting local 79 carbon cycles (Hedges et al., 2000; Irmler, 1982; Johnson et al., 2006; McClain and Elsenbeer, 80 2001). Whereas in most limnic systems additional organic material produced by aquatic 81 photosynthesis plays a major role for the riverine organic carbon pools (Lampert and Sommer, 82 1999; Schwoerbel and Brendelberger, 2005), the aquatic photosynthesis rate in large parts of the 83 Amazon River network is comparably low and submerged plants rarely occur (Junk and Piedade, 84 1997). Here, the input of allochthonous material produced in the floodplain forests is more 85 relevant than the production of organic matter within the river (Abril et al., 2014; Cole and 86 Caraco, 2001; Druffel et al., 2005; Mayorga et al., 2005). The low aquatic productivity in the 87 river system is caused by high sediment load and thus high turbidity in white water rivers and 88 low nutrient supply in the black water rivers (Benner et al., 1995; Richey et al., 1990; Sioli, 89 1957).

At larger scales, the release of carbon into the atmosphere and the export to the ocean are the most relevant factors, when it comes to estimating the effects of Amazon ecosystems on climate

92 change. Approximately  $32.7 \times 10^{12}$  g C yr<sup>-1</sup> (Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003) of total organic carbon

93 (TOC) is exported to the Atlantic Ocean, in comparison to about  $470 \times 10^{12}$  g C yr<sup>-1</sup> (Richey et 94 al., 2002) exported to the atmosphere as CO<sub>2</sub>. While the carbon released to the atmosphere 95 proliferates climate change immediately, the carbon exported to the ocean affects the marine 96 ecosystems over hundreds of square kilometres off the mouth of the Amazon River, thereby 97 possibly influencing ocean-atmosphere carbon exchange over several weeks to months (Cooley 98 et al., 2007; Cooley and Yager, 2006; Körtzinger, 2003; Subramaniam et al., 2008).

99 Hydrologic or limnic production as well as transformation and export of carbon have been 100 estimated in a number of empirical (case) studies. These studies highlight different aspects of the 101 system, e.g. showing that the carbon within the river mainly originates from tree leaves and other 102 non-woody material from Várzea systems (Hedges et al., 2000; Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003), 103 describing reasons for temporal and spatial differentiations of organic matter within the river 104 (Aufdenkampe et al., 2007; Devol et al., 1995), and modelling the hydrological and biochemical 105 aquatic carbon budget over a 2000-km-reach (Bustillo et al. (2011). Several studies already 106 combined the aquatic and the terrestrial compartment of the system by including the adjacent 107 forests (Johnson et al., 2006; Cole et al., 2000; Richey et al., 2002; Neu et al., 2011; Abril et al., 108 2014), but these studies focus on estimating carbon budgets under current climate conditions.

109 By improving the understanding of how future climate change could influence the largest 110 interconnected ecosystem on Earth (Bauer et al., 2013; Sjögersten et al., 2014), an in-depth 111 analysis of the coupled terrigenous-riverine carbon fluxes and pools in the Amazon basin is required. Climate and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, terrestrial productivity, water discharge and flooding 112 113 patterns strongly interact and thus control the amount of carbon in the Amazon river. But they 114 also influence its further conversion and transport within the river system, which finally 115 determine carbon export to either atmosphere or ocean. This tight coupling of the terrigenous-116 riverine system makes the Amazon basin highly sensitive for climate change impacts.

117 This study aims at taking first steps towards an understanding of carbon fluxes in a terrigenous-118 river-ocean system under future climate change by addressing the following research questions 119 for the example of the Amazon basin :

- 1) How will the highly interdependent and strongly climate-controlled carbon fluxes and pools in the Amazon basin change during the 21<sup>st</sup> century?
- 122 2) Which regions in the Amazon basin are likely to be most strongly impacted by climate123 change?
- 124 3) How does climate change alter the proportion of carbon immediately released to the125 atmosphere vs. carbon exported to the ocean?
- 4) How relevant is the amount of riverine outgassed carbon for the basin-wide carbonbudget in a changing climate?

128 To address these questions we developed the **Riv**erine Carbon Model (RivCM) and applied it to

- 129 the Amazon Basin. RivCM is directly coupled to the dynamic vegetation and hydrology model
- 130 LPJmL (Bondeau et al., 2007; Gerten et al., 2004; Rost et al., 2008; Sitch et al., 2003). The

131 riverine carbon model focuses on the export, transport and conversion of terrestrial fixed carbon.

132 Carbon pools and fluxes for the most important transport and transformation processes are

133 validated for current conditions based on observational data from the Amazon basin.

134 To investigate potential future changes in the different carbon fluxes and pools, the model was 135 forced by climate change scenarios that cover a large range of uncertainty in climate change projections for the Amazon basin. Based on these simulations, we identify areas most heavily 136 137 affected by climate change. We estimated temporal changes in the different carbon fluxes and 138 pools, as well as the carbon released to the atmosphere and exported to the ocean. To 139 additionally assess the benefit of including inundated areas in the model, a full factorial 140 experiment was conducted. Herewith the study aims to develop a concept to assess changes in 141 coupled terrestrial-riverine systems, which is a prerequisite to better quantify regional and global

142 carbon budgets and consequences of climate change.

143

## 144 **2 Methods**

The **Riv**erine Carbon Model (RivCM) is a grid-based model simulating riverine carbon dynamics on monthly time steps. It is coupled to the process-based dynamic global vegetation and hydrology model LPJmL (Bondeau et al., 2007; Gerten et al., 2004; Rost et al., 2008; Sitch et al., 2003). RivCM is driven by current and future climate and atmospheric  $CO_2$  data. An overview about the interconnection between the models and scenarios is given in Fig. 1.

## 150 **2.1 Model descriptions**

## 151 **2.1.1** The dynamic global vegetation and hydrology model LPJmL

152 The process-based dynamic global vegetation and hydrology model LPJmL (Bondeau et al., 153 2007; Gerten et al., 2004; Rost et al., 2008; Sitch et al., 2003) calculates carbon and 154 corresponding water fluxes globally with a spatial resolution of  $0.5 \times 0.5$  degree (lat/lon) and 155 daily time steps. For the simulation of potential natural vegetation and the main processes 156 controlling its dynamics, LPJmL uses climate data (temperature, precipitation and cloud cover), 157 atmospheric  $CO_2$ , and soil texture as input. The main processes are photosynthesis based on 158 Farquhar et al. (1980) and Collatz et al. (1992), auto- and heterotrophic respiration, 159 establishment, mortality and phenology. These processes lead to dynamic changes in carbon 160 stored in the vegetation, litter and soil. Simulated water fluxes include evaporation, soil moisture, 161 snowmelt, runoff, discharge, interception and transpiration. Globally, LPJmL calculates the 162 performance of nine plant functional types in each grid cell, each of these representing an 163 assortment of species classified as being functionally similar. In the Amazon basin, LPJmL 164 primarily simulates three of these plant functional types, representing tropical evergreen and

deciduous forest and C4 grasses. The monthly aggregated amounts of carbon stored in litter and soil, as well as the grid-cell's amount of discharged and stored water are used as an input to

- soli, as well as the grid-cell's amount of discharged and stored water are used as an input to
- 167 RivCM.

168 LPJmL has been shown to reproduce current patterns of biomass production, river discharge, and 169 carbon emission through fire, also including managed land (Biemans et al., 2009; Bondeau et al., 170 2007; Cramer et al., 2001; Fader et al., 2010; Gerten et al., 2004, 2008; Poulter et al., 2009a; 171 Rost et al., 2008; Sitch et al., 2003; Thonicke et al., 2010; Wagner et al., 2003). The observed 172 patterns in water fluxes, such as soil moisture, evapotranspiration, and runoff, are comparable to 173 stand-alone global hydrological models (Wagner et al., 2003; Gerten et al., 2004; Gordon et al., 174 2004; Gerten et al., 2008; Biemans et al., 2009). Several studies on Amazonia have been 175 conducted showing the effect of climate change on NPP (Poulter et al., 2009b), on carbon stocks 176 (Gumpenberger et al., 2010), on the risk for forest dieback (Rammig et al., 2010) and also on 177 riverine related changes such as inundation patterns (Langerwisch et al., 2013; Zulkafli et al., 178 2016). The ability of the model to realistically reproduce both, terrestrial carbon and water fluxes 179 and pools, makes it an excellent tool to investigate the coupling of the terrestrial and riverine 180 carbon in the Amazon basin.

#### 181 **2.1.2 The riverine carbon model RivCM**

182 RivCM is a process-based model which simulates the most important processes impacting 183 different riverine carbon pools, namely import, conversion and export (see overview in Fig. 2). The model calculates the four major ecological processes related to the riverine carbon budget of 184 185 the Amazon River: mobilization of terrigenous organic material (litter and soil carbon), (mechanical) decomposition of terrigenous organic material, (biochemical) respiration of 186 187 terrigenous organic material, and outgassing of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere. These processes directly 188 control the most relevant riverine carbon pools, specifically particulate organic carbon (POC), 189 dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and inorganic carbon (IC), as well as outgassed carbon 190 (representing CO<sub>2</sub>), and exported riverine carbon to the ocean (POC, DOC and IC). Since 191 RivCM is developed to simulate the fate of terrigenous carbon, it neglects the autochthonous 192 production of organic material within the river. A description of the model including sensitivity 193 analysis and parameterization can be found in the following and in the Supplementary 194 Information (SI).

195 The Amazon River mobilizes large amounts of terrigenous organic material from seasonally 196 flooded forests (Litc and Soilc in Fig. 2), where dead leaves and twigs are exported to the river 197 (Irmler, 1982; Wantzen et al., 2008). Given the high productivity in Amazonian forests, this 198 mobilization considerably increases the amount of organic material in the water (Junk, 1985; 199 Cole and Caraco, 2001; Junk and Wantzen, 2004). Johnson et al. (2006) and Cole et al. (2000) 200 showed that terrestrially fixed carbon from the floodplain forests is the major source of respired 201 organic matter within the river and lakes. In RivCM the *mobilization* process is presented by a 202 function that calculates the amount of mobilized terrestrially fixed carbon in currently inundated

areas (*POC* and *DOC* in Fig. 2) depending on the amount of available exportable terrestrial
 organic carbon from dead matter. The monthly inundated area is calculated using current
 discharge and discharge from the reference period 1971-2000 and potentially floodable area
 (Langerwisch et al., 2013).

207 Another source of organic matter for the river is, besides the import of terrigenous material, the 208 allochthonous production. In most limnic systems the production of organic material by 209 photosynthesis by aquatic plants plays a major role in the organic carbon pool (Lampert and 210 Sommer, 1999; Schwoerbel and Brendelberger, 2005). In Amazonia, however, the aquatic 211 photosynthesis rate in large parts of the Amazon River network is comparably low and 212 submerged plants rarely occur (Junk and Piedade, 1997) since the white water rivers contain 213 large amounts of sediments and are thus turbid and the black water rivers contain only little 214 nutrients (Benner et al., 1995; Richey et al., 1990; Sioli, 1957). Therefore, the input of 215 allochthonous material produced in floodplain forests contributes much stronger to total organic 216 matter within the river than the production by aquatic plants (Cole and Caraco, 2001; Junk, 1985; 217 Junk and Wantzen, 2004). Therefore, the Amazon river itself is considered rather a transport 218 agent than a producer of organic material (Junk and Wantzen, 2004). For these reasons the 219 calculation of riverine primary production, via aquatic photosynthesis, has been omitted in the

220 model calculations.

221 In the river, the imported organic matter is decomposed by manual breakup by either abiotic 222 decomposition like grinding or by biotic fragmentation by shredders such as Gammaridae, or fish 223 (Hedges et al., 1994; Martius, 1997; Melack and Forsberg, 2001). Moreover, decomposition 224 includes the leaching of coarse and fine material to form dissolved organic carbon (DOC in Fig. 225 2) (Lampert and Sommer, 1999). This enlarges the surface for colonization by fungi and bacteria 226 which are responsible for biochemical decomposition (respiration) (Martius, 1997; Wantzen et 227 al., 2008). During heterotrophic respiration, most of the ingested carbon is released as  $CO_2$  to the 228 water body (IC in Fig. 2).

*Outgassing*, i.e. evasion of gases from the water body, occurs, when the concentration of a specific gas in the water body exceeds its saturation concentration which depends on temperature and partial pressure (Schwoerbel and Brendelberger, 2005). Due to high carbon input into the Amazonian rivers, large amounts of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  are produced and saturate the water (Mayorga et al., 2005; Richey et al., 2002). The outgassing of  $CO_2$  contributes more than 95% to the total outgassed carbon (Belger et al., 2011; Melack et al., 2004; Richey et al., 2002). We therefore considered only the outgassing of  $CO_2$  in the model ( $CO_2$  in Fig. 2).

An overview of model input, comprising static data (describing fixed site conditions), and dynamic data, like climate, atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration, and terrigenous organic carbon, is given in Table 1. Physical constants are listed in Table 2. The following sections describe the input data, modelling approach of individual processes and the coupling to LPJmL.

#### 240 Input data and RivCM model initialization

241 *River type* and *river order* (Fig. 3), as well as *river area* which represents about 25% of the potential floodable area (Langerwisch et al., 2013; Richey et al., 2002), prescribe the size and 242 243 characteristics of the river stretch. The river type of each cell was defined by combining information published by Sioli (1957), Irion (1976), and Diegues (1994), and can be either white, 244 black or clear water. The river colour depends on the amount of sediments and dissolved organic 245 246 material in the water. It determines amongst others the pH and the temperature. For 247 simplification, very small catchments (smaller than the simulated resolution) of deviating river 248 types were neglected and the dominant river type was used. River order is represented by three classes and defined by total annual discharge (headwater:  $< 8 \times 10^3$  m<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; middle reach:  $8 \times 10^3$ 249 to  $2 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ; lower reach:  $> 2 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). We chose these classes because of their 250 251 different characteristics, as it is discussed in the River Continuum Concept (Vannote et al., 252 1980). Each grid cell that receives the routed water (*rout cell*) is determined by a digital elevation model (as also in Rost et al., 2008). The water is routed with a slope depending flow 253 254 velocity  $v \text{ [m s}^{-1}\text{]}$  (Langerwisch et al., 2013), which results in a distance that is routed through 255 per month of about 13 cells (in the largest part of the basin).

## 256 Data input from LPJmL to RivCM

- 257 Monthly discharge (*Mdis*  $[m^3 s^{-1}]$ ), amount of water (*Mwat*  $[m^3]$ ), soil water content for two soil
- 258 layers (Mswc1 within the upper soil layer (soildepth1=200cm) and Mswc2 within the lower soil
- layer (*soildepth*<sub>2</sub>=300cm) [%]) are provided by LPJmL. Additionally, annual litter carbon (*ALitc*
- $[g m^{-2}]$ ) and soil carbon (ASoilc  $[g m^{-2}]$ ) are provided by LPJmL (see also Figs. 1 and 2). The
- 261 coupling is unidirectional. RivCM uses the LPJ output as input, but the processes calculated are
- 262 only affecting the carbon pools and fluxes in RivCM. The carbon stored in litter and soil is
- 263 calculated in LPJmL, while for simplification the reduction of litter due to the mobilization is not
- 264 fed back to LPJmL.
- Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $atmCO_2$  [ppm]) and monthly temperature (T [°C]) prescribing abiotic atmospheric conditions are derived from the climate input data sets (see section 2.2).

#### 267 <u>Water temperature</u>

Water temperature at time *t* [°C] depends on air temperature  $(T_{airt})$  [°C] and river colour, given by *river type*. In white and clear water rivers the temperature is below air temperature. The calculation of water temperature of these rivers is conducted according to Eq. (1) based on Bogan et al. (2003), with  $T_t$  calculated as

$$T_t = 0.6946 \times T_{air_t} + 5.19 \tag{1}$$

272 The temperature in black water rivers is close to air temperature.

#### 273 <u>Temperature response</u>

- 274 The respiration reaction calculated in RivCM at time t is adjusted according to the water
- 275 temperature  $T_t$  by a coefficient for temperature response  $Tresponse_t$  (Eq. (2) (Lampert and
- Sommer, 1999). Additionally to the temperature response in water (and water saturated soil), a
- temperature response for (unsaturated) soils was calculated with Eq. (3).

$$Tresponse_t = e^{308.56 \times (\frac{1}{56.02} - \frac{1}{T_t + 46.02})}$$
(2)

$$Tresponsedry_{t} = Tresponse_{t} \times \frac{1 - (e^{-\frac{Mswc_{1} \times soildepth_{1} + Mswc_{2} \times soildepth_{2}}{soildepth_{1} + soildepth_{2}})}{1 - (e^{-1})}$$
(3)

- This is based on the empirical relationship of temperature response in soils (Lloyd and Taylor,
- 279 1994, also applied in LPJmL) which is valid for temperatures above  $-40^{\circ}$ C.

#### 280 Initialization of litter and soil carbon

As initialization for *Litc* and *Soilc* in the first simulated month, RivCM uses the litter and soil carbon stocks (*ALitc*, *ASoilc*) from LPJmL. Analogue to LPJmL, a further division of *Soilc* into a fast respiring fraction (10% *Soilc<sub>fast</sub>*) and a slow respiring fraction (90% *Soilc<sub>slow</sub>*) was calculated. Additionally, the annually produced litter prior respiration is used in RivCM. Since LPJmL does not account for inundation, which changes respiration, the respiration of litter in (partly) watersaturated soils is calculated within RivCM.

287 In general, in tropical forests litter falls continuously throughout the year (Müller-Hohenstein, 288 1981). In forests, where the flooding triggers litter fall, a peak of litter fall occurs during rising 289 and high water stage (Irmler, 1982). Because this is not accounted for in LPJmL (Sitch et al., 290 2003), the annual un-respired litter carbon pool provided by LPJmL, ALitc<sub>unresp</sub> was 291 heterogeneously partitioned over 12 months to initialize the monthly litter amount (*Litcunresp*) in 292 RivCM (Fig. 2, INPUT box). With Eq. (4) and Eq. (5) the maximum amount of carbon was 293 distributed to the month with high water and the minimum (at least 10% of annual litter) was 294 distributed to the month with low water. This depended on the distance between the current 295 month to the distance between the month with high water peak and the month with low water peak (dist<sub>highlow</sub>). With this approach we achieved a skewed distribution of litter carbon. The 296 297 factor for the monthly fraction (*fraction<sub>mt</sub>*) was calculated with

$$fraction_{m_t} = \cos\left(\frac{m_t}{dist_{highlow}} \times \pi\right) + (1.0 + litfrac_{min}) \tag{4}$$

if the current month number ( $m_t$ ; from 0-11) is smaller than the distance between high and low water peak ( $dist_{highlow}$ ), to distribute the maximum amount of carbon to the month with high

300 water, or with

$$fraction_{m_t} = -\cos\left(\frac{m_t - dist_{highlow}}{12 - dist_{highlow}} \times \pi\right) + (1.0 + litfrac_{min})$$
(5)

if the current month number ( $m_t$ ; from 0-11) is larger than the distance between high and low water peak ( $dist_{highlow}$ ) to distribute the minimum amount of carbon (>= 10% of annual litter) to the month with low water. Equation (4) calculates the convex part of the function, while Eq. (5) calculates the concave part of the function. The first part of both equations represents the cosine portion, and the second part sets the minimum of litter for the month with the low water peak *litfrac<sub>min</sub>*.

307 By calculating the fraction of current monthly litter production versus total litter production in 308 the course of each year (Eq. (6)), the total monthly un-respired litter carbon ( $Litc_{unrespt}$ ) can be 309 determined with Eq. (7).

$$mfraction_{t} = \frac{fraction_{m_{t}}}{\sum_{t=0}^{11} fraction_{m_{t}}}$$
(6)

$$Litc_{unresp_{t}} = mfraction_{t} \times ALitc_{unresp}$$
(7)

#### 310 **Respiration of litter and soil carbon**

The initialized litter and soil carbon pools (*Litc*, *Soilc*) are respired and re-filled with the amount of the respiration of un-respired litter carbon ( $Litc_{unresp}$ ). The calculation of respiration of organic matter depends on soil water content and temperature. The soil water content (*Mswc*) in uninundated grid cells was provided by LPJmL, while the soil water content of (partly) inundated cells was calculated depending on the fraction of cell covered with water in RivCM. In inundated parts of the grid cell the soil water content was set to 100%. The respiration of the un-respired litter carbon and the soil carbon was calculated analogous to the LPJmL routine (for details see

also SI Eqs. (S1) to (S12)) and is updated in each time step.

#### 319 Mobilization

The mobilization function calculates the amount of mobilized terrestrially fixed carbon dependent on the amount of available exportable organic carbon on land, and on the size of

322 inundated area. This area is determined using current discharge, reference discharge and

- 323 potentially floodable area. The mobilization is not dependent on the river type, since the physical
- 324 conditions of moving water to mobilize terrigenous material are the same on black and white
- 325 water rivers.
- 326 Size of monthly inundated area

327 The inundated area at time t (InunArea<sub>t</sub> [km<sup>2</sup>]) was defined as the area covered by water,

- 328 including river and floodplain. It is determined by the current monthly discharge ( $Mdis_t$  [m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>])
- 329 relative to the mean maximum discharge of the reference period 1971-2000 (RefMeanMaxMdis
- 330 [m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>]) produced under the climate forcing of CRU TS2.1 (Österle et al., 2003; Mitchell and
- Jones, 2005). The potentially floodable inundated area (*MaxInunArea* [km<sup>2</sup>] Langerwisch et al.,

2013) (Eq. (8) *MaxInunArea* [km<sup>2</sup>] was calculated using the fraction of the cell that is potentially
floodable (Langerwisch et al., 2013) multiplied by the cell area. The potentially floodable area
was calculated by applying a modified Topographic Relative Moisture Index (based on Parker,
1982) to a digital elevation model provided by the WWF database HydroSHEDS (WWF
HydroSHEDS, 2007) as described by Langerwisch et al. (2013).

$$InunArea_{t} = \frac{Mdis_{t}}{RefMeanMaxMdis} \times MaxInunArea$$
(8)

337 If the current monthly discharge is very high and thereby larger than the mean maximum 338 discharge of the reference period, the inundated area can exceed the potentially floodable area.

Because the export of terrigenous organic material is highest close to the river, each cell is subdivided into six sections, to account for spatial differentiation depending on the vicinity to the river. The size of the sections one to five is calculated with an exponential function (Eq. (9)). The remaining cell area is allocated to section six. The river area is assigned to the cell sections starting from the smallest section (Fig. S1). The river can expand into the next larger section during rising water. The largest section has the largest distance to the river and is therefore only occasionally inundated.

$$size_{section} = \frac{1}{e^{(number_{section}+1)}}$$
(9)

346

#### 347 <u>Size of floodplain area</u>

The floodplain area (*FloodplainArea*  $[km^2]$ ) at time *t* equals the inundated area that is not permanently covered with water. It was calculated by subtracting the river area (25% of inundated area, Richey et al., 2002) from the inundated area using Eq. (10) (see also Langerwisch et al., 2013).

$$FloodplainArea_t = InunArea_t - (0.25 \times MaxInunArea)$$
(10)

#### 352 <u>Amount of exported litter and soil carbon</u>

This function calculates the amount of carbon exported from the terrestrial litter and soil pools to the river. River and forests at the headwater, which is defined by an annual discharge of less than  $8 \times 10^3$  m<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (river order 1 in Fig. 3B), are assumed to be much closer interconnected than at middle and lower reaches (order 2 and 3). Since small streams at the headwater directly flow beneath the trees, their export of litter and soil carbon was calculated from the entire inundated area. In all cells of higher river orders the export of terrestrial organic material occurs in the model only from the floodplain area and not from the permanently flooded river.

The *Igapó* forests which are inundated by black water rivers produce approximately 35% less litter and soil carbon (Worbes, 1997) compared to white water inundated *Várzea* forests. LPJmL simulates tropical rainforest which is analogue to *Várzea*. Since LPJmL does not account for the different forest types, a correction of the organic material is performed for the *Igapó* forests. Hence, the amount of exportable organic material from the black water cells at time *t* is reduced by a factor of 0.35 (1–*carboncorr*; details in Table 3, Eqs. (11) and (12)).

$$Litc_{t_{corr}} = Litc_t \times carboncorr \tag{11}$$

$$Soilc_{t_{corr}} = Soilc_t \times carboncorr \tag{12}$$

For simplicity the following equations do only refer to *Litc*, instead of to the corrected value  $Litc_{corr}$  in case of *Igapó*.

368 The mobilization of litter and soil carbon at time t (*mLitc<sub>t</sub>*, *mSoilc<sub>t</sub>*,  $[10^6 \text{ g C cell}^{-1}]$ ) is calculated 369 using the specific mobilization rates for litter and soil carbon (Table 3, Eqs. (13) and (14)).

$$mLitc_t = Litc_t \times FloodplainArea_t \times mobil_{litc}$$
(13)

$$mSoilc_t = Soilc_t \times FloodplainArea_t \times mobil_{soilc}$$
(14)

According to Irmler (1982), litter carbon is mobilized with a rate of 0.4 month<sup>-1</sup>. After a sensitivity analysis this rate (*mobil*<sub>litc</sub>) was calibrated to 0.7 month<sup>-1</sup> (see SI). Soil carbon mobilization takes place at a much smaller rate. Since no detailed value is available, the rate of soil mobilization (*mobil*<sub>soilc</sub>) was calibrated after a sensitivity analysis to 0.05 month<sup>-1</sup>. Mobilized carbon  $[10^6 \text{ g C cell}^{-1}]$ , originating from litter and soil, consists of a particulate (*mPOC*<sub>t</sub>) and a dissolved (*mDOC*<sub>t</sub>) carbon pool with the fractions of *mobil*<sub>p</sub> and (1.0 – *mobil*<sub>p</sub>), respectively (Table 3, Eqs. (15) and (16)).

$$mPOC_t = (mLitc_t + mSoilc_t) \times mobil_p$$
(15)

$$mDOC_{t} = (mLitc_{t} + mSoilc_{t}) \times (1.0 - mobil_{p})$$
(16)

The fraction of mobilized particulate carbon  $(mobil_p)$  was set to 0.5 according to McClain and Elsenbeer (2001) and Johnson et al. (2006) and was evaluated in a sensitivity analysis (SI).

#### 379 **Decomposition**

Beginning on the rate of decomposition (*decomp* [month<sup>-1</sup>], Table 3), the model calculates the conversion from particulate (*mPOC*<sub>t</sub>) into dissolved organic carbon (*dDOC*<sub>t+1</sub>) which has been estimated to be about 0.3 month<sup>-1</sup> by Furch and Junk (1997). In the calculations this rate was

383 modified according to the river type. Along black water rivers the leaves are more sclerophyllous

- and thus much slower degradable (Furch and Junk, 1997). Therefore, the decomposition rate in
- 385 black water cells is reduced by factor 0.9 (1.0 *decompcorr*) based on Furch and Junk (1997)

386 (Table 3). The decomposed particulate organic carbon at time  $t \, dPOC_t$  (Eq. (17)) was removed 387 from the particulate organic carbon pool and added to the dissolved organic carbon pool 388 (Eqs.(18) and (19)). The dissolved organic carbon is not fragmented any further.

$$dPOC_t = mPOC_t \times decomp \times decompcorr$$
(17)

$$dPOC_{t+1} = mPOC_t - dPOC_t$$
(18)

$$dDOC_{t+1} = mDOC_t + dPOC_t \tag{19}$$

#### 389 **Respiration**

The respiration function calculates the decrease of particulate and dissolved organic carbon 390  $(dPOC_{t+1}, dDOC_{t+1}, [10^6 \text{ g C cell}^{-1}])$  by the respiration loss  $(rPOC_{loss})$  and  $rDOC_{loss}$  and  $rDOC_{loss}$ 391 392 associated increase of dissolved inorganic carbon  $(rICt_{+1})$  at time t (Eqs. (20) to (24)). For this, a 393 sufficient abundance of respiring organisms is assumed. In contrast to different decomposition 394 rates in black and white water rivers, which is due to the fact that leaves at black water rivers 395 tend to be more sclerophyllous and therefore less easily degradable, for the respiration of already 396 degraded organic material we assume only minor differences. As soon as the leaves and twigs 397 are degraded to small particles we assume that they react similarly. Therefore, respiration only depends on the rate of respiration loss (*respi*  $[month^{-1}]$ , see Table 3) and the temperature 398 399 response (Tresponse, Eq. (2)).

$$rPOC_{loss} = dPOC_{t+1} \times (1 - e^{-(respi \times Tresponse_t)})$$
(20)

$$rDOC_{loss} = dDOC_{t+1} \times (1 - e^{-(respi \times Tresponse_t)})$$
(21)

$$rPOC_{t+1} = dPOC_{t+1} - rPOC_{loss}$$
(22)

$$rDOC_{t+1} = dDOC_{t+1} - rDOC_{loss}$$
(23)

$$rIC_{t+1} = IC_t + rPOC_{loss} + rDOC_{loss}$$
(24)

#### 400 **Outgassing**

401 The model calculates the monthly saturation concentration of  $CO_2$  saturation $C_t$  in the water 402  $Mwat_t$  [m<sup>3</sup>] (Eq. (25))

$$saturationC_{t} = k_{H}^{\theta} \times e^{d \ln k_{H} \times \left(\frac{1}{T_{t}+273.15}-\frac{1}{T^{\theta}}\right)} \times \frac{atmCO_{2t}}{10^{6}} \times ctoco2 \times Mwat_{t} \times 10^{3}$$
(25)

using Henry's Law (Sander, 1999) and applying Henry's law constant  $(k_H^{\theta} [g CO_2 l^{-1} atm^{-1}])$ 403 under standard conditions ( $T^{\theta} = 298.15$ K), temperature dependence of the Henry's law constant 404 (dlnkH [K]), the ratio of carbon to carbon dioxide (ctoco2), and monthly temperature  $T_t$  in °C. 405 Depending on the river type, which determines the pH of the water, we calculated the amount of 406  $CO_2$ ,  $HCO^{3-}$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$ . For calculating the actual outgassing we only take the carbon into 407 account, instead of the chemical form in which it exists. Afterwards, monthly saturation is 408 409 multiplied with a monthly saturation factor (co2satur, Eq. (26), Table 3), which accounts for the 410 super-saturation of the water with CO<sub>2</sub>. These values depend on the hydrograph and were 411 extracted from Richey et al. (2002). The difference between inorganic carbon amount and 412 saturation concentration was added to the atmosphere carbon pool ( $Icoutgast_{+1}$ , Eq. (27)), while 413 carbon in the river equals the saturation concentration ( $oIC_{t+1}$ , Eq. (28)).

$$saturationCcorr_t = saturationC_t \times co2satur$$
(26)

$$ICoutgas_{t+1} = ICoutgas_t + (rIC_{t+1} - saturationCcorr_t)$$
(27)

$$oIC_{t+1} = saturationCcorr_t \tag{28}$$

#### 414 **2.2** Climate data sets

For model evaluation, climate forcing data from a homogenized and extended CRU TS2.1 global climate dataset (Österle et al., 2003; Mitchell and Jones, 2005) were used. Annual atmospheric

417 CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were prescribed as given by Keeling and Whorf (2003).

418 For the assessment of climate change impacts, three SRES scenarios (A1B, A2, B1) 419 (Nakićenović et al., 2000) were applied. Five General Circulation Models (GCMs) (Jupp et al., 2010; see also Randall et al., 2007), namely, MIUB\_ECHO\_G, MPI\_ECHAM5, MRI\_CGCM2\_3\_2A, 420 421 NCAR\_CCSM3\_0, UKMO\_HADCM3 were chosen to cover a wide range of uncertainty within 422 climatic projections with respect to precipitation patterns and temperature. The GCMs used the 423 SRES scenarios to calculated future climate. For example, the model MIUB\_ECHO\_G shows a 424 shortening of the dry season (defined by less than 100 mm precipitation per month), whereas 425 UKMO HADCM3 shows an elongation of the dry season towards the end of the century. 426 Temperature in the Amazon basin is projected to increase under the A1B emission scenario by 427 about 3.5K, by up to 4.5K for A2, and by up to 2 to 2.5K for B1 until the end of the century 428 (Meehl et al., 2007). Projected rainfall differs considerably in spatial distribution within the 429 Amazon basin among climate models. Under A1B, for instance, a decrease in precipitation is 430 projected for Southern Amazonia (especially during the southern-hemisphere winter), whereas 431 an increase in precipitation is projected in the Northern part (for details see Meehl et al., 2007). 432 The projected climate data were bias-corrected with the CRU TS2.1 global climate dataset

- 433 (Österle et al., 2003; Mitchell and Jones, 2005). Annual future atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations
  434 are based on the respective SRES scenario.
- All monthly climate data (observed and projected) were disaggregated to 'quasi-daily' values asdescribed by Gerten et al. (2004).

## 437 2.3 RivCM calibration and evaluation

To identify the most important explaining variables (parameters) and the most sensitive response variables (carbon pools), a redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed. Based on the analysis we calibrated *mobil*<sub>litc</sub> and *mobil*<sub>soilc</sub> (see SI).

441 To evaluate the performance of RivCM, a comparison of observed with simulated data was 442 conducted. TOC, POC, and DOC concentration were chosen, as well as exported carbon to the 443 ocean (TOC, POC, and DOC per year) and exported carbon to the atmosphere (outgassed CO<sub>2</sub> 444 per year on different spatial domains) (see Table 4). The estimates of carbon exported to the 445 Atlantic Ocean are from the gauging station at Óbidos. The data from this station represent an 446 integration of information over the entire Amazon basin. Therefore they do not reflect large 447 temporal or spatial deviation over the basin and enable us to compare aggregated measured data 448 with aggregated simulated data. If possible, data from the same time period were compared. If 449 the observation period was after the last simulation year 2003, the data were compared to 450 simulated values from the reference period (1971-2000). The results of the evaluation can be 451 found in the SI.

## 452 **2.4 Modelling protocol and simulation experiments**

453 We performed LPJmL simulations with potential natural vegetation only, i.e. without land use, on a  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$  spatial resolution. To obtain equilibria for vegetation distribution, carbon and 454 455 water pools in LPJmL, all transient LPJmL runs were preceded by a 1000-years-spinup during 456 which the pre-industrial CO<sub>2</sub> level of 280 ppm and the climate of the years 1901-1930 were 457 repeated. All transient runs of the coupled model LPJmL-RivCM were preceded by a 90-years-458 spinup during which the climate and CO<sub>2</sub> levels of 1901-1930 were repeated to obtain equilibria 459 for riverine carbon pools. Transient climate simulations are then performed for current climate 460 (1901-2003) and future climate (2004-2099). The data sets used are described in the section 2.2.

To identify how relevant the amount of riverine outgassed carbon for the basin-wide carbon budget in a changing climate is, we compared the output of coupled-terrestrial-riverine modelling runs with purely terrestrial or purely riverine modelling settings. The three different factorial settings are the following:

465 Setting 1 (*Standard*) refers to the standard RivCM simulation including the actual river and the 466 additionally inundated area. In these simulations the export of organic material from the land 467 to the river was calculated, as well as the discharge of carbon to the ocean, aquatic outgassing 468 and release of  $CO_2$  via terrestrial heterotrophic respiration.

Setting 2 (*NoInun*) includes the actual river, but excludes additional inundation. For this purpose,
 the cell fraction, which is not permanently covered by water, remains dry to emulate no
 coupling of land and river. In this simulation experiment no export of organic material from

- 472 the land to the river was calculated (i.e. there is no input of terrigenous organic material into
- 473 the river). Hence, no discharge of carbon to the ocean, no outgassing, but release of  $CO_2$  from
- 474 the terrestrial heterotrophic respiration was calculated.
- Setting 3 (*NoRiv*) includes calculations in which the original LPJmL results for CO<sub>2</sub> release from
  vegetation only were used, i.e. the influence of the river and inundation were not accounted
  for. In these simulations no outgassing from the water and no discharge of carbon to the ocean
  was calculated. In contrast, outgassing from the heterotrophic respiration of the forest, also in
  the areas in which RivCM simulates river area, was calculated.
- 480 In a full factorial design, all inundation scenarios (*Standard*, *NoInun* and *NoRiv*) were run for all 481 climate scenarios for future and current climate.

#### 482 **2.5** Analyses of future changes in the coupled terrigenous-riverine system

The effect of climate change is estimated by calculating the differences between carbon values in a future period (2070-2099) and a reference period (1971-2000). Four different carbon pools were analysed, namely outgassed carbon (atmospheric), riverine particulate organic carbon (POC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC), as well as the riverine inorganic carbon pool (IC) and. The relative changes in POC and DOC are spatially and temporally similar (Fig. S4). Therefore, only POC is shown and discussed in detail.

- 489 The spatial distribution of climate change effects ( $E_{CC}$ ) on the different carbon pools and fluxes
- 490 (indicated by  $_n$ ) were estimated by calculating for each cell the quotient (Eq. (29) of future values
- 491 (mean of 2070-2099) and reference values (mean of 1971-2000). To equalize a tenfold increase
- 492  $(10^{+1})$  and a reduction to one tenth  $(10^{-1})$ , the quotient was log-transformed  $(\log_{10})$ .

$$E_{CC_n} = \log_{10} \frac{\sum_{n=2070}^{2099} C_n}{\sum_{n=1971}^{2000} C_n}$$
(29)

To show model uncertainty that arises from differences in climate model projections an indicator of the agreement between simulation results was calculated, it indicates a common significant increase or decrease, respectively, of three, four or all five climate models. In addition, the significance (p-value <0.05) of the difference between reference and future was assessed by a Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test (Bauer, 1972). This test can be used for datasets that are not normally distributed and is therefore applicable to these data with high intra-annual fluctuations. 499 Additionally to the analysis of spatial patterns, an analysis of changes in mean carbon pools over 500 time was conducted. As above, changes were expressed as the logarithm of the quotient between 501 annual future values and mean reference values. In addition to these relative changes, the 502 absolute values in both periods were compared. The analysis was conducted both for the whole 503 Amazon basin and for three selected subregions. These three regions, indicated in Fig. 6A, were 504 identified according to future changes in inundation patterns, discussed in Langerwisch et al. 505 (2013). These areas include a region in the Western basin with projected increase in inundation 506 length and inundated area (R1), a region covering the Amazon main stem (R2) with intermediate 507 changes in inundation and a region with projected decrease in duration of inundation and 508 inundated area (R3). For details of the exact position of these regions see Table 5.

All statistical analyses were conducted using several packages in R. For the sensitivity analysis the package 'vegan' (Oksanen et al., 2011) and for the analysis of the projections the packages

511 'stats' (R Development Core Team and contributors worldwide, 2011) and 'maptools' (Lewin-

- 512 Koh and Bivand, 2011) were used.
- 513

## 514 **3 Results**

515

## 516 **3.1 Validation of the simulation results**

To assess the potential changes of the riverine carbon pools and fluxes, it is essential that we 517 518 trust the model's ability reproduce observed patterns and fluxes. For this, the first step is to 519 validate river discharge before assessing carbon pools and fluxes. This has been done in 520 Langerwisch et al. (2013) for 44 gauging stations in the Amazon basin, and shows that the 521 observed discharge patterns can be reproduced. Here, we validated the carbon concentration and 522 export fluxes with published data (Table 4). If possible we compared the simulated with 523 observed values from the same period of time. We only included spatial data for which we could 524 find the exact location (longitude/latitude).

The validation of exported data shows that the outgassed carbon (export to the atmosphere) is underestimated by the model on a small scale by more than 90% and on the basin wide scale by 70%. In contrast the organic carbon exported to the ocean is overestimated by the model by 70%. However, on a sub-catchment level the overestimation of exported organic carbon is much smaller. Comparing observed and simulated concentrations of POC, DOC and IC shows that simulation results are within the observed range. For POC the simulated concentration is about 50% larger than the observed concentration, but with a range between -90% and +200%. For the

- simulated DOC concentration the range is between -90% and +90%, while for IC the range is
  between -70% and +60%.
- 534

#### 535 **3.2** Changes in riverine carbon under future climate projections

536 The amount of outgassed carbon (Fig 4A) is simulated to remain constant compared to 1971-537 2000 until about 2020. This is followed by a clear increase. This increase is strongest in in region 538 R1 (mean +70%) while it is moderate in R2 and R3 (+30% and +20%, respectively). Generally, 539 the simulated increase is largest for the SRES A2 scenario, followed by the A1B and the B1 540 scenario (see also Fig. 5). The spread of simulated outgassed carbon is comparably large between 541 the five climate models. Outgassed carbon shows a basin wide increase (Fig. 6G-I). In most parts 542 of the basin the outgassed carbon increases only slightly but significantly (p<0.05; up to 2-fold). 543 In parts of the Andes the increase is up to 5-fold, shown by at least four of the five climate 544 models (as indicated by crosses in Fig. 6). In a few areas in the Southern Andes the outgassed 545 carbon decreases (0.3-fold).

- 546 The changes in POC (Fig. 4B) show a slight basin wide increase of about +10% (SRES mean) 547 until the end of the century. In region R1 this increase is larger, with +50% (SRES A2) and 548 +35% (SRES B1). In the regions R2 and R3 the POC amounts remain nearly constant (+5% and 549  $\pm 0\%$ , respectively). A wide range of possible paths of simulated POC amounts is spanned by the 550 five climate models, whereas the three emission scenarios only result in minor differences in 551 simulation results (see also Fig. 5). The spatial changes for POC show an up to 2-fold increase in 552 the Western and South-Western part of Amazonia for all three SRES emissions scenarios (Fig. 553 6A-C) with high agreement between the five climate models compared to the reference period. 554 In contrast, climate model agreement in the Northern and North-Western basin is lower and 555 shows a decreasing trend in the POC pool with a factor of 0.5. For the central part of the basin, 556 no clear trend is visible. POC seems to be less sensitive to different changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> 557 concentrations compared to IC as only small regional differences were simulated with POC 558 increasing in the Western part of the basin under the A2 scenarios and decreasing in the Northern 559 part of the basin under the A1B scenario.
- 560 In contrast to outgassed carbon and DOC, riverine inorganic carbon (IC) increases basin wide (Figs. 4C and 5) during the entire 21<sup>st</sup> century. Here, clear differences in the SRES emission 561 562 scenarios are found. In the SRES A2 scenario the increase is largest, with a basin wide increase 563 by +150% (+220% in R1, +150% in R2, and +140% in R3). In the B1 scenario the average 564 increase is smallest, with basin wide +50% (+80% in R1, +60% in R2, and +50% in R3). The 565 spatial distribution of changes in riverine inorganic carbon (IC, Fig. 6D-F) shows an overall 566 increase compared to the reference period. For at least four climate models this increase in IC is 567 significant (p < 0.05), especially in the Western part of the basin. Here, the largest changes are 568 found for the SRES emission scenario A2 (up to 5-fold increase).

## 3.3 Changes in the export of riverine carbon to ocean and atmosphere under future climate

571 Riverine outgassed carbon makes up on average 10% of total outgassed carbon along the river 572 network during the reference period (Fig. 8A). Total outgassed carbon includes carbon evaded 573 from the river and the forest. The carbon evaded from the forest reflects the amount of terrestrial 574 respired carbon (autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration). The average changes in this proportion caused by climate change and the agreement of climate models (indicated by crosses) 575 576 on the direction of change are depicted in the three maps in Fig. 8B-D. The largest differences 577 are found under the SRES A2 scenario with the largest area in agreement between the climate 578 models (Fig. 8C). Here an increase of up to 7% in the proportion is found in the Western and 579 South-Western part of the Amazon basin. This increase is less pronounced in the other two 580 emission scenarios (Figs. 8B and 8D). For all SRES scenarios a slight decrease in the proportion 581 of up to 2% (-0.02) can be seen in parts of the North-Western basin and scattered in the very 582 South (Fig. 8B-D), this occurs because rivers will contribute increasingly to respiration losses of 583 carbon.

584 To estimate the relevance of riverine carbon to imported atmospheric carbon (via terrestrial 585 photosynthesis) or exported carbon (via outgassing or discharge to the ocean), results of the 586 factorial experiments were compared (Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test; p<0.001, Table 6, see also 587 section 2.4). The standard RivCM results (Standard) were analysed to estimate the role of 588 riverine carbon to total carbon export. The simulated mean annual total organic carbon (TOC) discharged to the ocean during the reference period (1971-2000) is about  $54 \times 10^{12}$  g yr<sup>-1</sup> (Table 589 6). This represents approximately 1.0% of the basin net primary production (NPP). The export of 590 591 TOC to the ocean changes under climate change depends on the three SRES emission scenarios. 592 In the A1B scenario mean annual export decreases significantly by about 8.9% for 2070-2099 593 (compared to the reference period) for all five climate models, whereas under the A2 scenario the 594 TOC export increases by about +9.1%. The B1 scenario shows an intermediate change, with an 595 increase of about +4.6%. Depending on the emission scenario, the export of TOC to the ocean 596 decreases from about 1% of the NPP to about 0.75-0.9% of the NPP in the future period.

#### 597 **3.4** Summary of overall changes in the carbon fluxes under climate change

The first three research questions we addressed in this study were answered with the above mentioned results. As a summary Fig 7 provides an aggregated picture of projected changes in terrestrial carbon pools and resulting changes in riverine carbon pools. The moderate increase in terrestrial carbon (on average +12.7% in biomass, +13.8% in litter carbon and +4.1% in soil carbon) leads to a moderate increase of riverine organic carbon (POC +10.7% and DOC +8.3%), but due to an increased CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure the outgassed carbon increases by about 42.6\%,

604 whereas the discharged carbon increases only by about 1.1%.

## 606 **3.5 Relevance of the riverine outgassed carbon**

607 To assess the relevance of riverine carbon for total carbon export to the atmosphere, either from the forest (heterotrophic respiration) or from the water, the standard RivCM results (Standard) 608 609 were compared to results of the NoInun experiment and the NoRiv experiment (Table 6). In the reference period the total outgassed carbon is estimated to be about  $440 \times 10^{12}$  g month<sup>-1</sup>. 610 611 calculated in the standard RivCM simulations (Standard). Under climate change this amount 612 increases by 23%, 28%, and 21% for emission scenarios A1B, A2 and B1, respectively. The 613 proportion of outgassed carbon from the river to total outgassed carbon is about 3.6% in the 614 reference period. This proportion increases in all emission scenarios to up to 3.9% to 4.3%. 615 During the reference period the amount of riverine outgassed carbon makes up about 3.5% of the 616 net primary production (NPP). In the future this proportion increases significantly to up to 617 4.25%.

The simulations without input of terrigenous organic material to the river, caused by suppressed inundation (*NoInun*), lead to a reduction of total outgassed carbon. During the reference period it is significantly reduced by about -3.30%. During the future period this reduction remains relatively constant for all SRES scenarios. If the river area is substituted by potential forest cover (*NoRiv*), the total terrestrial outgassed carbon is about 0.1% lower than the sum of terrestrial and riverine outgassed carbon in the standard simulations. This proportion decreases slightly to 0.07-0.10% in the future period.

625

## 626 4 Discussion

The main goal of our study was to develop a coupled terrestrial-riverine model for assessing 627 regional and global carbon budget, considering riverine carbon pools and fluxes and their 628 629 potential changes under climate change. We used the Amazon basin as a case study because it represents a tightly coupled terrestrial-riverine system. To achieve our goal we combined the 630 newly developed riverine carbon model RivCM with the terrestrial vegetation model LPJmL. In 631 632 the following we discuss the performance of and uncertainties in the coupled model system, as well as the mechanisms leading to projected changed in riverine carbon. Finally, we elaborate on 633 634 the importance of incorporating the terrestrial-riverine coupling in models to better understand processes in terrestrial-riverine systems. 635

#### 636 4.1 Riverine carbon pools

637 The model RivCM calculates the dynamics of several organic carbon pools and fluxes the 638 Amazon basin. A comparison of these carbon pools and fluxes with observation shows in 639 summary that model results are within the range of observed concentrations of both organic and 640 inorganic carbon pools, but the model underestimates the outgassed carbon strongly while it 641 overestimates the carbon discharged to the ocean.

642 For the concentration of carbon the range of observations is large, mainly caused by the different 643 characteristics of the sub-catchments. The concentration of organic and inorganic carbon is 644 overestimated by the model for some sub-catchments, while it is underestimated for others. The 645 sub-catchments differ in their specific characteristics, such as water, soil and vegetation. The 646 model has difficulties to capture these differences. Including more side specific information for 647 the water, the vegetation and the characteristics of the river stretch could lead to a better match 648 between observation and simulation. However, the standard deviation of the observation is large 649 and for most of the cases the simulated concentration lies within the observed range. The 650 mismatch between the observation and the simulation might also be caused by an over or 651 underestimation of carbon exported to the river, which depends on the inundated area. The model 652 takes the nonlinear change in inundated area during flooding only simplified into account, which 653 might lead to an underestimation of flooded area. The relatively coarse spatial resolution might 654 also be a reason for the underestimation of the flooded area. The fixed ratio of 25% of the 655 potentially floodable area representing the river, might be less applicable to areas in the 656 headwater. We compared the fixed ration of 25% against observation in the lowlands (e.g. 657 Lauerwald et al., 2015; Lehner and Döll, 2004; Richey et al., 1990) and think that this ratio is 658 reasonable for the Amazon lowland. However, misestimating the actual floodplain river might 659 also lead to poor estimates of exported organic carbon. An additional cause of differences 660 between observed and simulated (inorganic) carbon amount might be that the model does not 661 take weathering, other carbon sources than terrigenous carbon, or the longer residence time of 662 water in the flooded forests into account. In summary the main reasons for the mismatch between 663 observations and simulations is due to some simplifications (spatially and within the processes)

664 we applied.

665 For the comparison of exported carbon to either the ocean or the atmosphere the model tends to 666 overestimate the discharge to the ocean, while it underestimates the outgassing. The amount of 667 discharged carbon is tightly coupled to the concentration and the water discharge. While the discharge of water has been shown to be realistic (Langerwisch et al., 2013), and the simulated 668 concentration is within the observed range, a slight shift in the hydrograph can lead to the 669 670 mismatch between observed and simulated amount of exported carbon to the ocean. In addition, the model might overestimate carbon exported to the ocean because it does not include dams. 671 672 These artificial structures can lead to a prolonged residence time of water and its transported material, and thus to prolonged decomposition and an increased sedimentation (Goulding et al., 673

674 2003). In the natural parts, such as floodplains, sedimentation especially impacts on the river bed 675 structure (Allison et al., 1995; Junk and Piedade, 1997). However, the sedimentation of organic material is comparably small with only 50 g C yr<sup>-1</sup> per square meter of water area (Melack et al., 676 677 2009). Sedimentation and resuspension act on the small to medium scale (Junk and Piedade, 678 1997; Yarnell et al., 2006). On the spatial resolution of 0.5 degree both processes are assumed to 679 be balanced for organic carbon and have therefore not been explicitly calculated in the model, 680 but might be of importance at smaller scales. The amount of outgassed carbon from the water 681 body of the river to the atmosphere is probably underestimated because the way RivCM 682 calculates the inundated area and therewith the area of evasion does not include the cross-section 683 of the riverbed. Therewith the non-linear increase of inundated area with an increase in water 684 amount is not included. Including this would potentially lead to a larger inundated area, which 685 would increase the outgassing. The temporal resolution of monthly time steps might also be a 686 reason for the underestimation of the outgassing, because the time steps on which the outgassing 687 is calculated do not include permanent exchange with the atmosphere. The saturation 688 concentration is only updated monthly. An adjustment on shorter time steps could lead to a 689 higher outgassing.

690 For assessing the effects of climate change on riverine carbon and exported carbon pools and

691 fluxes, we calculated their relative differences. Although the absolute simulated amounts and

692 concentrations might not completely fit the observations, we are sure that the relative changes

693 still provide insights into potential future changes.

The riverine carbon pools and fluxes in this tightly coupled system may change during the  $21^{st}$ century in several ways. According to our results climate change will induce a basin wide increase in riverine carbon pools. Areas most affected are the central and western basin. Here the outgassing of CO<sub>2</sub>, as well as the organic and inorganic carbon pools increases most clearly.

698 Our results indicate that projected climate change may alter outgassed carbon (CO<sub>2</sub> evasion) by 699 several means. Firstly, a higher production of terrestrial material leads to an increase of organic 700 carbon available for respiration; secondly, the higher atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration leads to an 701 increase in dissolved inorganic carbon in the water. Thirdly, higher water temperatures decrease 702 the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in the water, but also increase the respiration rates. Overall a combination 703 of these factors may lead to a considerable increase in CO<sub>2</sub> evasion and a slight increase of 704 exported riverine carbon. Spatially the results are heterogeneous. The amount of outgassed 705 carbon increases in most parts of the basin. This pattern is mainly driven by the increased 706 amount of organic carbon available for respiration. However, even in areas where organic carbon 707 does not increase, or even decreases, the amount of outgassed carbon is elevated. This is mainly 708 caused by the increased respiration rate at higher temperatures. Thus, even with less carbon 709 available, higher temperatures lead to an elevated outgassing of  $CO_2$ . As a consequence of an 710 increased evasion of  $CO_2$ , an additional increase in atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration can occur. 711 However, the simulated amount of outgassed carbon under current conditions is underestimated

712 in comparison to observations by a factor of up to 1/6. The observations are based on a 713 combination of small scale measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> evasion and remotely sensed estimates of 714 inundated area (Belger et al., 2011; Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003; Richey et al., 1990, 2002). In 715 contrast, the outgassing calculated in RivCM is a more aggregated estimate. In reality during 716 rising water stage, small changes in discharge can lead to a comparably larger non-linear 717 increase in inundated area. This is not taken into account in RivCM. In RivCM the outgassing 718 depends only on the inorganic carbon concentration in the water and the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub>. 719 Additionally to the inundated area, also the vegetation coverage affects the outgassing of  $CO_2$ 720 from flooded area as Abril et al. (2014) show. Including the production of allochthonous organic 721 material, which is not included in RivCM, might also change the amount of outgassed carbon. 722 But in contrast to the other processes the production via photosynthesis might lead to an increase 723 of the CO<sub>2</sub> evaded to the atmosphere. Furthermore, including the evasion of CO<sub>2</sub> from inundated 724 soils, which represents a process that might lead to a further increase of simulated CO<sub>2</sub> 725 outgassing, into RivCM would help to simulate outgassing that is more in agreement with 726 observed estimates.

727 Besides riverine carbon fluxes such as outgassed carbon, climate change also affects riverine 728 carbon pools. However, these changes are not homogeneously distributed across the basin. The 729 increase in organic carbon (POC and DOC) is on one hand caused by the change in inundation 730 patterns. This can be seen mainly in the Western part of the basin, resulting from an projected 731 increase in precipitation, particularly in the SRES-A2 scenario (Langerwisch et al., 2013). On the 732 other hand, more rainfall and increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration may lead to increased 733 amounts of available organic carbon, i.e. more biomass under future climate conditions (e.g. 734 Huntingford et al., 2013), which may directly increase the POC and DOC pools. As a 735 consequence of the additional riverine organic carbon, a depletion of oxygen caused by enhanced 736 respiration in the water can occur (Junk and Wantzen, 2004; Melack and Fisher, 1983). The 737 resulting anoxia can lead e.g. to denitrification or production of methane (Lampert and Sommer, 738 1999). In areas with already reduced O<sub>2</sub> levels, such as flooded forests during falling water, the 739 further depletion of oxygen can potentially affect fish and other animal groups inhabiting the 740 water (Hamilton et al., 1997; Melack and Fisher, 1983). The comparison with measured data 741 (Cole and Caraco, 2001; Ertel et al., 1986; Hedges et al., 1994; Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003; Neu 742 et al., 2011) shows that the concentrations of the different simulated carbon pools fit in the range of observations, with only a slight overestimation for POC. These results also show that the 743 744 inclusion of allochthonous organic material is not necessarily needed to reproduce the observed 745 **POC concentrations in the water.** The agreement of simulated with observed POC, DOC and IC 746 concentrations shows the reliability of RivCM, because the errors in concentration measurements 747 are small.

The amount of riverine inorganic carbon which remains in the water and does not evade to the atmosphere is projected to increase under climate change. Here, the lower solubility resulting from higher temperatures is not able to balance the effect of a higher atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration resulting in more dissolved  $CO_2$ . This pattern is consistent within the emission scenarios and the climate models and can be found in most parts of the basin. The two- to threefold increase in inorganic carbon in the water might have serious consequences for fish and fungi, since dissolved inorganic carbon directly lowers the pH in the water (Lampert and Sommer, 1999). In combination with the oxygen depletion discussed above this might severely affect riverine fauna.

#### 757 4.2 Riverine outgassing and export to the Atlantic Ocean

Our results indicate that climate change alters the proportion of carbon evaded from the river to carbon exported to the ocean. Climate change increases the outgassing of  $CO_2$  with a higher rate than it increases the discharge of organic carbon.

761 During the reference period, the outgassed carbon from water bodies contributes on average 762 about 3.6% of all evaded carbon from the entire Amazon basin. This seems to be only a small 763 amount, but in river-dominated regions, this fraction may represent up to 10-50% of total evaded 764 carbon, which is especially obvious in the Eastern part of the basin. The basin-wide proportion of riverine vs. total carbon evasion (including riverine outgassing and CO<sub>2</sub> release during 765 766 autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration) increases from 3.6% to up to 4.3% from the reference 767 to the future period, which indicates the increasing contribution of riverine outgassed carbon to 768 the total outgassed carbon. Our results show that 3.5% of the carbon accumulated in terrestrial 769 NPP is released to the atmosphere by outgassing from the river. It can be expected that climate 770 change will alter this fraction to up to 4.2% which is caused by a combination of increased NPP and increased CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. Inland waters receive about  $19 \times 10^{14}$  g C yr<sup>-1</sup> from the 771 terrestrial landscape, of which about  $8 \times 10^{14}$  g C yr<sup>-1</sup> are returned to the atmosphere (Cole et al., 772 2007). Globally the riverine input from land to ocean of organic carbon is estimated to be 773 between  $4.5 \times 10^{14}$  g C yr<sup>-1</sup> and  $9.0 \times 10^{14}$  g C yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is at least the same amount of carbon that 774 775 is taken up by the oceans from the atmosphere (Bauer et al., 2013; Cole et al., 2007).

The annual export of freshwater from the Amazon river of about 6,300 km<sup>3</sup> to the Atlantic Ocean 776 (Gaillardet et al., 1997) is accompanied by  $40 \times 10^{12}$  g of organic carbon, which represents 8-10% 777 778 of the global organic carbon transported to oceans by rivers (Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003; Richey 779 et al., 1990). Our estimates of the discharge of organic carbon to the Atlantic are larger. As 780 already shown in other studies (Gerten et al., 2004; Langerwisch et al., 2013) LPJmL is able to 781 reproduce observed discharge patterns. As already discussed (4.1.) small deviations between 782 observed and simulated discharge or even a small shift in seasonality (1-2 months) can lead to a 783 comparably large difference in discharged carbon, because the combination of the simulated 784 concentration and amount of water discharged determines the amount of discharged carbon to 785 the Atlantic Ocean. In addition to that, the overestimation of export to the ocean is partly caused 786 by up and downscaling of observation data. Our estimates of riverine TOC export represents 787 about 1-2% of the net basin primary production (Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003) is in agreement with the results of our study (1% during reference period). Our results suggest that this proportion will change by -10% to +10% due to climate change. The continuous input of organic matter into the ocean fundamentally impacts the primary production of the Atlantic Ocean off the coast of South America (Körtzinger, 2003; Cooley and Yager, 2006; Cooley et al., 2007; Subramaniam et al., 2008). In addition to organic carbon, also nutrients, which are only marginally taken up by the low primary production within the river, are exported to the ocean fuelling oceanic heterotrophy and primary production, respectively.

795 The inclusion of inundation and the corresponding transport and conversion of organic material leads to an increase in outgassed carbon of more than 3%, which equals to about 796  $14.5 \times 10^{12}$  g month<sup>-1</sup>. This amount increases to up to  $18.3 \times 10^{12}$  g month<sup>-1</sup> due to climate change. 797 798 The proportion of outgassed carbon from water bodies is an indicator for the importance of the 799 riverine system to carbon dynamics of the entire basin. It emphasizes the importance of the 800 implementation of floodplain systems to vegetation models, especially for Amazonia. Including 801 inundation and export of organic material to vegetation models seems to be of minor importance, 802 because the carbon is only transported but its quantity does not change. This is only partly true 803 since the organic material is no longer available on site (e.g. as fertilizer) but is removed from 804 one location and finally from the entire system. Including this export leads to a more realistic 805 estimation of carbon fluxes, and ignoring this constant drain of carbon from the Amazon basin, 806 would therefore overestimate the general ability of Amazonia to sequester carbon. Only coupled 807 models can cover the interconnection between land and river, which might be important to 808 identify non-linear feedbacks on climate change (Bauer et al., 2013). Our approach serves as a 809 basis for simulating carbon modification and transport from the terrestrial biosphere through 810 river systems to the oceans and establishes the link between continental and oceanic systems on a 811 continental scale.

## 812 **5** Summary

813 We aimed to develop a coupled terrestrial-riverine model to understand the effects of climate 814 change on carbon fluxes in such a coupled system. We applied the model to the Amazon basin 815 which could serve as a blueprint for studying other systems where such a tight coupling of the 816 terrestrial and riverine part appears. With our approach we were able to estimate potential 817 changes in exported and riverine carbon pools and fluxes from present until 2100 for the 818 Amazon basin. We showed that the export of carbon to the Atlantic Ocean could increase 819 slightly by about 1%, while the export to the atmosphere could increase by about 40%.. To 820 estimate these changes we coupled the newly developed riverine carbon model RivCM to the 821 well-established vegetation and hydrology model LPJmL. These large export fluxes are 822 accompanied with changes in terrestrial organic carbon and riverine organic and inorganic 823 carbon. Our results suggest that coupling terrestrial with riverine carbon is an important step

towards a better understanding of the effects of climate change on large scale catchment carbondynamics.

826

*Author contributions*. Model development: FL, BT, WC. Data analysis: FL, AR, KT. Writing the
 article: FL, AR, AW, BT, KT.

Acknowledgements. We thank "Pakt für Forschung der Leibniz-Gemeinschaft" for funding the TRACES project for FL. AR was funded by FP7 AMAZALERT (ProjectID 282664) and Helmholtz Alliance 'Remote Sensing and Earth System Dynamics'. We also thank Susanne Rolinski and Dieter Gerten for discussing the hydrological aspects. We thank Alice Boit for fruitful comments on the manuscript. Additionally we thank our LPJmL and ECOSTAB colleagues at PIK for fruitful comments on the design of the study and the manuscript. We also thank the reviewers whose comments and suggestions largely improved the manuscript.

836

## 837 6 References

838 Abril, G., Martinez, J.-M., Artigas, L. F., Moreira-Turcq, P., Benedetti, M. F., Vidal, L.,

839 Meziane, T., Kim, J.-H., Bernardes, M. C., Savoye, N., Deborde, J., Souza, E. L., Albéric, P.,

840 Landim de Souza, M. F. and Roland, F.: Amazon River carbon dioxide outgassing fuelled by

841 wetlands, Nature, 505(7483), 395–398, doi:10.1038/nature12797, 2014.

- Allison, M. A., Nittrouer, C. A. and Kineke, G. C.: Seasonal sediment storage on mudflats adjacent to the Amazon river, Mar. Geol., 125(3-4), 303–328, 1995.
- Anderson, J. T., Nuttle, T., Saldaña Rojas, J. S., Pendergast, T. H. and Flecker, A. S.: Extremely
  long-distance seed dispersal by an overfished Amazonian frugivore, Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.,
  278, 3329–3335, doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.0155, 2011.
- Aufdenkampe, A. K., Mayorga, E., Hedges, J. I., Llerena, C., Quay, P. D., Gudeman, J.,
  Krusche, A. V. and Richey, J. E.: Organic matter in the Peruvian headwaters of the Amazon:
  Compositional evolution from the Andes to the lowland Amazon mainstem, Org. Geochem.,
  38(3), 337–364, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2006.06.003, 2007.
- Bauer, D. F.: Constructing confidence sets using rank statistics, J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 67(339),
  687–690, 1972.
- Bauer, J. E., Cai, W.-J., Raymond, P. A., Bianchi, T. S., Hopkinson, C. S. and Regnier, P. A. G.:
  The changing carbon cycle of the coastal ocean, Nature, 504(7478), 61–70,
  doi:10.1038/nature12857, 2013.

- Belger, L., Forsberg, B. R. and Melack, J. M.: Carbon dioxide and methane emissions from
  interfluvial wetlands in the upper Negro River basin, Brazil, Biogeochemistry, 105, 171–183,
- doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9536-0, 2011.
- Benner, R., Opsahl, S., Chin-Leo, G., Richey, J. E. and Forsberg, B. R.: Bacterial carbon
  metabolism in the Amazon River system, Limnol. Oceanogr., 40(7), 1262–1270, 1995.
- Biemans, H., Hutjes, R. W. A., Kabat, P., Strengers, B. J., Gerten, D. and Rost, S.: Effects of
  precipitation uncertainty on discharge calculations for main river basins, J. Hydrometeorol.,
  10(4), 1011–1025, doi:10.1175/2008jhm1067.1, 2009.
- 864 Bogan, T., Mohseni, O. and Stefan, H. G.: Stream temperature-equilibrium temperature 865 relationship, Water Resour. Res., 39(9), doi:1245 10.1029/2003wr002034, 2003.
- Bondeau, A., Smith, P. C., Zaehle, S., Schaphoff, S., Lucht, W., Cramer, W., Gerten, D., LotzeCampen, H., Müller, C., Reichstein, M. and Smith, B.: Modelling the role of agriculture for the
  20th century global terrestrial carbon balance, Glob. Change Biol., 13(3), 679–706,
  doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01305.x, 2007.
- 870 Brienen, R. J. W., Phillips, O. L., Feldpausch, T. R., Gloor, E., Baker, T. R., Lloyd, J., Lopez-871 Gonzalez, G., Monteagudo-Mendoza, A., Malhi, Y., Lewis, S. L., Vásquez Martinez, R., 872 Alexiades, M., Álvarez Dávila, E., Alvarez-Loayza, P., Andrade, A., Aragão, L. E. O. C., 873 Araujo-Murakami, A., Arets, E. J. M. M., Arroyo, L., Aymard C., G. A., Bánki, O. S., Baraloto, 874 C., Barroso, J., Bonal, D., Boot, R. G. A., Camargo, J. L. C., Castilho, C. V., Chama, V., Chao, K. J., Chave, J., Comiskey, J. A., Cornejo Valverde, F., da Costa, L., de Oliveira, E. A., Di Fiore, 875 876 A., Erwin, T. L., Fauset, S., Forsthofer, M., Galbraith, D. R., Grahame, E. S., Groot, N., Hérault, 877 B., Higuchi, N., Honorio Coronado, E. N., Keeling, H., Killeen, T. J., Laurance, W. F., Laurance, 878 S., Licona, J., Magnussen, W. E., Marimon, B. S., Marimon-Junior, B. H., Mendoza, C., Neill, 879 D. A., Nogueira, E. M., Núñez, P., Pallqui Camacho, N. C., Parada, A., Pardo-Molina, G., Peacock, J., Peña-Claros, M., Pickavance, G. C., Pitman, N. C. A., Poorter, L., Prieto, A., 880 881 Quesada, C. A., Ramírez, F., Ramírez-Angulo, H., Restrepo, Z., Roopsind, A., Rudas, A., 882 Salomão, R. P., Schwarz, M., Silva, N., Silva-Espejo, J. E., Silveira, M., Stropp, J., Talbot, J., ter 883 Steege, H., Teran-Aguilar, J., Terborgh, J., Thomas-Caesar, R., Toledo, M., Torello-Raventos, 884 M., Umetsu, R. K., van der Heijden, G. M. F., van der Hout, P., Guimarães Vieira, I. C., Vieira, S. A., Vilanova, E., Vos, V. A. and Zagt, R. J.: Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink, 885 886 Nature, 519(7543), 344-348, doi:10.1038/nature14283, 2015.
- Bustillo, V., Victoria, R. L., de Moura, J. M. S., Victoria, D. D., Andrade Toledo, A. M. and
  Colicchio, E.: Biogeochemistry of carbon in the Amazonian floodplains over a 2000-km reach:
  Insights from a process-Based model, Earth Interact., 15(4), 1–29, doi:10.1175/2010EI338.1,
  2011.
- Koe, M. T., Latrubesse, E. M., Ferreira, M. E. and Amsler, M. L.: The effects of deforestation
  and climate variability on the streamflow of the Araguaia River, Brazil, Biogeochemistry, 105(13), 119–131, doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9582-2, 2011.

- Cole, J. J. and Caraco, N. F.: Carbon in catchments: connecting terrestrial carbon losses with aquatic metabolism, Mar. Freshw. Res., 52(1), 101–110, 2001.
- Cole, J. J., Pace, M. L., Carpenter, S. R. and Kitchell, J. F.: Persistence of net heterotrophy in
  lakes during nutrient addition and food web manipulations, Limnol. Oceanogr., 45(8), 1718–
  1730, 2000.
- Cole, J. J., Prairie, Y. T., Caraco, N. F., McDowell, W. H., Tranvik, L. J., Striegl, R. G., Duarte,
  C. M., Kortelainen, P., Downing, J. A., Middelburg, J. J. and Melack, J.: Plumbing the global
  carbon cycle: Integrating inland waters into the terrestrial carbon budget, Ecosystems, 10(1),
  171–184, doi:10.1007/s10021-006-9013-8, 2007.
- Collatz, G. J., Ribas-Carbo, M. and Berry, J. A.: Coupled photosynthesis-stomatal conductance
   model for leaves of C4 plants, Funct. Plant Biol., 19(5), 519–538, doi:10.1071/PP9920519, 1992.
- Cooley, S. R. and Yager, P. L.: Physical and biological contributions to the western tropical
  North Atlantic Ocean carbon sink formed by the Amazon River plume, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans,
  111(C08018), doi:10.1029/2005JC002954, 2006.
- Cooley, S. R., Coles, V. J., Subramaniam, A. and Yager, P. L.: Seasonal variations in the
  Amazon plume-related atmospheric carbon sink, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 21(3),
  doi:10.1029/2006GB002831, 2007.
- 911 Cramer, W., Bondeau, A., Woodward, F. I., Prentice, I. C., Betts, R. A., Brovkin, V., Cox, P. M.,
- 912 Fisher, V., Foley, J. A., Friend, A. D., Kucharik, C., Lomas, M. R., Ramankutty, N., Sitch, S.,
- 913 Smith, B., White, A. and Young-Molling, C.: Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure
- 914 and function to  $CO_2$  and climate change: results from six dynamic global vegetation models,
- 915 Glob. Change Biol., 7(4), 357–373, 2001.
- Devol, A. H., Quay, P. D., Richey, J. E. and Martinelli, L. A.: The role of gas-exchange in the
  inorganic carbon, oxygen, and Rn-222 budgets of the Amazon river, Limnol. Oceanogr., 32(1),
  235–248, 1987.
- Devol, A. H., Forsberg, B. R., Richey, J. E. and Pimentel, T. P.: Seasonal variation in chemical
  distributions in the Amazon (Solimões) river: A multiyear time series, Glob. Biogeochem.
  Cycles, 9(3), 307–328, 1995.
- Diegues, A. C. S.: An inventory of Brazilian wetlands, Union Internationale pour la
  Conservation de la Nature et de ses Ressources, Switzerland, Gland, Switzerland., 1994.
- Druffel, E. R. M., Bauer, J. E. and Griffin, S.: Input of particulate organic and dissolved
  inorganic carbon from the Amazon to the Atlantic Ocean, Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems, 6,
  Q03009, doi:10.1029/2004GC000842, 2005.
- Ertel, J. R., Hedges, J. I., Devol, A. H., Richey, J. E. and Ribeiro, M. D. G.: Dissolved humic
  substances of the Amazon river system, Limnol. Oceanogr., 31(4), 739–754, 1986.

- Fader, M., Rost, S., Müller, C., Bondeau, A. and Gerten, D.: Virtual water content of temperate cereals and maize: Present and potential future patterns, J. Hydrol., 384(3-4), 218–231,
- 931 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.12.011, 2010.
- Farquhar, G. D., van Caemmerer, S. and Berry, J. A.: A biochemical model of photosynthetic
  CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C3 species, Planta, 149, 78–90, 1980.
- Fearnside, P. M.: Are climate change impacts already affecting tropical forest biomass?, Glob.
  Environ. Change-Hum. Policy Dimens., 14(4), 299–302, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2004.02.001,
  2004.
- Furch, K. and Junk, W. J.: The chemical composition, food value, and decomposition of
  herbaceous plants, leaves, and leaf litter of floodplain forests, in The Central Amazon
  Floodplain, edited by W. J. Junk, pp. 187–205, Springer, Berlin, Germany., 1997.
- Gaillardet, J., Dupré, B., Allègre, C. J. and Négrel, P.: Chemical and physical denudation in the
  Amazon River basin, Chem. Geol., 142(3-4), 141–173, 1997.
- Gerten, D., Schaphoff, S., Haberlandt, U., Lucht, W. and Sitch, S.: Terrestrial vegetation and
  water balance hydrological evaluation of a dynamic global vegetation model, J. Hydrol., 286(14), 249–270, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.09.029, 2004.
- Gerten, D., Rost, S., von Bloh, W. and Lucht, W.: Causes of change in 20th century global river
  discharge, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35(20), doi:L20405 10.1029/2008gl035258, 2008.
- Gordon, W. S., Famiglietti, J. S., Fowler, N. L., Kittel, T. G. F. and Hibbard, K. A.: Validation of
  simulated runoff from six terrestrial ecosystem models: results from VEMAP, Ecol. Appl., 14(2),
  527–545, doi:10.1890/02-5287, 2004.
- Goulding, M., Barthem, R. and Ferreira, E.: The Smithsonian Atlas of the Amazon, Smithsonian,Washington and London., 2003.
- Gumpenberger, M., Vohland, K., Heyder, U., Poulter, B., Macey, K., Rammig, A., Popp, A. and
  Cramer, W.: Predicting pan-tropical climate change induced forest stock gains and losses—
  implications for REDD, Environ. Res. Lett., 5(1), 014013, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/5/1/014013,
  2010.
- Hamilton, S. K., Sippel, S. J., Calheiros, D. F. and Melack, J. M.: An anoxic event and other
  biogeochemical effects of the Pantanal wetland on the Paraguay River, Limnol. Oceanogr.,
  42(2), 257–272, 1997.
- Hedges, J. I., Cowie, G. L., Richey, J. E., Quay, P. D., Benner, R., Strom, M. and Forsberg, B.
  R.: Origins and processing of organic matter in the Amazon river as indicated by carbohydrates
  and amino acids, Limnol. Oceanogr., 39(4), 743–761, 1994.
- Hedges, J. I., Mayorga, E., Tsamakis, E., McClain, M. E., Aufdenkampe, A., Quay, P., Richey, J.
  E., Benner, R., Opsahl, S., Black, B., Pimentel, T., Quintanilla, J. and Maurice, L.: Organic

- 964 matter in Bolivian tributaries of the Amazon River: A comparison to the lower mainstream,
  965 Limnol. Oceanogr., 45(7), 1449–1466, 2000.
- Horn, M. H., Correa, S. B., Parolin, P., Pollux, B. J. A., Anderson, J. T., Lucas, C., Widmann, P.,
  Tjiu, A., Galetti, M. and Goulding, M.: Seed dispersal by fishes in tropical and temperate fresh
  waters: The growing evidence, Acta Oecologica, 37, 561–577, doi:10.1016/j.actao.2011.06.004,
  2011.
- 970 Huntingford, C., Zelazowski, P., Galbraith, D., Mercado, L. M., Sitch, S., Fisher, R., Lomas, M.,
- 971 Walker, A. P., Jones, C. D., Booth, B. B. B., Malhi, Y., Hemming, D., Kay, G., Good, P., Lewis,

972 S. L., Phillips, O. L., Atkin, O. K., Lloyd, J., Gloor, E., Zaragoza-Castells, J., Meir, P., Betts, R.,

- Harris, P. P., Nobre, C., Marengo, J. and Cox, P. M.: Simulated resilience of tropical rainforests
  to CO2-induced climate change, Nat. Geosci., 6(4), 268–273, doi:10.1038/ngeo1741, 2013.
- 975 Irion, G.: Die Entwicklung des zentral- und oberamazonischen Tieflands im Spät-Pleistozön und
  976 im Holozän, Amazoniana, 6(1), 67–79, 1976.
- 977 Irmler, U.: Litterfall and nitrogen turnover in an Amazonian blackwater inundation forest, Plant
  978 Soil, 67(1-3), 355–358, 1982.
- Johnson, M. S., Lehmann, J., Selva, E. C., Abdo, M., Riha, S. and Couto, E. G.: Organic carbon
- fluxes within and streamwater exports from headwater catchments in the southern Amazon,
  Hydrol. Process., 20(12), 2599–2614, 2006.
- Junk, W. J.: The Amazon floodplain A sink or source for organic carbon?, Mitteilungen Geol.Paläontol. Inst. Univ. Hambg., 58, 267–283, 1985.
- Junk, W. J. and Piedade, M. T. F.: Plant life in the floodplain with special reference to
  herbaceous plants, in The Central Amazon Floodplain, edited by W. J. Junk, pp. 147–185,
  Springer, Berlin, Germany., 1997.
- Junk, W. J. and Wantzen, K. M.: The flood pulse concept: New aspects, approaches and
  applications An update, in Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on the
  Management of large Rivers for Fisheries, edited by R. L. Welcomme and T. Petr, pp. 117–140.,
  2004.
- Jupp, T. E., Cox, P. M., Rammig, A., Thonicke, K., Lucht, W. and Cramer, W.: Development of
  probability density functions for future South American rainfall, New Phytol., 187, 682–693,
  doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03368.x, 2010.
- Keeling, C. D. and Whorf, T. P.: Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> records from sites in the SIO air sampling
  network, in Trends. A Compendium of Data on Global Change, Carbon Dioxide Inf. Anal. Cent.,
  Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., US Dep. of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., [online] Available from:
  http://cdiac.ornl.gov/trends/co2/sio-keel.html (Accessed 11 October 2008), 2003.
- 698 Körtzinger, A.: A significant  $CO_2$  sink in the tropical Atlantic Ocean associated with the Amazon 699 River plume, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(24), doi:10.1029/2003GL018841, 2003.

1000 Lampert, W. and Sommer, U.: Limnoökologie, 2. neu bearbeitete Auflage., Thieme, Stuttgart.,1001 1999.

Langerwisch, F., Rost, S., Gerten, D., Poulter, B., Rammig, A. and Cramer, W.: Potential effects
of climate change on inundation patterns in the Amazon Basin, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 17(6),
2247–2262, doi:10.5194/hess-17-2247-2013, 2013.

Lauerwald, R., Laruelle, G. G., Hartmann, J., Ciais, P. and Regnier, P. A. G.: Spatial patterns in CO <sub>2</sub> evasion from the global river network, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 29(5), 534–554, doi:10.1002/2014GB004941, 2015.

- Lehner, B. and Döll, P.: Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands, J. Hydrol., 296(1-4), 1–22, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.028, 2004.
- 1010 Lewin-Koh, N. J. and Bivand, R.: maptools: Tools for reading and handling spatial objects. R1011 package version 0.8-7., 2011.
- Lloyd, J. and Taylor, J. A.: On the temperature-dependence of soil respiration, Funct. Ecol., 8(3),
  315–323, 1994.
- Martius, C.: Decomposition of Wood, in The Central Amazon Floodplain, edited by W. J. Junk,
  pp. 267–276, Springer, Berlin, Germany., 1997.
- Mayorga, E., Aufdenkampe, A. K., Masiello, C. A., Krusche, A. V., Hedges, J. I., Quay, P. D.,
  Richey, J. E. and Brown, T. A.: Young organic matter as a source of carbon dioxide outgassing
  from Amazonian rivers, Nature, 436(7050), 538–541, doi:10.1038/nature03880, 2005.
- McClain, M. E. and Elsenbeer, H.: Terrestrial inputs to Amazon streams and internal
  biogeochemical processing, in The Biogeochemistry of the Amazon Basin, edited by M. E.
  McClain, R. L. Victoria, and J. E. Richey, pp. 185–208, Oxford University Press, New York.,
  2001.
- Meehl, G. A., Stocker, T. F., Collins, W. D., Friedlingstein, P., Gaye, A. T., Gregory, J. M.,
  Kitoh, A., Knutti, R., Murphy, J. M., Noda, A., Raper, S. C. B., Watterson, I. G., Weaver, A. J.
  and Zhao, Z.-C.: Global climate projections, in Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science
  Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the
  Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z.
  Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt, M. Tignor, and H. L. Miller, Cambridge University Press,
  Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA., 2007.
- Melack, J. M. and Fisher, T. R.: Diel oxygen variations and their ecological implications in
  Amazon floodplain lakes, Arch. Fuer Hydrobiol., 98(4), 422–442, 1983.
- Melack, J. M. and Forsberg, B.: Biogeochemistry of Amazon floodplain lakes and associated
  wetlands, in The Biogeochemistry of the Amazon Basin and its Role in a Changing World, pp.
  235–276, Oxford University Press, Eds. McClain, M. E.; Victoria, R. L.; Richey, J. E., 2001.

- 1035 Melack, J. M., Hess, L. L., Gastil, M., Forsberg, B. R., Hamilton, S. K., Lima, I. B. T. and Novo,
- E. M. L. .: Regionalization of methane emissions in the Amazon Basin with microwave remote sensing, Glob. Change Biol., 10(5), 530–544, doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00763.x, 2004.
- Melack, J. M., Novo, E. M. L. M., Forsberg, B. R., Piedade, M. T. F. and L., M.: Floodplain
  ecosystem processes, in Amazonia and Global Change, edited by M. Keller, M. Bustamante, J.
  Gash, and P. Silva Dias, pp. 525–541, American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC., 2009.
- 1041 Mitchell, T. D. and Jones, P. D.: An improved method of constructing a database of monthly 1042 climate observations and associated high-resolution grids, Int. J. Climatol., 25(6), 693–712, 1043 doi:10.1002/joc.1181, 2005.
- 1044 Moreira-Turcq, P., Seyler, P., Guyot, J. L. and Etcheber, H.: Exportation of organic carbon from 1045 the Amazon River and its main tributaries, Hydrol. Process., 17(7), 1329–1344, 1046 doi:10.1002/hyp.1287, 2003.
- Müller-Hohenstein, K.: Die Tropenzone, in Die Landschaftsgürtel der Erde, pp. 51–96, B. G.
  Teubner, Stuttgart., 1981.
- Nakićenović, N., Davidson, O., Davis, G., Grübler, A., Kram, T., Lebre La Rovere, E., Metz, B.,
  Morita, T., Pepper, W., Pitcher, H., Sankovski, A., Shukla, P., Swart, R. and Dadi, Z.: IPCC
  Special report on emission scenarios, [online] Available from:
  http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/sres/emission/index.php?idp=0, 2000.
- Nepstad, D. C., Tohver, I. M., Ray, D., Moutinho, P. and Cardinot, G.: Mortality of large trees
  and lianas following experimental drought in an Amazon forest, Ecology, 88(9), 2259–2269,
  doi:10.1890/06-1046.1, 2007.
- 1055 401.10.1050/00 10+0.1, 2007.
  - Neu, V., Neill, C. and Krusche, A. V.: Gaseous and fluvial carbon export from an Amazon forest
    watershed, Biogeochemistry, 105, 133–147, doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9581-3, 2011.
  - Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O'Hara, R. B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos,
    P., Stevens, M. H. H. and Wagner, H.: vegan: Community Ecolgy Package. R package version
    1060 1.17.11. [online] Available from: http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan, 2011.
  - 1061 Österle, H., Gerstengarbe, F. W. and Werner, P. C.: Homogenisierung und Aktualisierung des
    1062 Klimadatensatzes des Climate Research Unit der Universitaet of East Anglia, Norwich. 6.
    1063 Deutsche Klimatagung 2003 Potsdam, Germany, Terra Nostra, 2003(6), 326–329, 2003.
  - Panday, P. K., Coe, M. T., Macedo, M. N., Lefebvre, P. and Castanho, A. D. de A.:
    Deforestation offsets water balance changes due to climate variability in the Xingu River in
    eastern Amazonia, J. Hydrol., 523, 822–829, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.02.018, 2015.
  - 1067 Parker, A. J.: The Topographic Relative Moisture Index: An approach to soil-moisture 1068 assessment in mountain terrain, Phys. Geogr., 3(2), 160–168, 1982.

- Poulter, B., Aragão, L., Heyder, U., Gumpenberger, M., Heinke, J., Langerwisch, F., Rammig,
  A., Thonicke, K. and Cramer, W.: Net biome production of the Amazon Basin in the 21st
  century, Glob. Change Biol., 16(7), 2062–2075, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02064.x, 2009a.
- Poulter, B., AragãO, L., Heyder, U., Gumpenberger, M., Heinke, J., Langerwisch, F., Rammig,
  A., Thonicke, K. and Cramer, W.: Net biome production of the Amazon Basin in the 21st
  century: NBP OF THE AMAZON BASIN, Glob. Change Biol., 16(7), 2062–2075,
  doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02064.x, 2009b.
- 1076 Rammig, A., Jupp, T., Thonicke, K., Tietjen, B., Heinke, J., Ostberg, S., Lucht, W., Cramer, W.
  1077 and Cox, P.: Estimating the risk of Amazonian forest dieback, New Phytol., 187(3), 694–706,
  1078 doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03318.x, 2010.
- Randall, D. A., Wood, R. A., Bony, S., Colman, R., Fichefet, T., Fyfe, J., Kattsov, V., Pitman,
  A., Shukla, J., Srinivasan, J., Stouffer, R. J., Sumi, A. and Taylor, K. E.: Climate models and
  their evaluation, in Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
  Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,
  edited by S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt, M. Tignor, and
  H. L. Miller, Cambridge University Press., 2007.
- 1085 R Development Core Team and contributors worldwide, N. J.: stats: The R Stats Package version1086 2.13.0., 2011.
- Richey, J. E. and Victoria, R. L.: C, N, and P export dynamics in the Amazon river, in
  Interactions of C, N, P and S Biogeochemical Cycles and Global Change, vol. Vol. 14, Springer
  Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg. [online] Available from: http://nbnresolving.de/urn:nbn:de:1111-201111152598 (Accessed 4 April 2014), 1993.
- Richey, J. E., Hedges, J. I., Devol, A. H., Quay, P. D., Victoria, R., Martinelli, L. and Forsberg,
  B. R.: Biogeochemistry of carbon in the Amazon River, Limnol. Oceanogr., 35(2), 352–371,
  1093 1990.
- Richey, J. E., Melack, J. M., Aufdenkampe, A. K., Ballester, V. M. and Hess, L. L.: Outgassing
  from Amazonian rivers and wetlands as a large tropical source of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, Nature,
  416(6881), 617–620, doi:10.1038/416617a, 2002.
- Rost, S., Gerten, D., Bondeau, A., Lucht, W., Rohwer, J. and Schaphoff, S.: Agricultural green
  and blue water consumption and its influence on the global water system, Water Resour. Res.,
  44(9), doi:W09405 10.1029/2007wr006331, 2008.
- Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry's law constants for inorganic and organic species of potential
  importance in environmental chemistry, Air Chemistry Department, Max-Planck Institute of
  Chemistry. [online] Available from: http://www.mpch-mainz.mpg.de/~sander/res/henry.html,
  1103
  1999.
- Schwoerbel, J. and Brendelberger, H.: Einführung in die Limnologie, 9. Auflage., Elsevier,
  Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, Heidelberg., 2005.

1106 Sioli, H.: Sedimentation im Amazonasgebiet, Int. J. Earth Sci., 45(3), 608–633, 1957.

1107 Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O., Levis,

1108 S., Lucht, W., Sykes, M. T., Thonicke, K. and Venevsky, S.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics, 1109 plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model,

1110 Glob. Change Biol., 9(2), 161–185, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00569.x, 2003.

Sjögersten, S., Black, C. R., Evers, S., Hoyos-Santillan, J., Wright, E. L. and Turner, B. L.:
Tropical wetlands: A missing link in the global carbon cycle?: Carbon cycling in tropical
wetlands, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 28, 1371–1386, doi:10.1002/2014GB004844, 2014.

- Subramaniam, A., Yager, P. L., Carpenter, E. J., Mahaffey, C., Bjorkman, K., Cooley, S.,
  Kustka, A. B., Montoya, J. P., Sanudo-Wilhelmy, S. A., Shipe, R. and Capone, D. G.: Amazon
  River enhances diazotrophy and carbon sequestration in the tropical North Atlantic Ocean, Proc.
  Netl Acad. Sci. 105(20), 10460, 10465, doi:10.1073/pros.0710270105, 2008
- 1117 Natl. Acad. Sci., 105(30), 10460–10465, doi:10.1073/pnas.0710279105, 2008.
- 1118 Thonicke, K., Spessa, A., Prentice, I. C., Harrison, S. P., Dong, L. and Carmona-Moreno, C.: 1119 The influence of vegetation, fire spread and fire behaviour on biomass burning and trace gas 1120 emissions: results from a process-based model, Biogeosciences, 7(6), 1991–2011,
- 1121 doi:10.5194/bg-7-1991-2010, 2010.
- Vannote, R. L., Minshall, G. W., Cummins, K. W., Sedell, J. R. and Cushing, C. E.: River
  Continuum Concept, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 37(1), 130–137, 1980.
- Wagner, W., Scipal, K., Pathe, C., Gerten, D., Lucht, W. and Rudolf, B.: Evaluation of the agreement between the first global remotely sensed soil moisture data with model and precipitation data, J. Geophys. Res., 108(4611), doi:10.1029/2003JD003663, 2003.
- Wantzen, K. M., Yule, C. M., Mathooko, J. M. and Pringle, C. M.: Organic matter processing in
  tropical streams, in Aquatic Ecosystems: Tropical Stream Ecology, pp. 43–64, Elsevier Science
  (USA), London., 2008.
- Waterloo, M. J., Oliveira, S. M., Drucker, D. P., Nobre, A. D., Cuartas, L. A., Hodnett, M. G.,
  Langedijk, I., Jans, W. W. P., Tomasella, J., de Araújo, A. C., Pimentel, T. P. and Estrada, J. C.
- 1132 M.: Export of organic carbon in run-off from an Amazonian rainforest blackwater catchment,
- 1133 Hydrol. Process., 20(12), 2581–2597, 2006.
- Worbes, M.: The forest ecosystem of the floodplains, in The Central Amazon Floodplain, edited
  by W. J. Junk, pp. 223–265, Springer, Berlin, Germany., 1997.
- 1136 WWF HydroSHEDS: HydroSHEDS, [online] Available from: http://hydrosheds.cr.usgs.gov/
  1137 (Accessed 15 October 2007), 2007.
- 1138Yarnell, S. M., Mount, J. F. and Larsen, E. W.: The influence of relative sediment supply on1139riverinehabitatheterogeneity,Geomorphology,80(3-4),310–324,1140doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.03.005, 2006.

- 1141 Zulkafli, Z., Buytaert, W., Manz, B., Rosas, C. V., Willems, P., Lavado-Casimiro, W., Guyot, J.-
- 1142 L. and Santini, W.: Projected increases in the annual flood pulse of the Western Amazon,
- 1143 Environ. Res. Lett., 11(1), 014013, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/1/014013, 2016.

## **7 Tables**

## 

## 1148Table 1: Overview of the input used in the model.

input	temporal resolution	spatial resolution (lat/lon)	unit	source
static		•		
river type	-	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	-	(Diegues, 1994; Irion, 1976; Sioli, 1957)
river order	-	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	-	
max. floodable area ( <i>MaxInunArea</i> )	-	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	km <sup>2</sup>	(Langerwisch et al., 2013)
rout cell	-	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	-	(Rost et al., 2008)
flow velocity (v)	-	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	$m s^{-1}$	(Langerwisch et al., 2013)
soil depth ( <i>soildepth</i> <sub>1</sub> , <i>soildepth</i> <sub>2</sub> )	-	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$		LPJmL
dynamic				
atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> ( <i>atmCO</i> <sub>2</sub> )	annually	globally	ppm	SRES (see Nakićenović et al., 2000)
Temperature ( <i>T</i> )	monthly	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	°C	IPCC (see Meehl et al., 2007)
Discharge (Mdis)	monthly	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	$m^{3} s^{-1}$	LPJmL
amount of water (Mwat)	monthly	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	m <sup>3</sup>	LPJmL
soil water content ( <i>Mswc</i> <sub>1</sub> , <i>Mswc</i> <sub>2</sub> )	monthly	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	%	LPJmL
soil carbon (ASoilc)	annually	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	$g m^{-2}$	LPJmL
litter carbon ( <i>ALitc</i> )	annually	$0.5^{\circ}  imes 0.5^{\circ}$	$g m^{-2}$	LPJmL

## **Table 2 List of physical constants.**

	<b>_</b>		
constant name	value	unit	source
$k_{H}^{ heta}$	1.496323	$g \operatorname{CO}_2 l^{-1} \operatorname{atm}^{-1}$	(Sander, 1999)
dlnkH	2400	K	(Sander, 1999)
$T^{ heta}$	298.15	K	(Sander, 1999)
ctoco2 <sup>*</sup>	0.2729	-	

**\*** Ratio of the atomic mass of carbon (12.001 g mol<sup>-1</sup>) in the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule (44.01 g mol<sup>-1</sup>).

1153 Applied to calculate the outgassed CO<sub>2</sub>, since the model calculates the actual flux and pools of

1154 carbon.

parameter name	value	unit	source	original value				
loss via terrestrial respiration								
<i>respi</i> <sub>litc</sub>	30	%	LPJmL					
<i>respi</i> soilcfast	3	%	LPJmL					
<i>respi</i> soilcslow	0.1	%	LPJmL					
respipart <sub>soilcfast</sub>	98	%	LPJmL					
<i>respipart</i> <sub>soilcslow</sub>	2	%	LPJmL					
mobilization								
carboncorr	0.65	month <sup>-1</sup>	(Worbes, 1997)	$0.65 \pm 0.15$				
mobil <sub>litc</sub>	0.7	month <sup>-1</sup>	(Irmler, 1982)	$0.4 \pm 0.1$				
mobil <sub>soilc</sub>	0.05	month <sup>-1</sup>	(Irmler, 1982)					
$mobil_p$	0.5	-	(Johnson et al., 2006;	$0.5 \pm 0.25$				
			McClain and Elsenbeer,					
			2001)					
decomposition								
decomp	0.3	month <sup>-1</sup>	(Furch and Junk, 1997)	$0.3 \pm 0.1$				
decompcorr	0.1	month <sup>-1</sup>	(Furch and Junk, 1997)	$0.1 \pm 0.01$				
respiration								
respi	0.04	day <sup>-1</sup>	(Cole et al., 2000)	$0.045 \pm 0.01$				
outgassing								
co2satur	7.25 to 17.0	-	(Richey et al., 2002)	7.25 to 17.0				

## **Table 3: List of parameters.**

	observation	simulated	diff	source					
annually outgasse	annually outgassed CO <sub>2</sub>								
basin wide	4.7	1.28	-73%	(Richey et al., 2002)					
$[10^{14} \mathrm{g}\mathrm{C}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}]$									
in central part <sup>a</sup> $[10^{14} \text{ g C yr}^{-1}]$	$2.1 \pm 0.6$	0.51	-76%	(Richey et al., 2002)					
$\frac{10^{8} \text{ g C km}^{-2}}{[10^{8} \text{ g C km}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}]}$	$1.2 \pm 0.3$	0.21	-82%	(Richey et al., 2002)					
	$6.4 \pm 6.0$	0.21	-96.7%	(Abril et al., 2014)					
	$8.0 \pm 1.8$	0.21	-97.4%	(Belger et al., 2011)					
	$60 \pm 6.8$	0.21	-99.7%	(Neu et al., 2011)					
annually exported	carbon to Atla	ntic Ocean (e	stimated at (	<b>Óbidos</b> ) $[10^{14} \text{ g C yr}^{-1}]$					
TOC <sup>a</sup>	$0.36 \pm 0.1$	0.64	+80%	(Richey et al., 1990), (Moreira- Turcq et al., 2003)					
РОС	$0.12 \pm 0.05$	0.19	+63%	(Junk, 1985; Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003)					
DOC	0.27	0.45	+67%	(Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003)					
annually exported	carbon from s	ub-catchment	<b>s</b> [10 <sup>12</sup> g C y	/r <sup>-1</sup> ]					
POC									
Vargem Grande	6.4	8.3	+30%	(Richey and Victoria, 1993)					
Rio Madeira	3.2	7.4	+31%	(Richey and Victoria, 1993)					
Óbidos	12.1	19.4	+20%	(Richey and Victoria, 1993)					
DOC									
Vargem Grande	4.7	21.0	+347%	(Richey and Victoria, 1993)					
Rio Negro	6.6	09.2	+39%	(Richey and Victoria, 1993)					
Rio Madeira	2.6	17.5	+573%	(Richey and Victoria, 1993)					
Óbidos	18.4	45.1	+91%	(Richey and Victoria, 1993)					
carbon concentrat	tion [10 <sup>-3</sup> g C 1 <sup>-1</sup>	[]							
TOC <sup>a</sup>	9.85 ± 4.5	#7.46	-24%	(Ertel et al., 1986), (Moreira- Turcg et al., 2003)					
POC (average)	$1.50 \pm 0.5$	2.16	+44%	(Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003)					
Rio Negro	$0.69 \pm 0.16$	$1.85 \pm 1.33$	+170%	(Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003)					
Rio Negro	$0.37 \pm 0.17$	0.16	<mark>-58%</mark>	(Richey et al., 1990)					
Rio Branco	$0.71 \pm 0.28$	$2.03 \pm 0.67$	<mark>+190%</mark>	(Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003)					
Rio Solimões	$1.26 \pm 0.47$	$0.66 \pm 0.61$	<mark>-48%</mark>	(Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003)					
Rio Madeira	$1.73 \pm 1.8$	1.42 ± 1.39	<mark>-18%</mark>	(Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003)					
Rio Madeira	$2.87 \pm 1.24$	<b>1.70</b>	<mark>-41%</mark>	(Richey et al., 1990)					
Rio Japura	$1.88 \pm 0.39$	1.02	<mark>-46%</mark>	(Richey et al., 1990)					
Itapeua	$3.21 \pm 0.52$	<mark>1.80</mark>	<mark>-44%</mark>	(Richey et al., 1990)					
<b>Óbidos</b>	$2.41 \pm 0.39$	<mark>0.13</mark>	<mark>-87%</mark>	(Richey et al., 1990)					
DOC (average)	$7.35 \pm 4.0$	5.30	-28%	(Ertel et al., 1986; Hedges et al., 1994: Moreira-Turca et al., 2003)					
Rio Negro	11.61 + 5.49	$2.07 \pm 1.42$	<mark>-82%</mark>	(Moreira-Turca et al 2003)					
Rio Negro	$8.43 \pm 1.20$	0.38	-95%	(Richev et al., 1990)					
Rio Branco	2.4	$2.25 \pm 0.75$	<del>-6%</del>	(Moreira-Turcg et al., 2003)					
Rio Solimões	$4.26 \pm 1.67$	$1.52 \pm 1.32$	<del>-64%</del>	(Moreira-Turcg et al., 2003)					
Rio Madeira	$4.31 \pm 2.23$	$7.16 \pm 5.49$	+66%	(Moreira-Turcq et al., 2003)					
Rio Madeira	$3.49 \pm 1.18$	0.40	<mark>+88%</mark>	(Richey et al., 1990)					

## **Table 4: List of data used for calibration and validation.**

Rio Japura	<mark>3.46 ± 0.66</mark>	<mark>2.44</mark>		<mark>–29%</mark>	(Richey et al., 1990	))		
Itapeua	<mark>3.76 ± 0.83</mark>	<mark>3.82</mark>		<mark>+2%</mark>	(Richey et al., 1990)			
<b>Óbidos</b>	$4.03 \pm 0.70$	<mark>0.74</mark>		<mark>-82%</mark>	(Richey et al., 1990)			
IC (average)	<mark>0.95-4.08</mark>	<mark>1.64</mark>		<mark>-70-60%</mark>	(Neu et al., 2011; R	lichey et al.,		
					2002)			
Vargem Grande	<mark>1.12</mark>	<mark>1.84</mark>		<mark>+64%</mark>	(Devol et al., 1987)			
Rio Icá	<mark>2.07</mark>	<mark>1.78</mark>		<mark>-14%</mark>	(Devol et al., 1987)			
Rio Juruá	<mark>2.71</mark>	<mark>2.67</mark>		<mark>-02%</mark>	(Devol et al., 1987)			
Jutica	<mark>1.77</mark>	<mark>1.72</mark>		<mark>-03%</mark>	(Devol et al., 1987)			
Manacapurú	<mark>1.79</mark>	<mark>1.64</mark>		<mark>-08%</mark>	(Devol et al., 1987)			
Rio Negro	<mark>1.44</mark>	<mark>1.72</mark>		<mark>+20%</mark>	(Devol et al., 1987)			
Rio Madeira	<mark>2.34</mark>	<mark>1.75</mark>		<mark>-25%</mark>	(Devol et al., 1987)			
<b>Óbidos</b>	<mark>1.98</mark>	<mark>1.69</mark>		<mark>-15%</mark>	(Devol et al., 1987)			
Willmott's Index of Agreement								
calibration data <sup>a</sup>	before calibrat	ion	0.870		after calibration	0.893		
other data			0.413			0.635		
all data			0.427			0.615		

1160 Comparison of observed values with results of the simulations using initial parameter setting

1161 (before calibration) and calibrated parameter setting. Difference (*diff*) is relative difference to

1162 observation [%]. A Willmott's Index of Agreement of 1.0 indicates full agreement. <sup>a</sup> indicates

1163 data used for calibration.

## 1166Table 5: Location and characteristics of the three subregions.

	North-West corner	South-East corner	area [10 <sup>3</sup> km <sup>2</sup> ]	<mark>changes in</mark> inundation length <sup>*</sup>	<mark>changes in</mark> inundated area <sup>*</sup>
R1	0.5°S / 78.5°W	7.0°S / 72.0°W	523.03	1 month longer	larger
R2	1.0°S / 70.0°W	5.0°S / 52.0°W	891.32	<mark>±½ month shift</mark>	heterogeneous
R3	4.5°S / 58.0°W	11.0°S / 52.0°W	523.03	<mark>¹∕2</mark> shorter	smaller

1167 Regions are also depicted in Fig. 6A. Changes in inundation compared to the average of 1961-

**1990**, as estimated and discussed in Langerwisch et al. (2013).

	TOC di to ocea	scharge n	outgassed carbon to atmosphere							
			Standard <sup>a</sup>				NoInun <sup>b</sup>		No	Riv <sup>c</sup>
	<i>sum</i> [10 <sup>12</sup> g yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	prop of NPP [%]	SUM [10 <sup>12</sup> g yr <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> ]	terr. [10 <sup>12</sup> g yr <sup>-1</sup> ] ([%])	riv. [10 <sup>12</sup> g yr <sup>-1</sup> ] ([%])	prop of NPP [%]	sum 100% terr. [10 <sup>12</sup> g yı <sup>-1</sup> ]	rel. to SUM [%]	sum 100% terr. [10 <sup>12</sup> g yı <sup>-1</sup> ]	rel. to SUM [%]
				BA	ASIN WI	DE				
reference	e period	l								
	54.1	1.0	5271.6	5081.8 (96.4)	189.8 (3.6)	3.5	5097.6	-3.3	5266.8	-0.10
future pe	eriod	-		•						
A1B	49.3	0.75	6469.2	6204.0 (95.9)	<mark>265.2</mark> (4.1)	4.0	6258	-3.3	6463.2	-0.10
prop. to ref	(-8.9)		(+22.7)				(+22.8)		(+22.7)	
A2	59.1	0.87	6753.6	<mark>6463.2</mark> (95.7)	290.4 (4.3)	4.2	6534.0	-3.2	6748.8	-0.07
prop. to ref	(+9.1)		(+28.1)				(+28.2)		(+28.1)	
B1	56.6	0.90	6380.4	<mark>6163.6</mark> (96.1)	248.8 (3.9)	3.9	6172.8	-3.3	6375.6	-0.07
prop. to ref	(+4.6)		(+21.0)				(+21.1)		(+21.1)	
				MAL	N STEM	! (R2)				
reference	e period							1		Т
			784.8	<mark>745.6</mark> (95.0)	<mark>39.2</mark> (5.0)	4.9	753.6	-4.0	780	-0.61
future pe	eriod		1					1		
A1B			925.2	<mark>873.4</mark> (94.4)	<mark>51.8</mark> (5.6)	5.7	888.0	-3.9	920.4	-0.54
prop. to ref			(+17.8)				(+17.9)		(+17.9)	
A2			960.0	<mark>903.4</mark> (94.1)	<mark>56.6</mark> (5.9)	6.1	921.6	-3.9	954	-0.54
prop. to ref			(+22.3)				(+22.3)		(+22.2)	
B1			930.0	880.7 (94.7)	<mark>49.3</mark> (5.3)	5.6	892.8	-3.9	925.2	-0.53
prop. to ref			(+18.4)				(+18.5)		(+18.5)	

Table 6: Mean monthly export of carbon during reference and future period.

1172 Mean export of carbon in reference period (1971-2000) and future period (2070-2099), averaged 1173 over five climate models. Discharged carbon in  $[10^{12} \text{ g yr}^{-1}]$ , outgassed carbon in 1174  $[10^{12} \text{ g month}^{-1}]$  from the forest (terr.) and the river (riv.). List of discharged total organic carbon 1175 into the Atlantic Ocean and basin wide monthly outgassed carbon produced via heterotrophic 1176 respiration. NPP is net primary production. Proportional differences [%] between reference and 1177 future period are in round brackets. Differences in total outgassed carbon between future and reference values are in all cases highly significant (Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test; p<0.001).</li>
Negative (positive) values indicate a decrease (increase) compared to the *Standard* simulations.
<sup>a</sup>Standard RivCM simulations; <sup>b</sup>RivCM simulation without additional inundation (*NoInun*),
therefore no export of terrigenous organic carbon; <sup>c</sup>LPJmL calculating forest instead of river
(*NoRiv*). For details of river area calculation see Langerwisch et al. (2013).

**8 Figures** 



- **Figure 1**. Overview about the transfer of data between the models and scenarios.



1190

**Figure 2.** Input and flow chart of RivCM. The four spatial components 'LAND', 'RIVER', 'ATMOSPHERE', and 'OCEAN' are connected by the exchange of carbon between different carbon pools (ovals), to, within, and from the river. The carbon pools are transformed through the most relevant processes (diamonds) with specific rates and ratios (rectangles). After the initialization of the input, calculations are conducted on a monthly basis. Litc = carbon in litter, Soilc = carbon in soil, POC = particulate organic carbon, DOC = dissolved organic carbon, IC = inorganic carbon.



**Figure 3.** River type (A) and river order (B). Cells of river order 1 (headwater) have a mean annual discharge of less than  $8 \times 10^3$  m<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; cells of river order 2 have a discharge between  $8 \times 10^3$  and  $2 \times 10^5$  m<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>; cells of river order 3 have a discharge higher than  $2 \times 10^5$  m<sup>3</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>.





1205 **Figure 4.** Temporal change in riverine carbon pools caused by climate change. (A) showing 1206 results for outgassed carbon, (B) for particulate organic carbon (POC) and (C) for inorganic 1207 carbon. Results are shown as the quotient of annual carbon amount and mean annual carbon 1208 amount in reference period, for the whole basin and the three subregions (R1-R3). Different 1209 colors represent different SRES emission scenarios. The shaded area for each scenario is spanned 1210 by the minimal and maximal values of all five climate models/scenarios. Bold lines represent the 1211 5-year-mean of the climate models/scenarios and thin lines represent mean  $\pm 1.0$  standard 1212 deviation. Positive values indicate an increase in outgassed CO<sub>2</sub> in the future compared to 1213 reference period, and negative values indicate a decrease. The horizontal line at y = 1 indicates 1214 no change compared to the reference period 1971-2000.

1215





Figure 5. Mean annual sums of carbon pools  $[10^{12} \text{ g}]$  for the whole basin and three subregions for the reference period (1971-2000) and the future period (2070-2099, SRES A1B, A2 and B1). Each for five climate models/scenarios.



1221

1222 **Figure** 6. Change in riverine and outgassed carbon caused by climate change. Model mean of the 1223 relative increase and decrease of future mean and reference mean of POC (A-C) and IC (D-F) and outgassed carbon (G-I). Left hand side panels (A, D, G) show the mean of the quotient for 1224 SRES A1B emission scenario, middle panels (B, E, H) A2, right hand side (C, F, I) B1, averaged 1225 1226 over five climate models/scenarios. Positive values (yellow and red) indicate an increase and 1227 negative values (green and blue) indicate a decrease. Additionally to the mean, the number of 1228 climate models/scenarios leading to significant trends (p<0.05, Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test) is 1229 indicated by crosses. In white cells the differences between future and reference values are not 1230 significant.



Figure 7. Averaged change in the basin carbon budget due to climate change (B). Dark boxes indicate the amount of carbon during the reference period, light boxes during the future period (average over all SRES scenarios and GCMs). Amount is given for future period with relative change compared to reference. Arrows indicate the direction of carbon transport.



1238

Figure 8. Proportion of outgassed carbon from the river to total outgassed carbon. Proportion of riverine outgassing to total outgassing of carbon (A) during the reference period (1971-2000) and the difference in this proportion between future (2070-2099) and reference period caused by climate change averaged over five climate models/scenarios in emission scenario A1B (B), A2 (C) and B1 (D), positive values indicate an increase and negative values indicate a decrease in the future period.