



Supplement of

A theoretical approach to assess soil moisture–climate coupling across CMIP5 and GLACE-CMIP5 experiments

Clemens Schwingshackl et al.

Correspondence to: Clemens Schwingshackl (clemens.schwingshackl@env.ethz.ch)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

Tabl. ters/§	e S1. Overview of groups that contribut	the 20 CMIP5 models and the four GLACE-CMIP5 models that are used for the analyses. Additionally, the respective modeling cen- ted the simulations are indicated.
$\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{Z}}$	Model name	Modeling center (or group)
	CMIP5	
1	BCC-CSM1.1	Beijing Climate Center, China Meteorological Administration
C1 (r	BNU-ESM CanFSM2	College of Global Change and Earth System Science, Beijing Normal University Canadian Centre for Climate Modelline and Analysis
, 4	CMCC-CM	Centro Euro-Medicar and a Campiana and a Campiana a Campiana a Campiana a Campi
S	CMCC-CMS	Centro Euro-Mediterraneo per I Cambiamenti Climatici
9	CNRM-CM5	Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques / Centre Européen de Recherche et Formation Avancée en Calcul Scientifique
7	CSIRO-Mk3.6.0	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization in collaboration with Queensland Climate Change Centre of Excellence
8	FGOALS-g2	LASG, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences and CESS, Tsinghua University
6	GFDL-CM3	NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory
10	GFDL-ESM2G	NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory
Ξ	GFDL-ESM2M	NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory
12	INM-CM4	Institute for Numerical Mathematics
13	MIROC-ESM	Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute (The University of Tokyo), and National Institute for Environmental Studies
4	MIROC-ESM-CHEM	Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute (The University of Tokyo), and National Institute for Environmental Studies
15	MIROC5	Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute (The University of Tokyo), National Institute for Environmental Studies, and Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology
16	MPI-ESM-MR	Max-Planck-Institut für Meteorologie (Max Planck Institute for Meteorology)
17	MPI-ESM-LR	Max-Planck-Institut fir Meteorologie (Max Planck Institute for Meteorology)
10	MIKI-CUCINIS	Meteorological Research Institute Mateorological Decomark Institute
20	NorESM1-M	Norwegian Climate Centre
	GLACE-CMIP5 ⁴	
- (ACCESS	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO) and Bureau of Meteorology (BOM), Australia
1 0	EC-EAKIN CEDI	
04	MPI-ESM-LR	rvovo ucopriyska r nuu zynamick Laova avory Max-Planck-Institut für Meteorologie (Max Planck Institute for Meteorology)
	-	
a C missin	Duly for ACCESS, EC ng for all 3 experiment	D-EARTH, GFDL, and MPI-ESM-LR all necessary data for conducting the analyses were available. For IPSL daily soil moisture and daily net radiation is the (CTL, Clim20C, ClimCTL), for CESM daily soil moisture is missing for all 3 experiments and daily latent and sensible heat fluxes are missing for CTL).



Figure S1. Example of soil moisture evolution in the GLACE-CMIP5 control run (θ_{CTL}) and the run with transient soil moisture climatology ($\theta_{ClimCTL}$) in EC-EARTH at a grid point close to Jerusalem.



Figure S2. Number of CMIP5 models that are considered at each grid cell. For each model, grid cells at which the monthly mean of the reconstructed daily soil moisture values does not agree with the monthly mean soil moisture from the CMIP5 standard output are not considered in the analysis according to the following criteria: Soil moisture data are masked at grid cells where the correlation between both monthly soil moisture estimates is lower than 0.99 and where the root mean squared error is smaller than 10 % of the standard deviation of the monthly CMIP5 soil moisture values (see Section 3.1.2 for more details).



Figure S3. Global shares of the different soil moisture regimes in CMIP5 (box and whisker plots) and GLACE-CMIP5 (colored markers) for the time periods 1970–1999 (using historical simulations) and 2070–2099 (using RCP8.5 simulations).



Figure S4. Difference in soil moisture regime occurrence in GLACE-CMIP5 between the control run (CTL) and the run with prescribed transient soil moisture climatology (ClimCTL) for the time period 2070–2099.



Figure S5. As in Figure 3 (central column) but using surface soil moisture instead of total column soil moisture. Only ACCESS, EC-EARTH, and GFDL are included here because MPI-ESM-LR does not provide surface soil moisture data. Note the different colorbar limits in (a) and (b) compared to Figure 3.



Figure S6. As in Figure 3 but for CTL and ClimCTL.



Figure S7. As in Figure 4 but for CTL and ClimCTL.



Figure S8. As in Figure 5 but for CTL and ClimCTL.



Figure S9. As in Figure 6 but for CTL and ClimCTL.



Figure S10. As in Figure 7 but for CTL and ClimCTL.