

Interactive comment on “Radon monitoring as a possible indicator of tectonic events” by V. I. Outkin et al.

P. Dutta

ascendent1@gmail.com

Received and published: 17 February 2013

The authors thank opponents for these errors and inaccuracies, some of which are related to computer copy: a) the computer prints the URA in lieu of VRA (?); b) computer prints (10-6) instead of (10)-6 ; NA) Two events with $m = 5.8$ occurred during the experiment [Chi-Yu-King], so the authors article on event data for January 20, 1980 and another mistake the date-05 August 1979. Authors are asked to excuse them for inaccuracies. Observations on the merits of the proposal. I regret that reviewers have not understood the main thing: as a precursor to earthquakes, the authors offer no "abnormal value VAR in any measuring point or a combination of these points. The authors suggest: harbinger of earthquakes is the presence of compression zone in the region of the array (or dilatency), calculated according to the evolution of VAR , in

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



pixels. Characteristic changes in VAR for different monitoring stations is on the right side of Figure 1. The authors believe that the reviewers are not familiar with the works of Chu-Yu-King (1991) and Outkin (2006), the results of which formed the basis for this article. The value of these works is not rated in the geophysical literature. These works were unfortunately necessary geophysical assessment literature. The authors are trying to show that the study of the dynamics of geophysical fields, is a more promising approach to prediction of seismic events.

Interactive comment on Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss., 4, 93, 2013.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

