Earth Syst. Dynam. Discuss., 3, 453–483, 2012 www.earth-syst-dynam-discuss.net/3/453/2012/ doi:10.5194/esdd-3-453-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Earth System Dynamics (ESD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ESD if available.

A stochastic model for the polygonal tundra based on Poisson-Voronoi Diagrams

F. Cresto Aleina^{1,2}, V. Brovkin², S. Muster³, J. Boike³, L. Kutzbach⁴, T. Sachs⁵, and S. Zuyev⁶

¹International Max Planck Research School for Earth System Modelling, Hamburg, Germany ²Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

³Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Research Unit Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

⁴Institute of Soil Science, Klima-Kampus, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

⁵Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum, Helmholtz-Zentrum, Potsdam, Germany

⁶Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden

Received: 30 May 2012 - Accepted: 25 June 2012 - Published: 29 June 2012

Correspondence to: F. Cresto Aleina (fabio.cresto-aleina@zmaw.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

Sub-grid processes occur in various ecosystems and landscapes but, because of their small scale, they are not represented or poorly parameterized in climate models. These local heterogeneities are often important or even fundamental for energy and carbon

- ⁵ balances. This is especially true for northern peatlands and in particular for the polygonal tundra where methane emissions are strongly influenced by spatial soil heterogeneities. We present a stochastic model for the surface topography of polygonal tundra using Poisson-Voronoi Diagrams and we compare the results with available recent field studies. We analyze seasonal dynamics of water table variations and the land-
- scape response under different scenarios of precipitation income. We upscale methane fluxes by using a simple idealized model for methane emission. Hydraulic interconnectivities and large-scale drainage may also be investigated through percolation properties and thresholds in the Voronoi graph. The model captures the main statistical characteristics of the landscape topography, such as polygon area and surface properties
- as well as the water balance. This approach enables us to statistically relate large-scale properties of the system taking into account the main small-scale processes within the single polygons.

1 Introduction

Large-scale climate and land surface interactions are analyzed and predicted by global
 and regional models. One of the major issues these models have to face is the lack of representation of surface heterogeneities at sub-grid scale (typically, less than 100–50 km). How phenomena and mechanisms interact at different spatial scales is a challenging problem in climate science (see e.g. the review of Rietkerk et al., 2011). In particular, the lack of cross-scale links in general circulation models (GCMs) may lead
 to missing nonlinear feedbacks in climate-biogeosphere interactions, as stressed out by recent studies (Janssen et al., 2008; Dekker et al., 2007; Scheffer et al., 2005; Pielke



et al., 1998). This issue may cause a strong bias in climate models trying to compute accurate energy and carbon balances. This is particularly true for methane emissions in northern peatlands that contribute considerably to the Arctic carbon budget (Baird et al., 2009; Walter et al., 2006). In addition, thawing permafrost could lead to increased carbon decomposition and enhance methane emissions from these landscapes causing a positive feedback to climate change (O'Connor et al., 2010; Schuur et al., 2008; Christensen and Cox, 1995).

One important driver of methane emissions, for example, is water level. In polygonal tundra, the pronounced micro-topography leads to a tessellate pattern of very contrasting water levels and hence strong small-scale variability of methane emissions. Previously, typical process-based wetland methane emission models (e.g. Walter et al., 1996; Walter and Heimann, 2000) were constructed based on plot scale process understanding and emission measurements but have subsequently been used for upscaling

- emissions to large areas using a mean water level. With water level often acting as an
 on-off-switch for methane emissions (Christensen et al., 2001), the problem becomes obvious: where methane is emitted at the inundated micro-sites and not emitted at the dominating relatively drier sites, the mean water level in the model can either be near the surface (as in the inundated sites), leading to large emissions throughout the area, or it can be below the surface (as in the model can in the model can either be near to be below the surface (as in the model can entry be adding to emissions close to
- ²⁰ zero. Both results would not represent the differentiated emission pattern of the polygonal tundra. Zhang et al. (2012) also used a process-based model to upscale methane emissions from the plot to the landscape scale but ran the model separately for each land surface class as derived from high-resolution aerial imagery. This approach leads to a much better agreement with landscape scale emissions as measured by eddy
- ²⁵ covariance than previous upscaling attempts with process-based models that ignored the spatial heterogeneity. The model representation of such heterogeneity is currently usually driven by the pixel size of available remote sensing products or the grid cell size of the respective model, the real world functional or structural differences between individual components of a heterogeneous domain may actually be on a very different



scale. Preserving their information content becomes particularly important where nonlinear relationships are involved in the represented processes – such as those driving greenhouse gas emissions (Stoy et al., 2009; Mohammed et al., 2012).

- Arctic lowland landscapes underlain by permafrost are typically characterized by patterned ground such as ice-wedge polygonal tundra. They cover approximately 5–10 % of Earth's land surface, where they play a dominant role in determining surface morphology, drainage and patterns of vegetation (French, 2007). Low center polygonal tundra typically consists of elevated comparatively dry rims and lower centers which can become wet if the water table rises to the ground surface level or above. Thermal induced cracking in the soil during winters with large temperature drop generates the
- noticed clacking in the solid during winters with large temperature drop generates the polygonal patterned ground. When the temperature rises and snow cover melts, water infiltrates in those cracks, and refreezes. Over the years, as this process is cyclically iterated, the terrain is deformed due to the formation of vertical masses of ice, called ice-wedges. Aside of the wedges, terrain is elevated because it is pushed upwards,
- ¹⁵ forming the elevated rims typical of this landscape. Incoming water (precipitation or snow melt) is then trapped by the rims in the polygon center, resulting in a water table level, which dynamically responds to climate and weather. This environment is typical for high-latitude permafrost areas of Alaska, Canada, and Siberia, and ice-wedge polygon features have been observed also on Mars (Haltigin et al., 2011; Levy et al.,
- 20 2010). The surface morphology of dry rims and wet centers determines drainage and vegetation patterns in the polygonal landscapes. They have therefore been the focus of extensive field studies on experimental sites on Samoylov Island in the Lena River Delta in Siberia (an aerial picture of the study site is shown in Fig. 1). Previous works involved closed-chamber and eddy covariance measurements of methane fluxes (see
- e.g. Sachs et al., 2008, 2010; Wille et al., 2008; Kutzbach et al., 2004), as well as measurements and modeling of hydrological properties (Boike et al., 2008), and of surface energy balance (Langer et al., 2011b,a) in this typical periglacial landscape. Recent studies with the help of remote sensing data were able to capture and analyze surface heterogeneity (land cover and surface temperature) and its importance for the land



atmosphere water fluxes (Muster et al., 2012; Langer et al., 2010). Theoretical efforts have also managed to quantitatively classify ice-wedge polygons and other permafrost patterns in Alaska using Minkowski densities (Roth et al., 2005).

In general, stochastic models may be useful tools to link different scales. Such an approach has been widely used in modeling physical, biological, and ecological phenomena, seeking to represent only the main processes and observable properties, and replacing complex dynamics with random processes (see e.g. Dieckmann et al., 2000, and references therein). To model large-scale (e.g. 100 km²) seasonal dynamics of the small-scale-driven greenhouse gas emissions from such a landscape, it is impossible to limit the description to an accurate model for a single polygon (typical dimensions

- to limit the description to an accurate model for a single polygon (typical dimensions in the order of 10 m in diameter), due to the wide internal variability of the landscape. In particular, this mechanistic approach would require a large number of parameters and computational power, in order to represent polygon variability in size, water table position with respect to the surface, and response to climatic forcing. In this work, we provide a stochastic method that takes into account such variability, using properties of
- provide a stochastic method that takes into account such variability, using properties of Voronoi polygons.

Voronoi diagrams (or *tessellations*) have been applied to various field, from astronomy to biology, forestry, and crystallography. For comprehensive reviews, see e.g. Lucarini (2009), Okabe et al. (2000), and reference therein. Their wide range of appli-²⁰ cations derives from the fairly simple concept of partitioning the space behind them and from their adaptability. In ecology and Earth science, they have been used to describe ecological subdivision of territories (Hasegawa and Tanemura, 1976), hydrology and precipitation, geology, and recently also as framework in order to integrate climatic variables over an irregular geographic region (Lucarini et al., 2008).

25 2 The model

We develop a stochastic model, which is able to reproduce the main statistical characteristics of the low center polygonal tundra (i.e. surface topography, area of different soil



surfaces, water table height). With the present model we do not seek to explain surface pattern formation: our aim is, instead, to find a robust way to upscale land-atmosphere greenhouse gas fluxes and the landscape response to climatic forcings that are coupled to these statistics. We limit our description to the landscape present-day state, and we do not represent polygon formation nor degradation.

We tessellate the plane with random polygons which are able to reproduce the main general features of ice-wedge polygons. The model represents, analyzes and considers the statistical characteristics of a region covered by ice-wedge polygons and describes the landscape-scale (about 1 km² or more) response to climatic forcing. In order to represent represent ice-wedge polygonal tundra we use a random tessellation of the plane, the so-called Poisson-Voronoi Diagrams (Okabe et al., 2000).

2.1 Poisson-Voronoi Diagrams

10

Let us populate a Euclidean plane with an at most countable number of distinct points. We then associate all regions in that space with the closest member(s) of the point set with respect to the Euclidean distance. The result is a set of regions that cover the whole plane, and each one of them is associated with a member of the generating points. This tessellation is called planar ordinary Voronoi diagram, generated by the point set. The regions constituting the Voronoi diagram are the Voronoi polygons. More rigorously, let $P = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ be the generating point set, where $2 \le n \le \infty$ and $x_i \ne x_j$ for $i \ne j$, with *i* and *j* integers. The (ordinary) *Voronoi polygon* associated with x_j is defined as:

$$V(x_{i}) = \{x | ||x - x_{i}|| \le ||x - x_{i}||, i \ne j\}$$

and the set given by

 $\mathcal{V} = \{V(x_1), ..., V(x_n)\}$

is the Voronoi tessellation. In our case, the generating process *P* is a homogeneous Poisson point process $P(\lambda)$, where λ is the intensity of the process. Therefore, we



(1)

(2)

call the resulting tessellation *Poisson-Voronoi Diagram* (PVD). Parameter λ basically controls the density of the Poisson process. On a square $L \times L$, the intensity of the process defined as:

$$\lambda = \frac{E[n]}{L^2}$$

- ⁵ where *E*[*n*] is the mean of the number *n* of generated points, which follows a Poisson distribution. Parameter λ directly regulates the polygon sizes. We tuned this quantity with data from image analysis of the landscape (Muster et al., 2012). From Eq. (3), L = 1 km and $\lambda = 7500$. Since $\lambda L^2 \gg 1$ we are in the thermodynamic limit and the boundary effects can be neglected. A model realization of PVD is shown in Fig. 2.
- The area of polygons in a Poisson-Voronoi Diagram has been widely analyzed by numerous studies (Okabe et al., 2000). Direct numerical investigations showed that 3-parameter generalized gamma distributions fit quite well the statistical properties of the PVD (Miles and Maillardet, 1982):

$$f_{\mathsf{A}}(x) = \frac{r \, b^{\frac{q}{r}} x^{q-1} \, e^{-bx^{r}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)}, \quad r > 0, \quad b > 0, \quad q > 0.$$

Other distributions have also been used in the literature to describe the PVD area distribution such as lognormal and 2-parameters gamma (from Eq. 4, one obtains the 2-parameters gamma distribution if r = 1), as reported by Okabe et al. (2000) and reference therein.

2.2 Idealized polygons

²⁰ Ice-wedge polygons are composed of two main regions: elevated drier rims and lower wet centers. In our model:

$$A_{\rm pol} = q A_{\rm pol} + (1 - q) A_{\rm pol} = R + C$$

(3)

(4)

(5)

where A_{pol} is the total polygon area, and R and C represent the area covered by elevated rims and the one covered by low centers respectively. Parameter q is a random quantity varying between 0.35 and 0.7, which we tune according to observation data (Muster et al., 2012).

⁵ Each Voronoi-region represents a ice-wedge polygon and its vertical structure consists of a column, which parameterizes the water table level W_t , the thaw depth TD, and the low polygon center surface level *S*. Water table is a dynamical variable and responds to climatic forcing, whereas thaw depth follows a prescribed behavior during the seasonal cycle. Surface level, polygon depth, thaw depth and water table level are initialized randomly: we tune their mean values with data from available field observa-

tions. All quantities are computed from the top elevation of rims, as shown in Fig. 3.

If water table rises above the center surface, area *C* increases as well, following the equation:

$$C' = \pi \left(\sqrt{\frac{C}{\pi}} + \frac{\delta W}{\tan \alpha} \right)^2$$

where *C*' is the polygon center area after the water table rise δW and α is the angle between elevated rims and polygon center surface, parameterized as:

$$\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4} \log(10 q)$$

20

which takes into account that the larger the rims, the steeper polygon walls become, as suggested by observations. Factor $\frac{\pi}{4}$ is needed not to exceed the limit $\alpha_{\text{lim}} = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Computing water table (W_t) variations with respect to the polygon surface (S) is essential to estimate methane emissions (Sachs et al., 2008; Wille et al., 2008; Kutzbach et al., 2004). We distinguish between three kinds of surface types, depending on the position of the water table with respect to the polygon center surface. If:



(6)

$S - W_t > \epsilon$	\Rightarrow	Wet centers
$ S - W_t \le \epsilon$	\Rightarrow	Saturated centers
$S - W_{\rm t} < -\epsilon$	\Rightarrow	Moist centers

⁵ where threshold $\epsilon = 10$ cm has been inferred from observations of small-scale methane emissions (Sachs et al., 2010). They are controlled by the site's water table height, and different surface types correspond to different emitting characteristics. In particular, methane emissions from saturated centers are an order of magnitude larger than in the case of moist centers or than emissions from dry rims.

10 2.3 Water table dynamics

We simulate water table variations at each time step (i.e. each day). The time period we are looking at is the summer season, when the snow has already melted, and thaw depth deepens. The prognostic equation for water table dynamics is:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = P - \mathrm{ET} - R - \Delta S_{\mathrm{t}}$$

- ¹⁵ where water table height W_t responds dynamically to precipitation P, evapotranspiration ET, and lateral runoff R. Term ΔS_t represents the amount of water stored in the unsaturated terrain that does not contribute to water table variations. Since we only focus on the effect of surface heterogeneity on GHG emissions, P and ET are parameterized as uniform over the whole area, i.e. we do not apply any downscaling of climatic forcing.
- ²⁰ In particular, the two variables follow a simple random parameterization. In this way, we are able to simulate both dry and wet summers. We generate a random number of rainy days (between 5 and 40). If the day *t* is a rainy day (r.d.), then:

$$P = \begin{cases} R_{\rm p} \sin\left(\frac{t+T}{6T\pi}\right) & \text{if } 30 < t < 90\\ 10 \frac{\rm mm}{\rm day} \sin\left(\frac{t+T}{6T\pi}\right) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$



(7)

(8)

where $R_{\rm p}$ is a randomly generated number, which tunes the amplitude of precipitation events in the part of the summer season when main precipitation events are supposed to occur, and it is computed in mm/day. In particular, changing $R_{\rm p}$ changes drastically the amount of incoming water. T = 30 days is hereinafter a time constant. Similarly, evapotranspiration during one specific r.d. is parameterized as:

$$\mathsf{ET} = \mathsf{ET}_{\mathsf{p}} \sin\left(\frac{t+T}{6T\pi}\right)$$

where ET_p follows:

$$\Xi \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}} = \begin{cases} 5 \frac{\mathsf{mm}}{\mathsf{day}} & \text{if } t = \mathsf{r.d.} \land 30 < t < 90 \\ 2.5 \frac{\mathsf{mm}}{\mathsf{day}} & \text{if } t = \mathsf{r.d.} \land (t < 30 \lor t > 90) \\ 2 \frac{\mathsf{mm}}{\mathsf{day}} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

10

5

We also consider that, due to soil porosity and water holding capacity, water table rises differently inside and above the soil. The soil within the polygon centers is assumed to be peat, or at any rate loose organic matter-rich soil material: i.e. the water level rises twice as much if $S - W_t < -\epsilon$. Thaw depth, on the other hand, follows a seasonal trend: its level depends on the time of the year the model is run at. With progress of the summer, the depth of the seasonally thawed layer (frost table depth) increases reaching its maximum at the beginning of September. We keep thaw depth dynamics prescribed, 15 i.e. we do not consider how temperature variations may affect the environment. From available observations, we assume thaw depth to behave as follows:



(9)

(10)

$$TD = \begin{cases} 15\frac{t}{T} \text{ cm} & \text{if } t < 30\\ \left(15 + 20 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{t-T}{T}}\right) \text{ cm if } 30 < t < 90 \\ \text{const} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

During spring/early summer the ground is still frozen and water is kept inside the polygons. With progression of thaw depth during summer, neighboring polygons can become hydraulically connected. Field experiments show that water which was retained

⁵ inside a polygon by the frozen elevated rims may in this situation slowly flow through the active layer of the rims themselves, from one polygon to another or from polygon center to the ice-wedge channel network (Boike et al., 2008). This phenomenon is important for the water balance: in this case we assume a constant drop of the water table because of lateral runoff *R*:

$${}_{10} \quad R = \begin{cases} 2 \text{ if } W_{\text{t}} < \text{TD} \\ 0 \text{ else} \end{cases}$$

where *R* is computed in mm day⁻¹. Physically it represents both later surface and subsurface runoff. After large precipitation events, not all the precipitation leads to changes in water table level, but part of it fills the unsaturated terrain of the unsaturated elevated rims and unsaturated zones of the polygon center (storage: ΔS_t). This water balance term has been observed in field campaigns and it is supposed to have a stronger influence at the beginning of our simulation time slice (July), when the upper layer of the soil is unsaturated, whereas storage capacity decreases with time until the whole terrain is saturated. In our model we parameterize storage as an exponential function:

$$\Delta S_{t} = \begin{cases} (P - ET) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} & \text{if } P \ge 15 \,\text{mm} \,\text{day}^{-1} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

(11)

(12)

(13)

where *t* is time and $\tau = 45$ days is the characteristic time at which ΔS is reduced to (P - ET)/e.

We integrate the model for 90 days, which is approximately the duration of the thaw season in northern Siberia (July–September). Our model does not include cold months, ⁵ when the surface is covered by snow. We also do not consider early summer, when there is still little thaw depth. External climatic forcings are precipitation and evapotranspiration. We can vary precipitation intensity and its seasonal amount by tuning parameter R_p (Eq. 8). In our simulation, we use: $R_p = 30 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$ for a *standard* scenario, $R_p = 10 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$ for a *dry* scenario and $R_p = 60 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$ for a *wet* scenario. ¹⁰ For each scenario, we perform ensemble simulations, calculate the average of 30 eneamble members, and compute water table dynamics. We finally derive variations on

semble members, and compute water table dynamics. We finally derive variations on the amount of landscape area covered by different surface characteristics (i.e. moist centers, saturated centers or wet centers).

In order to give an example of the influence of of water table and surface wetness on methane fluxes accounting for different climatic forcing, we multiply the area covered by moist, saturated, or wet centers by values which represent the average August methane emissions from each surface type (Sachs et al., 2010). Average methane flux (in mg per day) from the total region at each daily time step is computed following the equation:

²⁰
$$F_{\text{tot}} = \sigma C_{\text{saturated}} + \omega C_{\text{wet}} + \delta (C_{\text{moist}} + R)$$
 (14)

where $\sigma = 94.1 \text{ mg d}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$, $\omega = 44.9 \text{ mg d}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$, and $\delta = 7.7 \text{ mg d}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$. Values are taken from Table 4 of Sachs et al. (2010). Area C_i of polygon centers with different wetness and area *R* of relatively dry rims are computed in m². In this simple model, we assume polygon rims and moist centers to have the same emission coefficient δ .



2.4 PVD and percolation theory

Mathematical properties of PVD are also well suited to describe some landscape-scale physical processes that have been observed in the field. In particular, we focus on interconnectivity properties of the graph, applying percolation theory on PVD.

- ⁵ When water flows from polygon centers trough the unfrozen top layers of the rims (lateral runoff), it starts to flow into channels on top of the ice wedges between the polygon rims (visible in Fig. 1). Due to thermal erosion, water does not stay confined in the channel, but flows through a path of interconnected channels. If this path of channels is large enough to connect polygons from one side of the landscape unit
- of consideration to the other one, we can assume that water in the channel is able to flow from one side to the other one. The system tested in this study is an island. Consequently, water which was previously confined in the channels would likely run off the island to the near Lena river. In our model we assume interpolygonal channels (polygon edges in the PVD, or bonds, in percolation theory) to be active if the polygon
- experiences lateral subsurface runoff. We also assume the polygon channel system to be flat, or with a very small topological gradient, so that no outflow due to landscape slope is negligible.

Percolation theory describes the behavior of connected clusters in a random graph: since its introduction in the late fifties (Broadbent and Hammersley, 1957), it has been widely and successfully applied to a large number of fields, from network theory to biological evolution, and from galactic formation to forest fires (Grimmett, 1999, and references therein). Originally, the question to be answered focused on transport in porous media. Let us imagine a porous stone touching some water on a side: what is the probability that the water passes through the whole stone, coming out at the other side? This simple question led to a basic stochastic model for such a situation and gave birth to percolation theory. In our model, the question would be: what is the probability that an open path of interconnected *channels* is formed? This is in fact the probability that a giant cluster of channels with flowing water appears. On the PVD,



bonds connecting two points of the graph represent channels. Water flowing through rims enters the channel network generated above the ice wedges between the polygons. These channels are underlain by ice-wedges, and water flowing through them may also cause thermo-erosion because of its mechanical and thermal effects on flow-

⁵ ing water on ice. In our simple model, if a channel is filled by water, we call it *active*. Since we assume the environment not to have any slope, all edges of a polygon with W_t < TD become *active* at the same time.

Percolation theory focuses on the probability $\theta(p)$ that a giant cluster exists. This probability is non zero only if the probability p that a bond is active exceeds the critical threshold values p_c . This value depends on the graph. Recent studies (Becker and Ziff, 2009) determined numerically the bond percolation threshold p_c on a PVD:

 $p_{\rm c} = 0.666931 \pm 0.000005.$

10

15

In order to reach percolation, the condition $W_t < TD$ must be reached in a large enough number of polygons. We simulate this result by keeping the thaw depth constant and increasing the water table. We do not consider any physical process involved in water table change, but we keep increasing its level until we reach the percolation threshold.

3 Results and discussion

In this section we analyze environment statistics by comparing model outputs with available observations, both from field and from aerial photography. We analyze the ge-

ometric characteristics of the polygonal tundra and the ones of PVD. We then present and discuss results from 90 days of simulations, and the water table dynamics in different simulated scenarios. Finally, we show results from our bond percolation realization. Our results are qualitatively different from a mean field approximation approach, since mean water table variations are computed considering processes taking place in each single polygon.



3.1 Polygon statistics

We are interested in the distribution of areas covered by polygon centers $C = (1 - q) A_{pol}$. As for the polygon area A_{pol} , we compare the histogram of model output for *C* with a generalized gamma distribution f_A and a 2-parameters gamma distribution. Both distributions are accorded by a Kolmogorov Smirnov test at a 0.05 level

- ⁵ bution. Both distributions are accepted by a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test at a 0.05 level of confidence. Parameters of the distribution are taken from literature concerning the polygon area distribution in a PVD (Okabe et al., 2000, and reference therein). Lognormal, exponential, and normal distributions are rejected by the test for both A_{pol} and *C*.
- ¹⁰ We then proceed to compare the model results with available data for the ice-wedge polygons (Muster et al., 2012). We tuned the controlling parameter of the point process λ and the random parameter q (Eq. 5) with observed mean values of polygon and polygon center areas. Results show that comparisons between model outputs and data are quite robust: not only the first two statistical momenta are comparable, but ¹⁵ they also display a very similar distribution (as confirmed by a χ^2 test at a 0.05 level of confidence).

This result is central in our approach: it shows that PVD description of the landscape statistics is consistent with observations. In particular, we claim that our approach is able to consistently capture the topographic features of the landscape we analyze. ²⁰ Even though the PVD are generated by a completely stochastic process (Poisson point process), they represent area distribution of such a complex environment as the the low-center ice-wedge polygonal tundra. In order to test the robustness of this result, we performed simulations on an other less random tessellation, i.e. a regular square lattice, with polygon area A_{pol} equal to the mean area from observation data (136 m²).

Again, polygon center area $C = (1 - q) A_{pol}$, with parameter q defined in the same way as for the random case. Even though mean polygon and polygon center areas have been tuned with observations, results do not fit polygon center distributions and fail



468

in particular to capture the skewness of the distribution, being therefore rejected by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

3.2 Response to climatic forcing

Precipitation and evapotranspiration are the main inputs and outputs that drive water
 ⁵ level dynamics asdescribed in Eq. (7). In order to test the model response to drier and wetter conditions, we simulate different configurations by changing the amount of incoming precipitation. We then compare the cumulative precipitation simulated by the model and the one measured in the field, in order to have realistic scenarios comparable with data from field campaigns (Boike et al., 2008; Sachs et al., 2008). Field studies
 ¹⁰ observed in particular the influence of lateral runoff and storage: water table drops in

the late summer season, since water table usually lies above thaw depth, and it leads to lateral fluxes of water in the ice-wedge channel network. The model captures both this process (Fig. 5), as well as the variation magnitude.

odel results show that a decrease in precipitation will lead to a drastic drop in the ¹⁵ water table level, due to lateral runoff (Fig. 5b). Precipitation income is the only input in Eq. (7). Scenarios of such dry seasons are likely to lead to drastically drier soil conditions in the polygonal tundra landscapeif there is not a parallel decrease in mean surface temperature, and consequently in thaw depth behavior. On the other hand, an increase in precipitation with respect to the reference value used in the *standard* ²⁰ scenario will not cause a flooding of the landscape. In the *wet* scenario we perform simulations with a mean seasonal increase in precipitation of 100 mm yr⁻¹ with respect to the reference value. In agreement with observations, mean water table changes its position by lying slightly above the average polygon surface (i.e. $W_t < \varepsilon = 10$ cm).

Depending on W_t position in respect to the surface of the polygon center, soil characteristics in polygon centers vary from moist to saturated and wet conditions. Field studies showed a link between water table position and emissions of greenhouse gases, especially methane (Kutzbach et al., 2004; Sachs et al., 2010) and latent heat fluxes (Langer et al., 2011b; Muster et al., 2012). In particular, methane fluxes vary up to an



order of magnitude among different surface types. Therefore dynamics of water table also influences dynamics of GHG emissions. Therefore, the situation predicted by the model in the *wet* scenario could cause a large increase in emissions of methane Once again, temperature variations and influences on thaw depth seasonal behavior are ne-

⁵ glected. If mean surface temperature rises, we expect lateral runoff to start earlier in the season and therefore to be able to drain more water away from the environment. In our *wet* scenario precipitation further increases. In this case, runoff is not sufficient to balance the excess water, and the area covered by wet centers increases.

Our model is also able to calculate the seasonal dynamics of the landscape area covered by moist centers, saturated centers, and wet centers. These results are dependent on thaw depth dynamics, which we here assume prescribed. Overall, ensemble averages of simulations in the three different scenarios we used for precipitation input, the fraction of area covered by saturated centers shows little seasonal dynamics if compared to the fraction of surface covered by wet and moist centers. According to our

- ¹⁵ model, during the summer only extreme drops in water table, or on the other hand very wet summers would lead to significant modifications to the fraction of the landscape covered by saturated centers. We argue though, that coupling our simple approach to available permafrost models, and thus with thaw depth behaviors dependent on climatic and environmental conditions, would enable more realistic predictions in different
- scenarios. In particular, in warmer conditions, soil could thaw deeper and earlier in the season, leading to further drops in the water table level and in the fraction of the land-scape covered by different terrains. The simple model here introduced for computing methane emissions is directly related to changes in different surface properties. We show the results of ensemble simulations in different scenarios in the second panel of
- Fig. 5b. In particular, we observe that a decrease in precipitation (*dry* scenario) leads to a parallel decrease of methane emissions with respect to the *standard* case, mainly because of spreading of relatively drier tundra, which presents lower methane fluxes than wet and saturated centers. Water table decreases and more and more centers become drier. According to Eq. (6), the area of the lower center decreases at the same



time, thus increasing the area covered by rims R, which in our model have the same emission properties of drier centers. If precipitation increases, our model predicts a corresponding increase in methane emission from the landscape. In this extreme case, a more elevated water table would lead to an increased number of wet centers, causing

⁵ a retreat of the relatively drier tundra. Even though area covered by high-emissive saturated centers decreases, in fact, the decrease of surface covered by relatively drier centers is even larger. This situation is likely to increase methane emissions in respect to the *standard* scenario. Our results are only qualitative, since we assume only a fixed average value for the seasonal methane emissions.

10 3.3 Percolation threshold

Figure 7 shows a bond percolation realization. We reach the bond percolation threshold by increasing the water table with a constant thaw depth. More and more polygons experience lateral runoff. Consequently, their channels become *active* and water flows into the channel network. The number of *active* channels needed to reach bond percolation is given by the theoretical findings described above, and it increases with a

- ¹⁵ colation is given by the theoretical findings described above, and it increases with a rising water table. We expected the system to reach the percolation threshold if the average water table level lies above the thaw depth, but the correlation between these two phenomena is not trivial. In particular, the system does not reach the percolation threshold at the same time as the average water level is above the average surface. In
- the real system, if an interpolygonal channel is *active*, i.e. filled with water, water would not stay confined in it, but would spread to other empty channels because of gravity. This means that as only single polygons show lateral runoff, this drainage water would be distributed to a certain number of other topographically connected channels, thus the overall increase of water level within the channels would be dampened. If many
- ²⁵ polygons experience lateral runoff, the number of empty channels would be drastically reduced. The water of the *active* channels could then spread in fewer channels. Therefore, the average water level within the channel network would increase. In this case, if a physical threshold somehow analogous to the percolation threshold on the PVD



would reached, the water which was confined in the network channel is likely to flow out of the system, namely into a near river, or sea. This phenomenon may also have a significant impact on the carbon balance of the system since the outflow of water from the channel is one of the most important phenomena for the exchange of DOC

- dissolved organic carbon) and DIC (dissolved inorganic carbon). Improving those features could be a future development of our approach, and the focus of further studies, more specifically interested at landscape-scale processes of periglacial environment. Nevertheless, a simple application of mathematical properties of PVD is able to capture landscape-scale behavior of the system. In particular, the number of polygons
- ¹⁰ needed to reach outflow can be easily computed through a bond percolation model. At this stage, water can flow from the system into a near river, or to the sea and would be lost. Consequences of strong runoff events are ignored in our model but field observations suggest that thermoerosion and water runoff could be agents for polygon degradation. We argue that the ability of PVD to simulate a physical process through critical thresholds enhances the power and the theoretical predictive capability of our
- ¹⁵ critical thresholds enhances the power and the theoretical predictive capability of ou approach.

4 Summary and conclusions

20

Our model provides a simple, stochastic, and consistent approach to upscale the effect of local heterogeneities on GHG emissions at the landscape scale. In particular, we show how applications of Poisson-Voronoi Diagrams can statistically represent the main geometric and physic properties of the low-center ice-wedge polygonal tundra. We describe the landscape using Poisson Voronoi diagrams, tuning model characteristics with field data. We show that the probability density function of modeled poly-

gon center areas is consistent with the one inferred by observations. Our approach is therefore able to statistically upscale the terrain geometrical characteristics.

Dynamical water table variations in respect to the polygon surface are essential to compute methane fluxes, and we compute such variations during the summer season



over the whole region, parameterizing processes within the single polygons. Results of water table dynamics agree with observations. In particular, the stochastic model is able to represent the water balance over the whole landscape. The model relates then the water table position to different soil wetness levels. In particular, we are able to compute for each time step the fraction of the landscape covered by moist tundra

⁵ to compute for each time step the fraction of the landscape covered by moist fundra (moist centers and polygon rims), saturated centers, and wet centers, under different climatic forcing.

Our modeling framework enables us to investigate effects of large scale interconnectivity among ice-wedge channel network. Applications of percolation thresholds on the PVD suggest possible explanations for water runoff from the landscape.

10

The stochastic parameterization of our model is far from the precision and accuracy of a mechanistic model. Mechanistic models, however, can reach accuracy only at the local scale whereas our approach accounts for landscape-scale properties and processes. Our presented approach could be further improved by including parameter-

- ¹⁵ ization of hydrology, characterization of ice wedges and phenomena like thermokarst and polygon degradation. In particular, we argue that linking this approach with available permafrost models would enable even more realistic predictions of water table position and the seasonal development of different surface types. Both variables are fundamental to consistently predict GHG emissions from polygonal tundra.
- ²⁰ This model shows a new approach that could be successfully applied to other environments and ecosystems where local processes play an important role and a mean field approximation would fail in estimating large scale features. Overall, the general agreement between field measurements and model results suggests that statistical methods and simple parameterizations, if accurately tuned with field data, could be a
- ²⁵ powerful way to consider spatial scale interactions in such heterogenous and complex environments.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Moritz Langer for comments and discussions that significantly improved this article.



References

- Baird, A. J., Belyea, L. R., and Morris, P. J.: Carbon Cycling in Northern Peatlands, volume 184 of Geophysical Monograph Series, ISBN 978-0-87590-449-8, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., doi:10.1029/GM184, 2009. 455
- ⁵ Becker, A. and Ziff, R.: Percolation thresholds on two-dimensional Voronoi networks and Delaunay triangulations, Phys. Rev. E, 80, 1–9, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.80.041101, 2009. 466
 - Boike, J., Wille, C., and Abnizova, A.: Climatology and summer energy and water balance of polygonal tundra in the Lena River Delta, Siberia, J. Geophys. Res., 113, 1–15, doi:10.1029/2007JG000540, 2008. 456, 463, 468
- ¹⁰ Broadbent, S. and Hammersley, J.: Percolation processes, in: Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, volume 53, Cambridge Univ. Press, 629–641, 1957. 465 Christensen, T. and Cox, P.: Response of methane emission from Arctic tundra to climatic change: results from a model simulation, Tellus B, 47, 301–309, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.47.issue3.2.x, 1995. 455
- ¹⁵ Christensen, T., Lloyd, D., Svensson, B., Martikainen, P., Harding, R., Oskarsson, H., Soegaards, H., Friborg, T., and Panikov, N.: Biogenic controls on trace gas fluxes in northern wetlands, Global Change Newslett., 51, 9–15, 2001. 455
 - Dekker, S. C., Rietkerk, M., and Bierkens, M. F.: Coupling microscale vegetation soil water and macroscale vegetation – precipitation feedbacks in semiarid ecosystems, Global Change Biol., 1–8, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01327.x, 2007. 454
- Dieckmann, U., Law, R., and Metz, J. A. J.: The Geometry of Ecological Interactions, ISBN 0521642949, Cambridge University Press, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511525537, 2000. 457

French, H.: The periglacial environment, 3rd Edn., ISBN 047086589X, Wiley, West Sussex,

²⁵ England, 2007. 456

- Grimmett, G.: Percolation, 2nd Edn., ISBN 3540649026, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. 465 Haltigin, T., Pollard, W., and Dutilleul, P.: Statistical evidence of polygonal terrain self organization on earth and mars, in: Lunar and Planetary Institute Science Conference Abstracts, volume 42, 42nd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, 7–11 March 2011, LPI Contribu-
- tion, The Woodlands, Texas, p. 1622, 2011. 456
 Hasegawa, M. and Tanemura, M.: On the pattern of space division by territories, Ann. Inst. Stat. Math., 28, 509–519, 1976, 457



474

- Janssen, R. H. H., Meinders, M. B. J., van Nes, E. H., and Scheffer, M.: Microscale vegetationsoil feedback boosts hysteresis in a regional vegetation-climate system, Global Change Biol., 14, 1104–1112, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01540.x, 2008. 454
- Kutzbach, L., Wagner, D., and Pfeiffer, E.-M.: Effect of microrelief and vegetation on methane
- emission from wet polygonal tundra, Lena Delta, Northern Siberia, Biogeochemistry, 69, 341–362, 2004. 456, 460, 468
 - Langer, M., Westermann, S., and Boike, J.: Remote Sensing of Environment Spatial and temporal variations of summer surface temperatures of wet polygonal tundra in Siberia – implications for MODIS LST based permafrost monitoring, Remote Sens. Environ., 114, 2059–2069, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2010.04.012, 2010. 457
- Langer, M., Westermann, S., Muster, S., Piel, K., and Boike, J.: The surface energy balance of a polygonal tundra site in northern Siberia Part 2: Winter, The Cryosphere, 5, 509–524, doi:10.5194/tc-5-509-2011, 2011a. 456

- Langer, M., Westermann, S., Muster, S., Piel, K., and Boike, J.: The surface energy balance of a polygonal tundra site in northern Siberia – Part 1: Spring to fall, The Cryosphere, 5, 151–171, doi:10.5194/tc-5-151-2011, 2011b. 456, 468
 - Levy, J. S., Marchant, D. R., and Head, J. W.: Thermal contraction crack polygons on Mars: A synthesis from HiRISE, Phoenix, and terrestrial analog studies, Icarus, 206, 229–252, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2009.09.005, 2010. 456
- ²⁰ Lucarini, V.: Symmetry-break in voronoi tessellations, Symmetry, 1, 21–54, doi:10.3390/sym1010021, 2009. 457
 - Lucarini, V., Danihlik, R., Kriegerova, I., and Speranza, A.: Hydrological cycle in the Danube basin in present-day and XXII century simulations by IPCCAR4 global climate models, J. Geophys. Res., 113, 1–17, doi:10.1029/2007JD009167, 2008. 457
- Miles, R. E. and Maillardet, R. J.: The basic structures of voronoi and generalized voronoi polygons, J. Appl. Probab., 19, 97–111, 1982. 459
 - Mohammed, A., Stoy, P. C., and Malthus, T. J.: Information Preservation and Change Detection across Spatial Scales in the Assessment of Global CH4 Emission Estimates from Wetlands, Int. J. Climate Change, 1, 93–114, 2012. 456
- ³⁰ Muster, S., Langer, M., Heim, B., Westermann, S., and Boike, J.: Subpixel heterogeneity of icewedge polygonal tundra: a multi-scale analysis of land cover and evapotranspiration in the Lena River Delta, Siberia, Tellus B, 1, 1–19, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.17301, 2012. 457, 459, 460, 467, 468



- O'Connor, F. M., Boucher, O., Gedney, N., Jones, C. D., Folberth, G. A., Coppell, R., Friedlingstein, P., Collins, W. J., Chappellaz, J., Ridley, J., and Johnson, C. E.: Possible role of wetlands, permafrost, and methane hydrates in the methane cycle under future climate change: A review, Rev. Geophys., 48, 1-33, doi:10.1029/2010RG000326, 2010. 455
- 5 Okabe, A., Boots, B., Sugihara, K., and Chiu, S. N.: Spatial tessellations: concepts and applications of Voronoi diagrams, ISBN 0471986356, 2nd Edn., John Wiley & Sons, 2000. 457, 458, 459, 467
 - Pielke Sr., R. A., Avissar, R., Raupach, M., Dolman, A. J., Zeng, X., and Denning, A. S.: Interactions between the atmosphere and terrestrial ecosystems: influence on weather and climate,
- Global Change Biol., 4, 461–475, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.1998.t01-1-00176.x, 1998. 454 10 Rietkerk, M., Brovkin, V., van Bodegom, P. M., Claussen, M., Dekker, S. C., Dijkstra, H. A., Goryachkin, S. V., Kabat, P., van Nes, E. H., Neutel, A.-M., Nicholson, S. E., Nobre, C., Petoukhov, V., Provenzale, A., Scheffer, M., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Local ecosystem feedbacks and critical transitions in the climate, Ecol. Complexity, 1–6, doi:10.1016/j.ecocom.2011.03.001, 2011. 454
- 15

- Roth, K., Boike, J., and Vogel, H.-J.: Quantifying permafrost patterns using Minkowski densities. Permafrost Periglac. Process., 16, 277–290, doi:10.1002/ppp.531, 2005. 457
- Sachs, T., Wille, C., Boike, J., and Kutzbach, L.: Environmental controls on ecosystem-scale CH₄ emission from polygonal tundra in the Lena River Delta, Siberia, J. Geophys. Res., 113, 1-12, doi:10.1029/2007JG000505, 2008. 456, 460, 468
- Sachs, T., Giebels, M., Boike, J., and Kutzbach, L.: Environmental controls on CH₄ emission from polygonal tundra on the microsite scale in the Lena river delta, Siberia, Global Change Biol., 16, 3096–3110, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02232.x, 2010. 456, 461, 464, 468 Scheffer, M., Holmgren, M., Brovkin, V., and Claussen, M.: Synergy between small- and large-
- scale feedbacks of vegetation on the water cycle, Global Change Biol., 11, 1003–1012, 25 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.00962.x, 2005. 454
 - Schuur, E. A. G., Bockheim, J., Canadell, J. G., Euskirchen, E., Field, C. B., Goryachkin, S. V., Hagemann, S., Kuhry, P., Lafleur, P. M., Lee, H., Mazhitova, G., Nelson, F. E., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Shiklomanov, N., Tarnocai, C., Venevsky, S., Vogel, J. G., and Zimov, S.
- A.: Vulnerability of Permafrost Carbon to Climate Change: Implications for the Global Carbon 30 Cycle, BioScience, 58, 701-714, doi:10.1641/B580807, 2008. 455

iscussion P	ESDD 3, 453–483, 2012			
aner I Discussion	A stochas for the po tun F. Cresto A	A stochastic model for the polygonal tundra F. Cresto Aleina et al.		
D D D	Title	Title Page		
D	Abstract	Introduction		
_	Conclusions	References		
	Tables	Figures		
	I.◄	►I		
na d R				
_	Back	Close		
	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc		
ISSIOT	Printer-frien	Printer-friendly Version		
עס	Interactive	Interactive Discussion		
DDr		•		

Stoy, P. C., Williams, M., Spadavecchia, L., Bell, R. A., Prieto-Blanco, A., Evans, J. G., and Wijk, M. T.: Using Information Theory to Determine Optimum Pixel Size and Shape for Ecological Studies: Aggregating Land Surface Characteristics in Arctic Ecosystems, Ecosystems, 12, 574–589, doi:10.1007/s10021-009-9243-7, 2009. 456

- Walter, B., Heimann, M., Shannon, R., and White, J.: A process-based model to derive methane emissions from natural wetlands, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 3731–3734, 1996. 455
 Walter, K. M., Zimov, S. A., Chanton, J. P., Verbyla, D., and Chapin, F. S.: Methane bubbling from Siberian thaw lakes as a positive feedback to climate warming, Nature, 443, 71–75, doi:10.1038/nature05040, 2006. 455
- Walter, P. and Heimann, M.: A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane emissions from natural wetlands: Application to five wetland sites, sensitivity to model parameters, and climate, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 14, 745–765, 2000. 455
 - Wille, C., Kutzbach, L., Sachs, T., Wagner, D., and Pfeiffer, E.-M.: Methane emission from Siberian arctic polygonal tundra: eddy covariance measurements and modeling, Global Change Biol., 14, 1395–1408, doi:10.1111/i.1365-2486.2008.01586.x, 2008, 456, 460
- ¹⁵ Change Biol., 14, 1395–1408, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01586.x, 2008. 456, 460 Zhang, Y., Sachs, T., Li, C., and Boike, J.: Upscaling methane fluxes from closed chambers to eddy covariance based on a permafrost biogeochemistry integrated model, Global Change Biol., 18, 1428–1440, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02587.x, 2012. 455











Fig. 2. Poisson-Voronoi Diagrams: different colors represent different types of surfaces: light green if the polygon center is moist (water table > 10 cm below the surface), dark green if it is saturated (water table < 10 cm below as well as < 10 cm above the surface) and blue if it is wet (water table > 10 cm above the surface and the polygon is covered by open water). Dimensions of polygon edges in the figure are not to scale, since in our model they cover up to 70 % of the whole polygon area. Distances on x- and y-axes are in meters.





Fig. 3. Cross section of a polygon. Surface is divided in drier elevated rims and in lower wet centers. Both surface level and single polygon depth are randomly generated. Water table level W_t and thaw depth TD are also randomly initialized but they dynamically variate with external forcing (W_t) and seasonal cycle (TD). Angle α represents the slope of polygon rims.











Fig. 5. Ensemble averages of 30 model simulations. The graph in panel **(a)** displays water table variations over time. Assuming no runoff, and storage term equal to zero, water level should correspond to the blue curve (cumulative precipitation minus cumulative evapotranspiration). Field datasets clearly show a drop in water table at the end of the season, due to lateral runoff, when water table lies above the thaw depth. Panel **(b)** shows water table dynamics in the three simulated scenarios: *wet* (blue line), *dry* (red line), and *standard* (black line). Shaded areas represent the variance of the ensemble simulations, which increases with the increase of the amount of water input.





Fig. 6. Ensemble averages of 30 model simulations in different scenarios. Panel **(a)** displays seasonal variations of area covered by saturated centers in a *wet* (blue line), *dry* (red line), and *standard* (black line) scenario. Saturated centers represent the major contribution to methane emission in this area, and in our model their total area drops in both *dry* and *wet* scenarios. Panel **(b)** shows modeled total methane emissions from the whole landscape in the three scenarios. Despite a decrease in the number of high-emissive saturated centers, our simple model shows increased methane emission in the wet scenario because of a drop in the area covered by the relatively drier tundra (moist centers and elevated rims).





Fig. 7. Bond percolation realization: increase of W_t level and decrease of thaw depth cause interpolygonal flow of water. Water flows into ice-wedge channel network, generated by crack processes. In our model, channels become *active*, and polygons with $W_t > TD$ are colored in blue. In panels **(a)–(d)**, the thaw depth becomes deeper and deeper. This process happens seasonally, and with the deepening of the thaw depth, more and more channels become active, until a certain threshold is reached – panel **(d)**, when an open path of interconnected active channels appears. The open path is colored in yellow, and it crosses the whole region: water can flow from channels in the middle of the region to its borders as outflow, and it is not kept in the environment any longer.

