



Supplement of

Climate change metrics: bridging IPCC AR6 updates and dynamic life cycle assessments

Vladimir Zieger et al.

Correspondence to: Vladimir Zieger (vladimir.zieger@univ-ubs.fr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

S1 – Table S1. Evolution of radiative efficiency (RE), perturbation lifetime (τ), GWP and GTP at 100 years between the First IPCC Assessment Report (FAR) (Shine et al., 1990), SAR (Houghton et al., 1995), TAR (Ramaswamy et al., 2001), AR4 (Forster et al., 2007), AR5 (Myhre et al., 2013) and AR6 (Forster et al., 2021).

		FAR	SAR	TAR	AR4	AR5	AR6
CO ₂	RE (W m ⁻² ppb ⁻¹).10 ⁻⁵	1.78 ^a	1.75 ^a	1.548	1.4	1.37	1.33
CH ₄	RE (W m ⁻² ppb ⁻¹).10 ⁻⁵	37 ^a	37 ^a	37	34	36.3	38.9 (57 ^b)
	τ (year)	10	12	12	12	12.4	11.8
	GWP ₁₀₀	21	21	23	25	28 – 30	27 – 29.8
	GTP ₁₀₀	-	-	-	4 – 7 ^c	4 – 6	4.7 – 7.5
N ₂ O	RE (W m ⁻² ppb ⁻¹).10 ⁻⁵	308 ^a	307 ^a	310	303	300	320 (280 ^b)
	τ (year)	150	120	114	114	121	109
	GWP ₁₀₀	290	310	296	298	265	273
	GTP ₁₀₀	-	-	-	270 ^c	234	233

^a Calculated after equations from (Shine et al., 1990, Table 2.2) and concentration indicated in the corresponding IPCC report.

^b With chemical effects included. AR6 indicates this radiative efficiency value in its main report.

^c Values from (Shine et al., 2005) cited in AR4.

S2 – Analytical solutions of AGWP and AGTP metrics for valid CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O.

$$AGWP_{CO_2}(H) = A_{CO_2} \left\{ \alpha_0 H + \sum_j^3 \alpha_j \tau_j \left(1 - e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_j}} \right) \right\} \quad (S1.1)$$

$$AGTP_{CO_2}(TH) = A_{CO_2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \left\{ \alpha_0 c_j \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{TH}{d_j}\right) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\alpha_i \tau_i c_j}{\tau_i - d_j} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{H}{\tau_i}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{TH}{d_j}\right) \right) \right\} \quad (S1.2)$$

For non-CO₂ gas i :

$$AGWP_i = A_i \tau_i \left(1 - e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_i}} \right) \quad (S1.3)$$

$$AGTP_i(TH) = A_i \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\tau_i c_j}{\tau_i - d_j} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{TH}{\tau_i}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{TH}{d_j}\right) \right) \quad (S1.4)$$

S3 – Table S2. Extra climate parameters values used for the computation of climate metrics

Variable	Definition	Unit	Value	Uncertainty	Uncertainty distribution type	Source
AGWP_{CH4}						
RE _{CH4}	Radiative efficiency in CH ₄ mixing ratio without indirect effects	W.m ⁻² .ppb ⁻¹	3.89 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.96 x 10 ⁻⁴	Normal 1.645σ	(Forster et al., 2021)
τ _{atm, CH4}	Total atmospheric lifetime	Years	9.1	0.9	Normal 1σ	(Szopa et al., 2021)
f _{CH4}	Feedback factor	Unitless	1.30	0.07	Normal 1σ	(Thornhill et al., 2021)
f1	Tropospheric ozone indirect effect scaling	Unitless	0.36	0.18	Normal 1.645σ	(Forster et al., 2021)
f2	Stratospheric water vapour indirect effect scaling	Unitless	0.103	0.103	Normal 1.645σ	(Forster et al., 2021)
AGWP_{N2O}						
RE _{N2O}	Radiative efficiency in N ₂ O mixing ratio without indirect effects	W.m ⁻² .ppb ⁻¹	3.19 x 10 ⁻³	1.25 x 10 ⁻³	Normal 1.645σ	(Forster et al., 2021)
τ _{atm, N2O}	Mean atmospheric lifetime	Years	116	9	Normal 1.645σ	(Canadell et al., 2021)
f _{N2O}	Feedback factor	Years	0.94	0.01	Normal 1.645σ	(Prather et al., 2015)
-	Methane lifetime effect in ppb(CH ₄) per ppb(N ₂ O)	-	-1.7	1.7	Normal 1.645σ	(Forster et al., 2021)
RE _{N2O} ^{O3}	Upper stratospheric ozone depletion	W.m ⁻² .ppb ⁻¹	5.5 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.4 x 10 ⁻⁴	Normal 1.645σ	(Forster et al., 2021)
AGTP						
λ	Net feedback parameter	W.m ⁻² .K ⁻¹	1.16	0.40	Normal 1σ	(Forster et al., 2021)
ΔF _{2×CO2}	Effective radiative forcing of CO ₂ doubling	W.m ⁻²	3.93	0.47	Normal 1σ	(Forster et al., 2021)
CCf						
γ	IRF _{CCf} intensity term	kgCO ₂ yr ⁻¹ °C ⁻¹	11.06 x 10 ¹²	-	-	(Gasser et al., 2017)
β ₁₋₃	Coefficient for fraction of IRF _{CCf} associated with a nominal timescale	Unitless	β ₁ =0.6368 ; β ₂ =0.3322 ; β ₃ =0.0310	-	-	(Gasser et al., 2017)
κ ₁₋₃	IRF _{CCf} nominal timescale	Years	κ ₁ =2.376 ; κ ₂ =30.14 ; κ ₃ =490.1	-	-	(Gasser et al., 2017)

S4 – Analytical resolution of the convolution between IRF_{CH_4} and AG_{xxCO_2} describing to methane oxidation

$$\begin{aligned}
 IRF_{CH_4} * AGWP_{CO_2}(H) &= Y \frac{M_{CO_2}}{M_{CH_4}} \frac{1}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}} \int_0^H e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}} \int_0^{H-t} A_{CO_2} IRF_{CO_2}(x) dx dt \\
 &= Y \frac{M_{CO_2}}{M_{CH_4}} \frac{1}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}} A_{CO_2} \left[\alpha_0 \tau_{CH_4}^{OH} \left(H + \tau_{CH_4}^{OH} \left(e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}} - 1 \right) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_i \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i \tau_i^2 \tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}{\tau_i - \tau_{CH_4}^{OH}} \left(e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_i}} - e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}} \right) + \alpha_i \tau_i \tau_{CH_4}^{OH} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}} \right) \right\} \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{S3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 IRF_{CH_4} * AGTP_{CO_2}(H) &= Y \frac{M_{CO_2}}{M_{CH_4}} \frac{1}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}} \int_0^H e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}} \int_0^{H-t} A_{CO_2} IRF_{CO_2}(x) IRF_T(t-x) dx dt \\
 &= Y \frac{M_{CO_2}}{M_{CH_4}} \frac{1}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}} A_{CO_2} \times ECS \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{\alpha_0 c_j \tau_{CH_4}^{OH} d_j}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH} - d_j} \left(e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}} - e^{-\frac{H}{d_j}} \right) + \alpha_0 c_j \tau_{CH_4}^{OH} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\alpha_i \tau_i^2 c_j \tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}{(d_j - \tau_i)(\tau_i - \tau_{CH_4}^{OH})} \left(e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_i}} - e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}} \right) + \frac{\alpha_i \tau_i c_j d_j \tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}{(d_j - \tau_i)(\tau_{CH_4}^{OH} - d_j)} \left(e^{-\frac{H}{\tau_{CH_4}^{OH}}} - e^{-\frac{H}{d_j}} \right) \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{S3.2}$$

S5 – Table S3. Fitting parameters of model responses in CO₂ (IRF_{CO_2}) for a 100 GtC emission pulse added to a constant background of 389 ppm from (Joos et al., 2013, Supplementary Information, Table S1) considered to compute uncertainty range

model	nyears	a ₀	a ₁	a ₂	a ₃	τ ₁	τ ₂	τ ₃
NCAR CSM1.4	289	2.935E-07	3.665E-01	3.542E-01	2.793E-01	1.691E+03	2.836E+01	5.316E+00
HadGEM2-ES	101	4.340E-01	1.973E-01	1.889E-01	1.798E-01	2.307E+01	2.307E+01	3.922E+00
MPI-ESM	101	1.252E-07	5.864E-01	1.826E-01	2.310E-01	1.781E+02	9.039E+00	8.989E+00
Bern3D-LPJ	1000	6.345E-10	5.150E-01	2.631E-01	2.219E-01	1.955E+03	4.583E+01	3.872E+00
Bern3D-LPJ (ensemble)	585	2.796E-01	2.382E-01	2.382E-01	2.440E-01	2.762E+02	3.845E+01	4.928E+00
Bern2.5D-LPJ	1000	2.362E-01	9.866E-02	3.850E-01	2.801E-01	2.321E+02	5.850E+01	2.587E+00
CLIMBER2-LPJ	1000	2.318E-01	2.756E-01	4.900E-01	2.576E-03	2.726E+02	6.692E+00	6.692E+00
DCESS	1000	2.159E-01	2.912E-01	2.410E-01	2.518E-01	3.799E+02	3.631E+01	3.398E+00
GENIE (ensemble)	1000	2.145E-01	2.490E-01	1.924E-01	3.441E-01	2.701E+02	3.932E+01	4.305E+00
LOVECLIM	1000	8.539E-08	3.606E-01	4.503E-01	1.891E-01	1.596E+03	2.171E+01	2.281E+00
MESMO	1000	2.848E-01	2.938E-01	2.382E-01	1.831E-01	4.543E+02	2.500E+01	2.014E+00
UVic2.9	1000	3.186E-01	1.748E-01	1.921E-01	3.145E-01	3.046E+02	2.656E+01	3.800E+00
ACC2	985	1.779E-01	1.654E-01	3.796E-01	2.772E-01	3.862E+02	3.689E+01	3.723E+00
Bern-SAR	1000	1.994E-01	1.762E-01	3.452E-01	2.792E-01	3.331E+02	3.969E+01	4.110E+00
MAGICC6 (ensemble)	604	2.051E-01	2.533E-01	3.318E-01	2.098E-01	5.961E+02	2.197E+01	2.995E+00
TOTEM2	984	7.177E-06	2.032E-01	6.995E-01	9.738E-02	8.577E+04	1.118E+02	1.583E-02
multi-model mean	1000	2.173E-01	2.240E-01	2.824E-01	2.763E-01	3.944E+02	3.654E+01	4.304E+00

In this study, all models that compute IRF CO₂ at least up to 500 years (long-term time horizon in climate metrics) have their fit extended up to 1000 years for the uncertainty range. In other words, fits from NCAR CSM1.4, HadGEM2-ES and MPI-ESM are not considered here.

S6 – IRF_T to match MAGICC's behaviour used in AR6

Please see the Jupyter Notebook (Nicholls et al., [code]) that was used for AR6. At “Out [33]” is the table containing ensemble member draws of IRF_T parameters (columns d1, d2, q1, q2).

All draws are explicitly available in our developed [dynamic climate change assessment tool](#) on the following path : Code/modules/Database.py from line 239 to line 838.

S7 – Table S4. Cradle-to-grave dynamic life cycle inventory of 1m² of insulating material – either Polystyrene or Straw bale – that ensure a thermal resistance of 7 m².K.W⁻¹ during 50 years.

Polystyrene			
Year	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O
1	2,45E+01	2,59E-01	1,55E-04
50	2,79E-01	2,30E-02	5,07E-06

Straw bale							
Year	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	Year	CO ₂	Year	CO ₂
0	-5.15E+01	2.45E-03	1.84E-03	91	7.25E-02	131	5.26E-02
1	9.11E-01	2.45E-03	3.6E-05	92	7.19E-02	132	5.22E-02
50	2.77E-01	9.72E-04	1.49E-05	93	7.14E-02	133	5.18E-02
51	3.58E+01	3.40E-01	6.3E-02	94	7.08E-02	134	5.13E-02
52	2.79E+00	5.51E-03		95	7.02E-02	135	5.09E-02
53	8.61E-01	1.62E-03		96	6.97E-02	136	5.05E-02
54	9.50E-02			97	6.91E-02	137	5.01E-02
55	9.65E-02			98	6.86E-02	138	4.97E-02
56	9.59E-02			99	6.80E-02	139	4.93E-02
57	9.53E-02			100	6.75E-02	140	4.89E-02
58	9.45E-02			101	6.69E-02	141	4.85E-02
59	9.38E-02			102	6.64E-02	142	4.81E-02
60	9.30E-02			103	6.59E-02	143	4.78E-02
61	9.23E-02			104	6.53E-02	144	4.74E-02
62	9.15E-02			105	6.48E-02	145	4.70E-02
63	9.08E-02			106	6.43E-02	146	4.66E-02
64	9.01E-02			107	6.38E-02	147	4.62E-02
65	8.94E-02			108	6.33E-02	148	4.59E-02
66	8.86E-02			109	6.28E-02	149	4.55E-02
67	8.79E-02			110	6.23E-02	150	4.51E-02
68	8.72E-02			111	6.18E-02		
69	8.65E-02			112	6.13E-02		
70	8.58E-02			113	6.08E-02		
71	8.52E-02			114	6.03E-02		
72	8.45E-02			115	5.98E-02		
73	8.38E-02			116	5.93E-02		
74	8.31E-02			117	5.88E-02		
75	8.25E-02			118	5.84E-02		
76	8.18E-02			119	5.79E-02		
77	8.11E-02			120	5.74E-02		
78	8.05E-02			121	5.70E-02		
79	7.99E-02			122	5.65E-02		
80	7.92E-02			123	5.61E-02		
81	7.86E-02			124	5.56E-02		
82	7.80E-02			125	5.52E-02		
83	7.73E-02			126	5.47E-02		
84	7.67E-02			127	5.43E-02		
85	7.61E-02			128	5.39E-02		
86	7.55E-02			129	5.34E-02		
87	7.49E-02			130	5.30E-02		
88	7.43E-02						
89	7.37E-02						
90	7.31E-02						

S8 – Table S5. Mean values of several climate metrics applied on the two studied thermal insulating products that are behind Fig. 5.

	Polystyrene (fossil-based)	Straw bale (bio-based)
GWP ₂₀	48.9	42.1
GWP ₁₀₀	33.7	23.8
GWP ₅₀₀	27.8	7.44
GTP ₅₀	28.8	19.0
GTP ₁₀₀	27.1	13.2
ΔF_{20} (10^{-12} W yr m ⁻²)	0.88	-0.91
ΔF_{100} (10^{-12} W yr m ⁻²)	2.90	-0.79
ΔF_{500} (10^{-12} W yr m ⁻²)	8.8	0.99
$\Delta T_{\text{negative}}$ (10^{-15} °C)	—	-28.7
ΔT_{peak} (10^{-15} °C)	27.8 (14 years)	27.5 (66 years)
$\Delta T_{\text{long-term}}$ (10^{-15} °C)	9.4	-0.10

References

- Canadell, J. G.: P.M.S. Monteiro, M.H. Costa, L. Cotrim da Cunha, P.M. Cox, A.V. Eliseev, S. Henson, M. Ishii, S. Jaccard, C. Koven, A. Lohila, P.K. Patra, S. Piao, J. Rogelj, S. Syampungani, S. Zaehle, and K. Zickfeld, 2021: Global Carbon and other Biogeochemical Cycles and Feedbacks. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 673–816., <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.007>, 2021.
- Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Bernsten, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Raga, G., Schulz, M., Dorland, R. V., Bodeker, G., Etheridge, D., Foukal, P., Fraser, P., Geller, M., Joos, F., Keeling, C. D., Keeling, R., Kinne, S., Lassey, K., Oram, D., O’Shaughnessy, K., Ramankutty, N., Reid, G., Rind, D., Rosenlof, K., Sausen, R., Schwarzkopf, D., Solanki, S. K., Stenchikov, G., Stuber, N., Takemura, T., Textor, C., Wang, R., Weiss, R., Whorf, T., Nakajima, T., Ramanathan, V., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Bernsten, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and Dorland, R. V.: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Forth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M.Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, https://archive.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch2.html, 2007.
- Forster, P., Storelmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, J.-L., Frame, D., Lunt, D. J., Mauritsen, T., Palmer, M. D., Watanabe, M., Wild, M., and Zhang, H.: 2021: The Earth’s Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 923–1054., <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.009>, 2021.
- Gasser, T., Peters, G. P., Fuglestedt, J. S., Collins, W. J., Shindell, D. T., and Ciais, P.: Accounting for the climate carbon feedback in emission metrics, *Earth System Dynamics*, 8, 235–253, <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-8-235-2017>, 2017.

- Houghton et al.: L.G. Meira Filho, B.A. Callander, N. Harris, A. Kattenberg and K. Maskell. Climate change 1995 - The Science of climate change: Contribution of Working Group I to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Technical Report, <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/223181?v=pdf>, 1995.
- Joos, F., Roth, R., Fuglestad, J. S., Peters, G. P., Enting, I. G., Von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Burke, E. J., Eby, M., and Edwards, N. R.: Carbon dioxide and climate impulse response functions for the computation of greenhouse gas metrics: a multi-model analysis, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 2793–2825, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2793-2013>, 2013.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestad, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in: *Climate Change 2013 - The Physical Science Basis*, Cambridge University Press, 659–740, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.018>, 2014.
- Nicholls, Z., Lewis, J., Meinshausen, M., MAGICC temperature IRF, [Code] 110_calculate_temperature_irf_from_2xCO2.ipynb, https://gitlab.com/magicc/ar6-wg1-plots-and-processing/-/blob/main/notebooks/calculate-temperature-irf-for-ch6/110_calculate_temperature_irf_from_2xCO2.ipynb?plain=0&blame=1, authored 7/02/2022.
- Prather, M. J., Hsu, J., DeLuca, N. M., Jackman, C. H., Oman, L. D., Douglass, A. R., Fleming, E. L., Strahan, S. E., Steenrod, S. D., Søvde, O. A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Froidevaux, L., and Funke, B.: Measuring and modeling the lifetime of nitrous oxide including its variability, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120, 5693–5705, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023267>, 2015.
- Ramaswamy, V., Boucher, O., Haigh, J., Hauglustaine, D., Haywood, J., Myhre, G., Nakajima, T., Shi, G. Y., Solomon, S., Betts, R., Charlson, R., Chuang, C., Daniel, J. S., Joos, F., and Srinivasan, J.: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change. In *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, https://unfccc.int/resource/cd_roms/na1/mitigation/Resource_materials/IPCC_TAR_Climate_Change_2001_Scientific_Basis/TAR-06.pdf, 2001.
- Shine, K. P., Charlson, R. J., Crommelynck, D., Grassl, H., Husson, N., Jenkins, G., Karol, I., King, M. D., Ramanathan, V., Rodhe, H., Shi, G.-Y., Thomas, G., Wang, W.-C., Wigley, T. M. L., Yamanouchi, T., Morcrette, J.-J., Wuebbles, D.-J., Derwent, R. G., Apling, K. P., and Blanchet, J. P.: IPCC Second Assessment Report - Chapter 2 Radiative Forcing of Climate, https://pure.mpg.de/rest/items/item_3475154/component/file_3475155/content, 1990.
- Shine, K. P., Fuglestad, J. S., Hailemariam, K., and Stuber, N.: Alternatives to the Global Warming Potential for Comparing Climate Impacts of Emissions of Greenhouse Gases, *Climatic Change*, 68, 281–302, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-005-1146-9>, 2005.
- Szopa, S., Naik, V., Adhikary, B., Artaxo, P., Bernsten, T., Collins, W. D., Fuzzi, S., Gallardo, L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Klimont, Z., Liao, H., Unger, N., and Zanis, P.: 2021: Short-Lived Climate Forcers. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 817–922., <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.008>, 2021.
- Thornhill et al.: Effective radiative forcing from emissions of reactive gases and aerosols – a multi-model comparison, 21, 853–874, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-853-2021>, 2021.