



Supplement of

Long-term variance of heavy precipitation across central Europe using a large ensemble of regional climate model simulations

Florian Ehmele et al.

Correspondence to: Florian Ehmele (florian.ehmele@kit.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

Supplemental Material

1. Data sets

This section contains supplemental figures to the used RCM ensemble, which is discussed in Section 2 of the main paper.



Figure S1: Temporal evolution of the number of members of the RCM ensemble. The colors correspond to the different data blocks, in which the RCM ensemble is divided to (cf. Table 1 in the main paper).

2. Validation of the RCM ensemble

This section contains supplemental figures for the validation of the RCM ensemble, which is discussed in Section 4 of the main paper.



Figure S2: Quantile-quantile plot of spatial mean daily precipitation for investigation period TP1b (1951-2006) comparing the RCM simulations (block 1-4) with E-OBS (solid lines) and HYRAS (dashed lines) for (a) Mid-Europe (ME*) and (b) the Alps (AL*), only taking into account grid cells within the HYRAS area.



Figure S3: Time series of the yearly 99 % of spatial mean precipitation (wet days and HYRAS area only) for the Alps (AL*) during TP1b (1951-2006) of the LAERTES-EU ensemble mean (black), the ensemble spread (minimum to maximum; gray), E-OBS (red), and HYRAS (blue). The dotted lines symbolize the mean values of the observations throughout TP1b.



Figure S4: Same as Fig. S3, but for Mid-Europe (ME*) and the 99.9 % percentile.



Figure S5: Same as Fig. S4, but for the Alps (AL*).

3. Long-term variances and trend

This section contains supplemental figures and tables for the analysis, which is discussed in Section 5 of the main paper.



Figure S6: Boxplot of the distribution of daily spatial mean precipitation values (including dry days) for AL. Each decade was considered separately. The centerline of a box marks the median; the lower and upper end of the box mark the 25 % and 75 % percentile (interquartile range); the whiskers represent approximately the 99.9 % percentile; the prediction part is marked in green.



Figure S7 Time series of the yearly 99 % percentile of daily spatial mean precipitation (wet days only) for the Alps (AL) of the LAERTES-EU ensemble mean (solid line), and the ensemble spread (minimum to maximum; dots and shaded area) during TP1 (1900-2017; black/gray) and TP2 (2018-2028; reddish).



Figure S8: Same as Fig. S7 but for the 99.9 % percentile for ME.



Figure S9: Same as Fig. S8, but for the Alps (AL).

Table S1: Overall trend of daily spatial mean precipitation during TP1b (1951-2006) using a linear regression of the yearly series of the 99 % and 99.9 % percentile (pct; wet days only) ME and AL; Given are absolute values and the relative changes (RC) compared to the climatological mean (climTP; 1961-1990) for the ensemble minimum (min), the ensemble mean, and the ensemble maximum (max) percentile values within LAERTES-EU, and the related significance (p-value; $\alpha = 0.05$).

area	pct	variable	trend (mm)	RC (%)	climTP (mm)	p_{α}
		min	-0.2	-3.2	7.8	0.4980
ME ME AL	99	mean	0.5	4.6	10.3	1.0000
		max	1.3	9.5	13.9	0.9989
		min	-0.2	-2.6	9.0	0.2602
	99.9	mean	0.6	4.7	13.5	1.0000
		max	2.8	12.8	21.6	0.9975
		min	-1.6	-10.2	15.4	0.9971
	99	mean	-0.6	-3.0	21.0	0.5673
		max	3.1	10.8	28.4	1.0000
		min	-2.1	-11.7	17.8	0.9976
AL	99.9	mean	-0.2	-0.8	27.3	0.4890
		max	2.0	4.4	44.7	0.8318



Figure S10: Deviation of the mean yearly number of days above the 99.9 % percentile (wet days only) compared to the climatology (climTP; 1961-1990) for ME. Red bars indicate negative anomalies (less days), blue bars positive anomalies (more days). The predictions (TP2; 2018-2028) are given in green; the black line indicates a linear regression.



Figure S11: Same as Fig. S10, but for the Alps (AL).



Figure S12: Trend analysis of the 99 % percentile (wet days only) of daily spatial mean precipitation for AL with (a) the relative amount of members of LAERTES-EU with a positive (blue) or negative (red) trend; (b) the trend in milimenter per year averaged over the members from (a), and (c) relative amount of members from (a) with a significant trend; cases with no distinct number (less than 60 %) of members with same trend sign are marked in gray in (a)-(c).



Figure S13: Same as Fig. S12, but for the 99.9 % percentile of ME.



Figure S14: Same as Fig. S13, but for the Alps (AL).



Figure S15: Relative deviation of (a) the R95pTOT index and (b) the R99pTOT index of the LAERTES-EU ensemble mean of daily spatial mean precipitation (wet days and land only) compared to the climatology (climTP; 1961-1990) for the Alps (AL). Red bars indicate negative (dry) anomalies, blue bars positive (wet) anomalies. The predictions (TP2; 2018-2028) are given in green. The black line indicates a linear regression.



Figure S16: Same as Fig. S15, but for the R99pTOT index.